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## PREFACE

The delay, for which I must beg the indulgence of subscribers, in the publication of this volume, is chiefly due to the lengthy preliminaries which were necessary for the production of two of the new classical texts, the Meliambi of Cercidas (1082) and the fragments of an anonymous Satyric drama (1083). Those papyri, with 1091-2, are derived from the second of the large literary finds made in 1906 (cf. the Archacological Report for that year, p. 12), which was at once more extensive, more scattered, and in worse condition than the first. Before any text from it could be dealt with, some thirty thousand pieces of various sizes had to be flattened and examined, a task which occupied several weeks of last year. Possibly some further small fragments may yet be identified; but the great bulk of the find, at any rate, has now been prepared for sorting and copying; and that serious additions will be made is a contingency not sufficiently probable to justify a further postponement.

In editing the new classical fragments (1082-7) I have once more enjoyed the great advantage of the assistance of Professor $U$. von Wilamowitz-Möllendorff, to whom I am deeply indebted, especially with regard to 1082 and 1086. Professor U. Wilcken was again kind enough to look through the proof-sheets of the non-literary section and to contribute a number of valuable comments. Occasional suggestions received from other friends are recorded in connexion with the texts concerned. To all my helpers, including the Proof-reader of the University Press, I here return hearty thanks.

Another instalment of Oxyrhynchus papyri is designed for the next volume, which I hope to issue early in 1912.

> ARTHUR S. HUNT.

[^0] May, igif.
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# NOTE ON THE METHOD OF PUBLICATION AND 

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

THE general method followed in this volume is the same as that in Parts I-VII. Of the new literary texts, 1082-3 and 1086-7 are printed in a dual form, a literal transcript being accompanied by a reconstruction in modern style; 1088-9 are given in modern form only. In the others, and in the fragments of extant authors, the originals are reproduced except for division of words, capital initials in proper names, expansion of abbreviations, and supplements of lacunae. Additions or corrections by the same hand as the body of the text are in small thin type, those by a different hand in thick type. Non-literary documents are given in modern form with accentuation and punctuation. Abbreviations and symbols are resolved; additions and corrections are usually incorporated in the text and their occurrence is recorded in the critical apparatus, where also faults of orthography, \&c., are corrected if they seemed likely to give rise to any difficulty. Iota adscript has been printed when so written, otherwise iota subscript is employed. Square brackets [] indicate a lacuna, round brackets () the resolution of a symbol or abbreviation, angular brackets $\rangle$ a mistaken omission in the original, braces \{ \} a superfluous letter or letters, double square brackets [[] a deletion in the original. Dots placed within brackets represent the approximate number of letters lost or deleted; dots outside brackets indicate mutilated or otherwise illegible letters. Letters with dots underneath them are to be considered doubtful. Heavy Arabic numerals refer to the texts of the Oxyrhynchus papyri in this volume and in Parts l-VII, ordinary numerals to lines, small Roman numerals to columns.

The abbreviations used in referring to papyrological publications are practically those adopted in the Archiv fïr Papyrusforschung, viz.: P. Amh. = The Amherst Papyri (Greek), Vols. I-II, by B. P. Grenfell and A. S. Hunt.

Archiv $=$ Archiv fiir Papyrusforschnng.
B. G. U. = Aeg. Urkunden aus den K. Museen zu Berlin, Griechische Urkunden.
P. Brit. Mus. = Greek Papyri in the British Museum, Vols. I-II, by F. G. Kenyon ;

Vol. III, by F. G. Kenyon and H. I. Bell ; Vol. IV by H. I. Bell.
C. P. Herm. = Corpus Papyrorum Hermopolitanorum, Vol. I, by C. Wessely.
C. P. R. = Corpus Papyrorum Raineri, Vol. I, by C. Wessely.
P. Cairo Cat. $=$ Catalogue des Antiquités égyptiennes du Musée du Caire, Papyrus grecs d'époque byzantine, by J. Maspero.
P. Fay. = Fayûm Towns and their Papyri, by B. P. Grenfell, A. S. Hunt, and D. G. Hogarth.
P. Flor. = Papiri Fiorentini, Vol. I, by G. Vitelli ; Vol. II, by D. Comparetti.
P. Gen. = Les Papyrus de Genève, Vol. I, by J. Nicole.
P. Giessen = Griechische Papyri zu Giessen, Part 1, by E. Kornemann and O. Eger ; Part 2, by P. M. Meyer.
P. Grenf. $=$ Greek Papyri, Series I, by B. P. Grenfell, and Series II, by B. P. Grenfell and A. S. Hunt.
P. Hamburg $=$ Griechische Urkunden der Hamburger Stadtbibliothek, Part I, by P. M. Meyer.
P. Hibeh $=$ The Hibeh Papyri, Part I, by B. P. Grenfell and A. S. Hunt.
P. Klein. Form. $=$ Griech. Papyrusurkunden kleineren Formats, Stud. Pal. iii and viii, by C. Wessely.
P. Leipzig $=$ Griech. Urkunden der Papyrussammlung zu Leipzig, Vol. I, by L. Mitteis.
P. Leyden = Papyri Graeci Musei Antiquarii Lugduni-Batavi, by C. Leemans.
P. Oxy. = The Oxyrhynchus Papyri, Parts I-VI, by B. P. Grenfell and A. S. Hunt ; Part VII, by A. S. Hunt.
P. Par. = Les Papyrus grecs du Musée du Louvre, Notices et Extraits, t. xviii. 2, by W. Brunet de Presle and E. Egger.
P. Reinach $=$ Papyrus grecs et démotiques, by Théodore Reinach.
P. Rylands $=$ Catalogue of the Greek Papyri in the Rylands Library, Manchester, Vol. I, by A. S. Hunt.
P. Strassb. = Griech. Papyrus der K. Universitätsbibliothek zu Strassburg im Elsass, Vol. I, Parts I-2, by F. Preisigke.
P. Tebt. $=$ The Tebtunis Papyri, Part I, by B. P. Grenfell, A. S. Hunt, and J. G. Smyly; and Part II, by B. P. Grenfell, A. S. Hunt, and E. J. Goodspeed.
Wilcken, Ost. $=$ Griechische Ostraka, by U. Wilcken.

## I. THEOLOGICAL FRAGMENTS.

## 1073. Old Latin Version of Genesis v-vi.

${ }_{17} \times 5.4 \mathrm{~cm}$. Fourth century. Plate VI (verso).

A small fragment from Egypt of the Bible in the Vulgate has recently made its appearance at Aberdeen (Winstedt, Class. Quarterly, 1907, p. 266), and Oxyrhynchus now contributes the following specimen of the Old Latin version, a specimen which is not only more extensive but of much greater value, since the Old Latin is imperfectly known and the present text is for about half its contents the sole authority. It is written on a portion of a vellum leaf from a book in a well-formed uncial hand, which is probably not later than the fourth century. The ink is of a reddish-brown colour. As is common in early Latin MSS., the columns, of which there were no doubt two on each page, are narrow. Rulings were lightly made with a hard point. The text was divided up into rather short paragraphs, of which the first lines were made to protrude slightly into the left margin and are further rendered conspicuous by enlarged initial letters. A medial stop is occasionally employed, and points in the same position are placed before and after numerals ; the first of the pair of points is, however, omitted when the numeral stands at the beginning of the line, and the second when at the end. It may be also noted that when representing a figure $d$ has the minuscule not the uncial form. Contractions and abbreviations were sparingly used. $m$ at the end of a line is sometimes denoted by an overwritten horizontal stroke with a dot beneath it ; since the regular purpose of this dot was to distinguish $m$ from $n$, it may be inferred that $n$ in the same position was also represented by the horizontal stroke, though no actual example is preserved. domimus deus appears as $d \overline{n s} d \bar{s}$ in 1. 31. Traube considered the former of these contractions to be not older than the fifth century
(Nomina Sacra, p. 167), but pending fuller evidence the script is a better criterion of the date of this codex than the occurrence of a particular compendium.

Textually the fragment is of considerable interest. As has been already stated, several of the verses here preserved are not otherwise extant in the Old Latin version, though since they tend to follow a definite formula they could to some extent be correctly reconstructed. Others among them were already known from patristic citations, with which, however, the new witness does not always coincide. Minor divergences may easily be attributed to inexact quotation ; but some of the peculiar readings of this manuscript point rather to a different recension, of which a prominent characteristic may have been its closeness to the Greek; cf. 11. 28-30 and the note. A variant noted by Augustine makes its appearance in 1. 46. Spjeciosae in 1. 48, in the light of a comment by the same Father (cf. note ad loc.), looks very like an interpolated gloss.

## Recto.

Verso. Plate VI.
gemuit $\operatorname{Se}[$ th amio $d e c$

| v. 4. |  | [men ciu's Noe dicens | v. 29 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 |  | [iste requits'cere faciet [nos ab o] per:[ib]us nostris [et tristi]ties manum nos |  |
| 6 | 30 | [traru] $n$ et a terra cui [maled ixit D $\bar{n} s$ D $\cdot$ et vi [xit La]mech annis •dlxv | 30 |
| 7 |  | [et gen] $]$ uit filios et filias <br> [ct fuer]unt omnes dies | 31 |
|  | 35 | [quos v]ixit Lamech [anni -]decliii. et mor[tu |  |
| 8 |  | [us est] <br> Et fuit N]oe annorum [quinge]ntorum et ge | vi. I |
| 9 | 40 | [muit N]oe tres filios. |  |
| 10 |  | [Sem Cha]m Iapeth Et factulu est postqua $\bar{a}$ [cocper]unt homincs [multi fie]ri super terra $\bar{i}$ |  |
| I I | 45 | [ ct filiae] natae sunt eis [vident]es autcm filii [D̄̃ filias] hominum |  |



1. This verse is preserved in Jerome, Quacst. Hibr. in Gcn. 313, where septingenti anni is written ; cf. ll. 12-14, note.

3-6. Verse 5 is not extant elsewhere. For Adae cf. e. g. Gen. ii. 16 pracepit Dominus Deus Adae (from Augustine). Jerome in verse 4 has dies Adam. annis should be anni.
${ }^{6-7}=$ Augustine, De Civ. Dei, xv. $\mathrm{I}_{5}$. Augustine has quinque et ducentos annos, but the ablative is attested in verses 3 (Hilary) and 25 (Jerome) and is no doubt correct; cf. l. 10.

8-11. Verse 7 as far as deccuii is preserved only here; Augustine, l.c., gives et genuit . . . filias.

12-14 $=$ Augustine, l.c., where duodecim et nongenti anni is given ; cf. note on 1. 1.
$\mathbf{1 5}_{5-25}$. These five verses are not found elsewhere.
26 sqq . The verse is extant in Jerome, Quaest. Hebr. 314, and part of it in Ambrose, De Noe et Arca, 1. $2(227$ d). The former has iste requiescere nos faciet, the latter hic faciet nos requiescere. It is useless to attempt to decide whether iste or hic stood in our MS.; oūtos is the Greek.

28-30. Jerome, l.c., has ab operibus nostris, Ambrose, l.c., omits nostris and continues et a tristitia ct a terra; the Greek is $\dot{a} \pi \grave{\partial} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tilde{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \omega \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ кai $\grave{a} \pi \grave{o} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda \nu \pi \bar{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \chi \epsilon \rho \bar{\omega} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$. It is noteworthy that manu( $\langle u\rangle m$ nos $[$ traru $] m$, which is absent in Ambrose's version, appears in our MS. as the equivalent of $\tau \bar{\omega} \nu \chi \epsilon \rho \bar{\omega} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$, and that the preceding word is not tristitia. What replaced tristitio is, however, doubtful. In 1. 28 either abolper[il]us or a la]bor[2b]us can be read, but the former seems preferable both in itself and on account of the patristic evidence. If a la]bor $[i b] u s$ were substituted, operibus would be available for the next line (cf. the Vulgate ab operibus et laboribus manuum nostrarum, and Jbus is a possible reading; but it is unconvincing, and Jtiis is really more suitable. tristi]tiis would be a literal rendering of $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda \nu \pi \hat{\omega} \nu$; the difficulty is that [et tristi]tziis is a longer supplement than is expected in the lacuna. Perhaps tristiis was written, by a lipography; but $i$ and $t$ are both narrow letters and on the whole the reading suggested seems to be the least objectionable, though it is adopted with no great confidence. At the end of 1.29 os was written as a monogram, the $o$ being utilized as the lower curve of the $s$.

31-41. Verses 30 and 31 of chap. $v$ and the first part of verse 1 in the next chapter
 ті̀ $\mathrm{N} \hat{\omega} \epsilon$, and postquam genuit Noe must have dropped out. If the length of the lines were the same in the archetype as in this copy, postquam . . . Noe would just about have filled one line.
$4^{2}$ sqq. = Augustine, De Civ. Dei, xv. ${ }^{2} 3$.
45. eis: so Tertullian, De Vel. Virg. 7, where also cum coepissent appears for postinum coeperunt and plures for multi; Augustine, l.c., has illis. In l. 44 multi suits the space much better than plures.
46. [vident]es: so Augustine, l.c., and Jerome, Quaest. Hibr. 314; conspicati Tertullian, l.c.
filii: so Jerome and Tertullian, ll.cc.; angeli Augustine, l.c., remarking LXX quidem interpretes at angelos Dei dixerunt istos et filios Dai; quod quidem non omnes codices habont, nam quidam nisi filios Dei non habent; cf. Aug. Quaest. in Hiptateuch. i. 3 quamvis non-
nulli et Latini et Graeci codices non angelos habeant sed filios Dei. vioi has considerable support both in MSS. and the versions and citations.
48. sp]eciosae: bonae Augustine and Jerome, ll. cc., pulchrae Tertullian, l.c. Cf. Aug. l.c. bonas, id est pulchras. consuetudo quippe Scripturae huius est etiam speciosos corpore bonos vocare. The Greek is калai.
sunt: so Augustine and Jerome, ll.cc. ; essent Tertullian, l.c.
49. Fither [sumpse]runt (Augustine, l.c.) or [accepe]runt (Tertullian, l.c.) is possible.
1074. ExODUS xxxi, xxxii.

$$
2.7 \times 5.1 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Third century. Plate I (recto). }
$$

The following fragment of the book of Exodus in the version of the Septuagint is of insignificant size but is probably older than any of the known MSS. of that book, with the possible exception of 1075 , which is of about the same period. 1074 is written in a neat round hand, displaying some tendency towards cursive forms, among which the $\kappa$ is the most pronounced. This hand could not be referred to a time later than the reign of Diocletian, and might well be placed quite at the beginning of the third century or even earlier. The fragment may thus be reckoned with $1,2,208$, and 656 as one of the most ancient examples of the papyrus codex that have been preserved. A high stop is the only lectional sign occurring.

The character of the text cannot be gauged from so small a sample. Agreements with AM against B are noticeable in 11. 2 and 7 .

Recto. Plate I.

```
[\tau\iota\nu]\pi[\mp@code{\rho\rho \epsilon\muо\iota к\alpha\iota \epsilon\nu v\mu\iota\nu \epsilon\iotas \tau\alphas y\epsilon\nu\epsilon\alphas v\mu\omega\nu \iota\nu\alpha \gamma\nu\omega xxxi. I3}
\tau\epsilon оть \epsilon\gamma\omega \overline{Ks о \alpha\gamma\iota\alpha[\xi\omega\nu v\mu\alphas к\alpha\iota фv\lambda\alpha\xi\epsilon\sigma0\epsilon то \sigma\alpha\beta I_}
\betaaто\nu отו ayוov \tauov[\tau0 \epsilon\sigma\tauו\nu \overline{Kv}v\mul\nu о \beta\epsilon\beta\eta\lambda\omega\nu av\tauо
0\alpha\nu\alpha\tau\omega 0\alpha\nu\alpha\tau\omega0[\eta\sigma\epsilon\tau\alphal \pi\alphas os \pio\iota\eta\sigma\epsilon\iota \epsilon\nu \alphav\tau\omega \epsilon\rho
5 \gammaov \epsilon\xi0\lambda\epsilon0[\rho\epsilonv0\eta\sigma\epsilon\tau\alpha\iota
```

Verso.

```
].[.......
```

xxxii. 7

```
\etaro\mu\eta\sigma\epsilon\nu \gamma\alpha\rho] o \lambda\alphaos \sigmaov ovs \epsilon\xi\eta\gamma\alpha
```

```
    [\gamma\epsilonS \epsilonк \gamma\etaS Al\gammav\pi\tau\tauov \pi\alpha\rho]\epsilon\beta\eta\sigma\alpha\nu \tau\alpha\v \epsilonк \tau\etaSS
    [o\deltaov \etaS \epsilon\nu\epsilon\tau\epsilonl\lambda\omega \alphav\tauо\iotas]. \epsilon\piоו\eta\sigma\alpha\nu \alphav\tauols
10 [\muо\sigma\chiо\nu ка\iota \pi\rhoо\sigmaкєк\nu\nu\etaк\alpha\sigma\iota],y аvт\omega. ка\iota
2. то \(\sigma\) аß]Batov: so AM ; та \(\sigma o \beta \beta a t a\) B. In 1.3 A has \(\epsilon \sigma \tau a \iota\) for tovto \(\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu\) Kıpıov.
\(6-7\). The vestige of a letter remaining in 1. 6 is insufficient to determine whether the papyrus placed то тахоя \(\epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \nu\) before (B) or after (AM) катаßך \(\theta\). o ous: so AMI ; оу B .
9. autors: so n (Holmes \(75=\) Bodl. Univ. Coll. \(5^{2}\) ) ; єautots BAM.
```

1075. ExODUS xl.
$15.1 \times 9.8 \mathrm{~cm}$. Third century. Plate I.
This fragment containing the conclusion of the book of Exodus is, like 1074, remarkable for its early date. The MS. was in the form of a roll, not a book, and the sloping uncial hand does not seem to be later than the third century. N is sometimes given the cursive form with a nearly horizontal crossbar. A stop in the high position apparently occurs in 1.8. Kúplos is abbreviated in the usual way, but not vioi (l. 15) or 'I $\sigma \rho a \eta{ }^{\prime} \lambda\left(11.1_{5}, 21\right)$. On the verso is 1079, which may itself have been written in the third century.

The text, which is of a 'mixed' type, is of some intercst. It shows an agreement with BG against AFM in l. I, but on the other hand two agreements with AFGM against B in ll. 13-14 and 18 . In l. 4 ف̀s $\delta \dot{\text { é }}$ we obtain very ancient testimony to a reading otherwise dependent on mediaeval authority (cf. c.g. 656, 847, 1007, and 1078). A new variant is found in 1. 10.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { [ } \kappa \in \pi \alpha \rho \alpha] \text { T[as } \theta u p \alpha s \text { } \tau \eta s \text { } \sigma \kappa \eta \nu \eta s \quad \text { xl. } 26 \\
& \kappa \alpha \iota[\epsilon \sigma \tau] \eta \sigma \epsilon[\nu \quad \tau \eta] \nu[\alpha \nu \lambda \eta \nu \text { кик } \omega \omega \quad 27 \\
& \tau \eta S \quad \sigma[\kappa] \eta \nu \eta S \text { ка८ } \tau о[v \text { } \theta v \sigma \iota \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \rho \iota
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 5 \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \in \rho \gamma \alpha[\kappa \alpha \iota \epsilon \kappa \alpha \lambda \nu \psi \in \nu \\
& \eta \nu \epsilon \phi \epsilon \lambda \eta \text { т } \eta \nu \text { [ } \sigma \kappa \eta \nu \eta \nu \text { тov } \mu \alpha \rho \\
& \text { тuplov ка८ } \delta \circ \xi[\eta s \overline{K v} \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \sigma
\end{aligned}
$$

```
    [\sigma]\kappa\iota\alpha\sigma\epsilon \gamma\alpha\rho \epsilon\pi \alphav\tau\eta\nu \eta \nu\epsilon\phi\epsilon\lambda[\eta
    \kappa\alpha\iota \deltao\xi\etas \overline{Kv}\epsilon\pi\lambda\eta\sigma0\eta \eta \sigmaK\eta
    \nu\eta \eta\nulк\alpha \delta\epsilon \alpha\nu\epsilon\beta\eta \eta \nu\epsilon\phi[\epsilon
    \lambda\eta \alpha\piо \tau\etaS \sigma\kappa\eta\nu\etaS \alpha\nu\epsilon\zeta\epsilonv
I5 \gamma\nuv\sigma\sigma\alpha\nu o< vוol Ï\sigma\rho\alpha\eta\lambda \sigmavv [\tau\eta
    \alpha\pi\alpha\rho\tau\iota\alpha \alphav\tau\omega\nu [\epsilonl] \delta\epsilon \mu\eta [\alpha\nu\epsilon
    \beta\eta \eta v\epsilon\phi\epsilon\lambda\eta ov[k] \alpha\nu\epsilon\zeta[\epsilonv\gamma\nuv
    \sigma\alpha\nu \epsilon\omegas \tau\etas \eta\mu\epsilon\rho\alphas \etas [\alpha\nu\epsilon\beta\eta
    \nu\epsilon\phi\epsilon\lambda\eta \gamma \alpha\rho \eta\nu \epsilon\pi\iota \tau\etaS [\sigma\kappa\eta\nu\etas
        32
20 \eta\mu\epsilon\rho\alphas к\alpha\iota \piv\rho \eta[\nu] \epsilon[\pi \alphav\tau\etas
    \nuvктоs \epsilon\nu\alpha\nuтוov [\pi\alpha\nuтоs I\sigma\rhoa
    \eta\lambda \in\nu \pi\alpha\sigma\alphals \tau\alphals \alpha\nu[\alpha\xiv\gamma\alphals
\alphav\tau\omega\nu > > >
    \epsilonૅG0\deltaos̆
```

1. After $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu \eta s$ AFM add $\tau$ то $\mu$ артирıov.

2-3. Aª omits кaı . . . 日vaıaбtทpıov.
4. $\omega s \delta_{\varepsilon}$ : so the cursive w (Athens, Bibl. Nat. 44); cf. the Lyons Octateuch cum auten consummaret; каи other MSS.

7-8. 1. $\epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \theta \eta \eta \sigma \kappa \eta \nu \eta$. At the end of 1.8 either $\eta \delta v[\nu a \sigma \theta \eta$ or $\eta \delta v[\nu \eta \theta \eta$ (AFM) makes a rather long supplement.
10. $\epsilon \pi[\epsilon \sigma]<\iota a \sigma \epsilon$ रap is peculiar to the papyrus; oть $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \sigma \kappa \epsilon a \xi \epsilon \nu$ MSS., though the cursive $\mathbf{r}$ (Holmes $129=$ Rome, Vat. Gr. ${ }^{2} \mathbf{2 5 2}^{2}$ ) has $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \sigma \kappa \iota a \sigma \epsilon \nu$ and x (Brit. Mus. Curzon 66) $\epsilon \sigma \kappa \iota a \sigma \epsilon \nu$ : cf. Arm. Boh. Eth. Lyons Oct. obumbravit.

I 2. $\epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \theta \eta$ : $\epsilon \nu \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \theta \eta$ F.
13-14. $\delta \epsilon$ : so g (Par. Reg. Gr. 5) w and Cyril of Alexandria ; $\delta$ av others.
$\eta \ldots \sigma \kappa \eta \nu \eta s$ : so AFGM ; aло $\tau \eta s \sigma \kappa \eta \nu \eta s \eta \nu \in \phi \epsilon \lambda \eta$ B.
18. $\tau \eta s$ : so AFGM; om. B. After avє $\beta_{\eta}$ BAFM add $\eta \nu \epsilon \phi \epsilon \lambda \eta$, which is omitted as in the papyrus by G, several cursives (including x), Aeth. Syr.
24. $\epsilon \xi \circ \delta \delta o s$ is the subscription in BFGM; A adds $\tau \omega \nu \nu \omega \nu 1(\sigma \rho a) \eta \lambda \epsilon \xi$ A $\tau \gamma \tau \pi \tau o v$.

## 1076. New Recension of Tobit ii.

$$
9.5 \times 13.9 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Sixth century }
$$

A fragment of the book of Tobit, in a text not otherwise extant. Of the Greek version of this popular apocryphon there are two main texts, the one represented by the Vatican and Alexandrine codices (BA), the other by the codex Sinaiticus ( $\aleph$ ), the latter being the longer, though this greater length is due more to verbosity of style than to the incorporation of fresh matter. On
the question which is the earlier critics are still divided. Besides these two, however, for chaps. vi. 9-xiii. 8, there is found in three cursives a third Greck recension, which may be said to occupy an intermediate position between BA and $\mathfrak{N}$; from chap. viii onwards this text agrees closely with the Syriac.

Now the present fragment from the second chapter is clearly to be distinguished from BA on the onc hand and $\mathfrak{N}$ on the other ; the obvious question then arises, Can it belong to the third recension partially preserved in the cursives (C)? This view appears to be highly probable. The relation of 1076 and C to BA and $\mathbb{N}$ respectively is closely similar. Both 1076 and C belong to the $\mathfrak{N}$ type, but are more concise, while at the same time they occasionally add points of their own. In ii. 3, for instance, the elaborate forms of address in $\mathbf{N}$ disappear in 1076, just as in vi. II they are omitted in C (cf. note on 1. I5). On the other hand, the insertion of каi àm ${ }^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \nu \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha ~ \tau a ̀ ~ v i \pi \alpha ́ \rho \chi о \nu \tau \alpha ~ a v ̀ \tau о \hat{v}$ in 1076 32-5 (ii. 8) has parallels in C, e.g. in vi. I5 the addition of à $\pi \grave{o}$ тô $\pi \nu \in u ́ \mu a \tau o s ~ \tau o \hat{v}$ $\mathfrak{a} \kappa a \theta$ áprov and $\phi \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ aùvŋ́v. These like characteristics strongly suggest a common origin ; and corroborative evidence for this theory is supplied by the Old Latin version. A peculiarity of that version is that while generally following $\mathbb{N}$ it occasionally reflects C . Thus in vi. 15 hoc dacmonium corresponds to $\dot{a} \pi \grave{o}$ rồ
 significant that just in the same way in ii. 8 the Old Latin alone of the versions
 perdidit substantiam suam.

The fragment consists of the lower part of a vellum leaf, on which the text was written in two columns in carefully formed, large round uncials, which may date from the sixth century. Hands of a similar type on papyrus are shown e.g. in Amh. II, Plate 24. Onc side of the leaf has shrivelled, with the consequence that the letters are here considerably reduced from their original size. The ink is of the brown colour commonly found in the Byzantine period. Rulings were made in the usual way with a hard point, which has left a dark mark upon the surface. Punctuation was effected by dots in the medial position, accompanied by a short blank space; in 1.30 the dot was omitted or has disappeared. A new section is indicated by a marginal sign at l. II. Small curved marks resembling circumflex accents do duty for rough breathings (1l. 5, 22) as well as diaereses (1l. 34, $3^{6}$ ).

Verso.

Col. i.
$\lambda \alpha$ ii. $\quad \epsilon \iota \pi \alpha \quad 10 \sigma \theta \alpha \ell \sigma \epsilon>-$


Col. i.

```
    \kappa\alpha\iota \eta\rho\alpha \alphav\tau\vec{o}
20 \epsilonK T\etaS \pi}\pi\lambda
    T\inlas \epsilonls
    \epsilonि\nu T\omega\nu ol
    к\eta\muа\tau\omega\nu
    \mu\epsilon\chip\iota \deltav\epsiloni
25 Tov \eta\lambda\iotaov
\kappa\alpha\iota 0\alpha\pi\tau\omega
    \alphav\tauov[.] K\alpha\iota
```

$\zeta$ каı єторєv
$\theta \eta T \omega \beta \iota \alpha s$
$\kappa \alpha \iota ~ \alpha \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon$
$\psi$ as $\epsilon!\pi \in \nu$
$15 \mu 0$. 1800
fis $\tau \omega \nu$
a $\pi$ o $\tau 0 v \in$
Qvous $\eta \mu \bar{\omega}$

Recto.
Col. ii.

єкเข $\delta ข \nu \in \nu$
ii. 8
$\sigma \in \nu \quad \alpha \pi o \theta a$ $30 \nu \in \iota \nu \quad \kappa \alpha \iota$
$\alpha \pi \epsilon \delta \rho a$.
$\kappa \alpha \iota \alpha \pi \omega \lambda \epsilon$
$\sigma \epsilon \nu \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$
$\tau \alpha \hat{v} \pi \alpha \rho \chi o[\nu$
$35 \tau \alpha$ autov
кац î̀ou

For the purpose of comparison it will be convenient to give the new text with the corresponding portions of the two extant Greek versions in parallel columns :-
1076.
ii. $2 \pi 0 \lambda] \lambda \alpha$, є ${ }^{i} \pi \alpha$ T $\omega \beta$ í $\alpha$ $\tau \hat{\varphi} \nu i \hat{\omega} \mu o v B \alpha \dot{\alpha} i \zeta \epsilon \kappa \alpha i \grave{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \gamma \epsilon$ òv $\frac{\epsilon a ̀ \nu}{\nu} \epsilon \dot{v} p \eta s \quad \grave{\epsilon} \kappa \quad \tau \omega \bar{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda$ $\phi \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \tau \omega \chi \hat{\omega} \nu$
ii. $3 \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \in] \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \quad \sigma \epsilon$. каi $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \pi о \rho \epsilon \dot{v} \theta \eta$ T T $\beta$ ßias каì גं $\nu \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon ́ \psi a s ~ \epsilon i ̂ \pi \epsilon ́ v ~ \mu o l ~ ' I ~ I o o v ̀ ~$

## B.

$\pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha ́, \kappa \alpha i ̀ \epsilon i \pi \alpha \tau \hat{\varphi} v i \varphi ̣$ $\mu$ оv Bádıбov каi ${ }^{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \gamma \epsilon$ òv $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\alpha} \nu \quad \epsilon \dot{p} \rho \eta \mathrm{~s} \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \epsilon \quad \nu \delta \epsilon \hat{\eta}$
$\pi \lambda \epsilon i ́ o \nu \alpha$, каì єīm $\alpha \hat{\varphi} T \omega$ $\beta \in i ́ a ̨$ т $\hat{\varphi}$ vị̣̂ $\mu$ оv Пaidiov,
 $\pi \tau \omega \chi \grave{o} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$


 $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$
ii．$+\kappa \alpha i$ र̂p $\alpha$ $\alpha u ̛ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ ' ُ K ~$ $\tau \bar{\eta} s \pi \lambda \alpha \tau \epsilon i \alpha a s$ єis $\grave{\epsilon} \nu \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 ŋ̈入ıov каì $\theta \alpha ́ \pi т \tau \omega$ aúтóv．каì
 $\theta \alpha \nu \epsilon i ̄ \nu \alpha a \grave{\imath} \alpha \pi \epsilon ́ \delta \rho \alpha$ каì $\alpha \pi \dot{\omega}-$
$\lambda \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \nu \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{v} \pi \alpha \dot{\rho} \rho \chi \circ[\nu] \tau \alpha$ av่тô̂，кaì ỉoò

$$
\text { ii. } 8 \text { '́Kıv } \kappa v ́ v \epsilon v \sigma \epsilon \nu \quad \alpha \quad \alpha \pi 0-
$$

ảvєı入ó $\mu \eta \nu$ aúтòv єi＇s т८
 каi

 $\pi \alpha \iota \delta i o \nu . ~ к а і ~ \dot{\alpha} \pi о к р \iota \theta є i s$ єîmєข Пáтєр，i̛oov̀ єîs є́к той €＇$\theta \nu$ vous $\grave{\eta} \mu \bar{\omega} \nu$

каì ảvalpov̂رal aútòv є́k $\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \lambda a \tau \epsilon i \alpha s$ каi єis $̀ \nu \tau \bar{\omega} \nu$
 тòv ${ }^{\eta} \lambda \iota o \nu$ סv́єıv каi $\theta \alpha ́ \psi \omega$ аủтóv．
 $\gamma \grave{\alpha} p) \epsilon \in \tau \epsilon \zeta \eta \tau \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \nu \tau 0 \hat{\chi}$ фоvєU－ Ө̄̄val $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \tau о 仑 ̂ ~ \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu \alpha т о s ~$ тои́тои каi $\alpha \pi \epsilon ́ \delta \rho \alpha$ ，каi $\pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ i \delta o u ̀ ~$

The old Latin runs as follows ：－
（2）complura，et dixi Thobiae filio meo Vade et adduc quemcunque pauperem inveneris ex fratribus nostris
（3）venias．et abiit Thobias quacrere aliquem paupcrem captivum ex fratribus nostris，at reversus dixit mihi Pater；at ego dixi Quid est fili？et ait mihi Ecce unus ex fratribus nostris
（4）et sustuli illum de platea in domum apud me，donec sol occideret，ut illum sepelirem．et
（8）（quomodo non timet hic homo？iam emim）inquisitus est huius rei causa ut occideretur， et fugit et perdidit substantiam suam et iterum ．．．The words et perdidit substantiam suam occur in Cod．Par．Reg． $3^{6} 54$ ，but are omitted in the St．Germain MS．and by Lucifer of Calaris who quotes the passage．

7－9．$\epsilon \kappa \ldots \pi \tau \omega \chi \omega \nu$ ：Dr．Charles informs me that the Aethiopic（Dillmann，Vet．Test． Aeth．v）shows the same construction．

II．For the marginal sign marking a new paragraph or section cf．e．g．851．i， 1011． 233.


 noticed that in the present verse also B retains the vocative Пáref，which 1078 discards．

26．Dr．Charles points out that this resolution of an infinitive into a finite verb（cf． $\mathfrak{N}$ $\theta a ́ \psi \omega$ ）is a common Hebraism and may be taken as a sign of translation from a Hebrew or Aramaic original．Nöldeke，in Monatsb．d．K．Akad．d．Wissensch．z．Berlin，1879，pp． 45 sqq．， maintained that the original language was Greek，but there are not a few arguments on the other side ；see the evidence adduced by Marshall in Hastings，Dict．of the Bible，iv．p． 788.

## 1077. Amulet: St. Matthew's Gospel iv.

$6 \times 1 \mathrm{I} \cdot \mathrm{Icm}$. Sixth century. Plate I.
This curious relic contains verses 23-4 (both, but especially the latter, reduced by omissions) of the fourth chapter of St. Matthew, written out most probably as an amulet. Verse 23 'And Jesus went about all Galilee', \&c., is preceded by the title 'The gospel of healing according to Matthew'; cf. Berliner Klassikertexte, VI. vii. I. I7-20, where this same twenty-third verse is incorporated in an amulet containing the opening words of the four gospels besides other biblical citations, and 1151. In the present case the words are inscribed on an oblong piece of thin vellum in five columns, and are so arranged as to assume the form of a series of small crosses, which in the first and last column are emphasized by a surrounding border. Each column contains three such crosses except the central one, where in the place of the second cross a human bust has been roughly drawn. A further attempt at ornament has been made by cutting out small rectangles between the columns and by notching the edges in such a way that the spaces on which the crosses stand are given on octagonal shape. The disposition is not quite symmetrical, for the first cross has a line more and the last a line less than the others. The date may be as late as the sixth century.

| Col. i. <br> ia <br> $\mu \alpha$ |  | Col. ii. <br> $\delta \alpha$ <br> $\sigma \kappa \bar{\omega}$ |  | Col. iii. <br> $\omega \nu$ <br> $\pi \alpha$ |  | Col. iv. <br> $\lambda \alpha$ <br> $\kappa \iota \bar{\alpha}$ |  | Col. v. <br> $\pi \rho о \sigma$ <br> $\eta \nu \in \nu$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| тıкоу $\in 1$ |  | $\kappa \alpha \iota \quad \kappa \eta$ |  | $\sigma \alpha \nu \nu 0 \sigma \bar{u}$ |  | $\epsilon \nu \quad \tau \omega \lambda \alpha$ |  | к $\alpha \nu$ av |
| $\alpha \gamma \gamma \in \lambda \iota \ddot{\square}$ | 20 | $\rho v \sigma$ | 35 | $\kappa \alpha$ | 45 | $\omega$ к | 60 | $\tau \omega$ |
| $5 \leqslant \alpha$ |  | $\sigma \bar{\omega}$ |  | $\pi \alpha$ |  | $\alpha$ |  | rous |
| $\tau \alpha$ |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |
| Mat |  | то |  |  |  | $\pi \eta \lambda$ |  | $\kappa \alpha$ |
| $\theta a \iota$ |  | $\epsilon \nu$ |  |  |  | $\theta \in \nu$ |  | к䒑s |
| ov $k \pi \epsilon \rho \iota$ |  | $\alpha \gamma \gamma \in \lambda \subset$ |  |  |  | $\eta$ aкоך |  |  |
| $10 \quad \eta \gamma \epsilon \nu$ | 25 | ov |  |  | 50 | $\alpha v$ | 65 | $\kappa \alpha \iota$ |
| ${ }_{0}$ Is |  | $\tau \eta s$ |  |  |  | Tov |  | $\epsilon \theta \epsilon$ |
|  |  | - |  |  |  | - |  |  |


| $0 \lambda \bar{\eta}$ |  | $\beta \alpha$ | $\sigma \alpha \nu$ | eis | $\rho \alpha$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\tau \bar{\eta}$ |  | $\sigma \epsilon \iota$ | $\nu 0$ | o $\lambda \bar{\eta}$ | $\pi \in \nu$ |
| $\Gamma a \lambda \iota \lambda \epsilon$ |  | $\lambda$ ¢las k | $\sigma 0 \nu \leqslant \pi \alpha$ | $\tau \eta \nu \quad \Sigma \sim p \rho$ | $\sigma \in \nu$ autous |
| ${ }^{15} \alpha \nu$ | 30 | $\theta \in \rho \alpha$ | 40 Oav | $55 \quad \alpha \nu$ | 70 ○ $\overline{I_{S}}$ |
| $\delta \iota$ |  | $\pi \in \cup$ | $\mu \alpha$ | к $\alpha$, | - |

```
    ir. ○ I(\eta\sigmaou)s: so NCD, after \Gammaa\lambdaı\lambdaata\nu EKM, &c., T(extus)-R(eceptus) ; om. B,
W(estcott)-H(ort).
```



```
N*BC, W-H.
    16-18. \epsilon\nu \tauaus \sigmavva\gamma\omegayats av\tau\omega\nu is omitted after \delta\iota\deltaa\sigma\kappa\omega\nu.
    38-40. The ditlography of \nuo\sigmaov k(at) \pia\sigmaa\nu was no doubt due to the recurrence of
\piа\sigmaa\nu.
    46-8. a\pi\eta\lambda0\epsilon\nu: so BDEKM, Sc., T-R, W-H ; \epsilon\xi\eta\lambda0\epsilon\nu NC.
    53. o\lambda\eta\nu: па\sigmaа\nu N.
    61. tovs: \piav\tauas tovs MISS.
```




```
    70.o I(\eta\sigmaov)s: om. MSS.
```

1078. Epistle to tife Hebrews ix.

$$
14.2 \times 8.4 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Fourth century } .
$$

Part of a leaf from a papyrus book, containing a few verses from the ninth chapter of the Epistle to the Hebrews. Only five lines are missing between the end of one page and the beginning of the next, whence the height of the inscribed surface can be estimated at about 19 cm ., and it is clear that the leaf was nearly square in shape. The hand is of the same type as $\mathbf{8 5 0}$ (Part VI, Plate I: fourth century ?) ; the letters in 1078 are larger and coarser, but in formation so closely resemble those of 850 that it is difficult to avoid the conclusion that the two texts are the work of the same scribe. A mark of elision occurs in 1.2 and the same sign is used after a final $\xi$; there is also one instance of a rough breathing (l. 15). But the chief feature of interest is the system of punctuation by means of double dots, which had already been found in 657, another carly papyrus of this Epistle, and was believed by Blass to confirm his vicw of the metrical structure of the work; cf. Lit. Zentralbl., 1904, 928. In the two places where the colon is preserved it stands at the end of a verse, but there is some reason to think that it was not confined to that position; cf. notes on 11.19 and 21 . A single medial stop is used in 1.20. So far as can be gathered from these slight
remains, the text had less than 857 in common with the Claromontanus (D). A notable reading is found in 1. 7, where a variant known from two cursives has apparently been interlineated.

Kecto.

```
    \delta!\alpha \delta\epsilon [\tauov] ![\delta!![0]! [\alpha\iota\mu\alpha\tauos \epsilon\iota\sigma\eta\lambda0\epsilon\nu
    \epsilon\phi' \alpha\pi\alpha\xi' \epsilonls \tau\alpha \alpha\gammal[\alpha \alpha\iota\omega\nul\alpha\nu \lambdau\tau\rho\omega\sigmal\nu
    \epsilonv\rho\alpha\mu\epsilon\nuOS: \epsilon\iota \gamma[\alpha\rho то \alpha\iota\mu\alpha т\rho\alpha\gamma\omega\nu к\alpha\iota
    \tau\alphav\rho\omega\nu к\alpha\iota \sigma\pi[0\deltaos \delta\alpha\mu\alpha\lambda\epsilon\omegas
5 \rho\alpha\nu\tau\iota}Ov\sigma\alpha \tauous [к\epsilonко\iota\nu\omega\mu\epsilon\nuоvs
    \alpha\gamma\iota\alpha\\epsilonl \pi\rhoos \tau\eta[\nu \tau\etaS \sigma\alpha\rhoкоs к\alpha0\alpha\rhoо\tau\eta\tau\alpha
        \lambda\lambda
    [\pi]o\sigma\omega [\mu\alpha]\lambda[\lambda]ov \tau[0 \alphal\mu\alpha \tauov \overline{Xv}}\mathrm{ os }\delta\iota
    i4
    \pi\nu[OS \alpha\iota\omega\nu]\iotaov [\epsilon\alphav\tauo\nu \pi\rhoо\sigma\eta\nu\epsilon\gamma
    [\kappa\in\nu \alpha\mu\omega\muо\nu \tau]\omega \overline{0}|}<<<\alpha0a\rho\iota\epsilon\iota \tau\eta
10 [\sigmav]\nu[\epsilon\iota\delta\eta\sigma\iota\nu \eta] }\mu\omega[
Verso.
\[
\text { o九 } \kappa \epsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \mu] \epsilon\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\nu 0 \iota & \tau
\end{array}\right] \eta S \quad \alpha \iota[\omega
\]
ix. 15
[vıov кл \(\eta \rho о \nu о \mu \iota \alpha] s:\) отоv \(\gamma \alpha \rho \delta \iota \alpha \theta \eta \kappa \eta\) [ 16
\([\theta \alpha \nu \alpha \tau о \nu \quad \alpha \nu \alpha \gamma к \eta \quad \phi] \in \rho \in \sigma \theta \alpha \iota\) тov \(\delta \iota \alpha \theta \epsilon[\) [ \(\mu \in \nu\) оv \(\delta \iota \alpha \theta \eta \kappa \eta \quad \gamma \alpha \rho]\) \(\epsilon \pi \iota \quad \nu \in \kappa \rho \circ \iota s \beta \in \beta \alpha \iota \alpha\) [17
\({ }_{15}[\epsilon \pi \iota \mu \eta\) тотє \(\iota \sigma \chi \nu \iota \quad o \tau \epsilon]\) § \(\eta\) oे \(\delta \iota \alpha \theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu 0 s\) [
```



```18
\([\kappa \epsilon \kappa \alpha \iota \nu \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \iota \quad \lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \theta] \epsilon \iota \sigma \eta s \quad \gamma \alpha \rho \pi \alpha \sigma \eta s[\quad 19\)
```



```
\([\pi \alpha \nu \tau \iota \tau \omega \lambda \alpha \omega: \lambda \alpha \beta \omega] \nu \tau\left[\begin{array}{lll}0 & \alpha \iota \alpha & \tau] \omega \nu[ \end{array}\right.\)
\(20[\mu \circ \sigma \chi \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \iota \tau \omega \nu \tau \rho \alpha \gamma \omega] \nu . \mu[\epsilon \tau \alpha \quad v \delta \alpha] \operatorname{Tos}[\)
\([\kappa \alpha \iota\) єрьоv коккıขои ка८ v] \(\sigma \sigma[\omega \pi о v:] ~ \alpha v[\tau 0\)
2. \(a \gamma[[a\) : Blass adds \(\tau \omega \nu a \gamma \epsilon \nu\), with P .
3. єvранедоs: so BNA ; єvроиєиos D.
```


7. In the insertion above the line is probably to be recognized the variant found in the cursives 14 and $17 \pi \pi \lambda \lambda \omega$. It may be due to the same hand as the body of the text; whether the $\sigma$ of $\pi$ oow was cancelled or not cannot be certainly determined. But the decipherment is doubtful, the first supposed $\lambda$ being of a curiously rounded shape.
8. $a t \omega v$ ] $o v\left(~ B \aleph^{*} A D c E\right)$ suits the space better than $\left.a \gamma\right]$ ]ov ( $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{D}^{*}$ ).
10. It is of course impossible to say whether the papyrus had $\eta \mu \omega \nu\left(\mathrm{AD}^{*}\right)$ or $v \mu \omega \nu$ ( $N D C E$ ).
15. тore: or $\pi$ ote, with $\mathrm{N}^{c} \mathrm{ADcE}$.
16. Evidently $\delta a \theta \eta \eta \kappa \eta$ did not follow $\pi \rho \sigma \pi \eta$ as in $\mathrm{D}^{*} \mathrm{E}^{*}$.
18. tov (so $\mathfrak{N c}^{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{CD}$ : om. $\mathfrak{N}^{*} \mathrm{DcE}$ ) is required before vouov in order to fill up the lacuna, unless $\tau \eta s$ be inserted before evto $\lambda \eta s$, as in $D^{*}$, with which MS. the papyrus shows no tendency to agree.
19. The colon after $\lambda a \omega$ is conjectural, but without it the supplement is rather shorter than would be expected from a comparison of the preceding and following lines; cf. 1. 21, note.
20. $\hbar^{c} \mathrm{KKL}$ omit $\kappa a \iota \tau \omega \nu \tau \rho a \gamma \omega \nu$, and so Blass.

2 I . A colon after $v]_{\tau \sigma[\omega \pi o v}$ is again desirable to fill the space. I had already inserted it both here and in l. 19 before noticing that Blass makes a metrical division at $\dot{v} \sigma \sigma \dot{\varphi} \pi o v$ and $\lambda a \hat{\varphi}$. But the colon does not always occur at his divisions; it is absent after $\tau a v \rho \omega \nu$ in 1.4 and ayıajet in l. 6 .

## 1079. Revelation i.

$15.1 \times 9.8 \mathrm{~cm}$. Late third or fourth century.
The verso of the roll containing the book of Exodus (1075) was utilized for a copy of the Apocalypse, the writing travelling in the contrary direction, and the end of the one work thus coinciding with the commencement of the other. The script is a clear, medium-sized cursive, upright and heavily formed, which should perhaps be attributed to the fourth rather than to the third century, though the latter is not at all impossible. Both a high and medial point were used for purposes of punctuation. 'I $\eta \sigma o v ิ \mathbf{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau o{ }^{\prime}$ is written $\overline{\bar{\eta}} \overline{\chi \rho}$, a form of abbreviation which is unusual in literary texts but is found in inscriptions; cf. Traube, Nomina Sacra, pp. 115-16. $\theta$ ©ós is contracted in the ordinary way, but not $\pi a \pi \eta ́ \rho ~(1 . ~ 12) . ~ . ~$

Textually the papyrus shows little consistency; it has, however, two agreements with the Codex Alexandrinus against the other two chief uncials (Il. II and 14), while supporting none of the peculiar variants of 13 or $\mathfrak{N}$.

```
[I\omega\alpha\nu\nu\etaSs \tau\alpha][{\s \epsilon\pi\tau\alpha] \epsilon\kappa[\kappa\lambda\eta\sigma]<\alpha\iotas

```

    [\nu\eta а\piо о }\omega\nu]\kappa\alpha\iota о \eta\nu к\alpha\iota о \epsilonрХо\mu
    [\nuOS к\alphal \alpha\piO \tau] }\omega\nu\quad\epsilon\pi\taux \pi\nu'\epsilonv\mu
    5[\tau\omega\nu}\alpha<]\epsilon\nu[\omega]\pi\iotaov Tov 0\rhoovov \alpha
    [\tau]ov. к\alphal а\piо \overline{I\eta}\overline{X\rho}\mathrm{ о }\mu\alpha\rho\tauus о \pil
    \sigma\tauоs о \pi\rho\omegaтотокоs \tau\omega\nu \nuєк\rho\overline{\omega}
    \kappa\alpha\iota о }\alpha\rho\chi\omega\nu \tau\omega\nu \beta\alpha\sigma\iota\lambda\epsilon\omega\nu \tau\etaS \gamma\etaS
    \tau\omega \alpha\gamma\alpha\pi\omega\nu\tau\iota \eta\mu\alphas к\alpha\iota \lambdav\sigma\alpha\nu\tau\iota \eta
    10 [ }\mu]\alphas\in\kappa \tau\omega\nu \alpha\mu\alpha\rho\tau\iota\omega\nu \eta\mu\omega\nu \epsilon
[\tau]\omega \alpha\iota\muа\tau\iota \alphav\tauоv к\alpha\iota є\piо\iota\eta\sigma\epsilon\nu \eta\mu[i
\omega

```

```

    [\alphav\tauо]v. \alphav\tau\omega то кратоs к\alpha\iota \eta \deltaо\xi\alpha
    [\epsilon\iotas to]us a\iota\omegavas \alpha\mu\eta\nu ï\deltaov
    7
\mp@subsup{}{}{1}5[\epsilon\rhoX\epsilon]\tau\alpha\iota }\mu\epsilon\tau\alpha \tau\omega\nu\nu \nu\epsilon\phi\in\lambda\omega
[\kappa\alpha\iota o\psi\epsilon]\tau\alpha\iota \alphau\tauov \pi\alphas o\phi0\alpha\lambda
[\muOS к\alphal o]l\tauו\nu\epsilonS avto\nu \epsilon\xi\epsilon

```
5. a (BC) suits the space better than \(\tau \omega \nu(N A)\).

ı. єк: so ※AC, W--H ; aло B, T-R.
\(\eta \mu \omega \nu\) : so BNC ; om. A.
II. \(\eta \mu[l] \nu\) is assured by the remains of the stroke above the line representing the final \(\nu\). \(\eta \mu \nu\) is the reading of \(\mathrm{A}, \eta \mu \sigma s\) that of \(\wedge \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{W}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{T}-\mathrm{R} ; \eta \mu \omega \nu \mathrm{C}\). \(\pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \iota\) for \(\epsilon \pi\). B.

\(\tau \omega \theta(\epsilon) \omega\) : this is the reading of the MSS. The scribe first wrote \(\operatorname{\tau ov} \theta(\epsilon \circ) v\), but altered it before proceeding with the line; he did not delete the ov of rov.
13. \(\eta\) бо \(а\) а кая то кратоя MSS.

16. \(\circ \psi \epsilon]\) rat: so BAC; oұovtau \((\mathbb{N})\) is unsuited to the size of the lacuna.
17. avtov: om. \(\mathbf{N}^{*}\).
1080. Revelation iii, iv.
\(9.5 \times 7.8 \mathrm{~cm}\). Fourth century. Plate I (recto).
A practically complete leaf from a vellum codex of the Apocalypse. The two pages are numbered in the outside upper corner 33 and 34 respectively, from which it is clear that the MS. began with the book of Revelation unpreceded by another work. Indecd, the dimensions of the leaf would not be well
suited to lengthy matter, unless the script was very small, which is here not the case. Books of these miniature proportions, of which other examples are 842, 1010, 1006, and P. Rylands 28, seem to have been designed for carrying in the pocket. The hand is a good-sized upright uncial, fairly regular and having a certain amount of ornamental finish; it may date from the fourth century. Marks of elision and a sign of similar form to separate two mutes were added by the original scribe ; a rough breathing (if it be a rough breathing) in 1.12 is due to the corrector who has introduced several textual alterations. No stops occur, and instead a short blank space marks a pause (ll. 2, 6, I4, 19). Some of the usual contractions appear, \(\overline{\text { ovvos }}\) among them, though the word is written at length in l. 19. The text is usually in agreement with \(B\), but seems to have been rather inaccurately copied; a substitution of a reading of \(\mathfrak{N}\) for that of BA is noticeable in l. I.

Recto. Plate I.
\(\lambda \gamma\)
\(\omega \quad \xi \eta \lambda \omega[\llbracket]\) ouv ка८ \(\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha\)
\(\nu 0 \eta \sigma o \nu\) ï
\(\tau \eta \nu\) Ovpav кає \(T \in \iota \sigma \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \sigma o\)
\(\mu \alpha \iota \pi \rho o s\) avtov каl \(\delta \in \iota\)
\(5 \pi \nu \eta \sigma \omega \quad \mu \epsilon \tau\) аvтоv кац \(\alpha v\) tos \(\mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \mu \circ \nu \quad\) о \(\nu \in \iota K \omega \nu\) \(\delta \omega \sigma \omega\) avт \(\omega\) к \(\alpha \theta \epsilon \iota \sigma \alpha \iota\)
    \(\mu \epsilon \tau \in \mu \circ v \in[\nu] \tau \omega \quad \theta \rho o \nu[\omega \mu] 0 \nu\)
    ws \(\kappa \alpha \gamma \omega \llbracket \nu\rceil] \epsilon \nu \epsilon \iota \kappa \eta \llbracket \kappa \rrbracket\left[{ }^{[\sigma}{ }^{[\sigma}\right.\)
Iо \(\kappa \alpha \iota \llbracket \kappa]] \epsilon \kappa \alpha \theta \iota \sigma \alpha \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \quad \tau[0]\) ?
    \(\overline{\pi \rho s} \mu 0 v \in \nu \tau \omega\) \(\theta \rho о \nu \omega\)
    avtov \({ }^{\text {b }} \epsilon \mathrm{X}^{\omega \nu}\) ous aкоv 22
    \([\sigma \alpha \tau] \omega\) \(\tau \iota\) то \(\overline{\pi \nu \alpha} \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota\)
    \(\tau \alpha \iota s\) єкк入ךбlals \(\quad \mu \in \tau \alpha \quad\) iv. I

I5 ]кроуш є[a]v \(\tau[1 s]\) акои \([\sigma \eta \tau \pi s\)

1. \(\zeta \eta \lambda \in v \in(B A C, W-H)\) was the original reading, for which \(\zeta_{\eta} \eta \omega \sigma \sigma \nu\) (N, T-R) was subsequently substituted.
3. The omission of the words кроvш . . кat, which have been supplied at the bottom of the column by the original scribe, was due to the recurrence of Oupav kat; cf. note on
1. 16. A sign of the usual form marks the place where the omission occurred; the symbol at 1.15 above кpove is of a different shape.
8. \(\mu o v\), which was originally omitted, was inserted by another hand ; the addition is in accordance with the MISS.

I2. The supposed rough breathing over \(o\) is doubtful; it might be regarded as a kind of paragraph-mark to divide 0 є \(\chi \omega \nu\) from the previous sentence.
16. That кat, which follows \(\theta v p a v\) in \(\mathbf{B N}\) (om. A), stood here is indicated by the character of the copyist's error ; the eye would more readily pass from the first \(\theta u p a \nu\) каи to єєбє \(\lambda \epsilon v \sigma o \mu a \iota\) if the second \(\theta v \rho a y\) was also followed in the archetype by кau. Line 16 must then have been carried some way beyond 1. 15 , unless the insertion was continued in a third line which has been broken away.
18. \(a\) in \(a v \epsilon \omega \gamma \mu \epsilon \nu \eta\) is by the second hand, and the preceding \(a\) and following \(\nu\) have also been retouched; at the end of the line too there has been some alteration. It looks as if the original hand wrote \(\theta v p a \nu\) avecupevpv. Between the tops of the two alphas there is a horizontal stroke (by the corrector?) which seems meaningless. ave \({ }^{2} \gamma . \mathrm{B}, \eta \nu \epsilon \omega \gamma\). NA.
19. The last three letters of this line are again due to the corrector, and no trace remains of the previous reading. Possibly ioov \(\phi \omega \nu \eta\) had been written, as in \(\mathfrak{\aleph}\).
22. avaßa: so \(\mathbf{B N}\); avaßŋөl A.
23. a: so \(\mathbf{B N}\); oбa A.
25. Some vestiges in the margin in front of this line are perhaps to be interpreted as \(\kappa] a t\), which is added before \(\epsilon v \theta \epsilon \omega s\) in \(\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{R})\).
26. Before \(\pi \nu(\epsilon v \mu a \tau)\) cthere is room for two or three letters. Perhaps \(\tau \omega\) preceded, but there is no other authority for this.
28. 1. кuӨך \(\mu\) еәоs.
30. \(\epsilon \pi \iota\) tov \(\theta \rho 0[\) yov, which was subsequently inserted above the line, has no support.

\section*{1081. Gnostic Gospel.}
\(20.3 \times 10.7 \mathrm{~cm}\). Early fouth century.
This interesting fragment of heretical literature consists of a leaf from a papyrus book, copied probably in the earlier decades of the fourth century. The bold, slightly inclined script is in its general aspect comparable with that of 406 (Part III, Plate I, third cent. (?)) and 847 (Part VI, Plate 6, fourth cent.), and must be referred to approximately the same period. No stops or other lectional signs occur. The use of the contraction \(\bar{\pi} \rho\) for \(\pi a \tau \eta \rho\) side by side with the commoner \(\overline{\pi \eta \rho}\) is noticeable. \(\theta \epsilon\) ós and кúplos appear in the ordinary compendia, but \(\sigma \omega \tau \eta \dot{\prime}\) and perhaps \({ }_{\alpha}^{\circ} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi o s(1.20)\) are unabbreviated.

The lower part of the leaf is broken away, and it is uncertain in what order the two pages should be placed. The recto opens with a question addressed by the disciples to the Saviour how they were to obtain faith, and the answer is made that to those who pass from darkness into the light, the way to faith
is revealed by the operation of their own consciousness．This is followed by an unfortunately mutilated passage in which a distinction is developed between the Father（ \(\pi a r \eta \eta_{\rho}\) ）and the Fore－father（ \(\left.\pi \rho о \pi a ́ \tau \omega_{\rho}\right)\) ．In the verso，which is again occupied by an address of the Master，it is laid down that the offspring of corruption is essentially perishable，while the offspring of incorruption is essentially eternal，and that failure to recognize this truth has been the cause of error．Such a warning might naturally call forth the question of the disciples， how they in contradistinction to those who had been deceived were to find the true belief；while the abstruse theology with which the recto concludes might well have been continued at considerable length，whereas at the commencement of the verso a different subject is under discussion．For these reasons the verso has been selected as the prior of the pages，though the arrangement is admittedly hypothetical．

Regarding the circle of ideas represented in this document there is little doubt．A claim to superior \(\gamma \nu \omega \bar{\sigma} \iota s\) underlies 11．20－30；and the words \(\sigma] \omega \tau \eta \rho\) ， \(\dot{a} \phi \theta a \rho \sigma i ́ a, ~ a ̀ \pi o ́ \rho \rho o ı a, ~ \check{v} \nu \nu o ı a, ~ \dot{a} \gamma \epsilon ́ v \nu \eta \tau o s ~ a r e ~ a l l ~ c h a r a c t e r i s t i c ~ o f ~ t h e ~ G n o s t i c ~ s c h o o l . ~\) But the most unambiguous indication is provided by the distinction in 11.36 sqq． between \(\pi a \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \rho\) and \(\pi \rho о \pi \dot{\pi} \tau \omega \rho\) ，which is embodied in the well－known Valentinian and Marcosian theory of Aeons．The Протát \(\omega \rho\) was the first of the Aeons，the primary principle from which all other existence proceeded；cf．e．g．Iren．
〈Пооархウ̀v каi〉 Поота́тора каі Buө̀̀v кало仑̂бь．The first emanation from the

 \(\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \theta \eta\) ，and i．II．I．In chap．I9 Irenaeus specifies certain passages of Scripture by which this particular doctrine was supported．He also tells us（i．II．5）that
 \(\gamma \nu \omega ิ \mu a \iota \pi a \rho\) a \(a \mathfrak{\tau} \tau \circ i ̂\) ；and its precise shape in the present document can hardly be gleaned from so mutilated a passage．It appears equally idle to speculate upon the identity of the work of which only this tattered leaf has survived．Dr．Carl Schmidt，who has endeavoured without success to trace the fragment in the Coptic literature of the same class，suggests that it might belong to the imper－ fectly preserved＇Gospel of Mary＇，observing that the expressions \(\sigma \omega \tau \hat{\prime} \rho\) and \(o\) \({ }^{\prime} \chi \chi \omega \nu \hat{\omega} \tau \alpha \kappa \tau \lambda\) ．（cf． \(11.6-8,27,35\) ）are also found in that work．But the same might be said for example of the Pistis Sophia，and the combination in question can scarcely have been rare in the Gnostic writings．That the work from which 1081 is derived was in the form of a Gospel is，however，sufficiently evident ；and probably its revelations were placed，as often in the later apocryphal Gospels，in the period after the resurrection．Possibly，as Prof．Swete has suggested，it
comes from the Valentinian 'Gospel of Truth', which is mentioned by Iren. C. Haer. iii. II. 9 Hi wero qui sunt a Valentino . . in tantum processerunt audaciac, uti quod ab his non olim conscriptum est, Veritatis evangelium titulent, in nihilo conzeniens apostolorum cvangeliis. But the fragment is best left anonymous, as a remnant of that large body of Gnostic literature which Irenaeus describes as
 further swelled in the third century.

Verso.
\(\tau \alpha\) уєyovorı [. . . . . . .
то \(\epsilon \mu \phi \alpha \nu \epsilon s\) [. . . . \(\pi 0 \lambda(?)\)
\(\lambda \eta \quad \lambda v \theta \epsilon \iota \sigma \alpha \nu\left[. . . \phi \theta_{0}(?)\right.\)
\(\rho \alpha \tau \epsilon \pi o \lambda \lambda \eta\) [.
\(5 \tau \omega \nu \alpha \phi \theta \alpha \rho[\tau] \omega[\nu \tau v \gamma \chi \alpha\) (?)
\(\nu \epsilon \iota \quad \circ \quad \in X \omega \nu \quad \omega[\tau] \alpha \quad \tau[\alpha\) ov \(\alpha\) \(\pi \epsilon \rho \alpha \nu \quad \tau \omega \nu \quad[\alpha] \kappa o[\omega] \nu \quad \alpha[\)
коvєтш ка[l] rols ayp [ रopovaเv [є才] \(\omega \lambda \alpha \omega\) єт८ [
Iо \(\pi \rho o[s . . . \epsilon] \iota \pi \epsilon \nu \pi \alpha \nu\)
то \(\gamma \in[\iota \nu 0 \mu \epsilon] \nu 0 \nu \quad \alpha \pi 0\)
\(\tau \eta s[\phi \theta о \rho \alpha s] \alpha \pi о \gamma \epsilon \iota\)
\(\nu \in \tau[\alpha \iota \omega s \quad \alpha \pi] 0 . \phi \theta o p \alpha s\)
\(\gamma \epsilon \gamma[o \nu o s \tau o] \delta \epsilon \gamma \epsilon[l] \nu o\)
\({ }^{15} \mu \in \nu[0 \nu \alpha \pi o] \alpha \phi[\theta] \alpha \rho\)
बıas [ouk \(\alpha \pi \sigma] \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu[\epsilon \tau \alpha \iota\)
\(\alpha \lambda \lambda[\alpha \mu] \epsilon v[\epsilon \iota] \quad \alpha \phi[\theta \alpha \rho\)
\(\tau 0 \nu \omega s \alpha \pi o \quad \alpha[\phi] \theta[\alpha \rho \sigma \iota\)
\([\alpha]\) s \(\gamma \in \boldsymbol{\gamma}\) ovos [.] \(\tau[\iota \nu \in S\)
\(20[\delta \epsilon] \tau \omega \nu \alpha \nu[\theta \rho] \omega[\pi \omega \nu\)
\(\epsilon \pi \lambda \alpha \nu \eta \theta[\eta \sigma \alpha \nu . .\).
\(\mu \eta \quad \epsilon \iota \delta o \tau_{[\epsilon S}\). . . . .
\(\phi\left[\theta_{o}\right] \rho \alpha \nu \tau \alpha[. . . .\).
\(\theta \alpha \nu 0 y[\)

Recto.
```

```
25 [ol \mu\alpha0\eta\tau\alpha]\iota \overline{k\epsilon}\pi\omegas ovv[
```

```
25 [ol \mu\alpha0\eta\tau\alpha]\iota \overline{k\epsilon}\pi\omegas ovv[
    [\pi\iota\sigma\tau\iota\nu \epsilon\nu\rho]\iota\sigmaко\mu\epsilon\nu \lambda\epsilon\gamma\epsilon[\iota
    [\pi\iota\sigma\tau\iota\nu \epsilon\nu\rho]\iota\sigmaко\mu\epsilon\nu \lambda\epsilon\gamma\epsilon[\iota
    [\alphav\tauols o \sigma]\omega\tau\eta\rho \deltalє\lambda000[v
    [\alphav\tauols o \sigma]\omega\tau\eta\rho \deltalє\lambda000[v
    [\sigma\iota\nu \epsilonк \tau\omega\nu] \alphaф\alpha\nu\omega\nu к\alpha[\iota
    [\sigma\iota\nu \epsilonк \tau\omega\nu] \alphaф\alpha\nu\omega\nu к\alpha[\iota
    [\epsilonl]\! \tau! [\phi\omega]s \tau\omega\nu \phi\alpha\iota\nuo!
    [\epsilonl]\! \tau! [\phi\omega]s \tau\omega\nu \phi\alpha\iota\nuo!
30 [ }\mu\epsilon]\nu\omega\nu к\alpha\iota \alphav\tau\eta \eta \alpha\pio [
30 [ }\mu\epsilon]\nu\omega\nu к\alpha\iota \alphav\tau\eta \eta \alpha\pio [
    \rhoo\iota\alpha \tau\eta[S \epsilon]\nuvolas av\alpha [
    \rhoo\iota\alpha \tau\eta[S \epsilon]\nuvolas av\alpha [
    \delta\epsilonl\xi\epsilonl v[\mul]\nu \pi\omegas \eta \pi\iota\sigma\tau[ls
    \delta\epsilonl\xi\epsilonl v[\mul]\nu \pi\omegas \eta \pi\iota\sigma\tau[ls
].
].
] \tau\omega\nu \epsilonv\rho[\epsilon\tau]€[\alpha] \eta ф\alpha\iota\nuo\mu\epsilon
] \tau\omega\nu \epsilonv\rho[\epsilon\tau]€[\alpha] \eta ф\alpha\iota\nuo\mu\epsilon
\ a]\delta\eta \nu| \nu\eta \tauov \alpha . [. . l l]Kov \overline{\pi\rhoS}
\ a]\delta\eta \nu| \nu\eta \tauov \alpha . [. . l l]Kov \overline{\pi\rhoS}
    35 ○ €X\omega\nu \omega\tau[\alpha \alphaкov]\epsilon\iota\nu \alpha
    35 ○ €X\omega\nu \omega\tau[\alpha \alphaкov]\epsilon\iota\nu \alpha
        коv\epsilon\tau\omega [0 \tau\omega\nu o\lambda] }\omega\nu\nu\delta
        коv\epsilon\tau\omega [0 \tau\omega\nu o\lambda] }\omega\nu\nu\delta
        \sigma\pio\tau\etas o[vк \epsilon\sigma\tau][ }\overline{\pi\rho}\alpha
        \sigma\pio\tau\etas o[vк \epsilon\sigma\tau][ }\overline{\pi\rho}\alpha
        \lambda\alpha \pi\rhoo\pi\alpha[\tau\omega\rho o \gamma\alpha]\rho \overline{T\rho}[\alpha\rho
        \lambda\alpha \pi\rhoo\pi\alpha[\tau\omega\rho o \gamma\alpha]\rho \overline{T\rho}[\alpha\rho
        \chi\eta \epsilon[\sigma]][[\iota\nu
        \chi\eta \epsilon[\sigma]][[\iota\nu
        40 \tau\omega\nu [. . . . . . . \epsilon]\kappa\epsilon\iota\nuo[.
        40 \tau\omega\nu [. . . . . . . \epsilon]\kappa\epsilon\iota\nuo[.
        [. . . . . . . . \pi\rhoo]\pi\alpha\tau\omega[\rho
        [. . . . . . . . \pi\rhoo]\pi\alpha\tau\omega[\rho
        [. . . .]v \alpha\pi<̣ \gamma \gamma[\epsilon]v\epsilon\alphas . [.
        [. . . .]v \alpha\pi<̣ \gamma \gamma[\epsilon]v\epsilon\alphas . [.
        [.......]\rho\omega o\mu[..]\epsilon.. [.
        [.......]\rho\omega o\mu[..]\epsilon.. [.
        [. . . . .]\epsilon\tau\alpha\iota \alphav[. . . . .
        [. . . . .]\epsilon\tau\alpha\iota \alphav[. . . . .
    45
    45
        [...][.]\mu\alpha \alpha\nul.....
        [...][.]\mu\alpha \alpha\nul.....
        [\pi\rhoо\pi\alpha\tau]\omega\rho \overline{0s}}\overline{\pi\eta[\rho]}.[.
        [\pi\rhoо\pi\alpha\tau]\omega\rho \overline{0s}}\overline{\pi\eta[\rho]}.[.
        [. . . . . .]\pi\iota\alpha\nu\tau[.]\pi . [.
        [. . . . . .]\pi\iota\alpha\nu\tau[.]\pi . [.
        [. . . . . .]\epsilon\iota \alpha\gammaє\nu\nu\eta\tau[.
        [. . . . . .]\epsilon\iota \alpha\gammaє\nu\nu\eta\tau[.
        [..........]o }\mu\in\nu \tauov
        [..........]o }\mu\in\nu \tauov
    50 [. . . . . . . . . .]ro[
```

```
    50 [. . . . . . . . . .]ro[
```

```

6-22. 'He who hath hearing beyond his ears, let him hear: I speak also to those who watch not. Again he said to . . . Everything that is born of corruption perisheth, as having been born of corruption; but that which is born of incorruption abideth incorruptible, as having been born of incorruption. Some men have been deceived, not knowing . . .
5. rvyxa| \(\nu \in \iota\) Swete, who further proposes \(\nu\left[a \rho \kappa \eta\right.\) (dative) in 1. 3 and \(\left[\eta\right.\) a \({ }^{2} \theta a \rho \sigma \iota a\) in 1. 4.
7. \([a] \kappa \kappa[\omega] \nu\) : the space between o and \(\nu\) is hardly filled by an \(\omega\), but no other supplement suggests itself. By the 'ears that are beyond the ears' is meant the faculty which perceives the inner significance of the spoken or written word.
10. \(\pi \rho 0[s\) avrous is too much for the lacuna. Perhaps \(\pi \rho 0[\sigma \omega\) k \(\pi \iota\), which is proposed by Swete.
\(\mathbf{I}_{5}\). There was a syzygy of Christus and Aphtharsia (Incorruptela) according to certain Gnostics ; cf. Iren. C. Haer. i. 29. 1, Theodoret, Haer. i. 13.

25-39. 'The disciples [ask him,] Lord, how then can we find faith? The Saviour saith unto them, If ye pass from the things that are hidden and into the light of the things that are seen, the effluence of conception will of itself show you how faith that appeareth from(?) the . . . Father must be found. He who hath ears to hear, let him hear. The lord of all is not the Father but the Fore-father ; for the Father is the beginning of what shall be . . .
\({ }^{25}\). If \(\left.\mu a \theta_{\eta \tau a}\right]_{6}\) is right, \(\eta \rho \omega \tau \omega \nu\) avтov or some equivalent expression is to be supplied.

26. 1. єขp] \(\iota \sigma \kappa \omega \mu \epsilon \nu\).
27. The use of the term \(\sigma \omega \tau \dot{\eta} \rho\) instead of кúpos is expressly mentioned by Irenaeus, 1. I. 3, as a Valentinian characteristic.
29. \([\varepsilon \epsilon]\) ]o \([\phi \omega] s\) is very doubtful ; the first visible vestige is quite indecisive, and a rather longer supplement before it would be more suitable. There follow the bases of a vertical stroke (e.g.,\(\tau\) ) and of a round letter (e.g. \(\epsilon, o, \sigma\) ). The restoration suggested presupposes a direct opposition between aфavav and фaıvo[ \(\mu \mathrm{c}] \omega \omega \nu\); but Dr. Bartlet takes exception to this, and thinks that something like \(\tau \eta s \pi \lambda a \nu \eta s\) is required before \(\tau \omega \nu \phi a v\).
30. aтороьa: cf. the àmóppota luminis in Pistis Sophia 134 sqq.

33-4. The intention of the adscript is not very clear. The words \(\tau \omega \nu\left[a a^{\top} \delta \eta_{i} \lambda^{\top} \omega \nu\right.\) could be inserted in the text after \(\pi \iota \sigma \tau[\iota s]\); or they may be a marginal indication of the contents of the passage. It is not certain that any letters preceded \(\tau \omega \nu\), but there is a speck of ink over
 owing, perhaps, to the loss of the adjective. \(a\) is followed by a straight vertical stroke which is consistent with \(\gamma, \iota, \nu\), or \(\pi\); and the letter before ov may be \(v\) or \(\chi\) instead of \(\kappa\). ai \([\omega \nu]\) cov cannot be read. Bartlet suggests \(a \gamma_{\left[\gamma \epsilon \lambda_{i}\right] \text { kov (faith in the Father ?). }}^{\text {? }}\)

37-8. \(\pi a \pi \eta \rho\) is similarly abbreviated in e. g. a third-century fragment of Philo at Paris; cf. Traube, Nomina Sacra, pp. 56, \(9^{6}\); the more usual form \(\overline{\pi \eta \rho}\) is used below, l. 46.

\title{
II. NEW CLASSICAL TEXTS.
}

\section*{1082. Cercidas, Mcliambi.}

Height 18.2 cm . Second century. Plate II (Frs. 3, 4).
Cercidas, the philosopher and poet of Megalopolis, has hitherto been represented by about a dozen lines gleaned from a few scattered citations. This shadowy figure is at length given some substance by the remains here published, the authorship of which is established not only by two coincidences with fragments already extant, but also by the title surviving at the end of the work.

This papyrus, like 1083, comes from the second of the large literary finds of 1906 . It is unfortunately much broken, and the results of repeated efforts to fit the pieces together have been rather disappointing. The fifth column, such as it is, of Fr. i has been built up from several scraps, and probably enough other portions of that column remain among the many unplaced fragments, if their right position could be found ; but it is to be feared that some connecting links are missing. The papyri belonging to this find were scattered over a wide area, and possibly further small pieces may eventually make their appearance ; but there is no ground for hope of material additions.

The slightly inclined columns are carefully written in well-formed upright uncials which approximate to the oval type, the round letters \(\epsilon, \theta, o, \sigma\) being decidedly narrow; \(a\) has sometimes a rounded, sometimes an angular loop. I should attribute this hand to the second century, a date which also suits the cursive marginalia. These consist of both explanatory notes and variants on the text, and seem to have been for the most part added by one person, who commonly used a rather larger and clearer script for the variants than for the other notes. A third hand has perhaps to be postulated for the more straggling letters of the adscript at Fr. 2..ii. 16. As usual, the scholia include several of the common abbreviations, \(\kappa^{\prime}\) for каi, \&c. To the first annotator also fall most of the alterations in the body of the text, and to him are probably due many of the accents, breathings, and other aids to the reader which it is natural to find in so difficult a work. Some of them may have been put in by the actual copyist, but the subsequent origin of others is seen in the somewhat lighter shade of the ink. The system of accentuation resembles that in other papyri of the period, c.g. 841 and 852. Unaccented syllables are sometimes marked with a grave accent, e.g. Fr. 3. ii. \(6 \pi i \mu \epsilon \lambda \grave{\sigma} \sigma \rho к о ф а \gamma \omega ิ \nu\). Oxytones receive a grave on the penultimate, Fr. i. iii. I5 \(\pi a \pi p\) ios ; if an enclitic follows the final syllable is
accented，as Fr．I．iv． 6 סolá rıs，Fr．3．ii．8－9 оvס̊́v \(\pi о к а\) ，and the same accent may be used in the absence of an enclitic，e．g．Fr．3．ii． 2 кav⿴囗⿱一一 \(\begin{gathered}\text { ovs．A curved }\end{gathered}\) stroke is sometimes drawn under compound words，as in 841 and 852，e．g．Fr．I． ii．I8．On the other hand，in several places words are separated by comma－ like marks at the bottom of the letters，e．g．Fr．I．ii．I7 ；a similar diastole occurs in the Bacchylides papyrus xvi．102，and in later texts，for instance P．Rylands 10．For punctuation both high and medial dots are employed，the latter －commonly placed well above the line．

Of the four principal fragments which have survived，the relative position of the first three is undetermined；the fourth contains the final column of the roll． Fr．I is given priority as the most substantial piece．This comprises five columns， three of which are in good preservation．They are divided between two poems， distinguished by a large marginal coronis（iv．5）．The first is a discussion concerning the gods and divine providence．How are the facts of life to be reconciled with the view that the so－called gods are at once just and all－power－ ful？Zeus the king of Olympus is really impotent．He may hold the scales，as Homer says，but he merely registers Fate＇s decree．Why，if he is the father of all，are some of us treated in such a step－fatherly fashion？The poet professes himself unable to answer these questions．Let us leave them，he concludes，to the astrologers ；and in opposition to the fictitious gods of heaven he sets up as objects of vencration a remarkable triad of earthly divinitics，Paean，the god of healing，Giving，and Retribution，under the figure of which he commends the practical duties of succour for the needy in body or soul，and punishment for the doers of evil．Antagonism to the current polytheism was a salient feature of the Cynic philosophy．Antisthenes is reported to have said tò кaг⿳亠㐅à
 Gomperz），and his contemptuous refusal to make a gift to a priest of Cybele is also on record（Clement，Protrcpt．，p．64，Potter oủ \(\tau \rho^{\prime} \phi \omega \tau \eta ̀ \nu \quad \mu \eta \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a ~ \tau \hat{\omega} v \quad \theta \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\omega} v\) ）． Similar tendencies，in conjunction with a real religious feeling，are traceable in his disciple Diogenes（cf．e．g．Diog．Lacrt．vi．57），and Cercidas carries on the tradition．Bernays（Lucian und die Kyniker，pp． \(3^{6}\) sqq．）has drawn attention to this characteristic as a point of contact between Cynics and Christians；in extolling＇M \(\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \tau \alpha \dot{\partial} \omega \mathbf{\omega s}\)＇Cercidas shows himself in harmony with another side of Christian doctrine．

The second poem，of which Col．iv gives the commencement，is on the subject of love．It is addressed to a friend named Damonomus，and opens with a reference to a passage of Euripides（formerly anonymous；cf．note on 1.5 ），in which Cupid is represented as having two kinds of breath，one making the course of love smooth，the other stormy．The choice rests with the individual，
who is counselled to prefer the gentle breeze and, aided by temperance, to make a safe and casy voyage. Here there is a break, and the following column is unfortunately mutilated; but it contains a few significant verses which advocate the simplest and cheapest satisfaction of animal instincts. Cercidas adopts the attitude expected in a follower of Diogenes, who decried marriage (Diog. Laert. vi. 54,72 ), and described love as the occupation of the idle (id. 5I) and a painful pursuit of pleasure (id. 67). Love is again referred to in Fr. 4 ; see below.

Frs. 2 and 3 differ in appearance from Fr. 1 in being more worm-caten, especially Fr. 3. In Fr. 2 there are remains of three columns, all more or less damaged. Of the first no more is left than two imperfect marginal adscripts. This fragment might be conjectured to form the continuation of Fr. I, the broken marginalia referring to Col. v, and Fr. 2, Col. ii making the sixth successive columnt. The subject of the latter is not clear; but so far as they go the contents would not be inconsistent with such a combination. Expressions like 'pain-shunning' (?), 'shadow-fed races (of men)', 'pleasure-stricken mortals' harmonize well enough with the foregoing theme. But this phraseology might of course occur in many other contexts, and the fragment more probably comes from a different part of the roll. At any rate the edges of the papyrus do not join up, and a gap of some centimetres must be supposed. It should also be noticed that the hand in Fr. 2. ii is slightly larger and less compact than in Fr. I. v, and, what is more significant, that there is a junction between two selides at the end of this second column, whereas, on the hypothesis of a combination of Frs. I and 2, the junction would be expected to occur at a point rather more to the right. At Col. iii. Io, which was within a line or two of the end of the column, the poem, whatever it was, is brought to a conclusion.

No such tentative combination with any of the other main pieces has to be considered in the case of Fr. 3. This, comprising one nearly complete column, with scanty remnants of those which preceded and followed, is particularly interesting, since it is in some degree autobiographical. After a reflection upon the tenacity with which men cling to life, the poet speaks of his own indomitable spirit, which had shown him the way to all that was best, and of the ardour with which he had devoted himself to the pursuit of the Muses. His hair is already grey, and the poem must have been written comparatively late in life. It is a retrospect of his declining years, and from that standpoint he contemplates with satisfaction the path which he had followed. Perhaps it is his farewell to pocsy.

Fr. 4 contains the final column, below which is the subscription giving the title of the manuscript, 'the Meliambi of Cercidas the Cynic'. The verses here are disfigured by small lacunae which at present remain imperfectly filled, and
the subject is not clearly defined. Lines 5 sqq. contain a warning against fretting the mind with the endeavour to attain something, but what exactly is meant is obscure. A reference follows to Zeno, presumably the philosopher of Citium, and a 'Zenonian' love of man for man is apparently contrasted with an unequally balanced passion. Wilamowitz suggests that these last four lines form an independent whole, a little poem or skolion which has been relegated to the end of the book. But there is no sign in the margin of a coronis, which marks the termination of poems in Frs. I. iv and 2. iii, and which should be partially visible opposite 1.10 ; and in the absence of any such indication the internal evidence is hardly cogent enough for the proposed division. The concluding reference to love is a point of contact between this fragment and Fr. I. iv-v. Can the фóßos in 1.4 be of the same kind as that in Fr. I. v. I5? If so, it would be a natural hypothesis that Fr. 4 contains the end of the incomplete second poem in Fr. I. So far as the appearance of the papyrus goes, this column might even be the immediate successor of Fr. I. v; but the broken edges do not directly join, and the combination can thus be at best a possibility.

The remaining smaller fragments call for little notice. Fr. 5 mentions the name of Sphaerus, which has an important bearing on the date of Cercidas (see p. 26). Fr. 7 coincides with one of the two quotations from our author in Stobaeus.

The metre used in these poems is what is commonly known as dactylo-cpitritic. This assumes different forms, of which the normal elements are the enhoplius \(-\cup \cup-\cup \cup-\simeq\), and the epitrite \(-\cup-\simeq\). It is seen at its simplest in the second poem of Fr . I, where a large proportion of the lines are Prosodiaci of the

 Monotony is avoided by occasional trochaic rhythms. Greater freedom and variety are displayed in the first poem of Fr. I and in Fr. 3, where there is an admixture of Adonei \((-\cup \cup-\smile\) ), with a rare Choriambus (1. iii. 5) or dactylic tetrameter (I. ii. 3). An extra syllable, either short or long, is often prefixed to a verse. Wilamowitz remarks that the nearest parallel to the metrical structure of Cercidas is to be found in the \(\Delta \epsilon i \pi v o v\) attributed to Philoxenus (Bergk, Poet. Lyr. iii. pp. 60I sqq.). This structure has not been brought out intelligibly by the writer of the papyrus. Only here and there do the lines correspond with the natural cola (c. g. Fr. 1. ii. 8 (7), iii. 23 (17), iv. 10-I (8-9)). For the most part the text is copied in what seems to have been the usual fashion with the later dithyrambists, in lines of fairly even length, very much as if it were prose. Hence in the reconstruction given below it has been found necessary to discard the stichometry of the original in favour of a division indicating more
clearly the metrical scheme. When, however, owing to mutilation the scheme is uncertain, as in Frs. 2 and 4, the arrangement of the papyrus is reproduced. Resolution of a long syllable is rare, but is found in a trochee apparently in Fr. 4. II and Fr. 32. 3. The shortening of a final vowel or diphthong before a following vowel occurs infrequently (Fr. I. ii. 6, Fr. 3. ii. 4, 8, 10, possibly Fr. I. iii. 14), hiatus before an original digamma once only (Fr. 3. ii. 2).

In the matter of dialect also slight confidence can be placed in the tradition of the original. Cercidas uses a Doric speech; but there are degrees and varieties of Doric, and it is not very clear which of them is here to be recognized. The broad \(a\), naturally, takes the place of the Attic \(\eta\), verbs in \(-\mu \iota\)
 \(\tau i v, a ̊ \mu \epsilon ́, \pi o \tau i, j i\rangle, \lambda \hat{\eta} s, \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \lambda o ́ s\) are other well-defined and characteristic forms. But did the poet employ the vowels of the 'stricter' Doric and write \(\omega\) for ov and \(\eta\) for \(\epsilon \iota\) ? Here the papyrus is inconsistent. Occasionally \(\omega\) is given by the original scribe as the genitive singular of the second declension (Fr. r. iii. \(9 \tau \omega\), v. I7 oßo入 \(\omega\), Fr. 3. ii. I9 \(\chi \rho 0 \nu \omega)\), but ov is much more common. In No. 4 of the previously extant fragments of Cercidas (see p. 50), Bentley's emendation of \(\pi a \lambda \hat{\omega} \ldots \delta v \sigma \epsilon \kappa \nu i \pi \tau \varphi \tau \tau v \gamma i\) to \(\pi a \lambda \hat{\omega} \ldots \delta v \sigma \epsilon \kappa \nu \iota \pi \tau \hat{\omega} \tau \rho v \gamma o ́ s\) is plausible, though unnecessary. Of an original accusative plural in ws there is no clear instance, but in one place \(\omega\) has been inserted above ov by the second hand (Fr. 3. ii. 3 каv \(\theta\) cos). oiv is constant, and the corrector leaves this untouched (Fr. I. ii. 15, 20, iii. 5, II, 22 , iv. 18 ). The question then arises whether uniformity should be obtained by eliminating the few instances of \(\omega\) or the many of ov. It is hard to believe that the sporadic \(\omega\) is not a genuine survival. That \(\omega\) should have been gradually replaced by ov is natural enough, and such weakening of dialectical peculiarities in the process of transmission is too common to need illustration. Other instances of violation of the dialect are to hand in this papyrus: Fr. I. ii. \(5 \mathrm{\epsilon l}\) for ai first hand, Fr. 3. ii. II \(\mu 0 v \sigma \omega \hat{\omega}\), perhaps Fr. I. v. 18-19 \(\tau 0] \tau(\epsilon)\). The choice between \(\epsilon \iota\) and \(\eta\) in infinitives is more difficult. \(\epsilon \iota\) is written in ten places by the copyist, Fr. i. iii. \(8 \lambda_{\epsilon} \epsilon \epsilon \iota v\), \(19 \epsilon \chi \epsilon \iota \nu\), iv. \(19 \epsilon \kappa \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu, 22 \epsilon v \theta v \pi \lambda o \epsilon \iota v\), Fr. 4. 3 ] \(\mu \circ \phi \lambda v a \kappa \epsilon i v, 7\)
 which (Fr. I. iii. I9) \(\eta\) has been interlineated by the second hand ; the solitary example of \(\epsilon \mu, \epsilon \nu=\epsilon i v a l\) (Fr. I. v. I9) similarly has this alternative \(\eta\) above the line, as in the Paris papyrus of Alcman ii. II. \(\grave{\eta} \mu \in \nu\) is perhaps preferable; and in the other cases \(\eta\) may be correct. In Cerc. Fr. 2. 3 Bergk following Froben gives \(\chi \hat{\eta} \lambda o s\) for the traditional \(\chi \in i \bar{\lambda} 0\). The natural tendency towards \(\epsilon \ell\), it may be observed, would be much assisted by the palacographical similarity of \(\epsilon I\) and \(H\), which is a frequent cause of corruption. But the infinitival \(-\eta \nu\) is not very common in literary Doric. ávóáv \(v\) is found in the Paris Alcman papyrus iii. 20,
\(i \delta \hat{\eta} \nu\) in 8. 7. The MSS. of Theocritus have кavinv in xxiv. 92, and in other passages are divided between \(-\epsilon \iota v\) and \(-\eta v ; \theta \iota \gamma \hat{\nu}\) is the reading of the Codex Ravennas in Aristoph. Lysist. 1004. In both Aristophanes and Theocritus - \(\epsilon \omega\) is commonly preferred by editors, whose example I should be inclined to follow. Purity of dialect is certainly not to be expected in poetry of the age of Cercidas; and an instance of the epic genitive -olo (Fr. I.v. 16), which was also used by Theocritus (e. g. i. 68, ii. 8, iv. 17-18), betrays artificiality in the language. But, while naturally the author himself cannot be credited with all the incongruities of the papyrus, the preferable course in a first edition, at any rate, is to leave these for the most part as they have been handed down. Similar inconsistencies are to be found in plenty in the inscriptions. A notable example of the dialectical fusion of this period survives in the poems of Isyllus (C. I. G. 950 ), where not even the Doric \(a\) is constant. I have accordingly allowed ov to stand side by side with \(\omega\), and \(\eta\) with \(\epsilon\). Where it is not clear from the context whether a final \(\omega\) is genitive or dative, no iota subscript has been added. Of specifically Arcadian influence there is no clear trace ; the use of the non-Doric \(a ้ v\) (Fr. 1. iii. 9, iv. 8) does not prove it, since \({ }_{a} \nu v\) had already been admitted by Theocritus in his Doric poems.

A few words must be added concerning the personality and literary qualities of the poet. The former has been a subject of much uncertainty. Two politicians of Megalopolis who were named Cercidas are known, one a contemporary of Demosthenes, who accuses him of having betrayed his countrymen

 a friend of Aratus and an actor in the war with Cleomencs towards the close of the next century (Polyb. ii. \(4^{8-50}, 65\) ). Can either of these personages be identical with Cercidas the poet? If the Grammarians are to be trusted, he too played a part in public affairs. Megalopolis, says Stephanus of Byzantium, was


 Probably the same tradition is to be recognized in the statement of Eustath. Il.

 \(\tau \hat{\eta} \pi a \tau \rho i \delta i c\). In view of the alleged partiality of Cercidas to the second book of the Iliad (cf. his aspiration recorded by Aclian, Var. Hist. xiii, 20, to meet Homer after death, and Fr. 1. iii. 2 below), Cuper's emendation (Apoth. Hom.
 poet with the philo-Macedonian denounced by Demosthencs (Anal. Alcx.
pp. \(3^{8} 5\) sqq.) ; but this view did not well accord with the mention in Cerc. Fr. 2 (cf. p. 50) of the death of Diogenes, which occurred in B.C. 323 and does not seem, when that fragment was written, to have been a quite recent event. Stronger objections are now found in two fairly certain allusions of the papyrus, one (Fr. 4. 14) to the Stoic Zeno, who is said to have lived as late as the I 3oth Olympiad (Diog. Laert. vii. 6) and cannot have become famous before the beginning of the third century, the other to Zeno's disciple Sphaerus (Fr. 5. 4). These references bring the poet down well into the third century, while on the other hand he cannot be placed very much later, since there is good reason to believe that his works were used by Aristophanes of Byzantium, who is supposed to have succeeded Eratosthenes at the Alexandrian library about B.C. 195. As has been pointed out by Strecker (Hermes, xxvi. pp. 276-7), the explanation of \(\mu\) ayis citing Cercidas in Phot. Bibl. 279 (Cerc. Fr. 8 ; cf. p. 51) is likely to

 \(\hat{\eta} \tau 0 \hat{v} \mu a \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \iota \nu\) คं \(\eta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma a \kappa \tau \lambda\)., and Pollux vi. 83, x. 81. To this Wilamowitz adds the very plausible suggestion that the citation of \(\pi a \tau \rho(\omega)={ }^{\prime}\) (Cerc. Fr. 9 ; cf. p. 51) comes from the same grammarian's \(\Pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \sigma v \gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu\) òvo \(\mu \dot{a} \tau \omega v\). Our author's floruit will accordingly fall in the second half of the third century, and it becomes natural to identify him with the Megalopolitan Cercidas who appears on the political stage at precisely that period. But it will not follow that, as Leo inclines to believe (Hermes, xli. p. 444), the statement that he was a \(v^{\circ} \mu_{0} 0\) ' \({ }^{\prime}\) r \(\eta\) s rests upon a confusion. 'Die Gesetzgebung hängt mit der Gründung (von Megalopolis) zusammen.' Not necessarily ; constitutional ordinances are by no means limited to the period of a city's foundation, and a well authenticated tradition is not so lightly to be set aside. The absence of any hint in the narrative of Polybius that he was speaking of the well-known writer will hardly be regarded as a serious objection to the proposed identification. That the philosopher-poet, besides being a lawgiver and a practical politician, should also have been a general (Polyb. ii. 65), is more surprising ; but evidently he was far removed from the typical Cynic sage, and there is no great difficulty in adding some military capacity to his varied accomplishments. How it comes about that he is described by Diogenes Laertius as M \(\epsilon \gamma a \lambda о \pi о \lambda i \tau \eta s\) \(\ddot{\eta}\) K \(\rho \eta{ }^{\prime} s(v i .76)\) remains unexplained on any view of the poet's personality. S. Bochart wished to remove this complication by the not very happy expedient of substituting 'Aркás for K \(\rho\) भ́s. Crönert (Rhein. Mus. 1xii.
 'A \(\quad\) каঠías, but makes no attempt to explain how a phrase of this kind became corrupted to \(\ddot{\eta} \mathrm{K} \rho \eta\) 多. If the passage is to be corrected at all, it would be better
to follow Cobet and delete \(\hat{\eta} \mathrm{K} \rho \eta^{\prime} s\) as an interpolation. But, as Wilamowitz remarks, conflicting statements of the same kind occur about other celebrated individuals, e.g. Sotades. Perhaps the family had some Cretan connexion. There are no independent grounds for supposing Cercidas to have lived elsewhere than at Megalopolis ; and he may reasonably be regarded as a kinsman of his namesake the statesman of the Demosthenic period.

Cercidas is expressly described as a Cynic for the first time in the title at the end of Fr. 4. That he was an adherent of that school of philosophy had, however, been rightly inferred from the extant fragments \(2,4,5\) (p. 50), and the
 Cynic Cynulcus (Kaibel, Athen. iii. p. 561). His wish to meet after death Hecataeus and Olympus, as well as Homer and Pythagoras (Aelian, Var. Hist. xiii. 20), implies that besides philosophy and poetry, he cultivated history and music ; and the comprehensiveness of his intellectual interests is directly witnessed by Fr. 3. Nor did he confine himself to one class of poetry, for Athenaeus quotes his Iambi (Cerc. Fr. I) and that there is no mistake in the name is proved by the metre of the quotation. But no doubt it was upon the Meliambi, so called as combining lyrical forms with critical or satyrical matter, that his literary fame chiefly rested; to Ptolemaeus, ap. Phot. Bibl. 279, he is simply o \(\mu \in \lambda o \pi o\) oós. No other representative of this class of composition is known to us, and to that fact rather than to any conspicuous literary merit the discovery of these Oxyrhynchus fragments, from which a fair idea of the poet can now be obtained, owes its particular interest. In the matter of style they confirm and emphasize the impression given by the few verses already known. An outstanding feature is the frequency of unfamiliar compounds, in which Cercidas displays the facility and boldness of a dithyrambist. Some of these \(\delta \iota \pi \lambda \hat{a}\) or \(\tau \rho \iota \pi \lambda \hat{a}\) have

 possessed a feeling for the picturesque, as well as a faculty of expression. His versification is accomplished, and the syntax usually simple. The most pleasing specimen of his work is the exordium of the poem on love (Fr. I. iii. 5 sqq .) which, though the leading idea is not original, has a grace of its own. That on the subject of the gods ( Fr . I. ii-iii) is not marked by novelty or depth, but the points are well put, and reinforced by some happy phrases and lines. The fragments of Cercidas reveal a cultivated man, of no great originality, perhaps, but well qualified to expound and popularize his philosophic creed, and endowed with at least some of the qualities which go to make a poet.

My debt to Wilamowitz in the reconstruction of this text, as of 1086, is especially large.

Fr. 1.
Col. i.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline ] \(\alpha\) oo & ]. \(\alpha\) \\
\hline ] \(\lambda \alpha\) & ] \\
\hline \(] \epsilon \nu\) & Io ] \\
\hline J \(\mathrm{y} \alpha \sigma\) & ] \\
\hline ] & Javioucísāt \\
\hline ] \(\nu\) & ]roa \\
\hline ] &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Col. ii.
\(\tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha \iota \alpha \kappa \rho \alpha \sigma^{\prime} \omega \nu \alpha \theta \eta \kappa \epsilon \pi \epsilon \nu \eta\)
\(\tau \cup \lambda!\oint \alpha \nu \xi \in \nu \omega \nu \alpha \cdot \pi о \tau \alpha \gamma \alpha \gamma \epsilon\)
akparn \(\sigma[\)
\(\delta^{\circ} \alpha \mu \nu \nu \alpha \gamma \nu \rho о \nu \in \iota \sigma \alpha \nu 0 \nu \alpha \tau \alpha\)
\(\rho \in о \nu \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha[.] \tau \iota \tau о \kappa \omega \lambda v о \nu \eta \sigma\)
a
\(5 \llbracket \epsilon \rrbracket l \tau \iota \sigma \phi \epsilon \rho \circ[.] \tau \circ \cdot \rho \epsilon \iota \alpha \gamma \alpha \rho \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \theta \epsilon \omega \pi \alpha \nu \epsilon \kappa\)
\(\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \alpha \iota \chi \rho \eta \mu^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\prime}\) 'ккєєтเขоиข
ї. \(\eta \tau о \nu \rho v \pi\) окк \(\beta\) ботокшv \(\alpha\)
\(\kappa \alpha เ \tau \in \theta \nu \alpha \kappa о \chi \alpha \lambda \kappa i \delta \alpha \nu\)
\(\eta![\cdot] \nu \pi \alpha \lambda \iota \nu \epsilon \kappa \chi \nu \mu \epsilon \nu \stackrel{\iota}{\tau} \alpha \nu\)
10 \(\tau \omega \nu \kappa \tau \epsilon \alpha \nu \omega \nu \pi \lambda \epsilon \theta \rho o \nu \tau 0 \nu \quad\) o \(\lambda \epsilon \theta \rho \circ \nu[\)
тоขкє \(\omega \omega \sigma\) เт \(\alpha \sigma \sigma v о \pi \lambda\) गито

-
т ршктакоьขократ \(р о \sigma к и\) -
\(\phi \omega \tau \alpha \nu 0 \lambda \lambda \nu \mu \in \nu \alpha \nu \delta \alpha \pi \alpha\)
\({ }^{15} \nu \tilde{\prime} \lambda \lambda \alpha \nu \mu \eta \pi о \tau о v \nu 0 \tau \alpha \sigma \delta \iota\)

\(\tau \alpha \iota \cdot \chi \omega \phi \alpha \in \theta \omega \nu, \mu о \nu \alpha \delta \delta, \gamma \lambda \eta \quad \delta^{\prime} \beta \lambda[\cdot] \pi \epsilon \iota\)
!



\(\tau о \pi \alpha \nu \pi \epsilon \pi \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu\) оък \(\alpha \iota \alpha \nu \tau о\)
\(\tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \nu \tau о \nu 0 \sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \circ \sigma \alpha \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho 0 \pi \alpha\)
[. . .] \(\epsilon \tau \alpha \sigma \mu \epsilon \sigma \sigma о \nu \tau о \nu 0 \lambda \nu \mu \pi о \nu\)

Fr， 1.

\section*{Col．i．}
］\(\alpha \rho 0\)
］\(\lambda \alpha\)
\(] \in \nu\)
］\(\gamma \alpha \sigma\)
5 ］
］\(v\)
］
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ]. } \alpha \\
& \text { ] } \\
& 101 \\
& \text { ]avio廿ı́á }{ }^{\text {a }} \\
& \text { ]ros } \\
& \text { ] } \kappa(a i) \sigma \pi v \rho o i ̀ i ~ \pi v p o i ́ .
\end{aligned}
\]

Col．ii．
．．．\(\tau \in\) каi \(\alpha<\kappa \rho \alpha \sigma i \omega \nu \alpha\)
 \(\gamma \nu \omega \sigma\) rós tis \(\kappa(a i)\) тıкрós．
\(\theta \hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \pi \epsilon \nu \eta \tau \cup \lambda i ́ \delta \alpha \nu\) 色 \(\epsilon \nu \omega \nu \alpha, \pi о \tau \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \gamma \epsilon \delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \mu i \nu\)




\(\kappa \alpha i \quad \tau \in \theta \nu \alpha \kappa о \chi \alpha \lambda \kappa i ́ \delta \alpha \nu\)
\(\ddot{\eta} \tau[\hat{0}] \nu \nu \alpha \lambda \iota \nu \epsilon \kappa \chi \nu \mu \epsilon \nu i \tau \alpha \nu \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \kappa \tau \epsilon \alpha ́ \nu \omega \nu \quad{ }^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \theta \rho o \nu\)
тô̂тov \(\kappa \epsilon \nu \omega \hat{\sigma} \alpha l\) тâs \(\sigma v o \pi \lambda o u \tau o \sigma u ́ v a s, ~\)

\(\tau \grave{\alpha} \nu\) ó \(\lambda \lambda \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \alpha \nu \quad \delta \alpha \pi \alpha ́ \nu \nu \lambda \lambda \alpha \nu\) ．




каi \(\mu \grave{\alpha} \nu\) тò \(\tau \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \nu \tau o \nu\) ó \(\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu o ̀ s\)


Col. iii.

\(\kappa \in \nu\) оvס \(\alpha \mu \eta \kappa \alpha \iota \tau\) ои \(\theta_{0} \mu \eta\) \(\rho о \sigma \epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon \nu \in \nu \iota \lambda \iota \alpha \delta \iota \rho \in \pi \epsilon \iota \delta_{0} \tau \alpha \nu\) \(\alpha \iota \sigma \iota \mu о \nu \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \alpha \nu \delta \rho \alpha \sigma \iota \kappa \nu \delta \alpha \lambda \iota\)
\(5 \mu 0 \iota \sigma \eta \nu \pi \omega \sigma 0 v \nu \epsilon \mu[\epsilon] \nu \nu\) \(\pi о \tau \in \rho \in \psi \in \nu 0 \rho \theta \circ \sigma \omega \nu \zeta \nu \gamma 0 \sigma \tau \alpha\)
 \(\left.\alpha{ }_{\alpha}\right\} о \mu \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon \theta \eta \nu \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \circ \sigma O \nu\) [. . . .] \(] є \iota \tau о \pi \alpha \rho a v \tau о \iota \sigma \tau \omega \delta \iota \sigma \sigma\) Іо \(\pi \lambda \alpha[\). .] \(\ell \gamma \iota \circ \nu \cdot \pi о \iota 0 \nu \sigma \epsilon \pi \alpha \nu \alpha\) кторабоиขтьб \(\dagger \tau \iota \nu \alpha \sigma o v \rho \alpha\) or \(\sigma\) \(\nu \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \iota \omega \nu \alpha \nu \epsilon \nu \rho \eta \cdot \pi \hat{\omega} \lambda \alpha\) \(\beta \eta \tau \alpha \nu \alpha \xi \iota \alpha \nu\) 上 окроขı \(\delta \alpha \sigma\) - \(\phi v \tau \epsilon \nu \sigma \alpha \sigma \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \sigma \stackrel{\alpha}{ } \mu \epsilon \kappa \alpha \iota\)
\({ }^{15} \tau \epsilon \kappa \omega \nu \tau \omega \nu \mu \epsilon \nu \pi \alpha \tau \rho \omega о \sigma\)
\(\tau \omega \nu \delta \epsilon \pi \epsilon \phi \alpha \nu \epsilon \pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho \cdot \lambda \omega 0 \nu\) \(\mu \in \theta \in \mu \epsilon \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau\) оит \(\omega \nu \tau\) оь \(\sigma\)

\(\gamma \alpha \rho \epsilon \rho \gamma \circ v o v \theta \in \nu \in \lambda \pi \sigma \mu \epsilon \chi \epsilon \iota \nu \cdot \dot{\alpha} \mu \iota \nu \delta \epsilon\) \(20 \pi \alpha \iota \alpha \nu \kappa \alpha \iota \alpha \gamma \alpha \theta \alpha \mu \tau \alpha \iota \delta \omega \sigma \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \quad \epsilon \pi \epsilon \delta \omega \sigma\) \(\tau \omega \theta \epsilon \sigma \sigma \gamma \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha \iota \nu \epsilon \mu \in \sigma \iota \sigma\)
\(\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \gamma \alpha \nu \cdot \mu \epsilon \sigma \phi о \nu \nu \delta \delta \alpha \iota \mu \omega \nu\) оข \(\iota \iota \phi v \sigma \iota \alpha \epsilon \iota \tau \iota \mu \alpha \tau \epsilon \tau \alpha v \tau \alpha \nu\)

Col. iv.
```

\phi\omega . [. .] ] \lambda\alpha a[
\tau\alpha\hat{i}}\alpha\nu\tau\in\sigma[
\sigma\eta\tauovo\lambda[
\tauv\chi\alpha\sigma'\tau\alpha\nu\tau'\epsilon[. . . .]\mu\iota\nu\nu\epsilon\iota \epsilonк\beta\alpha0\epsilon\varphi[

```

\section*{Col. iii.}

\(\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ \theta ' ~ " O \mu \eta \rho o s ~ \epsilon i ̂ \pi \epsilon \nu ~ ' ̇ \nu ~ ' I \lambda \iota \alpha ́ \delta \iota \cdot\).



ä \(\} o \mu \alpha \iota ~ \delta ' \epsilon ~ \theta \eta \nu ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ ~ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu ~ o ̈ \sigma o \nu ~[\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ́] \gamma \epsilon \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \alpha \rho ’ ~ \alpha u ́ \tau o i ̂ s ~\)
\(\tau \hat{\omega} \Delta\) เòs \(\pi \lambda \alpha[\sigma \tau]\) í \(\gamma \gamma \iota \circ \nu\).

\(\ddot{\eta}\) тívas Oúpavídas кı\(\omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu\) єúpoı

\(\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha s \quad \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon ̀ \kappa \alpha \grave{\iota} \tau \epsilon \kappa \grave{\omega} \nu\)
\(\tau \bar{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \pi \alpha \tau \rho \omega o ̀ s \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon ́ \phi \alpha \nu \epsilon \pi \alpha \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \rho ;\)






Col. iv.
```

\phi}\omega\tau[\epsilon\varsigma,] \epsilon\lambda\alpha
\tau\alphai\xi\alpha\nu\tau\epsilons[
\sigma\eta\tauò\nu ő\lambda[ }
\nu\epsilon\iotaó0\epsilon\nu ''\xi\epsilon

```
```

\mu\epsilon-

```
\mu\epsilon-
\nu\epsilon\mu\epsilon-
```

\nu\epsilon\mu\epsilon-

```


```

\epsilońx \betaa0'\epsilon \omega[v.

```
```

\epsilońx \betaa0'\epsilon \omega[v.

```

5


\section*{Col. v.} 5 or 6 lines lost.

\section*{\(\nu 0 \mu[\)}
\(\delta \alpha \pi[\)
каıг[. . . . . . . .] . \(\tau\). \(\rho \grave{\eta} \xi \epsilon \in \imath\). [
Іо \(\sigma \tau \rho \tilde{a} \gamma[. . . . . ..] \pi \lambda o o \sigma \cdot \pi \alpha \nu \nu[\) тоß८ . . [. . . . . . .]к \(\alpha \iota \pi\) рокоө[
\(\lambda \nu \mu \alpha \nu[. . . . . . ..] \nu \alpha \beta \lambda \alpha \psi \iota \epsilon \in\) [
\(\alpha \nu \cdot \kappa \alpha \iota \mu[. . . . . ..] \delta v \nu \alpha \nu . a ̊ \delta \epsilon[\)
\(\xi \alpha \gamma о \rho \alpha \sigma \alpha \phi \rho о \delta \iota \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha \iota \tau \circ \mu \eta[\)
\({ }_{15} \nu 0 \sigma \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu 0 \pi[.] \nu i ́ k \alpha \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma\) 'к \(\kappa \alpha[\)
II.

```

    то̀v кvavoттє́ \(\rho \cup \gamma o \nu \pi \alpha i \delta^{\prime} ' A \phi \rho o \delta i ́ \tau \alpha s\),
    ```


```

    \(\delta \epsilon \xi \iota \tau \epsilon \rho \grave{\alpha} \pi \nu \in \cup ́ \sigma \eta \quad \sigma \iota a \gamma \omega ้ \nu\),
    ```

```

    \(\sigma \omega ́ \phi \rho o \nu t \quad \pi \eta \delta \alpha \lambda i ́ \omega \quad \pi \epsilon \iota \theta 0 \hat{s} \quad k u \beta \epsilon \rho \nu \hat{\eta}\).
    ```

```

    \(\lambda \alpha i ̂ \lambda \alpha \pi \alpha s ~ \eta ̈ ~ \lambda \alpha \mu v \rho \alpha ̀ s ~ \pi o ́ \theta \omega \nu ~ \alpha ' \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \alpha s, ~\)
    куцатías sıódov тoútols ó mo \(\theta \mu\) ós.
    ```


```

    \(\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}\) бんфробúvas oĭaкı \(\pi \epsilon \iota \theta\) oûs
    ```

```

    \(\mu \eta\). . .
    ```
Col. v.
    \(\nu 0 \mu[\)
    \(\delta \alpha \pi[\)
    каi \(\tau[. . . . . . . ..] \cdot \tau \cdot \rho \eta \xi \epsilon i ̂ .[\)
10 \(\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \gamma[. . . . . . ..] \pi \lambda o ́ o s{ }^{*} \pi \alpha \nu \nu[\)
    то \(\beta \iota\). . [. . . . . .] каі трокоө[
    \(\lambda \dot{\mu} \mu \alpha \nu[. . . . . ..] \nu \alpha \beta \lambda \alpha \psi \iota \tau \epsilon\). [ \(] \alpha \nu\),



ХрП乡ทбоифоßобоит \(\alpha \rho \alpha \chi \alpha \cdot \tau \alpha[\)
\(\tau \alpha \nu о \beta о \lambda \omega \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa \lambda \grave{\iota} \alpha \sigma \tau[\)
барєоьобокєьу \(\alpha \mu \beta\). [
\(\eta \quad\) ко[
\(\tau \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu \nu \nu[\)

Fr. 2.

\section*{Col. i.}

Col. ii.
[. . . . . . . ] \(] \nu \eta \sigma \pi v v[\) [. . . . . . .]акар \(\delta \iota o p[\)

]от[.] крот \(\quad\) бเяó \(\boldsymbol{\phi} \phi[\)

\(\theta \in i ̂ \kappa \grave{\eta}\). áu . [
\(\tau \alpha \phi \in v \xi \iota \pi\). [.]. o ovav[
\(\phi \hat{v} \lambda \alpha \llbracket \iota] \sigma \kappa \stackrel{\circ}{\partial} \theta \rho \in \pi \tau \alpha \kappa[..] \cdot 0 \sigma\)
\(\epsilon \gamma \chi \in \sigma^{\prime} \mu \omega \rho \sigma \sigma, \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \delta o \nu[\cdot] \pi[\cdot] \alpha ́\)
\(10 \kappa \tau \omega \nu \beta \rho о \tau \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \iota \mu[\cdot] \lambda \in \pi \iota\)
\(\sigma \tau \alpha \mu \in \nu \omega \sigma \omega \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha\). . \(\sigma \in[\).
].. \(\quad\) :. \(\bar{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \sigma[.] \psi[\cdot] \tau \rho \alpha \gamma[..] \sigma \pi \hbar\)
].. ya!.[.]... [. . .]a \(\mu \epsilon \nu \omega \lambda \epsilon \sigma \iota \kappa \alpha \rho \pi о \nu\)
[. . . .]v \({ }^{2} \alpha \phi \bar{v} \sigma \alpha ̀ \lambda \epsilon ́ a \nu \alpha u ̛ \delta \alpha \nu\)
15 [. . . . .]. . \(\hat{\eta} \cdot \nu \in \hat{v} p a \delta \in \kappa \alpha \iota \kappa \rho \alpha\)


About 4 lines lost.
Col. iii.
io lines lost.

т \(\alpha \boldsymbol{\tau} \alpha[\)
रapov[
\(\alpha \theta \in \sigma[\)
 \(T[\nu \nu] \delta \alpha \rho \in ́ о \iota \circ\) ठо́кєו \(\gamma \alpha \mu \beta \rho \overline{[ }[s \tau o ́]\langle\kappa\rangle^{\prime} \hat{\eta} \mu \in \nu\) ．
ко［
\(\nu v[\)

Fr． 2.

\section*{Col．i．}

Opposite 1.4
］ot［．］
Opposite 1l．12－13
］．．．
Opposite 11．5－6
5］．．．vat．［．］．．．
］．єขชน
\} oṽ( \(\tau \omega s) \mu(\hat{\mathrm{s}} v)\)
Col．ii．
［．．．．．．．．］\(\nu \eta \sigma \pi v[\)

［．．．］．\(\tau \ell к \alpha \nu \tau[.] \pi \%\) ．［
кротŋбьуо́ \(\phi[\) ка－
5 т⿳亠㐅 каเрòv є．．［
\(\theta \in i ̂ \kappa \eta\) ．\(\alpha v\) ．［
\(\tau \alpha \phi \in v \xi \iota \pi\) ．［．］．ovav［
\(\phi \hat{u} \lambda \alpha\) бкıó \(\theta \rho \in \pi \tau \alpha \kappa[.\).\(] ．os\)
\(\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \chi \in \sigma i ́ \mu \omega \rho o s \dot{\alpha} \delta o \nu[0] \pi[\lambda] \alpha \dot{\alpha}-\)

\(\sigma \tau \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu \omega s \quad \dot{\omega} \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha \ldots \sigma \epsilon[\).
\(\chi \cdots \alpha \gamma \alpha \sigma[\cdot] \psi[\cdot] \tau \rho \alpha \gamma[\ldots] s \pi \iota-\)
\([\mu \in \lambda] \alpha ̀ \nu \quad \mu \grave{̀} \nu \quad \dot{\omega} \lambda \epsilon \sigma\) ík \(\alpha \rho \pi о \nu\)
［каi \(\sigma \phi] u ́ \gamma \alpha\) фvба入є́ \(\alpha \nu \alpha u ̉ \delta \alpha ́ \nu\)




Col．iii．
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \tau \alpha v \tau \alpha[ \\
& \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \text { ov'[ } \\
& \alpha \theta \in \sigma[
\end{aligned}
\]
```

    \(\nu \alpha \tau \alpha \iota\). [
    15 тоб 1 єıк[
$\omega \phi \iota \lambda o \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota[$
$\eta \sigma \kappa \alpha \iota y o \omega K \cdot[$
-
$\pi \epsilon \nu \iota \alpha \pi о \tau \iota \phi[$
тıبота́т $\omega \delta \in \pi[$
$20 \frac{1}{7} \pi[..] \cdot \alpha \mu v \rho o[$

```

Fr. 3.

\section*{Plate II.}

Col. i.

Col. ii.
\(\kappa \iota \sigma \delta \mu \alpha \theta \epsilon \iota \sigma \beta\) ротобоить
. \(\omega\). \(\epsilon \kappa \omega \nu \epsilon ́ \kappa \lambda \bar{\alpha} \iota \xi \epsilon \kappa \alpha \nu \theta^{\prime} \cup \sigma \cdot\)
. \(\pi \epsilon\).
\(\tau \check{\iota} \delta \alpha \mu \alpha ́ \rho \alpha \nu \tau о \nu \epsilon \sigma \omega \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho\).ацалактоv.
\(5 \nu \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \iota \alpha \nu \iota к \alpha \tau о \nu к \in \alpha \rho\) \(\epsilon \sigma \kappa \epsilon \nu \pi i \mu \epsilon \lambda \grave{\partial} \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa о \phi \alpha \gamma \omega \hat{\omega} \nu\) \(\pi \alpha \sigma \breve{\alpha} \sigma \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \delta \omega \nu \breve{\alpha} \sigma \cdot \tau 0 \iota\) \(\omega\).
- [.] \(] \delta \iota \epsilon \phi \in v \gamma \in \nu \kappa \alpha \lambda o \nu 0 v\)


 \(\rho i \delta \omega \nu \theta \alpha \lambda .[\cdot] \in \nu \tau \alpha \sigma \epsilon \pi \lambda \in O\) \(\theta v \mu \epsilon \kappa \alpha u ̈ \chi \nu \in \cup T T \alpha \sigma \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau[\cdot] \sigma^{*}\) \(\nu \hat{\nu} \nu \delta о к \kappa \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \kappa \phi \alpha \nu \epsilon \epsilon \sigma\)
\({ }_{15} \lambda \epsilon \cup к \alpha เ к о \rho v \phi[\cdot] \cdots!\)
\(\alpha \iota \omega \rho \in \nu \nu \tau \alpha \iota \epsilon \sigma[\).\(] . . . \nu \alpha \kappa \iota\)
\(\lambda \epsilon \omega \lambda \alpha \chi \nu \alpha \kappa \nu \alpha[\cdot] 0 \nu \delta \epsilon \boldsymbol{\square} \epsilon \nu \in \iota \circ \nu\) . T.].
 \([\cdot] \lambda \iota \kappa \iota \alpha \sigma \chi \rho 0 \nu \omega \tau \epsilon \pi \alpha \xi \iota \iota \nu \kappa \circ[\cdot] \mu \in \lambda \ldots . .[\ldots]\) \(20 \lambda \alpha \kappa \epsilon \nu \epsilon \iota \delta \in \rho \kappa о \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha \beta \iota \circ \tau \hat{\alpha} \sigma\) троор.шүн![. .].


\(\delta^{\prime} \nu \cdot \tau \hat{\alpha} \mu \sigma \sigma \epsilon \sigma \lambda \hat{\alpha} \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \quad \tau \alpha \mu \sigma \sigma!\)
```

    va\tau\alphal.
    15 \tauos \alphaं\epsilontк[
\hat{\omega}
\eta`s к\alphai \nuó\omega к.[
\pi\epsilon\nuí\alpha \piоть\phi[ (?) ф\iota\lambdaо-
\tau\iota\muот\alpháт\omega \delta }\epsilon\pi
20 \pi[. .] . \alpha\muv\rhoo[

```

Fr. 3 .
Plate II.

\section*{Col. ii.}
] \(\mu \mathrm{evov}\)

 \(\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \nu \omega \nu\) каi àvíкатоע кє́ \(\alpha \rho\) Є’ \(\sigma \kappa є \nu\),
\(5 \pi \iota \mu \in \lambda о \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa о \phi \alpha \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha s \quad \mu \in \lambda \epsilon \delta \dot{\omega} \nu \alpha s\).







 \(\tau \hat{\alpha} \mu o s \in \mathfrak{\epsilon} \sigma \lambda \hat{\alpha} s{ }^{\mu} \dot{\epsilon} \nu\) [
\(\eta^{\eta \kappa} \cdot . \phi \cdot \mu a t \in v[]\).
 [.] \(\mu \in \lambda . . .[. .\).
троорй \(\mu[.\).\(] .\)
\(\lambda \omega \sigma[0.0 \pi \in[\ldots], v\).
тâpos \({ }^{\text {[ }}\) [тєтт.

Col. iii. Plate II.

vow. [

Plate II.
[.].
[....] \(\eta \theta \rho \alpha \sigma \kappa \omega ̀ \pi \tau i \lambda \lambda_{!!}\). áv \({ }^{2} \lambda_{\eta}[\)
[. . . .] . ! !df. .] \(\omega \sigma . \beta \lambda \alpha \beta \alpha \nu \alpha \kappa \lambda \eta\)
[. . . . .] \(] \tau\). [. . .] \(\mu о ф \lambda ข \alpha \kappa \epsilon \hat{\varphi}\)
тотобचф[.]ßобаутобv . [. . . .]
\(5 \rho \omega \nu[\cdot] \pi o \sigma \tau \rho \mu[\cdot] \tau \alpha \sigma \delta \eta \tau \sigma[\).
\(\alpha \nu \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \kappa \epsilon \pi \tau о \sigma v \nu \alpha \sigma \kappa \epsilon \nu[.\).
\(\mu \eta \sigma \pi o v \delta \alpha \nu \pi 0 \iota \epsilon \iota \sigma \theta[. . . .\).
\(\sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \phi \epsilon \iota \nu \alpha \nu \omega \kappa \alpha \tau \omega \lambda[. . .\).
. [.] \(] \downarrow \in \nu \rho \eta \sigma \delta \iota \alpha\). \(\theta \in \alpha \nu[\cdot]\). [. . . .]
10 \(\sigma \iota \kappa \omega \sigma \alpha \rho \mu \sigma \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu\)
[.]oг \(\alpha \nu \iota \sigma o \nu \tau o \nu \pi o \theta o \nu \in \lambda \kappa \kappa[\).\(] . \alpha!\)

[. .] \(\pi \tau \iota \pi о \tau \alpha \rho \sigma \epsilon \nu \alpha \sigma \alpha \rho \sigma\). [. . . . .]
[. . .] \(] \epsilon[.] \omega \sigma \varsigma \alpha \nu \omega \nu \iota \kappa \sigma \sigma\)

15
к \(\boldsymbol{\kappa} \epsilon \rho \kappa \iota \delta \bar{\alpha}\)
кข \(\nu \boldsymbol{\sigma}\)
] \(\lambda \iota \alpha \mu \beta o\) ㅢ

Col. iii. Plate II.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\(\delta[\)} \\
\hline & [ \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\(\gamma[\)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\(\nu 0 \cdot[\)} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{} & \(\sigma \iota \kappa[\) \\
\hline &  \\
\hline & \(\nu \alpha \nu\) of \\
\hline & \(\mu \epsilon \theta \alpha\). [ \\
\hline & \(\tau i s \quad \alpha \lambda \alpha[\theta(?)\) \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & \(\pi \in \rho \cdot[\) \\
\hline & vow. [ \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Fr. 4. Plate II.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { [....] }] \theta \rho \alpha \text { } \sigma \kappa \omega \pi \tau i ́ \lambda \lambda ı \text {. } \alpha u ́-\quad \lambda_{\eta}[ \\
& \text { [....].. } \iota \delta[. .] \omega s^{*} \beta \lambda \alpha \dot{\beta} \alpha \nu \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \kappa \lambda \eta \text {. } \\
& \text { [. . . . .]є . [. . .] }] \mu о ф \lambda \nu \alpha к \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \\
& \text { тótos } \ddot{\eta} \phi[\text { ó }] \text { ßos aútòs } \dot{v} \text {. [. . . .] } \\
& 5 \text { p } \omega \nu \text { [ả] } \pi о \sigma \tau o \mu[o \hat{\imath} .] \tau \hat{\alpha} S \text { } \delta \eta े \tau o[l]- \\
& \text { av́tas } \sigma \kappa \in \pi \tau 0 \sigma u ́ v a s \text { } \kappa \in \nu[\epsilon \hat{\alpha} s] \\
& \mu \grave{\eta} \quad \sigma \pi o u \delta \grave{\alpha} \nu \text { Tolєî̄ } \theta\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha l & \kappa \alpha i
\end{array}\right] \\
& \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon ́ \phi \epsilon \iota \nu \text { ä } \nu \omega \text { кáт } \omega \text { [....] }
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Io } \sigma \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} s \dot{\alpha} \rho \mu \circ \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \nu \text {. } \\
& \text { [ } \pi \text { ]oт' ä } \nu \iota \sigma o \nu \text { тòv } \pi o ́ \theta o \nu \text { è } \lambda \kappa[.] \text {. al }
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { [...] } \tau^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime}[\rho] \omega s \text { Zavшขıкós. }
\end{aligned}
\]

\author{
Fr. 5 . \\ ] \(\alpha \theta \omega \tau\) титє \(\epsilon v \delta \iota \kappa \omega\) \\ ]оוкєка入入ı \(\mu \epsilon \delta \omega \nu^{\bullet}\) \\ ]лт८торпракає \\ \(] \mu \epsilon \nu \bar{\alpha} \sigma \phi \alpha \iota \rho \omega \gamma \alpha \rho\) \\ 5 ] \(\boldsymbol{\tau} \rho \rho \beta \alpha \lambda \eta \sigma \eta \kappa \alpha \iota \tau \iota\) \\ ]X८тоעє८ \(\sigma \alpha \rho \epsilon \tau \alpha \nu\) \\ \(] \delta \epsilon \sigma \iota \chi \nu \epsilon \cup \epsilon \iota \sigma \alpha \lambda\) \\ ]фє \(\rho о \nu \tau о \pi \omega \rho \alpha \nu\) \\ 
}

Fr. 7.
\[
\begin{gathered}
] \cdot \epsilon \sigma[ \\
] \tau \hat{\alpha} \sigma \rho[ \\
] \epsilon v \in o \iota \kappa o \sigma[ \\
] \kappa \alpha \iota \phi \iota \lambda o \cdot[
\end{gathered}
\]
jaçora -

\section*{Fr. 8.}

Fr. 9.

Col. i.
] \(\sigma \epsilon i \delta \not \subset \epsilon ́ \mu \epsilon \nu\)
] \(\alpha \delta \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \lambda \alpha \pi \iota\)

] \(v \sigma \omega \kappa \alpha \iota \delta o\)
5 ] • [.] \(] \pi \in[\cdot]\)
] . . [. . . . .]

Col. ii.
\(\kappa \bar{\alpha}[\)
\(\sigma \chi \in[\)
-тide]
\(\tau a \eta[\)
\(\tau^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} v[\)
каıT]
\(\mu \nu \epsilon\) [
\(\pi / \delta[\)

Fr. 6.
] \(\lambda \circ \pi \omega \lambda o \nu[\) ]ßоубó \(\omega \mu \nu \omega[\)
]! \(\pi \pi \sigma^{\prime} \nu \rho \epsilon[\)
]то \(\alpha \rho \in \sigma \tau \alpha \gamma \alpha[\)
\(5] \epsilon \alpha \sigma \tau \hat{\alpha} \sigma \tau \omega[\)
]. \(\pi\).
]. [


Fr. 5.

є́]оıкє \(K \alpha \lambda \lambda \iota \mu\) е́ \(\delta \omega \nu\)
є́ \(] \sigma \tau i ~ \pi о \nu \eta \rho \alpha ̀ ~ к \alpha i ~\)
] \(\mu \in \nu \alpha \cdot \quad \Sigma \phi \alpha i ́ \rho \omega\) \(\gamma\) à \(\rho\)
5 ] \(\pi \rho \circ \beta \alpha ́ \lambda \eta s\) †̀ каí т
]Xıтоข \(\in\) is \(\dot{\alpha} \rho \in \tau \dot{\alpha} \nu\)
] \(\delta \in \varsigma \quad i x \nu \in u ́ \in \iota s\) à \(\lambda\).
] \(\phi\) '́ \(\rho о \nu \tau\) ' ó \(\pi \omega ́ \rho \alpha \nu\) ]. кov \(\operatorname{\tau oû\tau [o]\nu ~aú~}\)

Fr. 6.
(?) \(\alpha i o] \lambda o ́ \pi \omega \lambda o \nu\) [
] ßovбó \(\omega\) \(\mu \nu \omega[\pi\)
] ím \(\pi о \nu \quad \chi \rho \in[\mu \in \tau i ́ \zeta 0 \nu \tau \alpha\)
]то \(\gamma \alpha ́ \rho\) '́ \(\sigma \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha[\) ] \(\epsilon \alpha s \tau_{\alpha} \tau \omega[\)
"]. [

Fr. 7.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ]. } \epsilon \sigma[
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \mu \nu \alpha \mu o ́ \nu] \epsilon v^{\prime} \text {. oíkos [ } \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \text { ápı} \sigma \tau o s ~ \alpha ̉ \lambda \alpha \theta ' \epsilon \omega s \text { ] } \\
& \text { каì фído[s }
\end{aligned}
\]

Fr. 8.
Fr. 9.
Col. i.
Col. ii.

J \(\sigma \epsilon \hat{i} \delta \epsilon \epsilon \nexists \nu\)

J \(\lambda \in \nu \nu 0 \tau \in \iota\)
juб⿳ каi סo.
5 ]. [.] \(] \pi \epsilon[\).
]. . [. ....]
\(\kappa \alpha[\)
\(\sigma \chi \in[\)
тan
\(\tau^{\prime}\) out
5 каıт [ \(\mu v \in[\)
\(\pi \iota \delta[\)
\(] \eta \pi o \lambda \iota o[\)
\(] \iota \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \kappa 0 \lambda \alpha[\sigma \tau(?)\)
\(] \lambda \epsilon \omega v \pi v \kappa \iota \nu[\)
\(] X o v \quad \sigma \iota \gamma \eta \rho o i \quad \delta[\)

5 о]ủкє́ть \(\pi \alpha \nu \theta \omega[\) ] \(\sigma a ́ \pi\). [

Fr. 10.
].[....].[
] \(\rho \tau о \nu \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha[\)
]. \(\mu\) เтоขิто• \(\nu[\)
јектє.
] \(\sigma v \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \hat{\imath} \sigma \tau[\)
5 ] \(\phi \in \rho \in!\kappa \alpha<\gamma \alpha[\) \(] \omega \sigma \mu \eta \lambda \epsilon \gamma \sigma[\) \(] \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon \cdot \delta \omega \cdot\left[{ }^{\sim}\right.\)
] \(\eta \nu \alpha \cdot \kappa \alpha \iota \tau[\) ]vтєvб \({ }^{[ }\)
10 ] \(\alpha \rho \mu o t \tau[\) ]. \(\alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \sigma \sigma[\) \(] ? \epsilon \omega \nu \cdot{ }^{\circ}[\) ] \(\alpha \lambda \alpha \beta o v[\)

Fr. 15.

\section*{] \(\tau 0 \nu \pi \epsilon \lambda \alpha \sigma \delta^{\prime} \epsilon[\)}

Fr. 18.
] \(\epsilon \gamma \alpha \rho![\) ] \(\mathrm{ve} \mathrm{\tau ou}[\)
] \(\alpha \iota \rho \omega![\)
]. \(\alpha \sigma \alpha \lambda[\)
5 ] \(5 \boldsymbol{\mu}[\)

Fr. If.
] \(\eta \nu 0 \mu \iota \zeta\) \(] \tau \omega \rho \in v \sigma \epsilon[\)
]aı \(\alpha \pi \epsilon \lambda[\)
]! \(\tau\) ouт \(\hat{\omega}[\)

Fr. 13.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ] } \alpha[ \\
& ] \sigma \tau \omega \beta\langle[ \\
& \text { ]. } \kappa \nu \beta \epsilon \rho \nu[ \\
& \text { ] } \sigma \omega \delta 0 \xi \alpha[ \\
& 5 \text { ] } \nu \mu \epsilon \nu a \lambda \lambda[ \\
& \text { ]к } \alpha \nu!\kappa \alpha \rho[ \\
& \text { ] } \phi[
\end{aligned}
\]

Fr. 16.

\section*{] \(\mu\)}
]. \(\sigma \sigma \theta \epsilon[\)
]!! \(\rho \circ \sigma \dot{v}[\)

Fr. 12.
]. \(\sigma[\). .]. [
] \(\eta \rho \circ \vee[\)
] \(\phi \in \rho \in \iota \tau \alpha[\) ] \(\epsilon \tau \alpha \mu \in \lambda \lambda \rho[\)

Fr. 14.
] \(\mu \phi\) เov
] \(\nu \in \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau \omega \cdot \gamma[\)
]. \(\nu \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \gamma \alpha \rho![\) \(] \nu \omega \nu[\)

Fr. 17.
] \(\nu 0 \sigma \epsilon \ldots a[\) ] \(\sigma \iota \alpha \nu \sigma \kappa о \pi \epsilon[\) ] \(\delta \iota \omega \kappa \tau[\cdot] \alpha[\)


Fr. 10.
].[....]. [
] \(\rho \tau о \nu \quad \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha[\nu\)
]. \(\mu \iota\) тоиิто* \(\nu[\) іктє
] \(\sigma v \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \in i ̂ s ~ \tau[\)
5 ] \(\phi \in ́ \rho \in \iota\) каì \(\gamma \grave{\alpha}[\rho(?)\)
] \(\omega \boldsymbol{s} \mu \grave{\eta} \lambda \in \gamma o[\)
\(] \in \lambda \theta \epsilon^{\cdot} \delta \omega \cdot\left[{ }^{\sim}\right.\)
] \(\eta \nu \alpha\). каเт[
\(\phi] \cup \tau \in v ́ \sigma \eta[\)
г 0 ]apuol то[
]. \(\alpha \lambda \alpha \operatorname{los}\) [
] \(\tau \epsilon \omega \nu\) • \(\omega\) [ ] \(\nu \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta o v[\)

Fr. \({ }^{15}\)
]rov \(\pi \in \in \lambda \alpha s \delta^{\nu} \epsilon[\)

Fr. 11.
] \(\eta\) ро \(\mu \iota \zeta[\)
(?) \(\kappa \alpha ́] \tau \omega \quad \dot{\rho} \epsilon v \sigma \epsilon[\hat{\imath}\)
] \(\alpha \iota \alpha \pi \in \lambda[\)
]c. \(\tau 0 \hat{v} \tau \hat{\omega}[\)

Fr. 13.
] \(\alpha[\)
\(] \sigma \tau \omega \beta l[\)
]. \(\kappa v \beta \in \rho \nu[\)
] \(\sigma \omega\) סo \(\alpha[\)
5 ] \(\nu \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \quad \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda[\) ]ка víка \(p[\)
] \(\phi[\)

Fr. 16.
] \(\mu\) [
]. \(\sigma \sigma \theta \epsilon[\)
]eıpos \(\dot{v}[\)

Fr. 12.
```

    ] . \sigma[. .] . [
    ]\eta\rhoov[
    ]\phi\epsiloń\rho\in\iota \tau\alpha[
    ]\epsilon \tau\grave{\alpha}}\mu\boldsymbol{\mu}\epsilon<br>lambda\lambdao[\nu\tau

```

Fr. 14.
] \(\mu \phi \iota \nu[\) ] \(\frac{\text { є́кќ } \kappa \tau \omega \cdot}{} \gamma[\)
]. \(\nu \in \tau \alpha \iota \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho\) 〔[ ] \(\omega \omega \nu\) [

Fr. 17.
] \(\nu 0 \sigma \epsilon \ldots \alpha[\)
]бíav \(\sigma \kappa о \pi \epsilon[\hat{\imath}\)
] \(\delta \omega \kappa \kappa[\epsilon \in] \alpha[\)

Fr. 18.
] \(\epsilon\) \(\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho\) ou[ ] \(\mathrm{\varepsilon} \mathrm{\epsilon} \mathrm{\tau ou}\)
] \(\alpha \iota \rho \omega t[\)
]. \(\alpha \sigma \alpha \lambda[\)
5 ] \(\nu ข ิ \nu\) [

Fr. 19.
] \(\omega \mathrm{s} \gamma \nu \omega\) [
]. v [
]ns [
] \(\alpha \tau \alpha \nu[\)
5 ]. \(v \mu \alpha[\)
]. \(\epsilon \sigma v \mu[\)
]ouls • [
] \(\mu\) [
]aтa. [
5 ] \(\omega 0\) [
] \(\tau \omega \nu \alpha[\)

Fr. 20.
Fr. 21.
,


Fr. 24.
] . . \(\alpha / \sigma[\)
]. \(\epsilon^{\ell} \chi \rho \eta \tau \sigma[\)
] \(\alpha \lambda\). . . \(\phi \rho[\)
\(] \kappa \in \sigma!\beta o v \lambda \dot{[ }\)
5 ] \(\quad 0 . . . \lambda \alpha \nu[\)

Fr. 25 .
] \(\lambda\) ovo \(\alpha к \alpha[\)
] \(\omega \pi \alpha \lambda \iota\). [
] \(\epsilon \sigma \sigma 0 \sigma!\). . [
] . . . \(\epsilon .\). [

Fr. 26.
] \(\oint \cup \sigma \pi \pi \alpha \epsilon \sigma \tau \omega \iota\). [ ] \(\lambda \lambda о \nu \in \nu \theta \alpha\)
]к! \(\sigma \kappa \alpha \theta \iota \zeta \in[\) ]

Fr. 27.
Fr. 28.
Fr. 29.
Fr. 30.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \(\delta \rho[\) & \(] \alpha \rho \sigma \epsilon[\) & \(] \mu \circ \nu[\) & ] . . [ \\
\hline \(\delta_{!}[\) & \(] \rho \in \theta о \sigma \beta \lambda_{\epsilon}[\) & ]avop. [ & ] \(\eta\) ¢ \(\alpha \sigma \sigma\) 相 \\
\hline - . &  & ] 0 oft[ & ].[. .].[ \\
\hline & ] [ & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Fr. 31. & Fr. 32. & Fr. 33. \\
\hline - . & - . & - . \\
\hline \(\lambda[\) & ]к. \(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\text {d }} \cdot[\) & ] . . \({ }^{\text {a }}\) [ \({ }^{\text {c }}\) \\
\hline \(\chi^{\alpha} \cdot 1\) & \(] \mu \epsilon \chi \rho \iota\) & ]єкф \(\alpha\). [ \\
\hline \(\epsilon \nu \pi[\) &  & ] \(\mathrm{T}^{\text {[ }}\) \\
\hline - & \(]^{\prime} \cdot \gamma^{\prime} ¢ \rho \pi \epsilon[\) & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Fr. 22.
]...[...].[
] \(Z \in \dot{\text { es }}\) коı \(\rho \alpha \nu[\) ]o.. o \(\rho \in \hat{\imath} \boldsymbol{\imath} \pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \in \sigma \tau \iota \nu \in[\)

Fr. 24.
] . . \(\alpha \iota \sigma[\)
]. \(\epsilon \nu \chi \rho \eta ̀ \tau \rho[\)
\(] \alpha \lambda . .\).
\(\alpha \rho] \kappa \in \sigma \iota \beta o u \lambda[\)
ј \(\quad] \pi \circ\). . \(\lambda \alpha \nu[\)

Fr. 23.
] \(\epsilon \iota \sigma[..] \kappa^{\prime} \alpha \dot{v} \tau \omega \bar{\nu}\)


Fr. 25.
Fr. 26.


Fr. \(3^{1 .}\)
Fr. 32.
Fr. 33.
\(\lambda[\)
\(\chi^{\alpha} \cdot[\)
]k. \(\lambda \cdot[\)
] \(\mu^{\prime} \chi \rho \iota\)
\(\epsilon \nu \pi[\)
\[
\pi] \epsilon \rho i ̀ \delta \iota \alpha \tau \rho i ́ \beta \alpha[
\]
]. \(\gamma^{\prime}\) є \(\rho \pi \epsilon[\)
]. . \(\alpha \sigma[\)
] \(\mathfrak{\epsilon} \kappa \phi \alpha \cdot[\)
] \(\pi\) [
Fr. 34.
\(\tau![\)
\(\epsilon \xi![\)
\(\kappa \alpha![\)
.\(\quad\).

Fr. 37.


Fr. \(3^{8 .}\)
\[
\begin{aligned}
& ] \cdot[ \\
& ] \omega \nu 0 \sigma \epsilon[ \\
& ] . . \alpha \mu![ \\
& ] 0 \lambda!\tau \alpha \sigma[ \\
& \text { nov } \delta o![ \\
& \quad] \cdot[
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
5 \text { ] Too } \delta 0![
\]

Fr. 35.
] \(\rceil \circ \delta \iota \kappa \alpha \nu \delta \epsilon \iota \phi[\)
] \(\boldsymbol{\nu \kappa \alpha [ . ] v . [ . ] \tau [ ~}\)

Fr. 39.

5 ]ía \(\alpha \cdot \pi o \lambda \lambda \bar{\alpha}[\).\(] ] [\)
]pot \(\sigma \cdot \alpha \delta \epsilon \cdot v[\) vT[]
\(] \pi \underset{\alpha}{\alpha} \cdot v \lambda \lambda \alpha \cdot[\) ] \(\kappa \alpha \lambda o \nu[\)

Fr. \(3^{6}\) ]apo[. .] . . . \(\omega[\) ] \(\alpha \mu \alpha \xi_{\epsilon!\nu \alpha[ }\) ]к \(\alpha!\alpha\). [.] . \(\theta \alpha \lambda[\) Fr. 40.
\[
\begin{gathered}
] \ldots \delta \rho v[ \\
] \ldots[\cdot] \varphi \cdot[ \\
\substack{] \omega \cdot \pi \epsilon \phi\\
]\\
][ }
\end{gathered}
\]

Fr. 41 .

] \(\rho \pi \rho о \mu \alpha \theta \epsilon \nu \sigma\)
l \(\sigma \alpha \rho 0\)
] \(\tau \alpha ́ \chi \alpha \rho \cdot \eta\)
5 ㄷ.]

Fr. 42.


]. . [

Fr. 43.
]. [
] \(\in \rho \theta \in \nu[\)

Fr. 44.
]. \(\pi \circ \cdot[\)
] • \(!\varphi[\)
] \(\pi \rho \cdot[\)

Fr. 46.

\section*{] \(\widehat{\alpha}[\)}

Fr. 47.
] \(\operatorname{\nu o\sigma } \in[\)
\(] \mu \in \lambda[\)

Fr. 48.
]p[.] . . . [

Fr. 45.
\[
] \delta \in \pi[.]
\]
]. \(\omega \lambda[\)

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline ] \(\mu \alpha v \tau\) & ] \(\eta \rho \alpha \nu \pi\) o[ & \(] \mu \alpha \theta \epsilon \iota \nu[\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
] \(\tau \alpha \lambda[\) \\
] \(\nu \pi \lambda\).
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& ] \cdot \delta[ \\
& ] \cdot a \sigma[ \\
& ] \sigma \dot{\phi}[
\end{aligned}
\] & . . &  & \\
\hline Fr. 50. & Fr. 51. & Fr. 52. & Fr. 53. \\
\hline \[
\text { ]. } \omega \sigma \alpha \lambda \lambda o[
\] & ] \(¢\) ¢ \(\alpha\) [ & ] \({ }^{\text {a át }}\) • [ & ] \(\alpha \rho \underline{0}\) [ \\
\hline ]. [. .] \(\cdot\) [ & \(] \nu \mu[\) & ] \(\mathrm{y} \alpha \rho \mathrm{\rho}\) [ & \(] \pi![\) \\
\hline - . . & & - . & - - \\
\hline Fr. 54. & Fr. 55. & Fr. \(5^{6}\). & Fr. 57. \\
\hline - . & - • & - • & - \(\cdot\) \\
\hline ] \(¢ \iota \delta \delta[\) & ] \(\alpha \sigma \kappa \leqslant\) & \(] \psi \sim \nu[\) & \(] \cdots \underset{ }{[ }\) \\
\hline ] \(\pi \alpha \rho \alpha[\) & ]aıa! [ & \(] \dot{\mu} \in \nu\) [ & \(] \kappa \sigma \in![\) \\
\hline . . . & - . - & . . . & ] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Fr. \(5^{8 .}\)


Fr. 62.
]. . [
]ovetop [
Fr. 59 .

1
]ov. \(\mu \cdot[\)

Fr. 63.
\(] \lambda \in \sigma[\)
je. [

Fr. 66.
Fr. 67.
] \(\xi \in \nu[\)

Fr. 60.
]. \(\lambda \epsilon \iota\) ]

Fr. 64.
Fr. 65.
\(\epsilon \nu \phi[\)

Fr. 68.
Fr. 69. ] \(\alpha\) [


Fr. 50.
]. \(\omega s \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o[\)
]. [. .] \(\boldsymbol{T} \cdot[\)

Fr. 54.
Fr. 55.
] \(\alpha \sigma \kappa[\)
] \(\alpha \iota \alpha=[\)

Fr. 58.

]lv. [

Fr. 62.
Fr. 63.
] \(\lambda \in \sigma[\)
]c. [

Fr. 66.
Fr. 67.
\(] \xi \in \nu[\)
] \(\gamma \in \rho[\)
Fr. \(5 \%\)
] \(\in \rho \alpha[\)
] \(v \mu[\)
.

Fr. 59.
] ovvтov[
jv* \(\mu\). [

Fr. 60.
]. \(\lambda \in l\)
]

Fr. 64.
Fr. 65.
] \(\alpha\) 入oxov [
\(\epsilon \quad \dot{\epsilon}[\)

Fr. 68.
Fr. 69.
] \(\mu \in \sigma \iota \sigma[\)
Fr. 53.
Fr. 52.
\(\nu \alpha l^{\prime \prime} \cdot[\)
] \(\alpha \rho \omega[\) \(] \pi l\) [

Fr. 56.
Fr. 57. ] \(\psi \alpha v[\) ]. . \(\lambda[\) ] \(\kappa \sigma \epsilon \iota[\) ] [ Fr. 61. \(l \omega \nu\)
\(]\)
L
\(\qquad\)

It may be convenient to add here the previously known fragments of Cercidas, which I transcribe from Bergk, Poetae Lyrici, ii. pp. \(5^{1} 3^{-1} 5\).

\section*{Iambi.}
1. Athen. xii. 554 d :


\section*{Meliambi.}
2. Diog. Laert. vi. 76 :
oủ \(\mu \grave{\alpha} \nu\) ó \(\pi \alpha ́ \rho o s ~ \gamma \alpha ~ \sum i \nu \omega \pi \epsilon u ̀ s ~\)

 \(\kappa \alpha \grave{\imath}\) тò \(\pi \nu \epsilon \bar{v} \mu \alpha\) \(\sigma \nu \nu \delta \alpha \kappa \omega \nu\).

Zavòs үóvos oủpávlós \(\tau \epsilon\) кúшข.
1. \(\gamma^{a}\) Bergk; \(\gamma \epsilon\) Cobet, \(\gamma^{\prime}\) 侊 vulg. 4. This line was bracketed by Cobet. 5 . \(\delta_{\iota o \gamma \epsilon v}\) is is placed here instead of at the beginning of 1.6 by W-M.

The reference is to the death of Diogenes. The language of this fragment is reflected

3. Stob. Flor. Iviii. \(10=1082\). Fr. 7. 2-4.
4. Stob. Flor. iv. 43 :

 àvépes vulg. 3. W-M with Bentley would prefer the genitive \(\pi \mathrm{a} \lambda \hat{\omega} \ldots \delta v \sigma \epsilon \kappa \nu i \pi \tau \omega\) т \(\quad\). \(\quad\).
5. Galen x. 406 :


 that єidokı \(\mu \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota\) does not belong to the quotation, notwithstanding its metrical aptness.
6. Athen viii. 347 c :


 \(\tau \in \theta^{\epsilon} \nu \tau \omega \nu\).
7. Greg. Naz. De Virt. 595 :

\(\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \gamma \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \iota \mu \dot{\alpha} \rho \gamma \omega \nu \quad \sigma \hat{i} \tau \alpha, \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \sigma \hat{\imath} \tau{ }^{\prime} \epsilon ้ \tau \iota\)
 óp \(\theta \hat{\omega}\) s \(\lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota\) mov Kєркíठаs ò фí \(\lambda \tau \alpha \tau о s\),
 \(\alpha u ̛ \tau \hat{\eta} S ~ \tau \rho \cup \phi \hat{\eta} S{ }^{\star} \theta^{\prime}, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \mu \nu \rho o ̀ \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \pi \tau v ́ \omega \nu\).

A corrupt passage, which Bergk does not attempt to emend.
8. Phot. Bibl. 279, p. 533 b:



9. Pollux iii. 27 :
 Cf. Fr. I. ii. 12.
 as a proper name, e. g. C. I. G. r69.
14. For \(\sigma \pi v \rho o i\), which evidently occurred in the text of the poet, cf. Etym. Magn. \(7^{24}\).
 The word occurs in Paton-Hicks, Inscr. of Cos, 39. in, Collitz, Gr. Dialektinschr. 4736 (Thera), Dittenberger, Sylloge, ed. 2, 938. 23 (Epidaurus).
ii. r-iii. I7. ' [Why did not Fortune] reduce to penury the . . . and incontinent Xenon, and bring us his money that was running to waste? What was to prevent, supposing some one should ask her? For it is easy for a god to accomplish everything whenever it comes into his mind, and to empty of his swinish wealth the dirty usurer and hoarder or this outpourer and ruin of his substance, and to give the squandered means to the man who takes his bite in season and shares his cup with a neighbour. Is then the eye of Right blinded like a mole's? Does Phaethon see crookedly with a single orb, and is the
vision of fair Justice dimmed? How can they who have neither hearing nor inlet of sight be yet taken for deities? Nay, the august lightning-compeller sits on mid Olympus holding even the balance and in no wise signifies his will. And so said Homer in the Iliad; it sinks when the fated day comes to noble men. For why does not he who controls the weights, if he is upright, incline them to me, or to Phrygia at the ends of the earth ? Of a truth I fear to say how perversive is the scale of Zeus with men. To what sort of lords, then, or to what children of Heaven can one go to find how he may get his deserts, when the son of Cronus, the begetter and parent of us all, is found to be a father to some and a stepfather to others? Better to leave these questions to the astrologers, for they, I expect, will have no manner of trouble. For us let Paean and Giving be our care, for she is a goddess, with Retribution, on earth. While, then, the deity sends a favouring breeze, hold her in honour, men, and pursue her . . . and you may then utterly rid yourselves of the reprehensible [desire for] wealth and for [the other gifts] of fortune.'
1. Túx \(\eta\) or some deity is the subject of the sentence. áкpaai \(\omega \nu\) is only found here.
2. Two short syllables are required between \(\pi \epsilon \nu \eta \tau\) and \(a \nu\), and \(\pi \epsilon \nu \eta \tau v \lambda i \delta a \nu\), although palaeographically unconvincing, satisfies that condition and is a tolerable word. \(\pi \epsilon \nu \eta r u{ }^{2}\) дos (cf. e. g. \(\mu \iota \kappa \kappa \dot{u} \lambda o s\) ) is, as W (ilamowitz)-M(öllendorff) remarks, in harmony with the style,
 factory, if the problem of the next word could be solved. For the first damaged letter it is difficult to read anything but a \(v\); a mark of elision followed by an \(\iota\) is much less suitable. The second, if not \(\lambda\), can be \(\delta\) or \(a\), possibly \(\nu\). With \(\nu\), there would be only one more letter before \(a v\); with \(\lambda, \delta\), or \(a\), there are probably two, and the slight vestiges seem most consistent with \(\iota \sigma\), but \(\delta \delta\) is, I think, just possible. A \(\kappa\) is hardly admissible.

The marginal note evidently refers to Xenon, who is not, apparently, otherwise known to fame.
4. \(\langle v\rangle \phi^{\prime} \mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}\). tis \(\phi \dot{\rho} \rho 0[l]\) to gives inferior sense, and is abnormal in syntax.

6-8. These three opprobrious compounds are all new. The first two go together and express the opposite character to that of the intemperate Xenon ( (ooṽov, 1. 9) ; the miser
 impossible for such a bold coiner of words as Cercidas; cf. \(\pi a \lambda \iota \nu \epsilon \kappa \chi \nu \mu \varepsilon \nu i t a s\) in the verse below. The idea it expresses is that hoarded wealth is dead and unprofitable. There is really very little doubt about the first syllable, and though the vestiges of the supposed \(\kappa\) are slight they suit that letter well. The mark of length above the ı must in any case be erroneous.

The variant \({ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda_{\epsilon} \theta \rho o \nu\) inserted in the margin is obviously right.
 unattested compounds,
II. The diminutive \(\delta a \pi a ́ v v \lambda \lambda a\) is another unfamiliar word; for the form of. e.g. \(\phi \theta_{i v} \nu \lambda a\), and Fr. 39. 7.
12. The marginal note gives a definition of \(\sigma \pi a ́ \lambda a \xi\), from which the novel \(\grave{a} \pi о \sigma \pi a \lambda a к о i ̄ \nu\)

 and \(\theta \epsilon \operatorname{\epsilon } \mu \mathrm{s}\) is not unnatural, the sun as all-seeing being regarded as the avenger of the innocent ; hence the practice of calling the sun to witness. 入ıтарá is Hesiod's epithet of Ó \(\mu \iota s\),


15. The marginal variant, with the slight alteration of \(\tau 0\) for ot, is no doubt right. vítor toí would be unmetrical. ómáv here refers especially to the sense of vision.

16-iii. 3. The complaint here seems to be that Zeus does not actively intervene in the interests of right. He only holds the balance and observes its indications; the weights





5. Bevya is more likely to have been altered to \(\phi\) puyta than vice versa; the shortening of the \(v\), which is long in Apoll. Rhod. iv. 330 Bpuynioas, 470 Bpvyoi, Scymnus 433 Bpvyoi, occasions little difficulty; cf. Bpiyes. Sufficient regularity is restored to the metre by the
 proverb Mvoஸ̂ע \(\tilde{\epsilon} \sigma \chi a \pi o s\) to indicate an insignificant or unknown person; cf. e. g. Plato,


 The poet would have justice rewarded even in the most obscure and humble of men. This seems a more suitable interpretation than to make the \(\delta\) é adversative 'but inclines them instead to . . :

An erroneous accent on the first syllable of eб⿱ara has been cancelled by the dots placed on either side of it; cf. 841. vi. 88 and ix. 17, where we wrongly supposed the two accents to be alternatives.
6. [ \(\pi a \rho a] \gamma \epsilon \mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}\); the letter after the lacuna could equally well be \(\tau\). aivois refers not to Mva \(\omega \nu\) but vaguely to people in general.

9-10. єivot, as emended by the corrector, is evidently right, and \(\lambda\) áßot would naturally

 кé \(\chi \rho \eta \tau a t\) ( \(=\) Cerc. Fr. 9). The allusion may well be to the present passage.




 alternative remedy. The corrector's \({ }_{\epsilon} \quad \chi \eta \nu\) may be the original form ; cf. introd. p. 24 .
15. \(\mu \epsilon \tau a \delta \omega s\), as W-MI points out, is probably for Mєтódos, a substantive formed from
 adscript of the papyrus refers. Aiò \(\dot{\omega}\) s has no doubt some speciousness in view of the passage in Hesiod, Opera 197-200, where Aióós and Népetss are described as leaving the earth for Olympus. Cercidas might be held to be directly controverting that statement: Hesiod was wrong; they are still on earth (кaтò \(\gamma \hat{a} v\), l. 16), and are the true divinities. But, besides metrical difficulties, the objection to this is that the marginal note becomes quite irrelevant and must be supposed to be a mistake. The corruption to auows, on the other hand, would be easy, apart from the possible influence on the copyist of Hesiod's conjunction of Ai \(i \delta \dot{\omega} s\)
 of Hesiod cited here by the annotator. With \(\theta\) eòs yàp aüra in the following verse the epithet is otiose, and its removal leaves the metre normal. If dya \(\theta\) a is retained, it must be scanned as an anapaest, кai being elided before the following short vowel, which would be in accordance with the later practice. Murray, keeping Aióos, proposes the transposition каї \(\mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime}\) Aì̀̀̀s à \(\gamma a \theta\) á.

The inclusion of Paean among these deities is noteworthy, though hardly surprising ;
both Antisthenes (Diog. Laert. vi. 6) and Diogenes (Stob. xiii. 25) are reported to have compared their office to that of doctors, and Bernays remarks on the fact that Diogenes, who spared few, seems to have respected medical men (Lucian und die Cyniker, p. 95 ; cf. Diog. Laert. vi. 24). Perhaps, as W-M thinks, Né \(\mu \epsilon \sigma t s\) here has a wider meaning than retribution, and is rather the principle of ius suum cuique ; cf. Arist. De Mundo 7 N \(\epsilon \boldsymbol{\mu \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu} \boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\epsilon}\)
 seems, however, to be no parallel for the actual use of \(\nu^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \sigma \iota s\) in this sense.
17. \(\tau \iota \mu \bar{\tau} \tau \epsilon\) : strictly the dialect requires \(\tau \iota \mu \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon\), but this need hardly be pressed.
iv. 1-4. The supplements adopted are for the most part due to \(\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}\). \(\mu \mathrm{\epsilon}]\) rait \(\mathrm{I}_{\text {avers }}\) is to be taken with \(\tau \not \mu a \hat{\tau} \epsilon\), the circumflex accent, which is inconsistent with the diaeresis, being erroneous. A complementary clause, specifying the contrasted objects of aversion, followed;

 \(\epsilon[\sigma \sigma i v\) is also doubtful, and \(\epsilon[\) inov, alluding to an injunction given earlier in the poem, is a possible alternative ; \(\epsilon\lceil\dot{u} \tau \boldsymbol{\sigma} \dot{v}\) is rather too long for the space. Owing to the mutilated condition of the text, the correct division of these concluding lines remains uncertain. \(\mu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \phi^{\prime}\)
 in the same rhythm.

5-18. 'It has been said, Damonomus-you are not devoid of knowledge-that the dark-winged son of Aphrodite blows on us from his mouth two kinds of breath. The man on whom his right cheek breathes softly with gentle breath steers in calm weather the ship of love by the sane rudder of persuasion. But they on whom he looses the left cheek and stirs forth the storms and wanton blasts of desire have their course ever set on a surging sea. Well said Euripides. Is it not then better to choose of the two the favouring breeze, and wisely using the rudder of persuasion to sail straight. while our course lies in Aphrodite's waters?'
5. A new poem, as is indicated by the coronis, begins at this point. The passage


 attributed the line to Euripides (Com. Frag. iv. p. 171), a conjecture which is now verified by 1. 15 below. Cf. Iph. Aul. 543-57.
6. кขауотт́ \(\rho v \gamma o s\) is not otherwise attested.
7. Damonomus is unknown ; it is implied that he was sufficiently well-read to recognize the allusion rather than that he was experienced in love.
\(8-9\). The restoration of this passage is a little doubtful. It is not clear in the first place whether \(\gamma\) áp, which has been added above the line, was intended to replace or to supplement

 seems more naturally taken, as marked in the papyrus, with the succeeding relatives, and with \([\tau \hat{\varphi}]\) I prefer to suppose that the inserted \(\gamma\) áp is slightly out of its proper position. At the end of the line \(\epsilon \dot{\jmath} \mu \nu \nu[\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho a]\) is indicated by the grave accent on the third \(\epsilon\); but the juxtaposition of two words in - \(\tau \epsilon \rho a\) is not satisfactory, and since other instances of mistaken accents occur in this MS., I have adopted W-M's \(\epsilon \dot{\jmath} \mu \epsilon \nu \in[\hat{\imath} \pi \nu o a ̂]\), for which there is just room in the lacuna. Since Euripides had written \(\pi \nu \in \dot{v} \mu a \tau a ~ \pi \nu \epsilon i \mathrm{i}\), there is no objection

cf．1．15．This will leave \(-\cup \cup-\)－instead of the usual \(-\cup \cup-\cup \cup-\underline{\text { for the first }}\) part of 1． 9 ；but other examples of an Adoneus are not wanting in these poems，e．g．ii． 5 ， Fr．3．ii．3－4，II，and there is no particular objection to one at this point．Murray makes the suggestion that \(\epsilon \dot{\nu} \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \tau \tau \in \rho a \mid \delta \epsilon \xi \in a\) may be the original text，\(\delta \epsilon \xi \iota \tau \epsilon \rho a\) being due to the influence of the preceding word ；this would not be unattractive，were єथj \(\mu \in \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \in \rho a\) assured．

10．\(\tilde{\epsilon}^{\rho} \rho \omega \tau\) os is probably to be connected with vaûv rather than with \(\dot{a} \tau \rho \epsilon \mu i a\) ，in spite of the absence of the article，for which passages like Xen．Cyrop．viii．I． 8 tàs \(\theta\) v́pas Kúpou may be compared．Or a more normal construction can easily be obtained by the transposition vaû̀ \(\tau a ̂ \nu\) ．

15．For Eipurions cf．note on 1．5．I adopt in the second part of the verse the slight alterations suggested by W－MI in order to restore the dislocated metre．Another expedient would be to substitute ка入òv for кáppor，which would give the favourite rhythm－uレーレレーー； but кáppov looks right，and is unlikely to have displaced an original ка入óv．
v．I I．ко日［ might be a derivative of the Doric form ко日aipetv for ка日aipєıv（cf．Collitz，Gr． Dialektinschr． 1646 ко \(\theta a \rho \bar{\omega} \nu\), II 56 ко \(\theta a \dot{\rho} \sigma \iota\) ）．The choice of words beginning with ко \(\theta\) is very limited．

13－16．In the restoration of this commendation of a cheap and easy love I owe several points to W－M．Cf．e．g．Diog．Laert．vi．46，Horace，Sal．i．2．II9 namque parabilem amo venerem facilemque．A dot before \(\lambda \eta \sigma\) is presumably accidental；there is another superfluous dot after oßoخ \(\omega\) ．In 1．16（19）the high stop after \(\epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu\) might be interpreted as one of the dots enclosing the insertion above the line．

Fr．2．ii．1－3．Fr． 3 r might be placed at the top of this column so far as external appearances are concerned．

4．кротךбizop os is another novel compound．
6．A vestige from the top of the letter following \(\eta\) suggests \(\lambda\) or \(\delta\) ．
7．Perhaps \(\phi \in v \xi \iota \pi \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \nu a\) ，though the cross－bar of the \(\eta\) must be supposed to have been drawn abnormally high ；cf．however \(\eta\) in 1．I5．The letter after \(\pi\) is more like another \(\pi\) than anything else，but an \(\iota\) is also possible．Compounds of \(\phi v \xi t\)－occur，but none with \(\phi \in v \xi \iota-\) ．Or should we combine кa］raфєíg（ \(\epsilon)\) ？

8．\(\sigma\) к⿺夂⺀。 \(\theta \rho \in \pi \tau\) os occurs only here．
9．The letter between the two lacunae is represented by an upright stroke which may well be one of the limbs of a \(\pi\) ，and \(\dot{\varepsilon} \delta[0] \int[0] \pi[\lambda] a \kappa \pi \omega \nu\)（ \(\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}\) ）is quite suitable；cf． \(\dot{\eta} \delta o v o \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \xi\), which was used by Timon．The supposed rough breathing above the initial a may be a mark of long quantity．

12．\(\gamma a \sigma[\) ：or \(\gamma a \rho\) ．The letter after ］\(\tau \rho a\) may be \(\pi\) ．
13 sqq．As restored by \(\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}\) this passage describes the physical condition of some one suffering from self－indulgence；the first person is used in 1．16，but the poet cannot be



 in \(-v \xi\) by Theognostus（Cramer，Anecd．Ox．ii． \(13^{2}\) ），and does not merit the suspicion with which it has been regarded（Lobeck，Paralip．p．I08）．According to Erasistratus，\(\pi \nu \epsilon \bar{u} \mu a\) is comprised in the arteries，and \(\sigma \phi \dot{\gamma}\) a \(\phi\) voanéav might therefore mean an inflated pulse．
 ］．．\(s k \rho \alpha[\tau] a a \hat{s}\) ．．］as or ］．as is there possible，but \(\kappa \rho a t]\) foas cannot be read．－This would not be an unsuitable context for Cerc．Fr． 7.
iii．20．Perhaps \(\lambda a \mu v \rho o[\) ；the letter before \(\mu\) is more like \(a\) than \(\lambda\) ，but it is noticeable that \(\dot{a} \lambda \mu \nu \rho o ́ v o c c u r s ~ i n ~ C e r c . ~ F r . ~ 7.6 . ~ T h i s ~ l i n e ~ e n d e d ~ t h e ~ p o e m . ~\)

Fr．3．ii．2－14．＇Many a mortal to whom death comes closes his eyes unwillingly． And thy heart within thy breast was stubborn and unconquered，making a rich meal of every care．Therefore nought goodly ever escaped thee．All the dainty prey of the Muses， O my soul，was deep in thy affections，and thou wert a most skilled fisher and hunter of the Pierian maids．But now when white hair plain to view hangs about the head ．．．and the chin is hoary，and life，if it seeks any good thing suited to its age and years，uses flattery， looking to the broad threshhold of its end，now ．． ＇
 suitable．kai is best scanned as a short syllable，－uv－－－レレー－；cf．I．I I．

5．\(\pi \iota \mu\) едогаркофаүєі \(i\) is another new compound．The termination is apparently－\(\hat{\omega}\) ，


6．\(\tau\langle\hat{\varphi}\rangle \tau[i] \nu\) W－M．For the accus．\(\tau[i] \nu\) cf．Theocr．xi．39，\＆c．；the remains of the first letter are quite consistent with \(\tau\) ．ка \(\bar{\omega} \nu\) ，the reading of the corrector，is shown by the metre to be right．To the same hand is due the deletion of the final \(\nu\) of \(\tau \in \sigma \sigma \sigma\) ，where the justice of the alteration is questionable．

7．The metre is easily restored by the slight modifications proposed by W－M．vao is unsatisfactory，the \(\pi\) occupying too wide a space；but perhaps some mistake had to be rectified．

\(9-10\) ．This is a difficult passage．In the first place the indicative here and in 1.12
 past tenses in 1l． 4 and 8 and \(\nu \hat{v}\) in l． 9 show that the reference is to the present rather than the future，so that the indicative is really more in place．Possibly，since öка could govern either mood，a similar licence was extended to the longer form．Then is \(\lambda\) áxua \(^{\prime}\) the
 and the first three letters are consistent with the very slight vestiges．But the preceding lacuna would then be so short as practically to involve the dative кориф \([\hat{a}]\) ．If \(\pi \epsilon \rho[[]\) ，which is also quite admissible，were read，there would be room for another narrow letter，e．g． кори \(\phi\left[a_{i}\right]\) ．The middle of 1. Io is much damaged；\(\chi\) may be read for the doubtful \(\kappa, \chi\) or \(a\) for \(\lambda\) ，and \(o\) or \(\sigma\) for \(\epsilon\) ．The next letter looks like \(\omega\) ，but \(\chi(\epsilon) \lambda_{\epsilon \epsilon}\)（not \(-\sigma \iota\) ）is not quite impossible．
ir．W－M notes that Cercidas as a Cynic philosopher did not conform to the fashion of shaving the beard．

12．кpáyvod suits the sense as well as the palaeographical conditions，but the \(a\) is perhaps a false Doricism；кр \(\eta\) yvov is the Theocritean form（xx．19，Epigr．xix．3）．A com－ plication is，however，introduced by the apparent interlinear insertion，which remains unexplained．There may be merely a dot before the supposed \(\tau\) ．

13．\(\beta \iota o t a s\) appears to have been wrongly accented；if \(\beta \iota o \tau a ̂ s\) be read，\(\delta є \rho к о \mu \epsilon ́ v a\) is left
 object．\(\pi o \pi i\) is only moderately satisfactory ；the vestige of the letter after \(\pi\) rather points to \(a, \lambda\) ，or perhaps \(\epsilon\) ；\(\pi a \rho a\) or \(\pi \epsilon \rho \iota\) could well be read if they fitted the context．The marginal
 Possibly there was another line below \(\lambda \omega \sigma \kappa \tau \lambda\) ．

Fr．4．1．The letters \(\eta \theta \rho a \sigma\) are on a detached fragment which appears to be rightly placed here．A \(\sigma\) is in any case wanted to precede \(\kappa\) of \(\sigma \kappa \omega \pi \tau \iota \lambda \lambda \iota \circ\) ．，a novel compound presumably
formed from \(\sigma \kappa \dot{\omega} \pi \tau \epsilon \epsilon \nu\) and \(i \lambda \lambda o{ }^{\prime}\); the termination may be ov, os, or ot \([s]\). Or perhaps \(\sigma_{\kappa \omega \pi \tau \iota \lambda \lambda t s ~ c o u l d ~ b e ~ r e a d ; ~ t h e ~ s u p p o s e d ~ a c c e n t ~ o n ~ t h e ~ f i r s t ~}\) is hardly certain. The circumflex above \(a\) of av seems to have been intended as an alternative to the acute, which, to judge by its position, was the original accent. It is not clear whether the two last letters of the line belong to the text or to an adscript. If to the text, they should be read \(\sigma \boldsymbol{\eta}[\), preceded by a medial stop.
3. - - оф \(\lambda\) vakeiv is another unfamiliar compound, in which \(\phi \lambda\) väкeiv is a form of
 \(\phi \lambda v a \rho \epsilon i, \lambda \eta \rho \rho \epsilon i\).
\(5^{-10}\). These lines may be arranged thus:

In l. 6 there is barely room in the lacuna for \(\kappa \in v_{[ }[\)Eas \(]\)and perhaps \(\kappa \in \nu a s\) was mistakenly written. \(\lambda[\eta \mu] \mu^{\prime}[i] \nu^{\prime}\) is suggested exempli gratia by W-M ; a tiny vestige of the first letter of 1.9 is consistent with a \(\mu\), but no restoration which does not include the remainder of the verse can be considered satisfactory. In the latter part of that line \(\theta\) could be \(\rho\), and the doubtful \(\nu\) may be \(\delta\) or \(\lambda\); the broken letter following might be the \(\mu\) of \(\mu\) ovoıк \(\hat{s}\), -if that is the right word.

II-14. The conclusion of the poem, where in all probability there is a reference to the Stoic Zeno, unfortunately remains obscure. In l. in the first o stands somewhat to the right of the initial letter of the line above and, with a slight allowance for the slope of the column, a lacuna of one letter at the beginning of the line is probable, apart from the consideration that ör' or ôtrav would be contrary to the dialect. Moreover, near the top of the o there is a very small speck of ink which may be a survival of the lost letter. At the end of this line, \(a t\) is on a detached strip, which was found folded with Fr. 4 and with little doubt belongs to it, though the combination adopted is uncertain. The exiguous remains of the preceding letter are consistent with e.g. к or \(\mu\), but not \(\tau ; \vec{\epsilon} \lambda \kappa[0] \mu a t\) is possible. In 1. 12, if \([\pi]\) or above is right, three letters are expected before ateutov. The vestiges in front of the a do not suit \(\tau\), nor is oratevoóv here very attractive. Perhaps tov is the article. apo is probable in 1. I3 after apбevar and a repetition of that word in some form, e.g. ù \(\rho \sigma^{\varepsilon} \nu \omega \nu\) or ä \(\alpha \sigma \eta \nu\), seems to be indicated. \(\epsilon\) is not impossible for the fourth letter, though the very slight trace does not suggest it; a letter having a perpendicular stroke like \(\eta\) would be more satisfactory. In l. I4 the first letter may be \(\gamma\) or \(\tau\), and we have the choice between \(\gamma \gamma^{\prime \prime}(\lambda] \omega s\) and \(] \tau^{\prime}\) (or \(\gamma^{\prime}\) ) \(\ddot{\epsilon}[p] \omega s\) : the latter accords better with nótov and \(\left.i^{\top}{ }^{\top} \epsilon\right] \rho o \nu\) just above.

On the meaning of these lines and their relation to the foregoing passage see p. 23 . It is not necessary to assume that the masculine relationship which seems to have been here commended was the ordinary \(\pi a \iota \delta \kappa \rho a \sigma \tau i a\), to which Zeno, as the fragments show (cf. Frs. 247-53, v. Arnim), was supposed to have been addicted. The poet was possibly upholding a sentiment of a more spiritual order as the true 'Zenonian' love. The adjective Zqע \(\omega \nu\) ıкós is used by Sopater, \(a p\). Athen. iv. p. 160 f .
15. Kєркióa: Kєркьঠas is the common spelling, that being the accent according to
 Kєркiסas). But Kєркiסas, as in Harpocration, Stobaeus, and others is, as W-Mi observes, better adapted both to Doric nomenclature and to a period prior to the vogue of hypocoristica in -ôs. Stobaeus makes the genitive \(-a\), as here, in lviii. ro, oov in iv. 43.

Fr．5．2．Ka \(\lambda \lambda \mu \epsilon\) é \(\delta \nu\) may be the Philo－Macedonian orator ridiculed for his gluttony by comic poets ；cf．e．g．Athen．iii． 104 c －d．

4．\(\sigma \phi\) aipos was a form used of the \(\kappa \dot{\sigma} \sigma \mu o s\) by Empedocles，but no doubt \(\Sigma \phi\) aip should here be taken，with W－M，as a proper name，and the Stoic philosopher（Diog．Laert，vii．6） is meant．This allusion is of cardinal importance in the question of the poet＇s date （cf．p．26），and has a secondary interest from the fact that Sphaerus had been an instructor of Cleomenes，the enemy of Megalopolis（Plut．Cleom．II）．

8．］рєроит＇may be the participle or \(=\) ］\({ }^{\prime}\) éovar．
Fr．6．\({ }^{2-3}\) ．Restored by W－M．For I． 2 cf．Callimachus，Fr． 46 ßovoóov，ö้ \(\tau \epsilon \mu \dot{\nu} \omega \pi a\)


Fr．7．r．A dark fibre running down the verso would suit a combination with Fr．9．6， a lacuna of one or two letters dividing \(\sigma a \pi\) ．［ from ］．\(\epsilon \sigma[\) ；but the edges of the papyrus do not directly join．
\({ }_{2-4}\) ．The identification of these lines with Cerc．Fr． 3 （Stob．Flor．Iviii．ro）is due to


 Archytas in Gaisford＇s Stobaeus App．p． 46.

2．Some case of ci入amivy is probable．
3．\(\beta\) \入evvorépov cannot be read．

5．W－M suggests \(\pi a \nu \theta \dot{\theta}\left[\pi \epsilon \nu \mu a\right.\) ．\(\pi \hat{a} \nu \theta \omega\left[\right.\) or \(\pi a ́ \nu \theta^{\circ} \dot{\omega}[\) might also be read．

7．An interlineation at the end of the line is perhaps a mark of short quantity．
10．Perhaps áp \(\mu o i ̂\) ，－unless it is \(\gamma\) ］á \(\mu o\) ．
II．ma入auós is possible ：or the division may be ］．a \(\lambda a \iota o ̀ s ~[. ~\)
Fr．11．This fragment might well be from the top of Fr．I．v．\(\mu \dot{\eta} . \ldots \nu_{o}^{\prime} \mu \iota[\epsilon\) would be a convenient combination．

2．Some form of the Doric future of \(\rho \dot{\rho} \epsilon \mathrm{\omega}\) seems probable．
4．\(\tau \sigma \hat{v} \tau \hat{\omega}\left[:\right.\) or \(\tau o \hat{v} \tau^{\prime} \dot{\omega}[\) ．\(\tau o u ́ \tau \omega[\) is excluded by the accent．
Fr．13．5．A dot between \(\nu\) and \(\mu\) half－way up the letters is probably accidental，since there is no word \(\mu \in \nu a \lambda \lambda\) ．．．

6．The preceding \(\kappa\) is against the division ávíкa \(\rho_{L}\) ．
Fr．20．6．In the interlinear insertion the supposed \(v\) may be a rough breathing belonging to the \(a\) below；there would then be a dot between the breathing and \(\mu[\) ．
 but óp \(\hat{\eta} \nu\) would also be a good Doric form（Fr．r．iv． \(5 \phi v \sigma \hat{\eta} v, ~ \&<c\).\() ．\)

Fr．23．2．\(\quad \mathfrak{j} \backslash \lambda \beta\) ßö́dakov \(W-M\) ．This word，which is not found elsewhere，is explained by the marginal ìmo入avov \([\tau a\) ；à ào入avar［七кóv is unsuitable．

Fr. 24. 2. An ink-spot above the line before \(\epsilon\) may represent a high stop.
4. àpкєбißovגos is unknown, but cf. ípкєбíyvos.

Fr. 25. I. The letter before the lacuna may be \(\tau\); it is probably not \(\nu\).
Fr. 28. 2. ] \(\rho^{\prime} \theta^{\prime}\) os is more likely than \(] \rho \notin \theta o s\) on account of 1.3 .
Fr. 30. 2. At the left edge of the papyrus between ll. 1 and 2 there is an ink-mark which may belong to some insertion.

Fr. 31. Cf. note on Fr. 2. ii. 1-3.
Fr. 32. 4. The acute accent on \(\delta_{\kappa o \tau} \nless \beta a[\) is singular ; but perhaps it has been affected by the alternative termination.

Fr. 34. This fragment has a deeper margin at the top of the column than is found elsewhere in this papyrus. Frs. 34-4I, 43-4, 59-6I, 64-5 are much worm-eaten, a circumstance which dissociates them from Fr. r.

Fr. 37. The hand of this fragment is apparently identical with that of the rest, but the coronis is rather different from those in Fr. 1. iv and Fr. 2. iii, and the paragraphus below 1. 3 is unusual in this papyrus.

Fr. 39. 7. \(\dot{a}] \pi a \dot{T} v \lambda \lambda a\) is suggested by \(\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}\) on the analogy of \(\delta a \pi a ́ v \nu \lambda \lambda a \nu\) in Fr . I. ii. i I. Possibly the latter word was originally written here by mistake.

Fr. 40. 3. \(\pi \epsilon \phi\) : or \(\gamma \epsilon \phi(\gamma \epsilon \phi v \rho-)\).
Fr. 41. 5. This apparent insertion immediately below 1. 4 is not easy to interpret. A letter may be lost after the \(\lambda\), but there is no sign of other letters. The fragment is from the bottom of a column.

Fr. 43. 2. ]e \(\theta_{\epsilon \nu}\) perhaps ended the line. The attribution of this fragment to 1082 is somewhat doubtful.

Fr. 46. 6. The interlineation could perhaps be read rap. The first dot is rather far from the \(a\) and may be a high stop.

Fr. 47 is apparently from the top of a column.
Fr. 49. It is hardly certain that this fragment, which seems to be from the top of a column, belongs to the MS.; the letters are slightly smaller and the lines rather closer together than usual.

Frs. 88-69. These two small fragments are doubtfully assigned to the MS.

\section*{1083. Satyric Drama.}

Fr. \(118.5 \times 13.1 \mathrm{~cm}\). Second century.

> Plate III (Fr. i).

The following fragments of a Satyric drama are written in upright uncials which are slightly above the medium size and of rather heavy and ungraceful appearance. They may be assigned to the second century, a date to which the cursive notes, added in Frs. I5 and I9 by a hand perhaps not to be distinguished from that of the text, would also seem to point. The names of the dramatis personce in the margin of Fr. I are more clearly original; but a different hand is probably to be discerned in one or two of the corrections, and may also well be responsible for some of the accents and other signs which occur. Punctuation, however, is to a large extent at any rate due to the first scribe. For this purpose both high and medial dots are used, though without any clear differentiation of values. Marginal paragraphi as usual denote alternations of dialogue; whether a colon in Fr. I. I marks the division of a verse between two speakers is questionable (cf. note ad loc.).

Both the nature of the plot and the authorship of the play are unfortunately matters of uncertainty. Besides the chorus of Satyrs, which is expressly designated in the adscript to Fr. 1. 6, two other characters are mentioned, Oeneus, whose name is entered in the margin as the speaker of Fr. I. 19-20, and Phoenix, who is twice referred to in the text (Frs. 4. 6, 14.3) as well as, probably, in an explanatory note (Fr. 19. 8-9). It would perhaps be palacographically just possible, though not at all satisfactory, to read the name at Fr. i. ig as Phineus instead of Oeneus, and Phineus and Phoenix would be a very natural conjunction. But there seems to be nothing known of Phineus which suits the situation of Fr. I, where the daughter of the person in question is being sought in marriage by the Satyric chorus, evidently as one among several suitors (cf. l. 20). Oeneus, on the other hand, is said to have promoted a contest for his daughter Deïanira, in which the river-god Achelouis was defeated by Heracles ; and with these two figures a chorus of Satyrs would be thoroughly in keeping. But who then is Phoenix? Possibly he was introduced as another unsuccessful aspirant to the maiden's hand. There was, indeed, a tradition actually connecting Phoenix with Oeneus, for according to the Epic poet Asius ( \(a p\). Pausan. vii. 4. 1) Phoenix married Perimede, a daughter of Oeneus; and it
would not be very far-fetched to suppose that in this story he was consoled for the loss of Deïanira by a marriage with her sister.

That the drama from which these fragments are derived was of an early period is indicated as well by the considerable use of the choral element (cf. Frs. 1 and \(18-20\) ) as by the language, which is not inconsistent with a fifthcentury composition. Can the piece be attributed to one of the three great tragedians? The style is not that of Acschylus or of Euripides; but to exclude Sophocles is not so easy. The anaphora of \(\begin{gathered}\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \\ \text { in Fr. I. } 9 \text { sqq. has a good }\end{gathered}\) parallel in Soph. Fr. 855. 3-5. Moreover, Sophocles wrote a play called ' Phoenix', of which practically nothing is known, and an 'Oeneus' has also been assigned to him on doubtful evidence. It is, then, conceivable that Sophocles was the author. On the other hand the repetition of \(\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \alpha\) in Fr . I. 3 and I9 betrays some lack of polish, and Wilamowitz would prefer, if any conjectural attribution is to be made, to refer the piece to Ion of Chios. That poet is credited with two plays named after Phoenix, the \(\Phi_{0 i v \imath} \xi \hat{\eta} K a l \nu \epsilon u ́ s\) and a \(\Phi \frac{i v \imath l}{} \xi \delta \in u ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o s ; ~ f r o m ~\) both of these a few short citations have been preserved, but their plots are quite obscure. It is nowhere stated that either of them was a Satyric drama, though this silence does not justify a contrary conclusion; the character of Caeneus, who is said to have been turned by Poseidon from a woman into a man (Nicander, \(a p\). Anton. Lib. \({ }_{7}\), Schol. Apoll. Rhod. i. \(57, \& \mathrm{c}\).), would lend itself to Satyric treatment. The suggestion, however, is made with all reserve; there is not even any certainty that the play was a product of the classical period.

Of the order of the fragments but few indications are obtainable. Fr. I, in which Oeneus asks the Satyrs who they are and they give an account of themselves and their occupations, presumably stood early in the play, and on that account as well as in consideration of its supcrior size takes precedence of the rest. The position assigned to the smaller pieces is for the most part arbitrary. Three in which a metre other than the iambic is more or less certainly to be recognized (Fr. I8 anapaestic, Frs. 19 and 20 metre doubtful) are placed together near the end. In a few other cases, to which attention is called in the notes, the grouping has been influenced by the rather hazardous evidence of script or colour.

Fr. I. Plate III.
кขрєเข \(\rho \omega \nu \tau \div \alpha \delta \eta \lambda о \nu \nu \tau i ́: ~ \chi \rho \eta[\) थ \(\epsilon \rho \alpha \tau \eta \nu \tau о \iota \circ v \delta \alpha \gamma \omega \nu \circ \sigma \alpha \iota \chi \mu \alpha \lambda[\) \(\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \epsilon \xi \in \rho o u \mu \epsilon \nu \cdot \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \pi \rho \omega \tau \alpha \beta o u \lambda \circ \mu[\) \(\gamma \nu \omega \nu \alpha \iota \tau \iota \nu \epsilon \sigma \pi[.] \rho \in \sigma \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha \iota \gamma \in \nu 0 \nu \sigma \frac{b}{6}[\)
\(5 \beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \tau 0 \nu \tau \epsilon \sigma^{\cdot} 0 v \gamma[\cdot.] \nu \nu \nu \gamma \epsilon \pi \omega \mu \alpha \theta[\) \(\alpha \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \pi \epsilon v \sigma \eta[.] \nu v \mu \phi \iota \circ \mu \epsilon \nu \eta[. . . ..] \nu\). \(\pi \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon \sigma \delta \in \nu \nu \mu \phi \omega \nu \cdot \beta \alpha \kappa \chi \iota \circ \delta \delta \nu \pi \eta \rho \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \cdot\) \(\theta \epsilon \omega \nu \delta^{\prime} о \mu \alpha \nu \lambda о \iota \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha \delta \eta \rho \mu о \sigma \tau \alpha \iota \tau \epsilon \chi \nu \eta\) \(\pi \rho \in \pi о \nu \sigma^{\prime} \epsilon \nu \eta \mu \iota \nu \cdot \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \mu \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha \pi \rho \circ \sigma \mu \alpha \chi \eta \nu\)
 \(\pi v \gamma \mu \eta \sigma \cdot \circ \delta o \nu \tau \omega \nu \cdot \circ \rho \chi \epsilon \omega \nu \alpha \pi о \sigma \tau \rho \circ \phi \alpha \iota\).
\(\epsilon \nu \epsilon \iota \sigma \iota \delta^{\prime} \omega \delta \alpha \iota \mu 0 v \sigma \iota \kappa \eta \sigma^{\cdot} \epsilon \nu \in \sigma \tau \iota \delta \epsilon\) \(\mu \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \iota \alpha \pi \alpha \nu \tau а \gamma \nu \omega \tau \alpha \kappa о \cup \kappa \in \psi \in v \sigma \mu \in \nu \alpha\). \(\stackrel{a}{a}\) \(\bar{i} \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \gamma \chi\) Х \({ }^{\circ} \cdot \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu 0 \nu \rho \alpha \nu o v\)
\({ }^{15} \mu \epsilon \tau \rho \eta \sigma \iota \sigma \cdot \epsilon \sigma \tau о \rho \chi \eta \sigma \iota \sigma^{\bullet} \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \tau \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \omega\) \(\lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \sigma \cdot \alpha \hat{\rho} \alpha \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho \pi о \sigma \eta \theta \epsilon \omega \rho i \alpha\) \(\omega \nu \sigma o \iota \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon \iota \nu \in \xi \in \sigma \tau \iota \tau \circ \nu\) Oотоוоข \(\alpha \nu\) х \(\rho \eta \iota 乡 \eta \iota \sigma \epsilon \alpha \nu \tau \eta \nu \pi \alpha \iota \delta \alpha[\cdot] \rho \rho \sigma \tau \iota \theta \eta \iota \sigma \epsilon \mu 0 \iota\)

20 к \(\alpha \iota \tau 0 \nu \delta \alpha \theta \rho \eta \sigma \alpha \iota \pi \rho \omega \tau o[\cdot] \sigma \sigma \tau \iota \sigma \in \rho \chi \in \tau \alpha \iota\)
Fr. 2.
]uסovovóaтo \(\delta \in \rho \kappa\) [ \(] \alpha \sigma \omega \chi{ }^{\theta} \circ \nu 0 \sigma \sigma \epsilon \lambda \eta \nu \alpha[\)
]оитоф \(\omega \sigma \beta \in \beta \eta \kappa \in \nu 0 \iota \chi \in \tau\) ? \(\alpha[\) ] \(\lambda \lambda \eta \tau \iota \nu v \kappa \tau о \sigma \alpha \sigma \tau \rho о \nu \eta[\) 5 ] \(\nu \eta \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \pi \rho о \sigma \alpha v \gamma \eta \nu \eta \lambda \iota \circ[\) ] \(\kappa \pi \nu \in \iota \delta \epsilon \tau о \nu \delta^{\prime} \alpha \nu \mu \epsilon \lambda \alpha \nu \alpha \beta\) \([\) ] \(\sigma\) òv \(\nu \pi \rho \circ \phi \rho \omega \nu \iota \delta \epsilon \mu \in \pi \alpha[\)

]т! \(\boldsymbol{\gamma}[\cdot]\). [

Fr. i. Plate III.
\(\kappa v \rho \epsilon i ̂ \nu \quad \delta \rho \omega ̂ \nu \tau \alpha\) \(\delta \eta \lambda o v ̂ \nu\) тí. Xрク̀ [...



\(5 \beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \tau o ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma^{.}\)oủ \(\gamma[\grave{\alpha} \rho] \nu \hat{v} \nu \gamma^{\prime} \pi \omega \mu \alpha \theta\left[\grave{\omega} \nu{ }^{\epsilon} X \omega\right.\).




 \(\pi v \gamma \mu \hat{\eta} s\), ó \(\delta \hat{\sigma} \nu \tau \omega \nu\), oे \(\rho \chi \epsilon \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \rho \circ \phi \alpha i ́\),
 \(\mu \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon i ̂ \alpha ~ \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha ~ \gamma \nu \omega \tau \alpha ̀ ~ к о u ̉ \kappa ~ \epsilon ́ \psi \in v \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \alpha\),

 \(\lambda \alpha ́ \lambda \eta \sigma \iota s^{\circ} \hat{\alpha} \rho^{\prime}\) äк \(\alpha \rho \pi о s\) \(\dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \omega \rho i ́ \alpha\);





Fr. 2.
(A) \(\phi \rho o] \hat{u} \delta o \nu\) oủ \(\delta^{\prime} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \delta \epsilon ́ \rho \kappa[о \mu \alpha \iota\) ] \(\alpha \sigma \omega\) X \({ }^{\text {Oovòs } \sigma \epsilon \lambda \eta \nu \alpha[ }\)
(B) \([i \delta]\) où тò \(\phi \hat{\omega} s \beta \epsilon \in \beta \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu\), oľ \(\chi \tau \tau\left[\iota\right.\) \(\sigma \epsilon ́ \lambda \alpha s^{*}\)

5 [ \(\theta] \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \alpha u ̉ \gamma \eta ̀ \nu ~ \dot{\eta} \lambda i ́ o[v ~ \mu \alpha \nu \rho o u ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu\),

(A) \(] \sigma o \nu \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho o ́ \phi \rho \omega \nu\) í \(\delta \hat{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \pi \alpha[\rho o ́ \nu \tau \alpha\) ] \(\pi^{\prime}\) є \({ }^{\prime} \alpha[\) [.] \(]\) S \(\phi u \gamma a ́ \delta \alpha\) тот̀̀ [
] \(\tau \iota \gamma[\cdot]\). [

Fr. 3.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ].. [.]. [ } \\
& \text { ] } \sigma \tau \rho \circ \beta \in \iota \sigma \circ \phi[ \\
& \text { ]. } \tau \nu \sigma \sigma \tau \in \sigma \nu \mu[ \\
& \text { ]iкє } \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \kappa \alpha \pi[ \\
& 5 \quad] \sigma \iota \beta \beta,!
\end{aligned}
\]

Fr. 4.

\section*{\(\omega \tau[\)}
\(v \phi \rho[\)
\(\overline{\omega \delta} \iota \varphi[\)
\(\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \pi \alpha \sigma \epsilon \iota \sigma \mu[\)
5 т \(\omega \nu \delta o ́ v \nu \epsilon \kappa \eta\) [ фо८レıछ์opaı . . [ [. . . .] \(\rho \boldsymbol{\rho} \omega[\)

Fr. 6.
] \(\rho \sigma \sigma \theta \in \nu\). [
" \(\tau \sim \sim\)
]. \(\epsilon \iota \sigma \delta \in \tau[\)
] \(\eta \sigma \alpha \nu[\)
5 .]rora[

Fr. 9.
] \(\cdot \mu \cdot[\)
] \(\mu о \nu \in\) Іit! !
] \(\rho \pi о \sigma \iota \circ \sigma\). [

Fr. 7.
] \(\phi!\lambda \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \tau 9[\)
]. \(\nu \tau \iota \delta^{\prime} \alpha \nu \tau \iota \sigma[\)
]кобоф • [
]... [

Fr. 10 .
\(] \alpha \nu[\)
]ora[
]єко九 . [

Fr. 8.
\(] \mu \in \chi[\)
]oı \(\tau \rho[\) \(] \eta \nu\). [
] \(\nu \tau \alpha \sigma[\)
5 ] \(\operatorname{ro\sigma } \lambda[\)
] \(\cdot \pi\).[

Fr. II.
] \(\lambda!\) ! \(\sigma\)
] \(\sigma \epsilon \chi^{\epsilon \iota}\)
] \(\hat{\phi} \phi \rho\). . [

Fr. 3.

> ]. . [.]. [
> [
> [ \(\underline{\text { un }}\)-] tívos \(\tau \epsilon \sigma \nu \mu[\)
> [ㅡㅡㄱ] iкє́ \(\sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ к \alpha \pi[\)
> ]oıs \(\beta \alpha \iota[\)

5
Fr. 4.
Fr. 5.
( \(\Phi_{o i v}\).) 乞̂ \(\tau[\)
(B) \(\dot{v} \phi \circ[\)
( Фоîv. \(^{\text {) }} \quad \dot{\delta} \iota \nu[\)
(B) \(\dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \sigma \pi \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma \quad \mu[\epsilon\)
( \(\Phi_{0}\) ôv.) \(5 \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime}\) oư \(\nu \epsilon \kappa^{\prime} \hat{j}[\lambda \theta o \nu\)

(Фoiv.) [. . . \(] \tau \rho \omega[\)
] \(\omega\) кvрíws кєкт[ \(\dot{\eta}] \mu \in \nu 0 \nu\)

5 Jeav єíprov óoòv
\(\dot{\alpha} \nu] \eta ̀ \rho\) к \(\lambda\) í \(\omega \nu\) \(\sigma 0 \phi o ̀ s\)

Fr. 7.
\(\pi \rho o \sigma] \phi i \lambda \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \tau o[\)
]. \(\nu \tau \iota \delta^{\prime} \quad \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \sigma[\)
]кобоф . [
] . . . [

Fr. 6.
\(\pi] \rho o ́ \sigma \theta \in \nu\). [
] \(\tau \alpha \cdot \nu \quad \nu 0 \mu[\)
]. \(\operatorname{\epsilon \iota S} \delta_{\epsilon} \tau[\)
] \(\eta \sigma \alpha \nu[\)
5 ] Toเ \([\)


Fr. 10.
] \(\alpha v[\)
]от \(\alpha[\)
]єкоц . [
Fr. 9.

Fr. 8.
\[
\begin{gathered}
] \mu \epsilon \chi[ \\
] 0 \iota \sigma \tau \rho[ \\
] \eta \nu \cdot[ \\
] \nu \tau \alpha \sigma[ \\
5] \operatorname{ros} \lambda[ \\
] \pi[
\end{gathered}
\]

Fr.if.
] \(\lambda \iota \sigma[\)
]s \({ }^{\prime} \chi \chi \in \iota\)
]र̂ \(\phi \rho\). . [

Fr． 12.
Fr． 13.
Fr． 14.
］\(\lambda[\cdot] \sigma \in \nu \quad \gamma \alpha \mu[\)
\(\tau \epsilon] \tau \rho \alpha \S u \gamma \epsilon i ̄ s{ }^{\circ} \chi[\) ous

\[
\begin{aligned}
& ] v v[ \\
& ] \omega \nu[ \\
& ] \Phi_{o i v l} \xi[ \\
& ] \mu \eta \sigma o v[ \\
& 5 \quad \text { ]. } \eta \sigma \alpha \iota[
\end{aligned}
\]
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Fr． 15. & \[
\text { Fr. } 16 .
\] & Fr． 17. \\
\hline \(\eta \delta \alpha\)［ & \begin{tabular}{l}
］\(\rho \eta \nu[\) \\
］\(\nu \pi \sigma \tau[\)
\end{tabular} & ］кє \\
\hline  & ］\(\mu \nu \nu \eta[\) &  \\
\hline  & ］．［．］．［ & ］Sofoùs \\
\hline ．［ & & ¢］\({ }^{\text {cíat }}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Fr. } 18 . \\
& \text { ] } \\
& \beta] \lambda \alpha \beta \in \text { í } \eta \text {. [ } \\
& \text { ]グyou[ } \\
& ] \tau \eta s \\
& 5 \text { ] } \sigma \alpha \nu \text { ó } \gamma \epsilon \\
& \text { ]. } \sigma \alpha \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \sigma o \hat{v} \\
& \text { ] } \mu \grave{\eta} \kappa \alpha \lambda \grave{o} \nu \dot{\eta} \\
& ] \epsilon \epsilon[\cdot] \alpha \nu . \\
& \text { ] } 0 \hat{i} \sigma \theta^{\prime} \ddot{\alpha} \lambda \hat{c} \gamma \omega \\
& \text { ] } \alpha \beta \in i ́ \eta \text {. [ } \\
& \text { ] }{ }^{\prime} \gamma o v[ \\
& \text { J } \tau \eta s \\
& \begin{array}{c}
\text { ]. } \sigma \alpha \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \quad \sigma o \hat{v} \\
\text { ] } \mu \grave{\eta} \kappa \alpha \lambda \grave{\partial} \nu \dot{\eta} \\
] \epsilon[\cdot] \alpha \nu . \\
\text { ] oî } \sigma \theta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \text { } \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \gamma \omega
\end{array} \\
& 10 \text { 了' єौ申’ ó } \tau \iota \mu 0 \iota \\
& ] \omega \nu \\
& \text { ] } \delta \epsilon \nu[. . . .] \nu[ \\
& \text { ] } \kappa \in[
\end{aligned}
\]

Fr． 19.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Fr. 20. & Fr. 21. & Fr. 22. \\
\hline ] \(\epsilon \rho \mu \alpha \pi \alpha \phi[\) & - . & - . \\
\hline \(] \sigma \iota \pi \nu \lambda \iota o \nu \in![\) & ] & ]. \\
\hline \(]\) ¢ \(v \delta \epsilon \delta<\alpha \pi \underline{[ }\) & ] & ] \(\nu\) \\
\hline . . . . & ] \(\alpha \mu^{\prime} \in \pi\) or & ] \(\nu\). \\
\hline & ] \(\nu 0\). & ] \(\sigma\). \\
\hline & ] \(\delta \eta[\). & Jor \\
\hline & ] - ¢ & \\
\hline & - - & \\
\hline Fr. 23. & Fr. 24. & Fr. 25. \\
\hline - & - . & - . \\
\hline \(\epsilon \kappa\) [ & ]ovoy [ & \(] \alpha \sigma \cdot[\) \\
\hline Xovt[ & ] \(\mu_{0}\). [ & ] \(\nu\) \\
\hline \(\zeta \eta \tau[\) & \(] \omega \mu \in \varphi \cdot\) & \(] \sigma \tau \epsilon \nu \omega \nu\) \\
\hline & . . . & ] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Fr. 26. & Fr. 27. & Fr. 28. & Fr. 29. \\
\hline - . & - . & & \\
\hline  & ] . \(\alpha[\) & ]oor \({ }^{\text {[ }}\) & ]ouva[ \\
\hline ] \(\sigma\) \% оıко[ & ] \(\epsilon \in \nu \alpha[\) & \(] \times \theta[\) & ]o. [ \\
\hline - . . & ]. \(¢ \alpha \rho \eta[\) & ] 9 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Fr. 30. & Fr. 31. & Fr. 32. & Fr. 33 \\
\hline - & - . & & \\
\hline  & ] \(\lambda . \eta \nu \omega \nu \kappa[\) & ] X ¢ 0 & ] \(\varphi \in \epsilon \nu[\) \\
\hline ] & ] & ] & ] \\
\hline ] & ] & ] & . . \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Fr. 34.
\(\epsilon v \delta a[\)
Fr. 35.
Fr. \(3^{6}\).
Fr. 37.
\(\epsilon v \delta \alpha[\)
\[
\dot{\tau \in \lambda o[ }
\]
\(] \epsilon \sigma\)
\(] \quad 0 \sigma \sigma[\)

Fr. 20.
Fr. 2 I .
l \(\epsilon \rho \mu \alpha \pi \alpha \phi[\)
इımú入ıov ai[ lou 0 片 \(\delta \iota \pi v[\)
]a \(\mu^{\prime} \in \pi o[\)
]pos.
\(1 \delta \eta[\).
]. 100
Fir. 23.
\(\epsilon \kappa[\)
out
\(\zeta \eta \tau[\)

Fr. 24.
\[
\begin{gathered}
] o v o \nu[ \\
] \mu o \cdot[ \\
] \omega \mu \in \nu[
\end{gathered}
\]
-

Fr. 22.
! ? l
\(] \nu \cdot\)
]s.
? 06

Fr. 25.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& ] \alpha \sigma \cdot[ \\
& ] \nu \\
& ] \sigma \tau^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu \\
& ]
\end{aligned}
\]


Fr. 30.
Fr. 31.
Fr. 32.
Fr. 33 .
\(] \lambda \eta \nu \omega \nu \kappa[\)
]
]


Fr. \(3^{6}\).
Fr. 37.
\(\epsilon u^{\prime} \delta a[\)
Fr. 35.

\(] \cdots \nu^{\bullet} \cdot \dot{\eta} \mu[\)

Fr. 1. 1-2. In papyri of dramatic works a colon regularly denotes a change of speaker, but such a division is objectionable in a verse of this kind. Possibly then the colon here indicates a metrical division-a purpose for which it is sometimes employed, though that explanation too is quite unconvincing. Above the colon is an oblique mark which is probably to be interpreted as a rather carelessly written accent on \(\tau \iota\). Either on \(\eta \boldsymbol{\lambda} 0 \hat{v} v i\)
 or some similar word is implied by the following \(\hat{\epsilon} \xi \in \rho \hat{\imath} \mu \epsilon \nu\). A small coronis below 1. 2 marks the transition to another metre.

2-20. '(Oen.) Well, I will tell you. But first I wish to know who ye are and from what stock ye are sprung; for as yet I have not learnt.

Chorus of Satyrs. Thou shalt hear all. As suitors are we come, sons of nymphs, servants of Bacchus, fellow-dwellers with gods; and we are supplied with every fitting art: we are equipped for the spear-fight, ours the contest in wrestling, in horse-racing, running, boxing, biting, ours twisting of testicles, we have the strains of music, we have oracles fully known and not falsified, and medicines to put to the test, we know the meting out of the skies, and dancing, and lore of the nether world. Is our study fruitless? And it is thine to take of these whatever thou wilt, if thou givest thy daughter to me.

Oenens. There is indeed no fault with your stock; but I wish first to see this man who is coming . . .'
16. An acute accent was mistakenly placed on the \(a\) of \(\theta\) ewpia and not afterwards cancelled.
17. To the left of this line there are slight remains of a marginal note.
19. The o of ouvevs is incomplete, but fairly secure, the stroke below it not being in the right position for the tail of a \(\phi\). кavevs is clearly out of the question.

Fr. 2. 1. àпоঠ́f \(\rho \in \sigma \theta a \iota\) is unexampled but can hardly be avoided; the \(\rho\), though rubbed, is clear, and the \(\kappa\) is nearly as certain.

3 sqq. Restorations suggested by W-M are printed exempli gratia. It may be supposed that the flame of an altar or torch had been extinguished. \(\eta\) in 1.4 must be for \(\hat{\eta}\),

7. ]oòva: a proper name is rather expected here, but is not easily obtained; the first letter might be \(\gamma\) or \(\tau\), the second is possibly \(\omega\). There is no doubt about the accent.
8. \(\varepsilon^{v}\) an \(\cdot{ }^{7} \omega s\) is again difficult; the \(\omega\) may be 0 .

Fr. 3. The appearance of the papyrus and the comparative compactness of the writing suggest that this fragment came from the same column as Fr. 2. Perhaps the broken letters in Fr. 2. 9 and Fr. 3. I belong to one line, but I can find no satisfying combination.

Fr. 6. This and the following five fragments are grouped with Fr. 5 on account of a certain similarity of colour, which however may well be deceptive.
5. The letters of this line are rather smaller and closer to the line above than usual ; apparently the scribe wished to keep the end of the column even with its neighbours.

Fr. 7. 3. The vestige after \(\phi\) suits e.g. \(a\) or \(\lambda\).
Fr. 8. 6. \(\pi\) enclosed between two dots is an interlineation referring to the next verse.
Fr. 9. 2. To the right of the circumflex accent there are some further marks of ink 10 which I can attach no meaning. A junction between two selides occurs in this fragment.

Fr. 11. 3. The accent is placed slightly to the left of the \(v\), which therefore probably formed a diphthong with a preceding vowel.

Fr. 18. 2. Jryou was perhaps the end of the line.
Fr. 20. 1. Perhaps \(\theta]\) ep \(\mu \grave{a} \pi u \phi\left[\lambda a \zeta\right.\). . .; cf. Aristoph. Fr. 498 (Kock) tò \(\delta^{8}\) étuos . . .

2. EıTú̀tov: or ] \(\sigma \iota\) Пúdeov.

Fr. 30. This and the two following small pieces may well be from the ends of columns. Fr. 34 is from the top of a column.
1084. HellaNicus, Atlantis i. II. \(5 \times 7.9 \mathrm{~cm}\). Early second century. Plate III.

The origin of this fragment is demonstrated by a citation in the Venetian




 єival. This passage alludes so patently to the text before us as to assure beyond any question an identification which the subject and dialect would of themselves naturally suggest. References to Hellanicus are not infrequent, but quotations of his ipsissima verba are extremely scarce; and the present addition to them, though regrettably small, is very acceptable.

Its handsome appearance indicates with sufficient clearness that this manuscript contained the Atlantis itself, and not merely some commentary or grammatical treatise in which the Atlantis was excerpted. The rather narrow column is written in a round upright hand very similar to that of 844 , though still more calligraphic. Of the two 1084 is perhaps slightly the older ; but they no doubt belong to approximately the same period, probably the earlier part of the second century. Dots in the high and middle position, as well as paragraphi, are used for purposes of punctuation, the medial point marking a briefer pause (l. I5). Short lines are filled up by small angular signs turned in the opposite direction to that in which they are usually found.

Col. i.
```

] . \nu\tau[.
\nu\omega\nu \epsilon\nu \sigma\pi\etal* \tau[\omega\nu
\delta\epsilon \gamma\iota\gamma\nu\epsilon\tau\alpha\iota E\rho\mu[\etas
\phi\iota\lambda\eta\tau\eta\mp@subsup{s}{}{\circ}}\mathrm{ oт८ аv [
5 \tau\etal \phi\iota\lambda\eta\sigma\iota\mu[\omegas
\sigmav\nu\epsilonко! \mu[\alpha\tau0.
\kappa\alphal \gamma[\iota\gamma\nu\epsilon\tau\alpha\iota }0
\omega\nu \kappa\eta[\rhov\xi] \alpha\gamma\eta\rho[\alphaos
к\alpha\iota \alpha0\alphavaто今* K[\epsilon
10 \lambda\alphal\nuol \delta\epsilon \mu\iota\sigma\gamma\epsilon <
\tau\alpha\iota \Piо\sigma\epsilon\iota\delta\epsilon\omega\nu
\tau\omega\nu \delta\epsilon \gamma\iota\gamma\nu\epsilon\tau\alpha\iota
\Lambdavкos ov o \pi\alpha\tau\eta\rho
като\iotaк\iota}\epsilon\iota \epsilon\nu }\mu
15 кар\omega\nu \nu\eta\sigmaols. <
к\alpha\iota \pio\iota\epsilon\iota \alpha0\alphav\alpha
\tauо\nu. T\etav\gamma\epsilon\tau\eta\iota \delta\epsilon
[Z\epsilon]us \mu\iota\sigma\gamma\epsilon\tau\alpha\iota. \tau\omega\nu
[\delta\epsilon \gamma\iota\gamma\nu\epsilon\tau\alpha\iota \Lambda\alphaк\epsilon]
20 [\delta\alpha\iota\mu\omega\nu ...

```
 construction, as Wilamowitz remarks, is better suited to the style than a sentence containing


\(\sigma \pi \eta t\) is an Epic form which is out of place here ; \(\sigma \pi \epsilon \epsilon t\) or \(\sigma \pi \epsilon i\) is expected.






 cf. Choerob. in Cramer, Anecd. Oxon. ii. p. 27 I. \(\phi i \lambda \eta \tau \eta s\) in the present passage seems at first sight guaranteed by the following sentence ; but oтı . . . \(\sigma v v є к о ц \mu^{\Gamma}\) aro may well be a gloss which has become incorporated into the text. As an interpretation it is no happier than its rivals, for \(\phi \lambda \lambda \eta \pi \dot{\eta} s\) should have an active, not a passive sense.


1085. Pancrates, Hadrian and Antinoiis.
\[
19.6 \times 14.2 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Second century. }
\]

It is related by Athenaeus (xv. \(677 \mathrm{~d}-\mathrm{f}\) ) that Pancrates, an Alexandrian poet
 suggested to the Emperor Hadrian when at Alexandria that a certain variety of lotus resembling the rose should be called after Antinouis, saying that it had
 killed by Hadrian in the neighbourhood of the city. This fearsome beast, we are told, had long ranged over Libya and terrorized the inhabitants. The emperor was so pleased with the idea that he rewarded its originator with free maintenance at the Museum. Athenacus proceeds to quote from 'the poem' of Pancrates four ' not inelegant' hexameter lines in which the lotus of Antinoiis was referred to :

Pancrates, therefore, embodicd his idea in a poem which, it may be presumed, was recited to the emperor. Now the fragment of which the text follows below describes in epic style a great lion hunt the heroes of which were Hadrian and Antinouis. The inference is obvious, and will hardly be called in question. Here evidently we have the episode which inspired Pancrates; and the poem is none other than that from which Athenacus quotes.

A further sample of that poem is an intercsting acquisition, although its recovery is not likely to add to the litcrary reputation of Pancrates. His versification is sufficiently good; but his style is diffuse and turgid. The long description of the infuriated lion (11. 10-25) is a laboured performance, exaggerated but undistinguished either by force or originality. It will be felt that the rather faint praise bestowed upon his contemporary by Athenaeus was the utmost that he deserved.

The sheet upon which the verses are inscribed had been used as the cover of a glass bottle, about the mouth of which it was found wrapped. They are written in an upright and rather small cursive hand which does not look subsequent to the latter part of the second century, and can therefore be removed by but few stages from the author's autograph. Marks of elision and stops in the high position were added by the original scribc.

Col. i.
About 27 lines lost.
]

Col. ii.
[ \(\pi \pi \pi о \nu] \delta^{\prime} A \delta \rho[\eta] \sigma \tau o \iota o\) Өоштє \(\rho \circ \nu^{\cdot}\) os \(\pi о \tau^{\prime} \alpha \nu \alpha \kappa \tau \alpha\)




 ovт \(\alpha \sigma \epsilon \nu^{\cdot}\) ov \(\delta \epsilon \delta \alpha \mu \alpha \sigma \sigma \epsilon \nu\) єк \(\omega \nu \quad \gamma \alpha \rho\) \(\alpha \pi \eta \mu \beta \rho о \tau \epsilon ~ \theta[\eta \rho о s\) [ \(\epsilon] v \sigma \tau 0 \chi \imath \eta s \quad \gamma \alpha \rho \pi \alpha \mu \pi \alpha \nu \quad \epsilon \beta о v \lambda \epsilon \tau 0 \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \eta \eta \nu \alpha \iota\)

 र \(\alpha \iota \alpha \nu \tau \rho \eta \chi^{\alpha} \lambda[\epsilon] \eta[\nu]\) \(\theta \nu \mu o v \mu[\epsilon] \nu 0 S^{\cdot} \epsilon \kappa \delta \epsilon\) коעı \(\eta\) \(\omega\left[\begin{array}{ll}s & \nu\end{array}\right] \epsilon \phi[o s] \ddot{\sigma} \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu \eta \quad \phi[\alpha \sigma s \quad \eta] \times{ }^{\lambda} \lambda v \epsilon \nu \quad \eta \in \lambda \iota o \iota O^{\circ}\) \(\mu \alpha \iota \nu \epsilon \tau о \delta^{\prime}\) ws отє кข \(\mu[\alpha] \pi о \lambda \nu \kappa \lambda \nu \sigma \tau o[l] 0 \quad \theta \alpha \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \eta s\) \(\Sigma \tau \rho v[\mu] 0 \nu \iota o v \quad \kappa[\alpha] \tau о \pi \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \quad \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota \rho \circ \mu \in \nu 0 v \quad Z \in \phi \cup \rho[о \iota 0\)
 \([\iota \sigma \chi \iota \alpha<]] \alpha!\pi \lambda \epsilon v \rho \alpha s\) \(\sigma \phi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho \eta \iota \quad \mu \alpha \sigma \tau \iota \gamma \iota\) к \(\epsilon\). [

 [ \(\epsilon \xi \alpha \nu \epsilon \epsilon \iota] \sigma v \nu \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma o \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu \quad \epsilon \nu \tau 0 \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \quad \in \subseteq[\alpha \iota \alpha \nu\)
 [ \(\chi \alpha \iota \tau \eta] \alpha \in \iota \rho \circ \mu \epsilon \nu \eta \quad \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \iota \epsilon \tau 0^{\circ} \quad \eta \quad \mu \epsilon \nu \quad \alpha \pi \quad \alpha[\lambda \lambda \omega \nu\) [ \(\delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \operatorname{los}] \quad \eta \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu \quad \alpha \tau \epsilon \delta \epsilon \nu \delta \rho \epsilon \alpha \cdot \quad \eta \delta^{\prime} \alpha \pi \sigma \quad \nu[\omega \tau 0 v\) [.....] \(] \mu \nu \eta\) \(\theta \eta к \tau о \iota \sigma \iota \nu\) о ооїоs \(\eta \in \nu\) акш[каıs


rov \(\delta \epsilon\) Oows \(\rho \alpha \mu \alpha \theta \omega y\) € \(\sigma \sigma \epsilon[\nu \mu] \epsilon \nu 0 \nu\) [



```

30 оф\rhoа ката \chi \chiOvos \omegaк\alpha \pi\alpha人\gamma[
\alphavт[0v 0]!\eta\rhooфо员o 0\inоv [
[......]. . тирато\nu \beta\rhov[к\omega\muє\nuоя
[.......]\mu\nuo\mu\epsilon\nuolş \sigmaк<[
[.......] \epsilon\nu ко\nu\iota\eta\iota\sigma\iota \pi\epsilon\sigmaє\nu \pi\rhoо\pi\epsilonт\omega[\
[. . .....l]\pi\pi\epsilon\iotao\iota\sigma\iota \beta\alpha\lambda\epsilon\nu \pi\lambda\alpha\tauv\nu [
[. . . . . . . . . o]\pi\lambda\alpha\iota\sigma\iota\nu є\alphals к\alphaт\epsilon\tauv\pi[\tau\epsilon

```


```

    [. . . . . . . . . . . .]. .\sigma\sigmaa\pi[
    to [. . . . . . . . . . . . . .]\sigmaat[

```

\section*{Col．iii．}

One line lost．

\section*{\(\phi[\)}
Soupl 乡[. .] . [

Unplaced fragment．
\[
] x \in \tau \cdot[
\]
\[
] a \tau^{\prime} \cdot[
\]
ii．1－25．＇．．．and swifter than the horse of Adrastus which once saved the king as he fled ．．．in the battle－throng．Such was the steed whereon Antinoüs sat in wait for the deadly lion，holding in his left hand the bridle－rein and in his right a spear shod with adamant．First Hadrian hurling his brass－fitted spear wounded the beast but slew him not，for of purpose he missed the mark，wishing to test to the full the sureness of aim of beauteous Antinoüs，son of the Argus－slayer．Stricken，the beast was yet more aroused， and tore up in his wrath the rough ground with his paws，and the dust rising in a cloud dimmed the light of the sun ；he raged even as the wave of the surging sea when Zephyrus is stirred forth after the wind of Strymon．［Straight］he rushed upon them both，scourging with his tail his haunches and sides ．．．while his eyes，beneath his brows，flashed dreadful fire ；and from his ravening jaws the foam showered to the earth as his teeth gnashed
within．On his mighty head and shaggy neck the hair stood bristling；on his other limbs it was bushy as trees，and on his back．．．it was like whetted spear－points．In such wise he came against the glorious god and upon Antinoiis，like Typhoëus of old against Zeus， slayer of giants．＇
ii．1－2．Adrastus was saved by his horse Arion in the expedition of the Seven
 Homer \(\Psi 34^{6-7}\) ．In 1． 2 ］\(\omega\) s，which is quite clear，is no doubt the termination of an adverb qualifying \(\phi \in \mathrm{c}_{0} \boldsymbol{\nu} \tau a\) ．\(\kappa \lambda\) ovav then remains indefinite，but this causes no difficulty in view of the recurrence of the phrase кatà к入óvov in the Iliad（II 331，713，789，\＄422） and the familiarity of the allusion．The first \(a\) of avaктa has been converted apparently from an \(\epsilon\) ，i．e．the scribe at first wrote \(\pi ⿰ 丿 \tau\) unelided．

3．\(\delta a \mu a \sigma \eta \nu[0] \rho a\) ，which was suggested by W－M，is a new compound．
7．\(\theta[\eta p o s\) is very doubtful；the remains of the initial letter suggest rather \(\sigma\) ．
9．［a］pүєєфصvtaóao：cf．Kaibel，Inscr．Gr．Ital． 978 （a），where Antinoiis is described as réos \(\theta\) eòs＇Epuáov．In a coin struck at Bithynium in his honour Hermes is figured on the reverse（Eckhel，vi．p． \(53^{2}\) ）．

10．\(\pi \sigma \sigma \sigma \iota \delta\) а \(\mu \nu \sigma \sigma[\epsilon:\) cf．the passage quoted from Hesiod，Scut．in the note on 11．15－17．


\({ }_{13}\) ．\(\mu\) аиуето \(\delta\) ws oтє：cf．Homer 0605.
15．Some adverb such as ڤ̂кa would be suitable，but that word cannot be read．




 which is quite possible．

17．\(\pi v \rho\) is followed by a small vestige which only shows that the next letter was a rather tall one，e．g．\(\kappa\) or \(\phi\) ，and \(\phi[\lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \theta \epsilon \sigma \kappa 0 \nu\)（W－M）gives the requisite sense．\(\delta \epsilon \iota \nu \nu \nu\) is better taken adverbially than as an adjective．
 by \(\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}\) ，can of course be replaced by several other words，e．g．єктроєє or \(\epsilon \sigma \tau a \zeta \epsilon \mathrm{p}\) ．es ［aav at the end of this verse is not very satisfactory，and it is likely enough that the verb stood here，but \(\epsilon\left[\epsilon \nu \epsilon \nu\right.\) is unsuitable．The initial \(\epsilon\) is hardly to be avoided，and oo［ov \(\frac{1}{} \omega \nu\) is thus excluded．

22．［ \(\delta a \sigma \kappa \iota o s]\) W－MI．\(] \eta \nu\) may also be \(] \omega \nu\) ，i．e．some epithet of \(\mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu\) ，e．g．\([\epsilon \kappa \pi a \gamma \lambda] \omega \nu\) ．
23．［ \(\left.{ }^{\circ} \sigma \tau a\right] \mu \epsilon \nu \eta\) would be weak and hardly sufficient for the lacuna，\([\epsilon \gamma \rho \rho]_{\mu \epsilon \nu \eta}\)（Callim．


25．\(\gamma / \gamma a \nu \tau[0] \lambda[\varepsilon \tau a o]\) and \(\pi \alpha[\rho o]_{s}\) were proposed by W－MI the lacuna is too small for \(\pi \kappa[\tau \rho o]\) ．

26．\(\epsilon \sigma \sigma \epsilon[\nu \mu] \in \nu^{\prime} \nu\) ：there is little doubt about the first \(\sigma\) ，and the preceding \(\epsilon\) is very suitable；hence it seems likely，as W－M suggests，that Pancrates ventured on an otherwise unexampled perfect form．é \(\sigma \sigma \dot{\mu} \mu \epsilon \nu o s\), besides having a short \(v\) ，is adjectival．

27．It is uncertain who is the subject here．Neither \(\mathrm{A} \nu[\tau]\) wooo nor \(\mathrm{A} \nu[\tau]\) voov nor \(A \nu[\tau]\) evoos suits the vestiges at all well ；Av \([\tau]\) cuoo for－ono is possible，but this too is uncon－ vincing．The supposed \(v\) before the lacuna might be \(\rho\) or \(\phi\) ，and \(] \rho o \mu[\) can be \(] \phi \circ \mu[\) ．

28． 7 ［evovaas W－M．
33．\(\sigma \kappa[\) ：or \(\sigma \iota \stackrel{\star}{[ }\) or \(\sigma \iota \phi[\) ．

Unplaced fragment. The third letter in 1. I is clearly \(\tau\) not \(v\), and so this small piece cannot well be placed at the beginning of 11. 19-20. In l. 2 the mark of elision is very doubtful.

\section*{1086. Scholia on Iliad ii.}
\[
23^{2} 2 \times 41 \mathrm{~cm}
\]

First century b. c.
This considerable fragment of a commentary on the second Book of the lliad is written in a sloping semi-cursive hand which may be assigned to about the middle of the first century B. C. Certain Ptolemaic characteristics are evident, e.g. the linking of \(\eta\) to the succeeding letter; but these are not so marked as to render probable a date prior to the first century. Palaeographical material for that period is still very scanty; some resemblances may, however, be found between the present script and \(236(a)-(c)\) (P. Oxy. II, Plate V) which arc dated in the reign of Ptolemy Auletes. A probably rather earlier specimen of the same type is to be seen in P. Brit. Mus. 133 of Demosth. Ep. 3 (Classical Texts in the B. II., Plate III) ; cf. also 1087. The verso of 1086 contains a series of medical receipts in an early first-century A.D. hand. A remarkable feature of the recto is the great breadth of the columns, which measured about 16 cm . across. The letters are usually rather small, but there is much unevenness, due partly to a tendency to enlarge initials of clauses and even of words, which the scribe is rather inclined to separate from each other ; \(a\) is often a conspicuous letter. \(\eta\) is commonly of the uncial form, but the cursive h -shaped character also appears. The head of a final \(\sigma\) frequently slopes upwards above the line. An accent and a mark of short quantity are once used (1. 49). No stops occur, pauses in the sense being marked by blank spaces which are here and there accompanied by marginal paragraphi. Shorter blanks, as has been said, are sometimes allowed after individual words when there is no real pause ; an attempt has been made in the transcript to indicate the more noticcable divisions, but it is impossible accurately to reproduce the original. Several of the conventional abbreviations not infrequently found in works of this kind are employed ; cf. e.g. 663,856 , and the Berlin Didymus. \(\kappa-=\kappa \alpha \dot{\prime}, \dot{\mu}=\mu^{\prime} \nu, \dot{\gamma}=\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho, \tau^{\prime}=\tau \bar{\omega} r^{\prime}, \quad /=\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \dot{\prime}\), \(\backslash=\) tivau, while \(\pi \rho o s^{\prime}\) is represented by a semicircle \((=\pi)\) enclosing a short vertical stroke which stands for the \(\rho\). A monogram of \(\chi\) and \(\rho\) in the margin stands for \(\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau o ́ v\) and calls attention to passages considered to be of special value. Such corrections as have been introduced into the text are probably by the original scribc, who, however, has not succecded in eliminating all the errors.

For the history of the Homeric scholia, and more especially of the Aristarchean tradition, this new commentary is of no little interest and importance. Its scope is comprehensive. Exegesis plays a considerable part, the less obvious words and phrases being briefly explained more or less in the style of the Scholia Minora or the Lexicon of Apollonius. Certain coincidences with those two authorities are pointed out in the notes appended below. Geographical and mythological references are also elucidated; cf. e.g. 11. 1-9, 49-51. Another class of comments deals with differences of reading, e. g. 11. 26-7, II 9. Thirdly, the critical signs of Aristarchus are frequently prefixed to the lemmata and their grounds are explained. This is the feature that gives the treatise its significance. As is well known, our knowledge of the work of Aristarchus is largely derived from the extracts from Aristonicus, \(\Pi \epsilon \rho i \tau \omega ิ \nu\) 'A \(\rho \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\rho} \rho \chi \circ v \sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i \omega v\), and Didymus, \(\Pi \epsilon \rho i \tau \eta \eta^{\prime}\) 'A \(\iota \sigma \tau a \rho \chi \epsilon\) 'iov \(\delta \iota \rho \theta \theta \omega \sigma \epsilon \omega s\), which have been incorporated together with the signs themselves in the Venetian Codex A of the Iliad. But the papyrus must on account of its date be independent alike of Aristonicus and Didymus, who both flourished under Augustus. In it, therefore, the tradition of Aristarchus is carried a stage further back. The anonymous commentator is to be regarded as a representative of the Aristarchean school, and upon such writings as this, along with those of the great critic himself, the work of Aristonicus may be taken to have been based. Speculation concerning the author's identity is not likely to be profitable. The most obvious name perhaps is Ammonius, who was probably the successor of Aristarchus at the Alexandrian library. But the field is too large. Suidas puts the number of the grammarians who were disciples of Aristarchus at about forty (s.v.'ApiozapXos), and there are several even among those who are known to us any one of whom might have been the author. A certain similarity in 11. 2-3 to a passage of Strabo provides no trustworthy clue ; cf. the note ad loc.

The papyrus and Aristonicus are often in close agreement ; see the notes on 11. II , 29, \(63-7,98\), 120-1. But the two authorities by no means coincide. An interesting passage of some length (11. 1I-18), describing Aristarchus' defence of the poet against the criticism of Praxiphanes, does not here come into account, since the reference is to the Ody'sscy', not the Iliad, and is only brought in by way of illustrating a principle. Apart from that, however, Aristarchean signs and their explanations which are unrecorded in Venetus A occur in the papyrus, and vice versa: cf. \(11.46-7,54-5,86-7,93-4,107\), 114-16, 120-1, and the notes. In one place \((1.83)\) Ven. A has the \(\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon\) iov but lacks the explanatory scholium, which is supplied by the papyrus. Similar discrepancies have been observed in some other papyri (445, P. Rylands 51, P. Brit. Mus. 128, and the Hawara papyrus, on which cf. the notes below) with regard to the use of the critical
signs, which tend to be more frequent in the mediaeval MS. In the present case, however, the advantage is rather the other way, and it is plain that Ven. A is not exhaustive. The Aristarchean \(\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon^{i} \omega \sigma\) ss seems to lave been thinned down by a process of eclecticism. Its details would appeal differently to different minds, and what might be rejected as of comparative unimportance by one critic would be retained by another. Some allowance must also be made for accidental omissions.

The presence of this large Aristarchean strain in so carly a text naturally lends no little weight to the other elements in it which have less definite authority, though how far these elements are likely to represent the teaching of Aristarchus is of course open to question. To some extent they are already to be found in the various extant sources; new views and explanations to which attention may be called are recorded in 11. 5-6, 42-3, 49-51, 58-60, 75-7. A measure of consideration is also due to the textual evidence of the papyrus, although the presence of Aristarchean symbols does not at all necessarily imply an Aristarchean text. This is evident from c.g. the Bodleian papyrus from Hawara (2nd cent.), in which not only diacritical signs but also occasional Aristarchean variants are entered; cf. Ludwich, Homeriulgata, pp. 42 sqq. On the other hand the text of that papyrus did not coincide with the vulgate, to which reference is sometimes made, \({ }^{1}\) and does embody certain readings of Aristarchus. As much may be expected of 1086 , in spite of the fact that in two passages (11. 75 and 83 ) it diverges from the Aristarchean reading. Several agreements are noticeable between the lemmata here and the exceptionally well written Hawara papyrus; cf, notes on \(11.62,63,73,75\). Other lections of interest occur at \(11.26-7,38,61\), and 119 ; the last named passage mentions the otherwise unrecorded variant 'Avóєípoto for Ai \(\sigma \dot{\eta} \pi \sigma \iota o\) in B 825 .

In supplementing the large lacunae of Cols. i and iii, the number of letters lost has been estimated on the basis of the passages containing citations, where the extent of the loss is exactly determined, i.e. in Col. i, 11. 19, 28, and 34, and in Col. iii, 11.97 and 102. No more than an approximate accuracy is often obtainable, especially in Col. iii, where inequalities in the length of the line as well as variations of spacing and script have to be reckoned with; a few letters either above or below the number adopted would here be generally admissible.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) The adscript at 1.769 e. g. should be read \(\eta \kappa \circ(\iota \nu \eta) \phi \in \rho \tau \in \rho o s \eta \in \nu\), not \(\eta r o t \phi\). \(\eta\). as given by Sayce. Cf. 445, 685.
}

Col．i．
\(] \mu \epsilon \rho \tau о \nu \tau \iota \tau \alpha \rho \eta \sigma \iota о \nu \epsilon \rho[..] \nu \epsilon \mu о \nu \tau о \quad є \iota \mu \epsilon \rho \tau о \nu\)
］ка入入ıррооขvס \(\omega \rho\) отıг \(\rho \eta \sigma \iota о \sigma \pi о \tau \alpha \mu о \sigma \epsilon \chi \omega \nu \tau \eta \nu\)
］\(\sigma \tau v \gamma 0 \sigma v \delta \alpha \tau 0 \sigma \quad \epsilon \pi \iota \rho \rho \epsilon \omega \nu \tau \omega \iota \pi \eta \nu \eta \omega\) ov \(v \nu \mu \mu \iota \sigma\) \(] \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \lambda \alpha \iota \circ \nu \quad \epsilon \pi \iota \rho \rho \in \iota \quad \tau 0 \nu \pi \eta \nu \epsilon \iota O \nu \quad \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \delta \in \delta \iota\) ］\(\delta \iota \alpha \tau о \tau о \nu \pi \eta \nu \epsilon \iota \circ \nu \theta 0 \lambda \epsilon \rho 0 \nu \epsilon \iota \nu \alpha \iota \tau 0 \iota \sigma \delta \epsilon \tau \iota \tau \alpha \rho \eta\) \(] \mu \pi \eta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \theta \epsilon \nu \backslash \tau 0 u \pi \eta \nu \epsilon \iota \circ \nu \quad \alpha \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \delta \epsilon \nu \delta \rho \eta \iota \sigma 0 \nu\) ］\(\} \epsilon \iota \tau о \nu \pi о \tau \alpha \mu о \nu \quad \omega \sigma \tau \epsilon \tau о \nu \alpha \epsilon \rho \alpha \mu \eta о р \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \delta \iota \alpha \tau \eta \nu\) Joo \(\sigma \tau \epsilon \nu \theta \rho \eta \delta o \nu 0 \sigma v \iota \sigma \sigma \quad \eta \mu \alpha \gamma \nu \eta \sigma \iota \alpha \chi \omega \rho \alpha \omega \nu \rho \mu \alpha \sigma\) \(] \iota \pi \eta \nu \epsilon \iota 0 \nu \quad \kappa-\pi \eta \lambda \iota \circ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu 0 \sigma \iota \phi u \lambda \lambda 0 \nu \quad \kappa \iota \nu \eta \sigma \iota \phi \nu \lambda \lambda 0 \nu\)

］． \(\mathrm{p}^{\tau} 0 \delta \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu \pi \rho о \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu \alpha \pi \eta \nu \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu \quad \tau \eta \nu \delta \alpha\)
］८ \(\iota \tau \alpha \rho \chi о \sigma \pi \epsilon \pi \circ \iota \eta \tau \alpha \iota \uparrow \pi \rho \alpha \xi \iota \phi \alpha \nu \eta \nu \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu 0 \sigma\) \(] \pi \alpha \rho \eta[[\cdot]\) opıк \(\omega \sigma \quad \omega \mu \epsilon \iota \lambda \eta \kappa о \tau \alpha \tau \eta \iota \mu \eta \tau \rho \iota \kappa \alpha\) \(] \pi \eta \nu \epsilon \lambda o \pi \eta \sigma \epsilon \rho \omega \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \iota \quad \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \eta \pi \epsilon \rho \omega \sigma \epsilon \nu \iota \mu \alpha \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \sigma\) ］\(\pi 0 v \sigma \iota \alpha!\eta \delta \epsilon \phi \eta \sigma \iota \nu \quad \eta \alpha \nu \tau \iota \kappa \lambda \epsilon \iota \alpha \quad \sigma v \nu \epsilon \tau \omega \tau \alpha \tau \eta\)
 ］．\(\eta \eta \alpha \nu \tau \iota \kappa \lambda \epsilon \iota \alpha \sigma \eta \mu \epsilon \iota O U \tau \alpha \iota \delta \in о \tau \iota \delta \iota \alpha \pi \alpha \nu \tau о \sigma\)

\section*{Col. i.}
 íиєртò \(\nu\) 75 1
 \(\pi о \tau \alpha \mu o ̀ s{ }^{\epsilon} \chi\) Х \(\omega \nu\) т \(\eta \nu\) \(75^{2}\)
 oủ \(\sigma \nu \mu \mu i \sigma\).
 \(\lambda \epsilon ́ y \in \tau \alpha \iota\) ס̀̀ \(\delta \iota-\)
 тoîs סदे Tıтарŋ-


 ópâ \(\sigma \theta \alpha \iota\) סıà \(\tau \grave{\eta} \nu\)
 \(\chi^{\omega} \rho \alpha\) ผ่ \(\nu o ́ \mu \alpha \sigma-\) \(75^{6}\)


 \(\delta \eta \lambda o u ̂ \nu\).
 \(\tau \eta \sigma \in \nu . \quad \tau \eta{ }^{2} \nu \delta^{\prime} \alpha-\)
[ \(\pi o \lambda o \gamma i ́ \alpha \nu\) тồ \(\pi o \iota \eta \tau o \hat{v}\) '́ \(\nu \tau \epsilon \hat{v} \theta \epsilon \nu\) ó ' \(A \rho] i ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \rho \chi o s ~ \pi \epsilon \pi o i \eta \tau \alpha \iota \quad \pi \rho(o ̀ s) ~ \Pi \rho \alpha \xi \iota-\)


 ©́s \({ }^{\epsilon} \nu \iota \mu \alpha{ }_{\alpha} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha\)
 \(\sigma v \nu \epsilon \tau \omega \tau \alpha ́ \tau \eta\)
 \(\delta \in\) ıкиùs ó-



]โ \(\eta \nu \iota \sigma о \tau \eta \tau \alpha \quad \sigma \tau \alpha \phi \cup \lambda \eta \nu \delta \epsilon \omega \nu \circ \mu \alpha \sigma \epsilon \nu \quad \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \tau \circ\)

    ] \(\epsilon \nu t o \iota \delta \epsilon \alpha \gamma \nu 00 \nu \nu \tau \epsilon \sigma \gamma \rho \alpha \phi 0 v \sigma \iota \nu \quad \tau \alpha \sigma \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \epsilon \rho \iota \eta \pi \lambda \alpha\)
    ] \(\alpha \tau \eta \sigma \mu \alpha \kappa \epsilon \delta o \nu \iota \alpha \sigma \quad \eta \delta \epsilon \pi \eta \rho \epsilon \iota \alpha \quad \tau \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \lambda \iota \alpha \sigma>\alpha \mu \phi \omega \theta \eta\)

    тоит \(\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu \tau о \nu \epsilon к т о \cup \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu о \nu\) фvүךข vто \(\mu \epsilon\)

] \(\kappa \alpha \lambda \omega \nu \quad \tau 0 \epsilon \mu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \alpha \xi \epsilon \iota \pi \pi \sigma \nu \sigma \theta \eta \lambda \eta \alpha \sigma \epsilon \chi \epsilon \iota \nu \quad\) o九र́ \(\alpha \rho \sigma \epsilon\)


        ] \(\epsilon \nu 0 \iota \pi \epsilon \delta \iota \circ \iota \quad к \rho \alpha \iota \pi \nu \alpha \mu \alpha \lambda \epsilon \nu \theta \alpha \kappa \alpha \iota \epsilon \nu \theta \delta^{\prime} \iota \omega \kappa \in \mu\)


    \(] \mu о \iota о \nu \tau \iota \tau о \nu \tau \omega \iota \epsilon \delta \epsilon \sigma \mu \alpha \quad \epsilon \lambda \epsilon 0 \theta \rho \epsilon \pi \tau о \nu \delta \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \lambda \iota \nu о \nu \tau о\)
                        \(\epsilon\)
    \(\epsilon \sigma[.] \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu \alpha \rho \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \delta \alpha \nu \pi \epsilon \pi v \kappa \alpha \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha \quad \kappa \epsilon \iota \tau о \alpha \nu \alpha\)
        \(\pi \epsilon \pi \cup \kappa \alpha \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha \quad \epsilon \kappa \delta \epsilon \tau\) оитоит \(\alpha \epsilon \pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota \alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha\)

 \(\theta \in \iota \alpha \nu\). тàs
[Ev̈pŋो
 ย̇ாi vติтov
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 нолúßıov \(\mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi \xi\) -

 ' \(\mathrm{A} \pi\) о́ \(\lambda \lambda \omega \nu\).

 \(\lambda i ́ \alpha s .>\not \approx \mu \phi \omega \theta \eta\) -


 ( \(\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota) ~ \phi o ́ ß o u\)
 \({ }^{\epsilon} X \in \epsilon \nu \nu^{\bullet}\) oi \(\gamma(\grave{\alpha} \rho) \quad{ }^{\alpha} \rho \sigma \epsilon-\)

 Aiveía фךбiv,






 фоוт \(\alpha \nu(\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i) \tau o ̀ ~ \alpha i \epsilon i ~\)

Col. ii.
 out \(\omega \sigma \delta \epsilon \epsilon \pi \circ \rho \epsilon \cup 0 \nu \tau 0 \omega \sigma[.] \in \delta 0 \xi \alpha \iota \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \alpha 0 \lambda \eta \nu \tau \eta \nu \gamma \eta \nu \pi v \rho \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \nu \in \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \quad \tau 0 v[\cdot] 0 \delta \epsilon \delta \epsilon \iota \lambda \alpha\)
 \(\rho \alpha \nu \alpha \pi \epsilon \phi \omega \nu \eta \tau \alpha \iota \quad \gamma \alpha \iota \alpha \delta v \pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \nu \alpha \chi \iota \zeta \epsilon \delta \iota \iota \omega \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \pi \iota \kappa \epsilon \rho \alpha \nu \nu \omega \iota \quad \chi \omega \circ \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \tau \alpha \mu \phi \iota \tau v\)


 \(\mu \alpha \tau \iota \kappa \epsilon \delta \epsilon \tau \alpha \tau \cup \phi \omega \in \alpha \quad \alpha \pi 0 \tau \eta \sigma \tau v \phi \omega \in \nu \sigma \quad \epsilon \iota \nu \alpha \rho \iota \mu 0 \iota \sigma 0 \theta \iota \phi \alpha \sigma \iota \tau \nu \phi \omega \epsilon \sigma \sigma \epsilon \mu \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha \iota\)

 \(\alpha \iota \tau \nu \alpha \quad \delta \epsilon \sigma \mu \circ \sigma v \pi \epsilon \rho \phi \iota \alpha \lambda \sigma \sigma \alpha \mu \phi \iota \kappa \epsilon \iota \tau \alpha \iota \quad \omega \sigma \alpha \rho \alpha \tau^{\prime} v \pi о \pi о \sigma \sigma \iota \mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha \sigma \tau \epsilon \nu \alpha \chi \iota \zeta \epsilon \tau \circ\)

 \(\pi \sigma \sigma \sigma \iota \nu \mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \omega \sigma \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \nu \epsilon \nu \eta \gamma \eta \quad>\epsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu \mu \alpha \lambda \alpha \delta \omega \kappa \alpha \delta \iota \in \pi \rho \eta \sigma \sigma о \nu \pi \epsilon \delta \iota \circ \iota \frac{\eta}{}\)
 боข \(\delta \iota \alpha \pi \epsilon \delta \iota \circ \iota 0 \quad \pi \alpha \rho \delta \iota \circ \sigma \alpha \iota \gamma \iota 0 \chi 0 \iota 0 \sigma v \nu \alpha \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \iota \eta \iota \quad \alpha \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \eta \iota \quad \alpha \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \eta \iota \tau \eta \nu \alpha \lambda \gamma \sigma \sigma \epsilon\)

Col. ii.
 \(\pi \hat{a}[\sigma] a \quad \nu \epsilon ́ \mu о \iota \tau 0^{\circ}\)

780
 \(\tau 0 \hat{\imath}[\tau] 0\) ठ \(\grave{\epsilon} \quad \delta \in \hat{\imath} \quad \lambda \alpha-\)


 öтє \(\tau^{\prime}\) ả \(\mu \phi\) Tv-

781 -2
 \(\chi^{\omega o ́ \mu} \mu \nu 0 s\)


 \(\epsilon \in \chi \eta-\)

 783
 "O \(O \eta \rho \circ \nu . \quad\) oi \(\mu(\epsilon ́ \nu) \tau о l \quad \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \omega ́ \tau \epsilon-\)
 \(\kappa \in i \nu \varphi \mu(\grave{\epsilon} \nu)\)
 \(\sigma \tau \epsilon \nu a \times i \zeta \epsilon \tau \circ \quad 784\)



 \(\pi \in \delta i o 0^{\circ} \dot{\eta} \quad 785\)
 \(\delta_{\ell} \in ́ \pi \rho \eta \sigma\) -
 \(\nu \grave{\nu}\langle\nu\rangle \tau \grave{\eta} \nu\) ä \(\lambda\) yos \(\epsilon^{\epsilon}-\)

 \(\tau \eta \sigma \delta \iota \eta \gamma \eta \mu \alpha \tau \iota \kappa о \sigma \omega \nu[\cdot] \varphi \delta \delta \nu \nu \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \sigma \alpha \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon \iota \nu\) т \(\alpha \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \tau 0 \nu \chi \rho \circ \nu 0 \nu \pi \rho \alpha \chi \theta \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha\)
\(60 \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \mu \epsilon \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \iota \rho \eta x \in \nu \quad \alpha \gamma X \circ v \delta \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu \eta \uparrow \epsilon \phi \eta \pi \sigma \delta \alpha \sigma \omega \kappa \epsilon \alpha \iota \rho \iota \sigma \quad \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu \eta \alpha \nu \tau \iota\)
 \(\kappa \epsilon \iota \eta \sigma \iota \pi \epsilon \pi \circ \iota \theta \omega \sigma \quad-\tau v \mu \beta \omega \iota \epsilon \pi \alpha \kappa \rho о \tau \alpha \tau \omega \iota \alpha \iota \sigma v \iota \eta \tau \alpha \sigma \gamma \in \rho \circ \nu \tau о \sigma-\tau \omega \iota \sigma \phi \iota \nu \epsilon \epsilon \iota \sigma \alpha\)



 \(\epsilon \xi \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon \iota \nu \epsilon \xi \in \lambda \theta \omega \sigma \iota \nu\) [.] \(\iota \rho \iota \sigma \epsilon \sigma \tau \omega \lambda \epsilon \gamma 0 v \sigma \alpha \omega \sigma \kappa-\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau 0 v \delta \iota \circ \sigma \alpha \pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \lambda \mu \epsilon \nu \eta\) от \(\iota\)

 \(\epsilon\)


 \(\lambda o \nu \tau \eta \sigma \iota \rho \iota \delta \sigma \sigma \quad \omega \sigma \tau \epsilon \pi о \tau \epsilon[\cdot] \rho \eta \nu \eta \sigma \pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu \sigma \sigma \delta \alpha \lambda \iota \alpha \sigma \tau \sigma \sigma 0 \rho \omega \rho \epsilon \nu \quad \alpha \lambda \iota \alpha \sigma \tau \sigma \sigma \alpha \nu\)
 \(\mu v ́ \theta o u s\) '́ \(\mu \nu \theta o \hat{v} \nu-\)
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 \(\pi \rho \alpha \chi{ }^{\theta} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \nu \tau \alpha\)



790
 \(i \xi \epsilon, \pi 0 \delta \omega-\)

 \(\mu(\hat{\epsilon} \nu)\) oủ \(\delta\) étro-
 \(\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma^{\prime} \nu \in\) -


 \(\tau 0 \lambda \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon s\)
 \(\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \lambda \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta\). öтє
 \(\delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o \nu . \quad \dot{\eta}\)


 viòs \(\pi \alpha \tau \rho i\), à \(\pi \rho \epsilon-\)
 ('є \(\sigma \tau \iota \nu) ~ \dot{\alpha} \chi\) б́ \(\rho \iota \sigma \tau о \iota, ~ к \rho \hat{\imath}-\)


 \(\dot{\alpha} \lambda i ́ a \sigma t o s \dot{\alpha} \nu-\)

\(75 \tau 0\) / \(\chi^{\omega \rho \iota \sigma \epsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \iota \nu \omega \nu \quad ~} \quad \mu \mu \delta \eta \mu \alpha \lambda \alpha \pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha \mu \alpha \chi^{\alpha \sigma \epsilon \iota \sigma \eta \lambda \nu \theta о \nu \alpha \nu \delta \rho \omega \nu} \kappa-\tau о \nu\)






Col. iii.
```

    \eta\nu\gammá\phi\nu\lambda\lambdaо\iota\sigma\iota\nu\epsilonо\iotaкот\epsilon\sigma\eta\psi\alpha\mu\alpha0o\iota\sigma\iota\nu [
    \rho\iota\deltaO\sigma\lambda\epsilon`о\iotaто \epsilonXOL\alpha\nu\pi\rho\epsilon\piо\nu\tau\omega\sigma \epsilon\iota\delta\epsilon\pi[
    \mu\alphaХ\eta\sigmaо\mu\epsilon\nuо\iota\pi\epsilon\rho\iota\alpha\sigma\tauv \tauо\sigma\eta\mu\epsilon\iotaO\nu от[
    \tauо\rho\sigmao\iota\delta\epsilon\mu\alpha\lambda\iota\sigma\tau\epsilon\pi\iota\tau\epsilon\lambda\lambdaо\mu\alpha\iota\omega\delta\epsilon\delta\epsilon\rho\epsilon\xi\Omega\Omega\iota к[
    ```


```

    \tauovт@ ...[.]}@\omega\pi[.]\alpha\xi\alpha\iota \alpha\pi\iotaӨ\alpha\nuo\nuouva\delta[
    ```

```

    \delta\alpha\lambda\lambda\omega\nu\gamma\lambda\omega\sigma\sigma\alpha\pi}0\lambdav\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho\epsilon\omega\nu\alpha
    ```

 व’入入oıs \(\nu o ́ \sigma \phi \iota ~ \lambda \iota a \sigma \theta \epsilon i ́ s, ~ \tau o \hat{u}-\)
 a่ \(\nu \delta \rho \omega ิ \nu\) к \(\kappa(x i)\) то仑̂－

798

 \(\kappa(\alpha i) \quad \circ \hat{u}\)－

 òs ä \(\xi]\) l－
 \([\pi \rho \epsilon \in \pi o[\nu . \quad \lambda i-\)

800

Col．iii．
 \(\nu 0 \nu . \quad \epsilon i \quad \gamma(\grave{\alpha} \rho)\) vi \(\pi o ̀{ }^{\prime} I-\)
 \(\pi \epsilon \delta i ́ o l o\)

80I
 \({ }^{\circ}\) Ек－

802
 ＂Eктора \(\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta\) и́－
 oikєíms，\(\epsilon i\) aủ－


 ä \(\lambda \lambda \eta\)

804
\(\delta^{\prime}\) ä \(\lambda \lambda \omega \nu \quad \gamma \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \sigma a \quad \pi 0 \lambda v \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \in \omega v\) à \([v \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \omega v . . . . . . . . .\). ёкаб－ 805
 \(\hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \epsilon \bullet \cdot(\check{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota) \gamma(\grave{\alpha} \rho) \tau o ̀\)
```

    \sigma\eta\mu\alpha\iota\nu\epsilon\iota\nu\tauо\epsilon\pi\iota\tau\alpha\sigma\sigma\epsilonl\nu ф\alpha\iota\nu\epsilon\tau\alpha\iota\delta\epsilon\pi\alpha\lambda\iota\nu\epsilon\pi\iota\tau\alpha\sigma\sigma\epsilon\epsilon\nu\nu0\piо\lambda\iota\tau\eta\sigma{
    ```


```

    \mu\alpha\iota\nu\epsilon\iota olo\nu\epsilon\gamma\nu\omegaO\tau\iota0\epsilon\alpha\sigma / \epsilon\piо\sigma \epsilon\tau\epsilon\rhoо\nu\delta\epsilonO\nu[. .]\gamma\nu@̣\eta\sigma\epsilon\nu\tauо\tau\eta\sigma[
    ```

```

    \tau\eta\nu o\muo\iota\omega\sigma0\alpha\iota \alpha\nu\tauо\pi\tau\iota\nu\delta\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\gamma\epsilon\iota\nu\delta\partial\eta\lambdaO\nu \epsilonк\tau'\pi\rho[
    \gammaє\iota\nu\omega\sigmaко\muє\nu\eta\sigmaоти\rho\iota\sigma / \piа\lambda\iota\mu\etaа\gamma\nuоє\iota\sigma0\alpha\iotaтоє\piо\sigma >.[
    ```

```

    \tau\iota\delta\epsilon\tau\iota\sigma\pi\rhoо\pi\alpha\rhoо\iota0\epsilon\piто\lambda\iotaо\sigma\alphaь\pi\epsilon\iota\alphaко\lambda\omega\nu\eta \tauо⿱тоо\piо\iota\eta[
    100 \delta\epsilon\tauо\nuтоvто\nuа\nuто\pi\tau[.]\nu\epsilon\nu\delta\epsilon!к\nuv\sigma!! ко\lambda\omega\nu\eta/[..].[.].[
\nu\epsilonv0\epsilon\pi\epsilon\rho|\delta\rhoо\muо\sigma\epsilon\nu0[.. .] \alpha|\epsilon\nu0\alpha \alpha\pi\alpha\nu\epsilon\nu0\epsilonX\omega[
0\epsilon0\epsilonol0\nu\eta\mp@subsup{`}{}{\prime}\epsilon\sigma\alpha\nu\alpha\nu}\delta\rho%\nu\quad\tau\eta\nu\eta\tauо\iota\alpha\nu\delta\rho\epsilon\sigma
\tauоו\delta\epsilon\tau\epsilon\sigma\eta\mu\alpha\piо\lambdav\sigmaк\alpha\rho0\muо\iotaо\muvрเ\nu\eta\sigma \tau\alphav\tau\eta[
\gammav\nu\alpha\iotaка о\iota\delta\epsilonоv\alpha\lambda\lambda\alpha\mu\iota\alpha\nu\tau' \alpha\mu\alpha\ointо\nu<br>delta\omega\nu [
105 0\muо\nu \deltaє\alphav\tau\eta\nuє\iota\rho\etaкє\nu \tau\eta\nu\piо\lambda\nu\sigmaк\alpha\rhoו\sigma\tauо\nu \sigma[

```

```

    \sigma\iota\mú\eta\gamma\epsilon\muо\nu\epsilon\nu\epsilon\mu\epsilon\gamma\alpha\sigmaкори0\alpha\iotao\lambdaо\sigma\epsilonкт\omega\rho \tauо\sigma\eta\mu[
    ```








 Полíт аú- \(^{\text {- }}\)
 \(\lambda \epsilon\) уoúq \(\eta\) s


 \(\epsilon \ell ̋ \rho \eta \kappa \in \nu\). \(\neq \sigma\) - 8 II


 \(\gamma \hat{\eta} s\). ่̇v \(\pi \epsilon \delta i \omega\) àmá- \(8_{12}\)
 \(\mu(\epsilon \in \nu) \dot{\rho}^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon v-\)
 à \(\theta a ́ v a-\)
 \(\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o v \sigma \iota \quad \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta \alpha \iota\)



 T \(\rho \omega\) -

815, 816
 \(\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s\)

\(\lambda \epsilon \xi \alpha \nu \delta \rho \circ \sigma \theta \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \iota \delta \eta \sigma \quad \kappa о \rho \nu \theta \alpha \iota 0 \lambda о \sigma \delta \epsilon / \eta \tau о \iota о \pi о \iota \kappa ı \lambda \eta[\)



\(\lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega \nu 0 \nu \tau \omega \sigma \quad \kappa \alpha \iota \chi \rho \nu \sigma o \pi \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \nu \kappa \nu \nu \iota \alpha \nu \epsilon \chi{ }^{\omega \nu} \quad \epsilon \lambda \alpha \phi \rho \alpha \pi[\)
\(\xi \omega \nu \quad>\delta \alpha \rho \delta \alpha \nu t \omega \nu \alpha \nu \tau \eta \rho \chi \in \nu \epsilon v \sigma \pi \alpha \iota \sigma \alpha \gamma \chi \iota \sigma \alpha 0\) то \(\sigma \eta \mu[\)

\(\pi \alpha \iota \sigma \alpha \gamma \chi \iota \sigma \alpha 0 \alpha \iota \nu \epsilon \iota \alpha \sigma\) оикоьо \(\tau \alpha \delta \epsilon \lambda о \iota \pi \alpha \pi[\)
\(\epsilon v \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \iota \alpha \nu \quad o \iota \delta \epsilon\} \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota \alpha \nu \epsilon \nu \alpha \iota O \nu v \pi \alpha \iota \pi \sigma \delta \alpha \nu \iota a \tau 0[\)
\(\tau[\). . \(] \pi \circ \delta \alpha \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon \iota \delta \eta \sigma \quad \alpha \phi \nu \epsilon \iota \circ \iota \pi \epsilon \iota \nu[.] \nu \tau \epsilon \sigma \nu \delta \omega \rho \mu[\)
\(\epsilon[]\). . \(\lambda[\). .] . . \(\mu \epsilon \lambda \alpha \nu \alpha \nu \delta \epsilon \iota \rho о \iota O \pi \alpha \nu \delta \alpha \rho \sigma \sigma \omega \kappa-\tau \sigma[\)


Unplaced fragments.
Fr. 1.
] . \(\epsilon!\oint \epsilon \tau \alpha \cup \tau[\)
] \(\in\)
 with the MSS., including the Hawara papyrus and P. Oxy. 20.




 \(\pi \rho о \mu \alpha ́ \chi \iota \xi \in \nu\) ' \(A\) -
 \(\pi \epsilon \rho ı к \in \phi \alpha \lambda \alpha i \alpha \nu, \alpha i o ́-\)
 \(\phi \in \rho o ́ \mu \in \nu 0 S^{\cdot} \in\) U' \(^{-}\)
 \({ }_{\epsilon}[\nu \theta \alpha\) í \(\delta o \nu \pi \lambda \epsilon i ́ \sigma-\)


 819
 \(\alpha \tilde{u} \tau^{\prime} \hat{\eta} \rho \chi \in \nu\) '่v̀s
 ' \(\mu \phi \alpha \nu i \xi \omega \nu \tau \eta े \nu\)
 \(\rho \epsilon \iota \alpha \nu\), тòv \({ }^{\prime \prime} \sigma \chi^{\alpha-} 824\)

 گ̌ \(\delta \omega \kappa \in \nu\). . .


coincidence, even if \({ }_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\nu}\) Tıtapi \({ }^{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\) öp \(\rho \iota\) were not a restoration, that Strabo was acquainted with the present scholia. If he were here utilizing any Homeric commentary, his source might well be the often quoted work of Apollodorus on B, which was not concerned with textual criticism.

5-6. (Eival) in 1.6 appears to imply that the construction of 1.5 was continued and that therefore alternative reasons were proposed. But the shadiness of the Peneus can have nothing to do with the phenomenon referred to in \(11.3-4\), and hence it is probable, as Mr. Allen suggests, that Il. 5-7 are concerned with the supposed derivation of the Peneus from the Styx (1. 755), and the restoration proceeds on that hypothesis. Cf. 841. Frs. 129-3r. 4, Schol. For T' \({ }^{\prime} \mu \pi \eta\) of. the passage from Strabo cited in the note on 1. 3 . At the end of 1.6 the reading of the papyrus gives no sense, and I have adopted an emendation suggested by W-M.
8. T \(\epsilon \nu \theta_{\rho} \eta \delta \dot{o} v o s\) is the accepted spelling; vv. Il. T \(\epsilon \rho \theta\)., \(\mathrm{T} \epsilon v \theta\)., \(\mathrm{T} \in \theta\).




II-r8. 'The sign is affixed because he has dealt first with what comes second. This is the basis of Aristarchus' defence of the poet against Praxiphanes. The latter is surprised at Odysseus because in his soothing intercourse with his mother he asked only at the end about Telemachus and Penelope, since he wishes above all else to hear what has happened in his absence. But Anticleia, he says, with great intelligence at once proceeds to this very subject. Aristarchus therefore points out that . . . Anticleia. The passage is marked with a sign because it is the peculiar habit of the poet to deal first in this way with what is secondary.'
11. Line 763 has a diplê in Ven. A, the scholiast similarly remarking örı \(\pi p \dot{s} s\) tò


II-18. The restoration of these lines is in the main due to W-M. The passage of the Odyssey referred to is \(\lambda_{164-203}\), where Anticleia deals with the questions of Odysseus in the inverse order to that in which they are put. There is no parallel to this note in the extant scholia on the Iliad, but points of contact occur in Schol. \(\lambda\) I 77 fioioss \(\delta\) 'Oóvoveis ràs
 viòv \(\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{i} \pi \rho \omega \dot{\tau} \eta s\) aù \(\bar{\eta} \bar{\jmath}\) àmєкрivato. Praxiphanes is presumably the Peripatetic philosopher, whose name has recently occurred in a contemporary Delian inscription; cf. Wilhelm, Jahresh. d. öst. Arch. Inst. 1905, pp. 1-5, Crönert, Kolotes und Menedemos, pp. 69-74, 179. He was a pupil of Theophrastus, and wrote a dialogue Iffpi \(\pi o \iota \eta \tau \omega \nu\), in which criticism of the kind here mentioned may well have been incorporated. The Praxiphanes cited in the scholia on Oed. Col. 900 is probably identical. It was natural to give credit for \(\sigma o \phi i a\) to Anticleia as the daughter of Autolycus. Lines \(16-17 \gamma^{\prime} i^{\prime} \hat{\eta} \nu\) airià ... 'Avtík \(\lambda \epsilon \iota a\) remain obscure.

19-20. The restoration was suggested by W-M. tax \(\begin{gathered}\text { Pap., but the substitution of } \eta\end{gathered}\) for \(\epsilon \iota\) was particularly common at this period; cf. e.g. 1088, and ll. 31 and 70 below.
 दíloas toîs vต́tols ópoias. Schol. Did. has ivoeteîs as the gloss on oiéteas.


\({ }^{25}\). The supplement was suggested by W-M.
26-7. A note on the name П \(\eta \rho \in i \eta\), v. 1. Mıєpin, on which the extant scholia make no comment. \(\Pi_{\epsilon \epsilon \rho i \eta}\) is the common reading, and is retained by Leaf, but \(\Pi \eta \rho \epsilon i \eta\), which is preferred by most modern editors, is found in the Bodleian Hawara papyrus and two other MSS., besides Eustath. and Steph. Byz., and is confirmed, as Mr. Allen remarks, by the occurrence of oi \(\Pi \eta \rho \epsilon\) is in Inscr. Gr. ix. 2. \(205=\) Dittenberger, Syll. 425 . Schol. A has \(\Pi \iota \epsilon \rho^{\prime} \eta\) in the note on \(\Psi 383\). \(\pi \lambda a[\nu \bar{\omega} \nu \tau a l\) was suggested by V-M. The beginning of the line, he thinks, contained a reference to the service of Apollo to Admetus; cf. Schol. Did.
 some phrase like 'So we should read' or 'So the best copies'.
27. The diplê is prefixed to the lemma; cf. 11. 54, 61-2, \&c. Ven. A also has a diplê here.


\(30-\mathrm{r}\). This curious explanation that the horses' heads were branded is referred to in


 supplement in 1.3 r is due to \(\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}\).

 sort was no doubt said in the papyrus, but jrovpısovta, is an intractable termination. The
 At the end of the line he would alter \(\epsilon \tau \iota\) to \(\begin{gathered} \\ \tau\end{gathered}\), which, however, is hardly necessary.

33-4. The quotation is from E 222-3.
37. \(\mu \in \lambda i \lambda \omega \tau o \nu\) W-M.

 following lemma the \(a\) of \(a v\) does not seem to have been cancelled, and the two readings \(a \mathcal{v}^{*}\) and \(\epsilon v^{*}\) were therefore intended to stand side by side as variants. \(\epsilon \mathcal{v}^{*}\) is the usual lection, but \(a v v^{2}\) is found in several MISS.
40. The restoration is due to \(\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}\).
 that oi \(\delta \dot{\epsilon}\) means the horses of Achilles ( 1.770 ) is remarkable. Other commentators take 11. \(780-5\) more naturally as referring to the Hellenic host in general; cf. Schol. Did. oî̀" äp".

 parenthetical'; I can find no other instance of the word.
 The \(\epsilon\) in the papyrus is coarsely written, but the letter is sufficiently clear.
45. i \(\mu \mu^{\prime} \sigma \sigma \eta\) ( \(\mathrm{AB}, \& \mathrm{c}\). , edd.) seems more probable than \(i \mu \alpha^{\prime} \sigma \sigma \epsilon_{[ }^{\prime}[]\), which is found in several MSS. and Eustath.

46-7. These notes do not appear in the extant scholia, nor is there any \(\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i o \nu\) in Ven. A or apparently P. Hawara at 1.782 . Cf., however, Schol. A on \(\Psi 603\) öть \(\chi\) wúpevos

 the accusative Tvфकéa is unexpected when the dative and genitive stand in the Homeric text. But the Hawara papyrus has [T] \(\mathbf{\nu} \phi \omega \in a\) in 1.782 , and perhaps that variant is reflected here also.

49-51. Our commentator evidently interpreted eivás in the same sense as Schol. B
 Eustath. place it in Cilicia, others in Mysia, Lydia, or Syria. For the reference to Etna


 The two short strokes after \(\dot{\mu}\) in 1.50 were apparently added for the purpose of filling up the line. At the beginning of this line the letters \(\tau \boldsymbol{\tau}\) seem to have been divided from ac on account of a flaw in the papyrus.

54-5. 'The diplê marks the absence of the preposition \(\delta u a_{a}\). \(\pi \rho \dot{\prime} \theta_{\epsilon \sigma}\) ts was recognized by W-M. There is no diplê nor accompanying note in Ven, A, but the diplê is found here in the Hawara papyrus.
56. Either a \(^{2} \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \nu \eta \iota\) or \(\tau \eta \nu\). . \(\epsilon \pi \iota \phi \epsilon \rho о v \sigma a \nu\) needs alteration.
\(5^{8-60}\). This note referring the mission of Iris to the time of 'the dream' \(=\left(\begin{array}{ll}13 & \text { I sqq. }\end{array}\right)\)
seems to be quite novel. L. 58 needs correction, for the second or is plainly superfluous, and avrє must be meant for aúví or aúrj.

60-1. So Schol. Did. ívтapév \(\eta^{\circ}\) atẫa.
61. An obelus, which on the analogy of the succeeding verses is expected before єıбaro, has been omitted. The papyrus supports the better tradition in reading \(\delta \dot{\varepsilon}\) and not ruip (Eustath. and a number of MSS.). \(\quad \dot{v} \in \hat{\imath}\) is an Attic spelling.
62. Aiovińтao: so the Hawara papyrus; Aiovintao is the accepted form.
répovtos: «̈עaktos 20 (second cent.) and one or two mediaeval MSS. The final \(\sigma\) is really more like an \(\epsilon\).
 a mere oversight; cf. 1.92. But it is noticeable that this verse only of 791-5 is obelized in P. Hawara, and the omission here may be taken to indicate that the line was absent from the text of Aristarchus. It is found accompanied by an additional verse in P. Hibeh 19 (e).
\(\sigma \phi \omega\) : so 20 (which no doubt also had \(\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \phi\) later in the verse), the ninth-century MS. in the library of Victor Emmanuel at Rome, and one or two later copies, including Harl. \({ }^{1771}\), which also agrees in the reading П \(\eta \rho \in i\) in (cf. note on ll. 26-7).
63. \(\mu \in \tau \in \dot{\phi} \phi \eta\) : so the Hawara papyrus, v. 1. A \({ }^{1}\), B and many other MSS.

63-73. 'Aristarchus athetizes these lines on the ground, first, that when Iris is sent by Zeus she is never made to resemble some one else, but always appears in her own person. Secondly, her pretence is unconvincing ; for if Iris is brought in merely for the purpose of announcing their approach, Polites could easily have done this; if, however, it is in order to make the Trojans go out when they were afraid to do so, then let Iris speak as the messenger of Zeus. It is also clear that, when Homer makes one person resemble another, he also puts in their mouth the appropriate language. Now the commencement is not like Polites, but goes beyond him. He says "Old man, interminable words are ever pleasant to thee ". If Iris is the speaker, this is appropriate, but if the son is addressing his father, it is inappropriate; for he ought to say, "My father." And "interminable words are pleasant" (that is, continuous, for to determine is to separate) is also unlike Polites addressing his father (for he should speak with deference) but is more like Iris.'

The adverse criticism of Aristarchus on II. 791-5 was already known from Schol. A,






 support the argument of Aristarchus, but rather the opposite view, have no counterpart in the papyrus. The concluding sentence каi тò \({ }^{\text {" }}\) кктор ктл. corresponds to 11.84 sqq. below.
ärók \(\rho \iota \sigma\) is in 1.65 is inapposite, since the speech of Iris is not an 'answer', and W-M's emendation úróкрıияs is clearly an improvement.


 that they had been partially erased; this, however, would imply that üкpıro七 was taken for a predicate, 'words are inseparable from you,' which is not a likely interpretation. In the
latter part of \(1.7_{2}\) some emendation is necessary, and perhaps ákovóvтшs . . . ĕotкєv should be rejected, as \(\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}\) would prefer.
 combination of the two readings, ws \(\tau \in \pi o \tau \epsilon \pi\). [ \(\omega s \tau \epsilon \pi \sigma] \tau \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon p \eta \nu \eta\) in P. Hibeh 19 is likely to be a corruption of \([\omega S \tau \epsilon \pi 0] \pi \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon p \eta \eta \eta S\).

74. The reference is to A \(349, \Delta 80\).
75. if \(\mu(\dot{e v}) \delta \dot{\eta}\) : the ordinary view of Homeric editors (c.g. Ludwich, Allen) that the reading of Aristarchus in this passage was \(\bar{\eta} \delta\rangle \eta \mu \in\), , is supported by a partially effaced note in the Hawara papyrus beginning A] \(\rho[\sigma \tau a] \rho \chi(o s) \hat{\eta} \delta \eta \mu \in \nu\) (so probably, as in several MSS., not \(j, j \eta)\). It may nevertheless be questioned whether the passage in Schol. A relating to the Aristarchean reading has been correctly interpreted. 'This is given in Dindorf's edition as

 is not in the original text, but an editorial insertion; and the combination \(\eta \not \partial \eta \eta\) кai seems confirmed by the comparison of \(\Gamma\) 184, whiclı with Dindorf's reading loses its point. I venture to suggest that the note should stand unaltered either in the form \(\eta^{\eta} \mu \dot{\nu} \nu \delta \eta^{\circ}\) ovँ̃ \({ }^{\circ}\)

 between Schol. A and the Hawara papyrus. A possible explanation is that the copyist of the former transposed \(\mu \epsilon \nu\) and \(\delta \eta\) in the lemma owing to an inadvertence. But it should be observed that the note in the papyrus is incomplete; it was continued in a second line, which may have modified in some way the statement of the first.
\(\bar{\eta} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta \dot{\delta} \dot{\eta}\) is also found in the text of the Hawara papyrus as well as in AB and the majority of MSS., Hdn., Eustath.; \(\ddot{\eta} \delta \eta \mu^{\mu} \epsilon \nu\) is superscribed in A and is otherwise well supported. In Schol. A \(\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \nu\) on was written.

75-7. The commentator's opinion is directly opposed to that of Leaf, who thinks (note ad loc.) that ' 1.798 is rather suited to a human warrior than to a goddess'.
79. \(\pi o ́ \tau[\epsilon \gamma(\hat{a} \rho) \in[i \hat{\delta} \epsilon \lambda a] 0[\nu\) was suggested by W-M. I have been much inclined to
 the difficulty then is, without assuming some corruption, to find a suitable combination with what follows. To add \(\hat{\eta} \lambda\) auò \(\begin{gathered}\text { ôs a } \xi_{l} \text { los would make the line too long. }\end{gathered}\)
80. A synonym of oikciov apparently followed ov, though the sense would be complete without further addition.

8I-2. The supplements only aim at giving the general sense, which is evident.
83. \(\pi \epsilon \rho i\) : so MSS. (including 20), with the exception of the late Ambrosianus E 35, which gives \(\pi \rho o \tau i\), a reading also inserted as a v.l. in A, with the note \(\mu\) ахүббонє
 кaì 'Apıotoфávŋs rpá申ovat. Aristarchus is not here directly named, but analogy strongly supports the usual inference that \(\pi \rho o \boldsymbol{r}_{i}\) was his reading.

 \(\pi \epsilon \delta i o v\). A diplê is inserted against the verse in A as well as in the Hawara papyrus, but there is no corresponding note.

 preceding \(\pi[\rho] \hat{a} \xi a \iota\) should then be a verb meaning 'I command', but neither \(\kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \dot{\omega} \omega\) nor \(\dot{\lambda} \xi(\hat{\omega}\) is suitable, and a future would be out of place. The remains suggest \(\xi \omega\), not \(\xi(u\), and

 also a diplê in P. Hawara.
88. The obvious [ \(\tilde{\epsilon}] \nu \in \in \in \nu\) is very dubious, but I can find nothing more appropriate; there may be no loss before the traces of the supposed first \(\nu\).
\(\dot{\eta} \theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon\) : or \(\dot{\eta} \theta_{\epsilon} \tau \bar{\eta} \sigma \theta\left[a\right.\), not \(\dot{\eta} \theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \tau \eta \kappa[\epsilon\).






 ̇̇тáv


95-7. The supplements at the ends of 11. 95-6 are those proposed by W-M. ô каi \(\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu\) in 1.95 is a much compressed phrase, but it is hardly necessary to suppose an omission. סià tó must have been preceded by some word meaning 'he recognized her ' or
 vestiges. ajyvociō \(\theta a t=a \dot{\alpha} \rho \rho \nu \tau i \xi \in \sigma \theta a t\), and \(\delta \bar{\eta} \lambda o \nu\) is of course to be supplied from the preceding sentence. The vulgar spelling \(\pi a^{\lambda} \imath \iota\) is found in late prose (e. g. that of Philodemus; cf. Ciönert, Mem. Gr. Hercul. p. 140) and in papyri of the Roman age (e.g. B. G. U. \(423 \cdot 3,7\) ).
97. For the diplê cf. \(1.54, \& \mathrm{c}\). ; but the decipherment is uncertain and the vestige before the lacuna would also be consistent with a \(\pi\). The diplê is also found in Ven. A and P. Hawara.


 Herodorus and Apion.

99-100. The restorations, which are due to \(\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}\), are made exempli gratia. For that
 consistent with the vestiges, but they are extremely slight. The remark that the present tense in l. 8II proves the poet to have been an eyewitness of what he describes is remarkable, though not cogent, for the description might depend on hearsay evidence ; it would have been more exact to say 'a contemporary'.
101. The quotation is from \(\Upsilon_{4} \mathrm{I}\).

 Ouyát \(\eta \rho\), रvvì \(\Delta a \rho \delta a ́ v o v . ~\)



106. It seems likely, as W-M suggests, that örov was followed by an example, e.g. A 6 ro.
107. Restored by W-M. There is no \(\sigma \eta \mu \hat{i} 0 \nu\) nor corresponding note in A, though at \(\Gamma 16\), the verse cited for comparison in the next line, the remark is made T \(\rho \omega \omega^{\circ} v^{*}\) àvi rov
 lacks the \(\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i o \nu\).

 тоis moдє́доиs. The third of these explanations is more akin than the first to the second interpretation in the papyrus, -where the restoration of course makes no pretence to

 \(\mu a ́ \chi \eta \nu \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{a}\) ö \(\pi \lambda \omega \nu\).

II2-13. I adopt the supplement of \(W-M\). The meaning clearly is that the two lines of Alcaeus, which are not elsewhere extant, combined the alternative explanations of kopv-

 proposes \(\pi[a i \zeta \epsilon \iota\) after \(\epsilon \lambda a \phi \rho a\).

114-16. Line 8 I9 is marked with a diplê in Ven. A, with the not very illuminating note

 \(\delta \iota^{\prime} \sigma \tau a(\lambda) \times \epsilon \nu\) is an easier emendation than \(\delta \iota^{\prime} \sigma r\langle\eta \sigma\rangle \in \nu\). In P. Hawara the diplê is absent at l. 8 I 9 ; at l .820 the papyrus is defective.

The rest of the scholium relates to the construction of 11.819 sqq.: 'The sequence is ...' It is noticeable that there is no further remark on 1.820 ; A has a diplê and the note ö̃ı \(\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \iota \dot{\eta}\) ínó. The supplement at the end of l. II 6 is substantially that of W-M.



I I9. This variant 'Avoєipoto for Ai \(\sigma \dot{\eta} \pi o \iota\) is not otherwise recorded. Cf. Demetrius \(a p\).

 be a possible reading, but the vestiges are too slight for any confidence.

119-21. A diplê is prefixed to 1.827 in A (so too P. Hawara), the note being ött ou rò




 A similar interpretation was evidently given in the papyrus, though the precise wording is uncertain. There is no trace of writing between ] \(\nu\) and \(\nu о \eta \tau \epsilon \sigma\), and it is therefore in-
 partly due to the junction of two selides here. To read \(\epsilon \dot{\delta} \omega \mid \kappa \epsilon] \nu\) would make l. II9 abnormally short. The long blank interval in l. 12 I indicates that the sentence was coinplete at that point.

Fr. 1. See note on 1. 79 .

\section*{1087. Scholia on Iliad vii.}
\({ }^{2} 4.3 \times 17\). 1 cm . Late first century b.c. Plate IV.
The following text belongs like 1086 to an elaborate Homeric commentary, but one of a rather different order. 1086 is a product of the school of Aristarchus, and is a more or less direct exposition of his teaching. 1087 on the other hand shows but the slightest traces of the Aristarchean tradition, which is perhaps to be recognized in no more than a single passage (11. 85-6, note). No references occur to the \(\sigma \eta \mu \in i a\); and it is significant that one of the citations from Homer contains a reading of Aristophanes and Zenodotus (ll. 32-3). Another feature of these scholia, which in 1086 is much less conspicuous, is a tendency to learned disquisition. A long note, which might have been most interesting, on the subject of burial is unfortunately mutilated beyond recovery; but a large part of the two more or less complete columns is occupied by a list of ' paronymous ' words, illustrated by citations and references, and it is to the presence of this list that the papyrus owes its importance. Not only does the writer adduce several forms for which there is no other testimony, but he commonly supports his instances by stating where they were to be found, and thereby adds to the fragments of a number of Greek authors. Quotations for which the papyrus is the sole authority are given from Pindar, Euripides Temenus and Aegeus, Aeschylus Phineus, Sophocles Phineus I, Cratinus Malthaci, Archilochus, Xenophanes Silli, Antimachus Thebais, 'Leandrius' (cf. note on 11. 44-5), Eupolis, Stesichorus Orestcia, Alcaeus, Hesiod and the Hesiodic Kívкоs Гá \(\mu\) оs, Leucon Plirateres, and Ananius.

The \(\pi а \rho \dot{\rho} v v \mu a\) or derivative words here discussed are forms of the second declension having a nominative which is the same as the genitive of a cognate form belonging to the third declension, e.g. xpvado opos रpvaaópov, which is parallel
 Apollonius Dyscolus are mentioned by Suidas, s.v. 'A \({ }^{\prime} 0 \lambda \lambda \omega \dot{\nu} \nu o s\) ' \(A \lambda \epsilon \xi a v \delta \rho \epsilon u ́ s\), and
 Several of the examples found in these excerpts appear also in the papyrus (cf. notes on 11. 23, 37-8), and from some similar theoretical treatise our anonymous author presumably drew his information. It is, however, doubtful whether any of the three grammarians named was his actual source. Tryphon, who flourished in the latter half of the first century B. C. (Suidas, s.v.), might perhaps have been utilized if the composition of these scholia were very little anterior to the date of the papyrus. But Tryphon may well have had his predecessors in this
particular field. Coincidences in the examples of \(\pi a \rho \omega \dot{v} \nu \mu a\) do not occur in connexion with him, and even if they did they would not really count for much. There was no doubt a good deal of repetition in grammatical works of the type under consideration, and the instances and quotations would tend to become to some extent stereotyped.

The rather short columns, which lean over considerably to the right, are written in a clear and neat semi-cursive of medium size. Archaic characteristics are less marked than in 1086, but the present papyrus is probably not much posterior. \(\tau\) and \(\pi\) especially are formed on an early pattern, and though some of the letters, e.g. \(v\), would be consistent with a later date, they do not demand it. There are several points of similarity in this script to that of P. Brit. Mus. I 33 (Plate III in Classical Texts from the British Museum), which Kenyon attributes to the second century B.C. There too a \(v\) approximating to the form found here is employed. On the whole I do not think that 1087 is subsequent to the reign of Augustus, and I should be inclined to place it before rather than after the turn of the century. Besides marginal paragraphi, both high and medial stops are used, but without any clear differentiation of value, and it is not always easy to be sure which position was intended. At the end of a note double dots commonly appear, as in 856. Accents are added in some of the words quoted in the long grammatical note, and occasionally elsewhere. Abbreviation is much less frequent than in 1086 ; \(\circ \hat{v}(\tau \omega \varsigma), \phi \eta\left(\sigma_{i}\right)\), and \(\dot{a} v(\tau \hat{\imath} \tau o \hat{v})\) are the only shortened forms occurring. Lemmata, when they commence a line, project by about two letters into the left margin ; if they occur within a line, then the line following is made to project.

Col. i.
] . . [. .] \(] \sigma \nu[\cdot]\). \(!\tau \in \sigma \cdot \rho \omega[\cdot]\). \(\sigma \alpha!\pi{ }_{\bullet} \alpha \nu\)
]. . [. . . .] \(\epsilon \iota \kappa о \sigma \eta \nu \kappa \alpha \iota \pi \alpha \lambda \eta \lambda \alpha \chi \epsilon \iota \nu \underset{!}{\ell}!\)
\(] \mu \eta \cdot[. ..] \nu \in \tau \iota \delta \alpha \pi o \lambda \nu \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \tau o v \gamma \omega\).
] . . o \(\sigma[\) [. . .] \(\nu \sigma[.] \nu\). \(\alpha \sigma \omega \sigma \epsilon \in \lambda \epsilon \nu \sigma \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \omega \iota\)
] \(\tau \epsilon \iota \lambda \epsilon\). [. . .] \(\pi \rho о \mu о \sigma \epsilon \mu \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha \iota \cdot \alpha \nu \tau \iota\)
]бんбка[. . .] \(] \eta \delta \epsilon \pi \rho о \mu о \sigma \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma о \tau о v\)
]!: \(\epsilon \kappa \tau[. . ..] \omega \iota \cdot \alpha^{\nu} \epsilon \mu 0 \iota \cdot \sigma v[\cdot] \in \chi \in \sigma \gamma \alpha \rho\)
]хх \(\eta \mu \alpha[\).\(] . [.]є ] \sigma[.] \theta \eta[\cdot] \nu \nu \pi[. . ..] \times \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon\)

]. \(!\nu \pi \epsilon \rho!\alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu \lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega \nu . \alpha \lambda \lambda\) оvк \(\alpha, \nu\)

] \(\mu \eta \sigma \tau \omega \rho[.] \cdot \kappa \alpha \iota o \alpha \pi о \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \delta \epsilon \pi \iota \theta \alpha\)
] \(\sigma \epsilon!\sigma \rho \omega \sigma!\nu \tau о \nu \epsilon \kappa т о \rho о \sigma \kappa \alpha เ \tau \eta \nu v \pi \epsilon \rho\)
] \(\nu \delta \iota \alpha \sigma \alpha \phi \epsilon \iota к \alpha \iota \epsilon \alpha \cup \tau о \nu \cdot \theta \alpha \rho \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu v \nu\)
]оıаобб \(\eta \tau \eta \rho \alpha \kappa \rho о \nu \iota \omega \nu \epsilon \xi \iota \delta \eta \sigma\)
] \(\eta \kappa \in \pi \alpha \rho \in \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \alpha \mu \nu \nu \epsilon \iota \nu\)
]ov \(\alpha \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \alpha \cdot \alpha v \tau \epsilon \pi \alpha / \nu 0 \sigma \delta \epsilon \alpha v\) ] \(\nu \delta \iota \nu \kappa \kappa \lambda \omega \nu \pi \lambda \eta \nu о \mu о \iota \sigma \tau о \iota \sigma\)

\(20] \mu \mu \iota . \tau \omega!\tau \epsilon \xi v \mu \omega \nu \pi \rho \circ \in \lambda \epsilon v \sigma о \mu \epsilon\) ]к \(\alpha \iota \epsilon \mu о \iota \cdot \epsilon \pi \iota \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho о \sigma \epsilon \sigma \tau \omega . \tau о\) ] \(\epsilon \sigma \tau \omega \cdot \tau о \delta є \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho о \sigma \pi \alpha \rho \omega \nu v \mu о \nu\)
 \(] \pi \tau \omega \kappa \in \nu \omega \sigma \tau\) от \(\rho \circ \iota \xi \eta \nu \circ \sigma . \epsilon \nu \theta \in \nu\) ]८§ \(\eta \nu o l o \cdot \chi \rho \nu \sigma \alpha o \rho o \sigma \cdot \epsilon \nu \theta \epsilon \nu \chi \rho \nu\) ] \(\rho \alpha \iota \sigma \epsilon \iota \rho \eta \kappa \in \pi \iota \nu \alpha\) робтоха́ \(\rho о \pi о \sigma\) ] \(\nu \tau о \chi \alpha\) оо́тоюота \(\alpha к к т о \sigma . \tau о \chi \alpha\) ] \(\epsilon \nu \epsilon \epsilon \chi \chi \lambda \nu \beta o \iota \sigma \epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon \nu \epsilon \nu \rho \iota \pi \iota \delta \eta \sigma\) !
\(] \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \iota \cdot \tau о \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \eta \tau \circ \sigma 0 \nu \delta \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \tau \circ \sigma \omega\)
] \(\alpha\) ! \(\eta \gamma о \rho \eta \sigma \alpha \sigma . \tau о \tau \alpha \nu v \pi \tau \epsilon \rho v\) levб८ \(\mu \omega \nu \iota \delta \eta \sigma \cdot \omega \kappa \epsilon \iota \alpha \gamma \alpha \rho 0 \nu \delta \epsilon \tau \alpha\)
lүоициıаб.тоסıакторобафоиєр
] \(\pi \epsilon \mu \psi \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \delta \iota \alpha к т о \rho о \nu . \tau о \alpha \rho \pi \alpha \gamma о \sigma\)

\section*{Col．i．}
［．．．．］．．．［．．］ \(\operatorname{\sigma ov} \operatorname{[.]}\) ．．\(\iota \epsilon \sigma\) ．．\(\rho \omega[\).\(] ． \sigma \alpha \iota \pi \alpha \nu\)
［．．．．．］．．［．．．．］єiкòs र̀̀ каì та́入 \(\eta\) 入аХєìv \(\delta \iota-\)





\([. \cdots \sigma] \times \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha[.] \cdot[.] \in \pi o[.] \theta \eta[.] \nu \quad \dot{v} \pi[\epsilon \rho o] \times \hat{\eta} s \quad \theta \epsilon-\)



［rov］\(\mu \eta ́ \sigma \tau \omega \rho[\alpha] \cdot\) каi ó＇\(A \pi o ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu\) ठ̀ \(\pi \iota \theta \alpha-\)
［ \(\nu \hat{\omega}] s\) єis \(\dot{\rho} \omega \bar{\omega} \iota \nu\) то仑े＂Eктороs каi ті̀ \(\nu\) viт \(\epsilon \rho\)－


\([\pi \rho \circ \notin] \eta \kappa \epsilon \pi \alpha \rho \in \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \mu \in \nu \alpha \iota\) каì \(\dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{v} \nu \in \iota \nu\),






［ \(\tau \hat{\eta} \gamma] \in \nu ⿺ \kappa \hat{\eta}\) то仑 \(\pi \rho \omega \tau о \tau \cup ́ \pi о \nu ~ \sigma v \mu\)－
\([\pi \epsilon \in] \pi \tau \omega \kappa \in \nu\) ，\(\dot{\text {＠}}\) тò T Tooí§ \(\eta \nu 0 s,{ }^{\ell} \nu \theta \in \nu\)

［ \(\sigma \alpha o ́] \rho \alpha \iota s ~ \epsilon i ́ \rho \eta \kappa \epsilon\) Пívoa \(\rho o s\) ，тò Xápotos，



［кра́тоus к］aт \(\eta \gamma \circ \rho \eta ́ \sigma \alpha s\) ，тò таvvтлє́ \(\rho v-\)




\section*{Col. ii.}
\(\epsilon \nu \theta[\cdot] \nu \in \pi[\cdot] \eta \theta \nu \nu \in \nu \alpha \iota \sigma \chi[\cdot] \lambda o \sigma \epsilon \nu \phi \iota \nu \epsilon \iota\)
\(\alpha \rho \pi \alpha \gamma 0 \iota \chi[\cdot] \rho о \iota \nu \cdot \kappa \alpha \iota \sigma о \phi о к \lambda \eta \sigma \in \nu \phi \iota \nu \in L \bar{\alpha}\)
 ィß \(\quad\) роб.тот \(\rho \alpha[. ~] ~ .. ~ . ~ \omega \nu о \sigma \pi \alpha \rho \alpha к \rho \alpha ~\) \(\tau ו \nu \omega \iota \epsilon \nu \mu \lambda \theta \alpha\) коוб.тоат \(\mu \in \nu\) об \(\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \rho \chi^{\iota} \lambda 0 \chi^{\omega \iota} \cdot \tau 0 \lambda \alpha 0 \sigma \alpha \phi 0 \cup \phi^{\eta} \sigma \iota \mu \omega \nu \iota\)
\(40 \delta \eta \sigma \cdot \xi v \lambda \alpha \kappa \alpha!\lambda \alpha ́ \sigma v \sigma \in \pi \iota \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega \nu\).тоє \(\rho v\) \({ }^{\kappa 0 \sigma \pi} \pi \rho \alpha \xi \in \nu[\cdot] \phi \alpha \nu \epsilon \iota \epsilon \nu \bar{\epsilon} \sigma \iota \lambda \lambda \omega \nu . \tau о \alpha \ddot{i}\)
 \(\alpha \nu \tau \iota \mu \alpha \chi \circ \sigma \epsilon \nu \bar{\alpha} \theta \eta \beta \alpha \ddot{\delta} \delta o \sigma \alpha \ddot{\delta} \delta о \nu \delta \epsilon\) тока \(\omega \nu о \sigma \epsilon \nu \theta \epsilon \nu \tau \eta \nu \alpha \iota \tau \iota \alpha \tau \iota \kappa \eta \nu \tau \epsilon\)
45 Ө \(\quad\) кє \(\epsilon \epsilon \alpha \nu \delta \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \kappa \alpha \omega \nu \nu \cdot \tau о \rho \iota \psi \alpha \sigma \pi \iota\) \(\overline{\delta o \sigma} \alpha \phi \circ \cup \phi^{\eta_{\epsilon} \pi \pi o \lambda \iota \sigma \rho \iota \psi \alpha \sigma \pi \iota \delta o \nu \tau \epsilon \chi \epsilon \iota}\) \(\rho \alpha \tau \eta \nu \kappa \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu \nu \mu о \nu \tau 0 \lambda \iota \theta \alpha \kappa о \sigma \epsilon \nu \theta \epsilon \nu\) \(\bar{\phi}^{\eta}{ }_{\sigma \tau \eta \sigma \iota \chi}{ }^{\circ} \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \nu 0 \rho \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota \alpha \sigma \bar{\beta} \lambda \iota \theta \alpha \kappa о \iota \sigma\)
 \(\tau 0 v \pi \alpha \rho \iota \delta o \sigma \cdot \tau о \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \omega \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \nu \theta \epsilon \nu \epsilon \nu \tau \omega \iota\) к. \(\eta \mathrm{V}[\cdot] \cdot \mathrm{\rho} \sigma \gamma \alpha \mu \omega \iota \epsilon \iota \rho \eta \tau \alpha \iota \tau 0 \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \omega \rho \circ \iota \cdot \tau 0\) коккиүоб \({ }^{\circ} \delta \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \xi \iota \sigma \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \kappa \alpha \iota \omega \iota \cdot \tau о\) \(\overline{\delta \mu \omega} \omega \sigma \pi \alpha \rho \eta \sigma \iota o \delta \omega \iota . \delta \mu \omega 0 \sigma \epsilon \chi \omega \nu \mu \alpha\) \(\kappa \epsilon \lambda \eta \nu \cdot \kappa \alpha \iota \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \epsilon \nu \kappa \omega \nu \iota \epsilon \nu \phi \rho \alpha \tau \epsilon \rho \sigma \iota\)
\(55 \delta \mu[\).\(] ор \alpha \lambda\) 入очкоוкєт \(\eta \nu \cdot \tau о т \rho \omega о \sigma \pi \alpha\) \(\rho \eta \sigma \iota[\) [. .] \(\omega \tau \tau \in \cup \kappa \rho 0 \nu \delta \epsilon \tau \rho \omega о \sigma . \tau 0 \sigma \omega \lambda \eta \nu 0 \sigma\) \(\overline{\pi[\cdot] \rho \alpha \nu \alpha \nu L \omega l . \epsilon \sigma \theta o \tau \epsilon \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \iota \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma o \mu \epsilon}\)
 \(\alpha[\). .] \(\iota \alpha \tau \iota \kappa \eta \nu \in \iota \rho \eta \kappa \in \nu \in \cup \rho \iota \pi \iota \delta \eta \sigma \in \nu \alpha \iota\)
\(60 \gamma \in \iota \alpha \gamma[.].] \nu \alpha \theta \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \alpha\) каเтоїктьvo[.] \(\delta \epsilon \varphi \iota \cdot[. ..] \tau \alpha \nu \alpha \eta \kappa \epsilon \ddot{\chi} \chi \lambda \lambda \omega \omega \cdot \tau \epsilon \tau \alpha\) \(\mu \epsilon \nu \eta \nu \epsilon \chi \circ \cup \tau!? \eta \nu \alpha \kappa \mu \eta \nu \cdot \mu \eta \nu \iota \sigma \delta \in \eta \circ \xi \in \iota\) \(\omega \sigma \alpha \iota \tau \omega \lambda[\cdot]\}[[\cdot] 0 \xi v \theta \eta \kappa \tau \omega t \omega \sigma \lambda\) окроь: \(\delta о\)
\(\mu \in \nu \alpha[.] \pi \alpha \lambda \iota \nu \alpha \pi o \delta o \tau \omega о \pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \mu \epsilon \mu \alpha \chi^{\circ}\)
\(65, \mu \epsilon \nu о \sigma: o ́ \phi \rho \alpha \pi v \rho о \sigma \mu \epsilon \cdot \pi v \rho \iota \gamma \alpha \rho \epsilon к \alpha \iota\) єтот \(\alpha \nu \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \omega \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \epsilon \iota \sigma \tau о \mu \eta \nu \epsilon \kappa \rho \omega \nu\)

Col．ii．
\({ }^{\prime} \nu \theta[\epsilon] \nu\)＇̇ \(\pi[\lambda] \dot{\eta} \theta v \nu \epsilon \nu\) Ai \(\sigma \chi[\dot{v}] \lambda o s\)＇่ \(\nu \quad \Phi \iota \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath}\)
 X \(\in \rho \sigma i \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha}\) yols，тò \(\pi о \lambda u \pi i ́ \delta a \kappa o s, ~ \tau o ̀ ~\)




кos \(\pi \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha}\) 录 \(\epsilon \nu[0] \phi \dot{\alpha} \nu \in \iota\) Є́ \(\nu \epsilon^{\prime} \sum_{i}^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu\) ，тò＂\(A \iota-\)



45 Өךкє \(\Lambda \epsilon \alpha ́ \nu \delta \rho \iota o s ~ K a ́ \omega \nu o \nu\) ，тò \(\rho \iota \psi \alpha ́ \sigma \pi \iota\)－
 \(\rho \alpha\) Јウ̀ \(\nu K \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu \dot{u} \mu \circ v\) ，тò \(\lambda \iota \theta \alpha \kappa o ́ s, ~ \epsilon ้ \nu \theta \epsilon \nu\)
 тò KópuӨos，\(\gamma\)＇́ \(\gamma 0 \nu \epsilon \delta^{\prime}\) oûtos viòs＇\(A \lambda \epsilon \xi\) g＇\(\nu \delta \rho o v\)



 кє́ \(\lambda \eta \nu\) ，каі̀ \(\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀ ~ \Lambda \epsilon ひ ́ к \omega \nu \iota ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu ~ \Phi \rho \alpha ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \sigma \iota, ~\)

 \(\pi[\alpha] \rho\)＇＇Avavíç．\(\quad\) єै \(\sigma \theta^{\prime}\) öтє \(\delta \grave{\epsilon}\) каì \(\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma o \mu \hat{\epsilon}-\)


60 \(\gamma \in \hat{\imath} \ddot{a} \gamma[\omega \nu o] \nu \dot{\alpha} \theta \lambda \eta{ }_{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \alpha\) ，каì тò \(\imath \kappa \tau \iota \nu \rho[s]\) \(\delta^{\prime}\) є́víк［ךбє．］таvaŋ́кєї Ха入кஸ̣．\(\tau \epsilon \tau \alpha-\)



\(65 \mu \in \nu 0 s\) ．őфра тuрós \(\mu \in \cdot \pi \cup \rho i ̀ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \epsilon ’ к \alpha i ́-~\)
\(\epsilon \tau 0 \pi \alpha \dot{\nu} \tau \alpha\) T̀̀ \(\sigma \omega ́ \mu \alpha \tau \alpha\) єis．Tò \(\mu \eta\) خ̀ \(\nu \in \kappa \rho \hat{\omega} \nu\)

Col. iii.

тоuto[
тоuto[
\(8_{5} \omega \sigma \phi^{\eta}{ }_{\kappa}[\)
\(8_{5} \omega \sigma \phi^{\eta}{ }_{\kappa}[\)
    \(\tau \alpha \cdot \lambda \alpha \chi \epsilon \iota \nu[\)
    \(\tau \alpha \cdot \lambda \alpha \chi \epsilon \iota \nu[\)
        \(\phi \rho \omega \nu . \delta[\)
        \(\phi \rho \omega \nu . \delta[\)
        \(\mu \in \mu \phi \in[\)
        \(\mu \in \mu \phi \in[\)


90 єt \(\delta \in \kappa \epsilon \gamma[\)
90 єt \(\delta \in \kappa \epsilon \gamma[\)
    \(\mu \circ \ell \in \nu \chi 0 \sigma \alpha \pi[\)
    \(\mu \circ \ell \in \nu \chi 0 \sigma \alpha \pi[\)
        \(\epsilon\) [
        \(\epsilon\) [
        . . [
        . . [
        \(\delta \alpha \nu .[\)
        \(\delta \alpha \nu .[\)
        \(\mu \alpha \times \alpha!\sigma \alpha[\)
        \(\mu \alpha \times \alpha!\sigma \alpha[\)
moтıрうov.ova [
moтıрうov.ova [
    \(\nu 0 \sigma . o v \delta \epsilon \nu!\) [
    \(\nu 0 \sigma . o v \delta \epsilon \nu!\) [
    \(\pi \alpha \rho \in \pi o \mu \in \nu[\)
    \(\pi \alpha \rho \in \pi o \mu \in \nu[\)
    \(\delta_{\in} \beta \alpha \rho \nu \tau\) ои[
    \(\delta_{\in} \beta \alpha \rho \nu \tau\) ои[

1-5. This note, which apparently refers to l. 74, is difficult to reconstruct from the damaged remains. The extant scholia do not help.
2. \(\pi \alpha^{\prime} \lambda_{\eta}\) is not satisfactory, apart from the fact that the omission of iota subscript is unusual in this papyrus. тo \(\delta \eta\) could be read, but not \(\pi a \lambda a \iota\) nor \(\pi a \lambda \omega\).
3. arodvєб \(\theta a \iota\) cannot be read. At the end of the line tovy , not tovi \(\omega\), seems to be clear.
4. \(] \nu \sigma\left[\right.\) : the doubtful \(\sigma\) can be any round letter. ] a a may be \(\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{j}}\) ara ( \(-\eta \sigma a s\) ?), and the following \(\omega \sigma\) is very uncertain.
5. The supposed point after the second lacuna may be the tip of a letter. avritados is hardly the natural synonym for \(\pi \rho o ́ \mu o s\), which is usually explained as equivalent to \(\pi \rho o ́ \mu a \chi o s\), e.g. in Schol. A and Apollon. Lex.; moreover a supplement of five letters would be better than one of four. But there is no room for a suitable word if àvi [rov . . . is read, and that phrase would be likely to have been abbreviated, as in 1.7. It may be noticed that Eustathius uses the word àvitados in his discussion of the passage (p. 666), tò \(\delta \dot{e}\) tò̀ àvtitào


8. \(\epsilon \pi \sigma_{[2}[]_{t \tau}\) does not seem admissible, and \(\left[\pi^{\top} \in \pi \sigma_{1}{ }_{l}\right] \theta \eta\) is hardly adapted to the context.

\(9-\mathrm{ro}\). The name of Zeus is expected somewhere in these two lines.
10-12. Ө 21-2; 'Z \(\mathrm{\eta} \nu\) ' \(u\) üatov' is similarly quoted in illustration in Schol. B. The

Col. iii.

supplement [ \(\dot{\epsilon} \rho \dot{v} \sigma a]^{\prime} r^{\prime}\) is slightly long and perhaps the initial letter stood in 1. ro. The supposed point in l. I 2 may be a vestige of the a of \(\mu \eta \sigma \tau \omega \rho a\).
13. Wilamowitz suggests ci]s emipwow, but this is hardly consistent with the remains. \(i \pi \epsilon \rho[\beta \circ \lambda \dot{\eta}]^{\prime}\) (W-M) suits the lacuna rather better than \(\left.i \pi \epsilon \rho\left[o \chi^{\prime}\right]^{\prime}\right]\).

14-17. The quotation is from 0254 - 6 .

\(2 \mathrm{x}-2\). The separation of \(\bar{\epsilon} \pi i\) from \(\mu\) áprvpos is indicated by 1.22 , and so Cramer,
 \(\epsilon ̈ \sigma \tau \omega\). W-M proposed \(\tau \grave{o}[\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \rho \epsilon s \epsilon \in \pi] \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \omega\), but \(\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \rho \epsilon s\) would overload the lacuna, while \(\pi \lambda \bar{\eta}(\rho \epsilon s)\) would not quite fill it.
23. The vestiges do not at least suggest \(]^{2} \nu \nu \kappa\), but \(\left.\gamma\right] \epsilon \nu \kappa \hat{\eta}\), as \(W-M\) remarks, seems




 (cf. l. 37).
25. [Tро] \(\zeta\) 乡भ́voo: : 847.

25-6. גpuraópats is not found in the extant works of Pindar, who uses the form रpugáwp in Pyth. v. 104 and Fr. 139. xpuaćopas occurs e.g. in Homer, E 509, 0256.


28-9. Xá入ußos is known as a Euripidean form from Alc. 980 and Fr. 472. 6, but this citation from the Temenus is new.
\(3^{1-2}=\) Simonides, Fr. \(3^{2}\).
\(3^{2-3}\). a \(3^{8}\). The scholia state that Aristophanes and Zenodotus here read \(\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi a v \tau \epsilon\), and Buttmann was no doubt right in his inference that they also read ס九áктopov, which is found in Vat. Ottob. 308 and Vind. 307. \(\pi \dot{\epsilon} \mu \psi a \nu \tau \epsilon s\) év́rкотov other MSS. and edd.

33-6. The form äpтayos was known only from Schol. Dorv. Aristoph. Plut. 800 and Arcadius, p. 102. 9, whence Dindorf describes it in Stephanus Thes. as a forma recentioris Graecismi. Only one certain citation of the Phineus of Aeschylus (Fr. 258) and one of the first Phineus of Sophocles (Fr. 641) were previously known.
36. \(\pi\) одитібакоs is a well-supported variant in Homer, \(\boldsymbol{\Xi}\) 307, and elsewhere; it is also read e. g. in the H. in Ven. 54 and is found in Hesychius.


 101, Kock). If the papyrus is to be trusted, there must be an error here, and \(\tau \rho a \gamma o \pi \dot{\omega} \gamma \omega v\)
 form т \(\rho a \gamma o \pi \dot{\omega} \gamma \omega \nu\) os is not otherwise attested, and not a little remarkable that \({ }^{1} 1\) inpos and \(\tau \rho a \gamma o \pi \dot{\omega} \gamma \omega \nu\) os should have occurred in actual juxta-position. But to attribute the confusion to the papyrus and bring it into conformity with the text of Stephanus by some such

 reading apart from the passage of Stephanus, \([\gamma o \pi]\) being rather cramped and the vestiges of some of the other letters exiguous ; but as it is, little doubt remains.
\(3^{8-9}\). The form ä \({ }^{2} \mu \in v o s\) is found in Heysch., Eustathius, Od. p. 1750. 62, and
 That the word occurred in Archilochus was unknown. Was he the source of the anony-


39-40. \(\lambda\) áos has hitherto rested on the support of Soph. O. C. 195 ' 'm 'äкpov \(\lambda\) áov, on which

 Wecklein in substituting \(\lambda \hat{a} o s\) for \(\lambda\) coov, and hazards the guess that 'Herodian had perhaps no warrant besides this passage (of Sophocles) '. Grammarians had better information than what is commonly credited to them. The traditional \(\lambda\) án should in future be allowed to stand. W-M notes that the word is probably to be also recognized in Hesiod Fr. 115.3



\(40-\mathbf{I}\). "E \(\rho\) voos is not a known form for "E \(\rho v \xi\), but the first letter is most probably \(\epsilon\) and the occurrence of the name in the works of Xenophanes is eminently natural. The present is the first reference to separate books of the Silli, the attribution of which to Xenophanes by Strabo (p. 643) and others has occasioned much discussion. A careful review of the evidence is given by C. Wachsmuth in his Sillographi Graeci. He arrives at the sensible conclusion that the Silli of Xenophanes were a poem or series of poems in hexameters wherein various philosophers and poets were attacked; and that they were so called by grammarians on account of their similarity to the Silli of Timon. Only one line is quoted expressly from the work (Schol. Aristoph. Equit. 406), but eleven other fragments are assigned to it by Diels, Poet. Phil. Fragmenta, pp. 39-41.

4I-3. "Adoos: this is another new form.

44-5. Kíwv, gen. kiavos, is cited by Theognostus in Cramer, Anecd. Oxon. ii. 1. 30, and káwos is found without explanation in Suidas. Who this Leandrius may be is uncertain. C. Kcil has shown good reason (Vindiciae onomat., 1843) for correcting 4 éapópos or \(\Lambda\) ciúvplos in a number of passages, e.g. Diog. Laert. i. i. 28, to Matiudpoos, i. e. the Milesian historian whose name is guaranteed by C. I. G. 2905.8 ; and Meineke goes
 of the MSS. The best evidence for a writer called Leandrius is this papyrus, which very possibly has fallen into a common error, though its early date entitles it to some respect.




47-8. ì \(\ell\) axós is included in a list of words in -akos by Arcadius, p. 5r. 7. Bekker's Anecd. ii. p. \(7^{83}\) (= Bergk, Fr. 31) is the only other express citation of the second book of the Oresteia.
\(50-\mathbf{r}\). à \(\pi\) ár \(\omega\) pos is not otherwise attested. It is noticeable that the name of Hesiod does not accompany the Kívoos \(\gamma\) ános, regarding the authenticity of which doubts were



 poem is also cited as Hesiod's in Schol. Apollon. Rhod. i. 1289; six fragments are attributed to it by Rzach.
\(5^{2}\). кóккиуos, which I owe to W-M, is another unknown form. There is little doubt of the correctness of the reading.
53. Hesiod, Erga 470. Whether the word should be accented \(\delta \mu \hat{\omega}\) os or \(\delta \mu \omega\) ós was not



55. The papyrus makes a small addition to the three existing fragments of Leucon (Kock, i. p. 704). The Фрárepes were produced on the same occasion as the Peace of Aristophanes.
\(55^{-6}\). For the form \(\mathrm{T} p \overline{\mathrm{c}}\) os cf . the note on 1. 53. It must of course be a nominative and is apparently equivalent to Tpés, the mythical king of Troy, as in Malalas iv. Tros was the great grandson of Teucer. Teúvpov \(\delta \dot{\epsilon}\) T \(\mathrm{T} \hat{\omega} \neq s\) does not occur in the extant remains of Hesiod; but the name of the author, though quite suitable, is by no means certain.
\(5^{6-7 .} \sigma \omega \lambda \hat{\eta} \nu o s\) is otherwise unexampled. The scanty remnants of the Iambographer Ananius are mostly derived from Athenaeus.
\(5^{8-60 \text {. ä } \gamma \omega v \text { os is given by Hesychius as an Aeolic form; cf. Phot. Lex. ä } \gamma \omega \nu \text { os кazà }}\)
 єхр \(\quad\) бато (Fr. 120, Bergk). The quotation from the Aegeus is new.
\(60-\mathrm{I}\). If \(\dot{e} \nu \dot{\nu} \times[\eta \sigma \epsilon]\), which was suggested by \(\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}\), is right, it would be equivalent to ' \(\xi \in v i \kappa \eta \sigma \epsilon\), 'has come into vogue.' This is very suitable, since "iктıvos is a common form; and the use of the simple verb, though unusual in this sense, seems quite possible. \(\delta^{\circ}\) ev ¿... is less likely.

With regard to the accent, Theognost. 67. 17 and Etym. Magn. 470. 35 agree with the papyrus, while Herodian, ap. Eustath. p. 1825 . 12, writes ikievos, which is commonly adopted.



\(6_{4}\) ．A stop is likely to have disappeared after \(\pi a \lambda \iota \nu\).
\(6_{5}\) sqq．The loss of this long note concerning burial is very unfortunate．Schol．A


73－5．These three lines project slightly into the margin，though not so much as the Homeric lemmata．Perhaps the indentation was unintentional ；or these lines may be a quotation from some other source．
 \(\Lambda v \kappa o ́ \phi \rho \omega \nu\) and \(\pi o \kappa k i \lambda[\lambda \epsilon \iota\) are restored by W－MI，who suggests that something like \(\dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\epsilon}\) \(\dot{a} \nu \quad \delta i \pi \lambda \omega \sigma \iota s] \pi\) токi৯\([\lambda \epsilon t \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi o i \eta \sigma t \nu\) stood in 11．88－9．The letter following \(\phi \rho \omega \nu\) may well be \(a, o\) ，or \(\omega\) instead of \(\delta\) ．
\(90-\mathrm{r}\) ．Verse 8r seems to have been divided into two lemmata，separated by a very short comment；or the scribe might be supposed to have made a mistake and to have written several letters which he had to delete．Unless some such hypothesis is adopted 1． 90 will be about ten letters shorter than those of Cols．i－ii，which there is no reason to suppose and 11．85－6 tend to disprove．

96－9．mori：so most MSS．and Eustath．；\(\pi \rho 0\) ti edd．with Vind．II万 and a Breslau MS．For tò \(\kappa \rho \epsilon \mu \dot{o} \omega\) ，which was restored by W－M，cf．Eustath．ad loc．тò \(\delta \grave{e}\) к \(\kappa \epsilon \mu \dot{\omega} \omega\)


\section*{1088．Medical Receipts．}
\(23.2 \times 41 \mathrm{~cm}\).
Early first century．
The following series of medical receipts is written on the verso of 1086 in a cursive hand probably dating from the earlier part of the first century．Three columns are nearly entire，and there are traces of a fourth，but practically nothing of it remains．Col．i is preceded by a blank space considerably wider than the margins between the columns and therefore was presumably the commencement，the roll which contained the Homeric scholia having been subdivided before these prescriptions came to be inserted in it．They are a miscellaneous collection，including local applications for wounds and sores， leprosy，flow of blood，polypus，and to induce sneezing，and potions for quartan fever，liver－complaints，dropsy，insomnia，and convulsions（？）．Papyri of this class are not infrequent ；cf．e．g．234，P．Tebt．273，P．Rylands 29－29（b），Berl．Klas－ sikcrtexte，iii．pp．32－3．The writer，who was rather inaccurate，shows anoticeable fondness for \(\eta\) instead of \(\epsilon \iota\) before another vowel ；he also has a curious datival form in 1． 32 ．

Col．i．
Tò \(\mu \eta ́ \lambda \iota \nu o \nu\) ко入入（v́pıov）\(\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \rho ं \epsilon \hat{v} \mu \alpha\)
каi є̇ \(\lambda \kappa \omega ́ \mu \alpha \tau \alpha ~ к \alpha \grave{~ \pi} \pi \eta \eta\) às
каi аi \(\mu \alpha ́ \lambda \omega \pi \alpha\) ．
\(\kappa \alpha \delta \mu \eta \alpha s(\delta \rho x \chi \mu \alpha i) \delta, \psi i \mu i \theta i o v(\delta \rho \alpha \times \mu \alpha i) \eta\),
\(10 \quad \dot{\alpha} \mu v ́ \lambda o v(\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \alpha i) \eta, \sigma \tau i ́ \mu \epsilon \omega s(\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \alpha i) \beta\),
 \(\psi \iota \mu \iota \theta\) íov ( \(\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \alpha i) \beta\), ко́ \(\mu \mu \epsilon(\omega s)(\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \alpha i) \beta\), v́ \(\delta \omega \rho\).
\(\lambda \in \pi \rho \iota \kappa \dot{\eta} \cdot \quad\{\alpha\} \kappa \alpha \nu \theta \alpha \rho i \delta(\omega \nu)(\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \grave{\eta}) \alpha, \ddot{\alpha}^{\prime} \mu l\),


тíббךs v̀ \(\gamma \rho \hat{\alpha} s\). Х \(\rho \bar{\omega} \iota\) roîs
то́тоьs.


aर्i \(\mu \alpha\) ảтò \(\mu \nu \kappa \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \rho \omega \nu \quad \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha l \cdot \mu \alpha ́ \nu \nu \alpha \nu\)

тò \(\nu \quad \chi\) U入òv \({ }^{\epsilon} \nu \delta o ́ \theta \epsilon \nu\).
Col. ii.
\(\pi \tau \alpha \rho \nu ⿺ \kappa o ́ \nu \cdot\) é \(\lambda \lambda \in \beta\) ópov \(\lambda \in \cup к о \hat{v}\)
\({ }_{2} 5 \pi \rho \circ \sigma \phi a \tau \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu \tau \rho i \not \psi \alpha s\) '́ \(\mu \phi \dot{v} \sigma \alpha\{s\}\)
єis roùs \(\mu v \kappa \tau \hat{\eta} \rho \alpha s, \dot{\eta}\) бт \(\rho 0 v \theta \eta \dot{\eta} \omega \iota\)
\(\dot{\omega} \sigma \alpha u ́ \tau \omega s\) ท̀ кабторท́ఱ \(\dot{\omega} \sigma \alpha u ́ \tau \omega s\).


\(3 \supset\) Өрштоע \(\theta \epsilon \rho a ́ \pi \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon, \vec{\eta}\) є́ \(\lambda \lambda \epsilon \beta o ́ \rho \omega \iota\)
\(\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \alpha \nu t \dot{\omega} \sigma \alpha u ́ \tau \omega s\) X \(\rho \bar{\eta} \sigma o \nu\).
\(\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \pi u \lambda u ́ \pi t o u s ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ ' ~ \epsilon ~ \mu ~ \mu u к \tau \eta ́ \rho \epsilon \sigma-~\)




\(\lambda \hat{\eta} o \nu\) є’ \(\mu \phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \alpha\) ．


（ \(\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \alpha i) \gamma\),

 \(\sigma \alpha s ~ \mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime}\) v́ \(\delta \alpha \tau о s\) ко入入и́pıа то́єє \(\dot{\eta} \lambda i ́ \kappa o \nu\)


45 кє́ \(\omega \iota\) i \(\mu \iota к о т v \lambda i ́ \omega \ell, \pi \rho о \lambda о v ́ \sigma \alpha s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ \lambda \eta ́ \mu\)－
\(\psi \epsilon \omega(s)\) ó \(\rho \bar{\nu} \nu \beta\) каì факòv \(\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau о \grave{s} \pi o ́ \delta \alpha s\)
\(\pi \rho о \tau \iota \theta \epsilon i ́ s, к \alpha i\) бкєпа́ \(\} \epsilon \iota \nu\) i \(\mu \alpha \tau i ́ o \iota s . ~\)

\section*{Col．iii．}
\(\pi o ́ \tau \eta \mu \alpha\) тоòs \(\dot{\eta} \pi[\alpha] \tau!\kappa[0]\) ús．
 баv́коv\｛s\} ( \(\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \alpha i \beta) . \quad \beta \rho \alpha[\delta \epsilon \epsilon \omega s\)



\(\gamma \lambda v \kappa \epsilon ́ \omega s\) каì \(\mu\) е́入ıтоs каi
\(\sigma \tau \rho o \beta i \lambda \lambda \omega \nu\langle\epsilon \kappa\rangle[\rho] \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu\) סòs \(\pi \epsilon i \nu\) ．

\(\zeta \mu \nu ́ \rho \nu \eta s(\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \alpha i) \iota, \nu \alpha ́ \rho \delta o v(\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \alpha i) 5, \sigma \epsilon\langle\sigma \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon[\omega S\)
AiӨıотıко仑（ \(\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \alpha i) 5^{\cdot} \mu \in \tau^{\prime} \omega_{\imath}^{\prime}\{\omega\}\) ồ \(\lambda \in \alpha \alpha^{\prime}[\nu \alpha S\)


кขá \(\mu\) оv \(\delta[i ́] \delta o v \quad \pi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu\) є่ \(\nu \quad \mu \epsilon \lambda \iota \kappa \rho[\alpha ́-\)
\(\tau \omega \iota \quad \theta \in \rho \mu \hat{\omega}\) ．
\(\pi о ́ т \eta \mu \alpha\) vं \(\delta \rho \omega \pi \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \cdot\) ó \(\rho \in \sigma \sigma\{\sigma\} \in \lambda i ́ v o(v) \cdot[\cdot\),
\(\mu \nu \rho \sigma i \nu \eta(s)(\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \alpha i) \eta, к \alpha \rho \dot{\prime} \omega \nu \pi \iota \kappa \rho \hat{\omega}(\nu)(\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \alpha i) \delta\),
баи́коу \(\sigma \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \mu \alpha(\tau о s)(\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \alpha i) 5\).

 32. 1. по入útous. 34. \(\epsilon\) of t \(\rho \epsilon \iota \neq a s\) and o of \(\epsilon \mu \phi \nu \sigma a \nu\) corr. from \(a\). added above the line. 43. ' of \(\sigma \kappa \iota a\) corr. from \(\epsilon\) ? 44. l. \(\gamma \lambda \nu \kappa \epsilon \in \omega\). 39. \(\psi \omega \mu \omega(\mu a)\)

r-67. 'The yellow salve for discharges, wounds, bruises, and weals; calamine 4 dr ., white lead 8 dr., fine meal 4 dr., purified schist I dr., saffron I dr., opium 3 ob., gum 4 dr ., water.

A good remedy for small discharges and wounds: fine meal 8 dr ., antimony 2 dr ., opium 3 ob., flake of copper 3 ob., white lead 2 dr ., gum 2 dr ., water.

For leprosy: cantharides I dr., ammi, rocket-seed, . . ., nigella, mustard, cress, raw pitch. Apply locally.

Styptic: use pounded rock-alum, and it will stop (the blood) at once.
To stop nose-bleeding : mix frankincense with onion-juice and apply the juice inside.
To cause sneezing: pound fresh some white hellebore and blow it into the nostrils, or use soap-wort or castor in the same way.

For sores in the nose : rub yellow orpiment smooth, then lay the man on his back and treat him, or use black hellebore in the same way.

For polypus growing in the nostrils : baked soda 3 ob., cummin I dr., orris-root I dr. ; rub them and blow into the nostrils. If the sore is rather dry, rub smooth some dry bark of fig and blow in.

For quartan fever: juice of silphium 1 ob., myrrh 1 ob. Another dose : hemlock 3 dr ., henbane 3 dr., opium 2 dr., castor I dr., black hellebore I dr. ; pound and work them up separately with water and make pastilles of the size of an Egyptian bean, then dry in the shade and give them to the patient to drink fasting, rubbing them in half a cotyle of raisin wine, having previously given him a bath two hours before taking; apply a warm bottle to the feet, and cover him up with blankets.

Draught for liver-patients: sweet flag I dr., opopanax I ob., spikenard I ob., parsnip 2 dr. ; to be drunk slowly with raisin wine or honey.

Another receipt: opopanax 2 dr., sweet flag 2 dr., parsnip I dr., spikenard I ob.; give to drink with raisin wine and honey and pine-cones mixed.

Another, tolerably strong: cinnamon..., myrrh io dr., spikenard 6 dr., Aethiopian seseli 6 dr .; rub smooth separately with egg and work up with juice of centaury, and give a dose of the size of an Egyptian bean in warm honey and water.

Draught for dropsy-patients : mountain-parsley ..., myrtle 8 dr ., bitter almonds \(4 \mathrm{dr}_{\text {- }}\) seed of parsnip 6 dr .

Soporific: henbane . . ., anise I dr., opium 4 ob.; mix and administer.'
1. \(\mu \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \nu a \iota{ }_{\epsilon} \mu \pi \lambda a \sigma \tau \rho o \iota\) are dealt with in Galen, xiii. pp. 503 sqq., where a number of pre-

 perhaps \(\dot{\rho} \in \dot{v} \mu a(\tau a)\) should be read.
5. For \(\lambda i \theta\) os oxıotós cf. Galen, xii. p. 196. 7, Diosc. v. 144. It is said by the latter to
 \(\pi \lambda \dot{\nu} \nu \epsilon \theta \theta a \iota\) is used of \(\lambda_{i} \theta_{0}\) e．g．in Galen，xiii．p． 407.

8．\(\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \sigma \tau \dot{\eta}\{t\}\) is very doubtful，but I can find no other reading that yields any sense． The letters are more like apounı or apє \(\tau \eta, \tau\) is comparable to the supposed \(\tau\) of \(\tau 0()\) in 1． 69 ；\(\eta\) has a taller first stroke than usual，like that of a \(\phi\) or \(\psi\) ，but neither of those letters seems admissible．apo \(\epsilon \nu\) is unsuitable，nor would such a distinction be likely．

 owing to some confusion with äkav \(\theta a\) ．

15．\(\pi a \rho a \iota \theta_{0 v}\) is fairly clear，but is not a known word．Was \(\pi v p \epsilon^{\prime} \theta \rho o u\) meant？Dioscorides



 It is a common remedy of modern barbers．

 Galen，xiv．pp．337－9，416－18．

 \(\pi \tau а р \mu \iota к\) ќs．

 and áфpóvitpov，pp．416，417．At the end of 1.28 a horizontal stroke might be interpreted as the sign for 1 obol，but the amount seems immaterial here，and a rather smaller dash at the end of the next line clearly has no such meaning．

32．\(\mu v \kappa \pi \dot{\eta} \rho \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota v\) ：the use of the Aeolic dative is remarkable；cf．C．P．R．\({ }^{242}\) ． 10 хо⿱亠䒑iкєөт．

36．\(\sigma v \kappa \eta \imath o v\) might be for \(\sigma v k(\epsilon) i o v\), but that word is only used in the sense of a decoction of figs．Possibly \(\sigma\) okiov is meant．

38 sqq．In a prescription of Harpalus for quartan fever in Galen，xiv．p． \(167, \sigma \mu \nu \rho \nu a\) and кабтópoò are included ；other remedies are given ib．pp．524，56r，Diosc．Eỉnop．ii． 2 I．

39．\(\psi \dot{\omega} \mu \operatorname{\mu }(\mu a)\) ，which has been inserted here，is used by Galen，xii．p．1004，in connexion with the feeding of infants．

43．The Egyptian bean was a common measure of magnitude；cf．e．g．Galen，xiv． p． \(462 \chi^{a \lambda \kappa о \hat{~} \kappa є к а \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o v ~ o ̈ \sigma a \nu ~ к \nu a ́ \mu о \nu ~ A i \gamma . ~ I t s ~ e q u i v a l e n t ~ w e i g h t ~ i s ~ g i v e n ~ i b . ~ x i x . ~ p . ~} 780\) as \(1 \frac{1}{2}\) ob．

 The termination of \(\gamma \lambda \nu \kappa\) ќ \(\omega \iota\)（for \(-\omega s\) ）was probably affected by that of \(\dot{\eta} \mu \kappa о \tau v \lambda i \omega t\) ；cf．1． 22. －\(\epsilon \omega s\) for－ \(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\) os is frequently found in later Greek ；cf．Lobeck，Phrynichus，p． 247.

46．факóv：cf．e．g．Hippocr．Пєрì yuv．фưб．ii．p． 57 I Kühn，aủroîs тоîs pákєб兀 \(\theta \epsilon \rho \mu a i \nu \omega \nu\) ，
 shape．

48．If \(\dot{\eta} \pi[a] \tau \iota \kappa[\square]\) is is right，the letters \(\tau \iota \kappa\) ，the remains of which are scanty，were rather
 suit the character of the prescriptions of 11．49－62．Cf．ib．pp．374－5，xiii．pp． 198 sqq．， Diosc．Eitup．ii．58－9．




57-8. The letter before the lacuna may be \(\iota\), but since \(\sigma \in \hat{\lambda} \iota \nu\) vo Aitoonıкóv is apparently not a known variety, it seems likely that there was another lipography here and that \(\sigma \in \sigma \in \lambda_{c}\) Ai \(\theta\) oorıoóv (Diosc. iii. 54 ) was meant. At the end of the line \(\lambda \in \varphi[k 0 \hat{v}\) is not impossible, but the remains of the last letter before the lacuna suit a rather better than \(v\).
 Diosc. Ev̇по \(\rho\). ii. \(63-5\); \(\dot{\alpha} \phi \dot{\epsilon} \psi \eta \mu a \dot{\rho} \iota \zeta \bar{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \epsilon \lambda i \nu \omega \nu\) and \(\mu \nu \rho \sigma i \nu \eta s \phi_{\nu} \lambda \lambda a\) are mentioned by the latter.
68. катабтаб \(\mu\) ккós does not occur, but this word seems more intelligible than каталтa⿱\(\tau \iota \kappa o ́ s\), and the letter before \(\iota\) suggests \(\mu\) rather than \(\tau\). aßpuavov is perhaps meant for aßporóvov, which according to Diosc. iii. 26 ßon \(\theta \in \hat{\epsilon}\) oráa \(\mu a \sigma \iota \nu\), inter alia. For the marginal note of approbation, written as usual in the form of a monogram, cf. 1087.43; it was repeated in the margin of the lost fourth column.
69. The letters after \(\omega \nu\) might be read \(\tau[i]\) kov. \(\tau 0()\) is possibly vo ( ), i. e. ivv \(\tilde{\delta}(a \tau \iota)\).

\section*{1089. An Alexandrian Chronicle.}
\[
{ }_{2} 5 \times 14 \cdot 1 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Third century }
\]

The recto of this papyrus contains a fragment, too much mutilated to be worth reproduction, of a second-century land-survey. On the verso are remains of three columns, written in upright uncials, which may be assigned with probability to the third century. The hand is sufficiently well formed, though marked by no great regularity; towards the ends of the lines there is a rather strong tendency to compression and reduction in the size of the letters. No stops occur nor other lection signs beyond the diaeresis. In one or two places marks of doubtful significance are inserted in the margin (ll. 26, \(3^{2}\) ).

Of Cols. i and iii only a few disconnected letters have survived, but the intermediate column, though also much damaged, is in its upper portion in fair preservation. It belongs to a narrative of certain events in which the principal actors are, on the one hand Flaccus, on the other Isidorus and Dionysius. The identity of the first two of these is immediately evident. Flaccus is no doubt the praefect L. Avillius Flaccus, the subject of Philo's diatribe ; and Isidorus must be the well-known Alexandrian gymnasiarch, one of the instigators of Flaccus in his oppression of the Jews, subsequently his accuser, and eventually, as the papyri have proved, himself a victim. Dionysius also may now be recognized in a hitherto obscure passage of the Adversus Flaccum. Philo describes the abettors and tools of Flaccus as \(\Delta \iota o v v ́ \sigma \iota o \iota, ~ \delta \eta \mu о к о ́ \pi o \iota, ~ \Lambda a ́ \mu \pi \omega \nu \epsilon s, ~\)

(Mangey, ii. p. 520 ). Mangey here proposed to read \(\Delta\) וovvoьoódaкєs, with an allusion to the Sicilian tyrant, on the very insufficient ground that, while Lampon and Isidorus were familiar names, the history of the period had no record of an Alexandrian Dionysius. This criticism, which the structure of Philo's sentence is itself enough to condemn, is finally disposed of by the appearance of a Dionysius in the company of Isidorus and Flaccus. He, too, was obviously a prominent figure in local politics, and may be presumed to have been associated with Lampon and Isidorus in the anti-Semitic movement.

Unfortunately the situation disclosed by the papyrus is not very clear, and our knowledge, which for the most part depends upon Philo, is too limited to throw much light upon it. According to Philo's account Isidorus, after having been in close relations with Flaccus, became estranged on finding himself less influential than he had imagined. He therefore endeavoured to stir up odium against the praefect, and by means of bribery brought about a demonstration against him at the Gymnasium. Some of the demonstrators were arrested and confessed that they were agents of Isidorus, who thereupon found safety in flight (Adv. Flaccum, pp. 537-8). No doubt he disappeared from the scene until the fall of Flaccus, which was not long delayed, enabled him to return. The episode described in the papyrus therefore belongs to the period prior to the exposure of Isidorus. Flaccus is represented as going to the Serapeum, i.e. the famous Alexandrian temple, having previously given certain secret instructions. Isidorus accompanied by Dionysius and a woman named Aphrodisia, who is not otherwise known, then enter and are accosted by a certain \(\gamma \in \rho a \iota o\), who prostrates himself before Isidorus and begs him not to insist on making his
 Dionysius declines to be deterred (1l. 38-42). Flaccus, who seems meanwhile to have been in concealment, thereupon approaches and engages with Isidorus in a conversation which the mutilated condition of the papyrus renders obscure (11. 42 sqq.), but towards the end (11. 56-9) relates to the payment of a sum of five talents. It would appear from the narrative that Dionysius was supposed to be in danger (cf. 11. 48-9), and that Flaccus was setting a trap for him or Isidorus or both of them. But who then is the \(\gamma \in \rho a+o{ }^{\prime} s\) and what is the meaning of his intervention? His own reference in 1.36 to the \(\gamma\) ' \(\rho \rho \sigma \tau \tau \in s\) strongly suggests that the term \(\gamma \in \rho a \iota o\) s here, as occasionally elsewhere (e.g. Dittenberger, Or. Gr. Inscr. 751. 1), has the technical meaning of 'elder'; and the body of elders to which this \(\gamma \in \rho a+\) ós belonged was presumably the council which at this period presided over the Jews of Alexandria, and of which Flaccus, as Philo relates, had thirty-eight members publicly scourged (Adv. Flaccum, pp. 527-8). If the \(\gamma \in \rho a o^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}\) was a Jewish elder, the emphasis laid by him on his presence
in the temple of Serapis (11. 33-4) is readily understood: that was not the place where he would be expected to be found. But the part which he here plays becomes very surprising. He does not seem to be acting as the tool of Flaccus, but to be animated by concern and regard for Dionysius. Is it possible that a common opposition to the praefect brought about a temporary reconciliation between the party of Isidorus and the Jews? In subsequently becoming the accuser of Flaccus, Isidorus may in a sense be regarded as fighting the battle of his former enemies. But the truce, if truce there was, did not last, for it was as an anti-Semite that Isidorus was himself sentenced by Claudius.

There is indeed small chance of success, without further and less ambiguous data, in following the tortuous paths of Alexandrian intrigue during this stormy time. But the interest with which the policy and fate of Isidorus and his fellows were evidently regarded by their compatriots, encourages the hope of fresh accessions to the evidence. An account of his trial together with Lampon is preserved in the well-known papyrus fragments at Berlin and Cairo of which a revised text has recently been published by Wilcken in Abhandl. d. Phil.-Hist. Kl. d. K. Sächs. Gesellsch. d. Wissensch. xxvii. No. 23. That their memory was kept green is clear from the allusion made by another Alexandrian, Appianus, when he was himself in a similar position, as reported by 33. The present text deals with an earlier stage in Isidorus' career, and is not necessarily connected with the documents concerning his trial and sentence or that of other Alexandrian citizens. The relation between those various documents is itself still a matter of uncertainty. Deissmann suggested that they belonged to a history of Alexandrian anti-Semitism (Theol. Literaturz. 1898, 602-6), Reinach to a chronicle of the vicissitudes of Alexandrian gymnasiarchs (Rev. des Etudes juives, xxxvii. p. 224), while others do not regard them as parts of any single whole. So much, however, seems agreed, that these 'heathen acts of Martyrs' (Bauer, Archiv, i. pp. 29-47) were written from the Alexandrian-Greek point of view, and it is highly probable that their real motive was hostility to the Roman Government rather than to the Jews. The Alexandrians were antiSemitic because the Jews were pro-Roman (cf. Wilcken, l. c., pp. \(786-7\) and 825 , where further references are given). It is natural to refer 1089 to the same class of what may be roughly described as 'nationalist' literature. Perhaps this is even some of the setting in which an account of the 'martyrdom', as recounted in the Berlin and Cairo papyri, was embedded. That, however, is quite problematical, and a negative answer would leave unprejudiced the view that this new Isidorus text represents ideas and interests similar to those of its predecessors, and that it originated and was current in similar circles.

Col. i.
Col. ii.
25 oûv ò Флáкк[os єís tò इT]apatєîov кє-



 30 каì ò \(\Delta\) lovv́бios \(\pi \rho о \sigma є \kappa v ́ \nu \eta \sigma \alpha \nu\). каi

 \(\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \nu\), iठov́, \(\delta[\epsilon ́] \sigma \pi[0 \tau]\) Q \(\Delta \iota o \nu v \sigma t \epsilon\), à \(\nu\) -

35 á§ov \(\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~[\nu] \quad \Phi \lambda[\alpha ́ \alpha \kappa \kappa o \nu, \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}\) \(\sigma \grave{v} \nu\)
 \(\theta\) '́vtos \(\eta \ldots \epsilon . \alpha \tau \rho . . \phi . \mu \epsilon \nu ; \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha-\)

 40 ßoú \(\epsilon \epsilon \iota \dot{\alpha} \rho \nu \eta \eta^{\sigma}[\alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota\) тò \(] \nu \Phi \lambda \alpha ́ \kappa к о \nu ; ~ \epsilon i\)


 тò \(\mu\) ѐ \(\nu\). . . \(\varphi[\). . . .] \(\mu[\cdot]\). '́ \(\sigma \tau i ́ \nu ~ \tau \iota \varsigma ~\)
45 入oı \(\pi \grave{\nu} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega}[\nu . . .\).\(] . \epsilon \sigma \epsilon . \epsilon \nu \epsilon \iota \nu\) ó \(\mu \grave{\eta} € \ldots[\ldots . . . ..] \cdot \epsilon \ldots \nu\) ó \(\pi \rho о к \alpha-\)
 [. .] . o ov \(\Sigma \alpha \rho[\alpha \pi \ldots\). . . .] . . ! како̀v тоь\([\epsilon i \nu] \tau \hat{\omega} \ldots[\cdots . . . \Delta \iota o] \nu v \sigma i ́ \varphi . \quad \dot{o} \mu \nu v v_{-}\)


 [. . .] . [. . . . . . . .] . [.] . [.] €́ \(\mu\) avtò̀ òs


20 ] \(\epsilon\)
] \(\rho!\sigma\)
]v
] \(\nu\)
\(] \iota \omega\)

Col. iii.
```

[
65 a[
\tau
[
[
[.]!
70 к\epsilon\tau[
. \deltaıa[
[.]\eta\sigma\omega\omega[
[.]\epsilon\rho\pi[
[.]\epsilon!\sigmav[
75 [.]\tau . [

```
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline ] \(\cup\) a &  & [ \\
\hline ]¢¢ \({ }^{\text {d }}\) &  & \(65 \times[\) \\
\hline ] \(\mu\) 人 \(\iota\) &  & \(\tau[\) \\
\hline ] \(\alpha\) &  & [ \\
\hline \(5] \nu\) &  & [ \\
\hline ] \(\kappa \in \iota\) &  & \([\).\(] ]\) \\
\hline ] \(v\) &  & 70 ¢ \(¢ \in \tau\) \\
\hline ] \(\mu\) o &  & . \(\delta \iota \alpha[\) \\
\hline \(] \beta o v\) &  & [.] \(\eta\) \% \(\dagger\) [ \\
\hline 10 ]. &  & [.] \(] \rho \pi \pi\) \\
\hline ] &  & [.] \(]\) ! \(\sigma \cdot \underline{\sim}\) [ \\
\hline ] \(\alpha \nu\) &  & \(75[.] \tau\) [ \\
\hline ]. \(\mathrm{\rho}\) ค &  & . . \\
\hline ]atoı &  & \\
\hline 15 ]ov &  & \\
\hline \(] \omega\) a &  & \\
\hline ] \(\delta \omega s\) &  & \\
\hline Joı \(\mu\) ¢ \(¢\) &  & \\
\hline ] \({ }_{\text {a }}\) &  & \\
\hline \(20] \epsilon\) &  & \\
\hline ]p! \(\sigma\) &  & \\
\hline ]v &  & \\
\hline ] \(\nu\) &  & \\
\hline \(]!\omega\) & [. .] . ọ \(\Sigma \Sigma \alpha \rho[a \pi\). . . .]. . ! како̀̀ тоь- & \\
\hline . . . &  & \\
\hline &  & \\
\hline &  & \\
\hline &  & \\
\hline & [. . .] . [. . . . . . .] . [.] . [.] ¢́ \(\mu\) avtòv ôs & \\
\hline &  & \\
\hline &  & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


\section*{Unplaced fragments.}

Fr. 1.
]. [
]. [
]. . [
] . \(\quad \stackrel{-}{ } \cdot[\)
\(5] \nu[\)
] \(¢\)
]o. [
]. [
26. ro added above the line. 27. ï九 \(\delta \omega \rho o s\) Pap. : so in 11.29 and 62.

Fr. 3.
] \(\boldsymbol{T} \cdot[\)
] \(\alpha[\)

Pap.
34. \(\eta\) of \(\mu \eta\) corr.
56. \(̈ \pi \epsilon \rho\) Рар.
59. ïfor Pap.

18-19. These two lines are closer together than they should be.
26. The supplement suggested is a little long for the lacuna. There is an oblique stroke in the left margin against this line.
27. A mark resembling a circumflex accent over the initial a of 'Aфpodifia seems meaningless.
32. 'é \(\left.\chi^{\dot{o}}\right] u \in[0]\) s is unsatisfactory, since a finite verb is wanted, and the remains of the letter after \(\delta \epsilon\) suggest \(\mu\) or \(\nu\) rather than \(\chi\). Two short strokes are prefixed to this line; cf. note on l. 26.
33. \(\delta\left[\epsilon^{\prime}\right] \sigma \pi[o r] a\) is a very doubtful reading; \(\pi\) and \(a\) are quite uncertain, and or barely fills the space.
34. \(\Sigma a[\rho a ́] \pi \iota[s]\), which was suggested by Wilcken, sufficiently accords with the ambiguous traces.
35. \(\sigma \dot{v} \nu\) is very insecure, but appears on the whole more suitable than oủv or oưs.
\(3^{6-7}\). With the reading adopted, the sense would seem to be 'What do we gain by your going?', but I cannot identify the principal verb. The termination may be - o \(\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\nu}\) or -a \(\epsilon \epsilon \nu\), hardly \(-\omega \mu \epsilon \nu\), and before this there is probably a \(\phi\) (not \(\psi\) ). et may be read in
 the preceding \(\nu\) may be \(\mu\). If \(\tau i\) is right, \(\left[{ }^{\prime \prime} \lambda\right] \theta[o u s] a ̈ \nu\) might serve.
38. Téx́[ \(\nu 0 \nu]\) : cf. 33. II.

42. \(\dot{\epsilon}] \pi \bar{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu\) : \(\dot{a}] \pi \bar{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu\) seems less appropriate, since the presence of Dionysius (1. 51) indicates that the encounter of Flaccus and Isidorus took place on the same spot, not at some later time.
43. [i8 \(\hat{\omega}^{\circ}[\nu\) is suggested by the context and the space, but the traces are barely recognizable.
45. Between \(\epsilon\) and \(\epsilon \in \epsilon \iota\) are two upright strokes which would suit \(\pi\) or \(\mu\); or the doubtful \(\epsilon\) may be read by the help of one of these strokes as \(\theta\), \(\theta_{\text {leveiv. }} \epsilon \ell, \epsilon \tau\), or \(\eta\) are possible in place of the preceding \(\epsilon \sigma\).
47. Apparently not \(\kappa \epsilon \lambda_{[\epsilon]}{ }^{\prime} \omega \omega\).
48. [ \(\delta o^{\circ} \dot{0} \lambda o v\) is not satisfactory; if there were two letters between the supposed \(v\) and \(\nu\), they must have been narrow ones. The \(v\) may be \(\chi\). The size of the lacunae at the beginnings of the lines from this point onwards is doubtful. There is a tendency in this column for the commencements of the lines to advance not, as often happens, to the left, but to the right ; and if the slant shown by ll. 34-48 was continued uninterruptedly, \({ }^{a} \lambda \lambda{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}\) would be the first word of 1.6 1. But it is not easy to restore \(11.55-60\) on that hypothesis, and I have therefore assumed that the progress to the right was arrested. If [ \(\Phi \lambda\) ák]кos in 1. 55 is correct, the tendency must even have been slightly reversed.

5 I. \(\epsilon i \pi \epsilon \nu\) is of about the right length, but it is not at all clear that Dionysius here intervenes, and Flaccus may still be the speaker.
55. There is perhaps nothing lost before \(\lambda 0_{1}{ }^{[ }\).

Frs. 1-3. Fr. 1. 6-7 and 2. 2-3 might perhaps be combined so as to read ] \(\epsilon \iota v[\) and ]ovn[, but the appearance of the other side of the papyrus is rather against this. It is hardly certain that Fr. 3 belongs to 1089.

\section*{III. EXTANT CLASSICAL AUTHORS}
1090. Hesiod, Opera.
\(32.3 \times\) II. 4 cm . Late first century. Plate V.
One column, originally containing from 35 to 40 lines, written in a goodsized round hand probably towards the close of the first century. There is much similarity of style between this hand and those of 220 and 844,1090 being perhaps rather the earliest of the group. A more or less definite terminus ante quem is provided by a fragment of a mathematical treatise inscribed in secondcentury cursive on the verso. No accents, breathings, or marks of elision occur ; the one example of a stop, a point placed well above the line (1.268), may be due to a second hand which has added a few corrections or variants. There is a deep margin of \(7 \cdot 4 \mathrm{~cm}\). at the top of the column : that at the bottom is also partially preserved, but the last few lines have disappeared owing to the decomposition of the papyrus.

Notwithstanding inaccuracies, which the corrector has not always eliminated (cf. 11. 269-71), the text, as might be expected from its early date, is of some interest. Three small emendations proposed by modern critics are supported (1l. \(263,264,268\) ) ; and there are some other novel readings, which may be correct (ll. 257, 284-5). An agreement with the Rainer papyrus of the fourth century and several ancient citations against the other MSS. is noticeable in 1.278. Erroneous iotas adscript are ignored in the collation below.
\([\delta \omega \rho]\) oф \(\alpha\) yoı \(\sigma \kappa о \lambda \iota \epsilon \omega[\nu \quad \delta \epsilon \delta] \iota \kappa \epsilon \omega \nu \quad \epsilon \pi \iota \quad \pi\left[\alpha \gamma \chi^{v} \quad \lambda \alpha \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon\right.\)

\(\left[\begin{array}{ll}\eta & \delta \epsilon\end{array}\right] \kappa \alpha \kappa \eta \iota \beta o v \lambda \eta \iota \tau \omega[\iota \beta] o[\nu \lambda] \epsilon \nu \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \iota\) как८[ \(\sigma \tau \eta\)
\([\pi \alpha \nu] \tau \alpha\) ï \(\delta \omega \nu \Delta \operatorname{los}\) oф \([\theta \alpha] \lambda[\mu]\) os кає \(\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \nu[o \eta \sigma \alpha s\) - \(\tau \mathrm{a}\).
\([\kappa \alpha \iota] \nu v \quad \kappa \epsilon \alpha l\) к \(\epsilon \theta \epsilon \lambda \eta l[\epsilon \pi \iota] \delta[\epsilon] \rho \kappa \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \cdot\) ov \(\delta \epsilon \in \lambda[\eta \theta \epsilon \iota\)
\([o \iota \eta \nu] \delta_{\epsilon}^{\eta} \kappa \alpha \iota \tau \eta \nu \delta \epsilon \delta[\iota \kappa \eta], \nu \pi о \lambda \iota \nu \quad \epsilon \nu \tau 0 S \in[\epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon \iota\) \(\epsilon\)


\([\epsilon \mu] \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha \iota \quad \epsilon \iota \quad \mu[\epsilon \iota \xi \omega \quad \gamma \epsilon \delta \iota<\eta] \nu \quad \alpha \delta \iota \kappa \omega \tau \epsilon p[0 S \quad \epsilon \xi \in \iota\)
\([\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha] \quad \tau \alpha\) र ov \([\pi] \omega \in[0 \lambda \pi \alpha \quad \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu \quad \Delta i] \alpha \mu \eta \tau \iota 0 \in \nu[\tau \alpha\)
\(\left[\begin{array}{ll}\omega & \Pi \epsilon \rho \sigma \eta]\end{array} \sigma_{v} \delta \epsilon \tau[\alpha \nu \tau \alpha \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \quad \phi \rho \epsilon \sigma]!\quad \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \epsilon о \quad \sigma \eta \iota[\sigma \iota\right.\)
\(275\left[\begin{array}{lll} & \kappa \alpha \iota \nu v & \delta \iota \kappa] \eta s \\ \epsilon \pi[\alpha \kappa o v \epsilon \beta \iota \eta] \text { s } \delta \in \pi[\iota \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon] 0 \quad \pi[\alpha \mu \pi \alpha \nu\end{array}\right.\) \(\left[\begin{array}{lll}\tau 0 \nu \delta \epsilon & \gamma \alpha \rho & \alpha\end{array}\right] \nu \theta_{\rho}[\omega \pi о \iota \sigma \iota \nu 0] \mu о \nu \quad[\delta \iota \epsilon] \tau \alpha \xi \in K[\rho о \nu \iota \omega \nu\) \(\left[\begin{array}{lll}\iota & \theta v \sigma \iota & \mu \epsilon \nu\end{array}\right] \kappa \alpha \iota\left[\begin{array}{lll}\theta \eta \rho \sigma \iota & \kappa \alpha \iota & \text { ol }\end{array}\right] \omega \nu \sigma[\iota] s \quad \pi \in \tau \epsilon \eta \nu[о \iota s\)
 \([\alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi o \iota] \sigma \iota \delta[\epsilon \delta \omega \kappa \epsilon \delta \iota \kappa \eta] \nu \quad \eta \iota[\pi] 0 \lambda \lambda o \nu \quad \alpha[\rho \iota \sigma \tau \eta\) \(280[\gamma \iota \nu \in \tau \alpha \iota\) є८ \(\gamma \alpha \rho \tau \iota S \kappa \in \theta \epsilon \lambda \eta \iota \tau \alpha] \delta_{\iota} \kappa \alpha \iota[\alpha \gamma] 0 \rho \epsilon v \sigma[\alpha \iota\) \([\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega \nu \quad \tau \omega \iota \mu \epsilon] \nu \quad \tau \quad o[\lambda \beta 0] \nu \quad \delta \iota \delta o \iota ~ \epsilon v \rho[v o \pi \alpha, Z \in \nu S\) [os \(\delta \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \iota \eta \iota \sigma \iota] \epsilon \kappa[\omega] \nu \quad \epsilon \pi \iota[0] \rho \kappa[0 \nu\) о \(о \sigma \sigma \sigma \alpha S\) \([\psi \in v \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \quad \epsilon \nu \quad \delta \epsilon \delta \iota \kappa \eta \nu] \beta \lambda \alpha \psi \alpha s \quad \nu \eta \kappa[\epsilon] \sigma \tau[0 \nu \alpha \alpha \sigma \theta \eta \iota\) \(\left[\begin{array}{rr|r} \\ \delta \epsilon & \tau & \alpha \mu \alpha \nu \rho о \tau \epsilon \rho \eta \quad \gamma \epsilon] \nu \epsilon[\eta] \kappa \alpha \tau о \pi[\iota \sigma \theta \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota \pi \tau \alpha \iota\end{array}\right.\) \(285[\alpha \nu \delta \rho o s ~ \delta ~ \epsilon v о \rho к о и ~ \gamma \epsilon] \nu \epsilon \eta ~ к \alpha \tau о \pi \iota[\sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \quad \alpha \mu \epsilon \iota \nu \omega \nu\) [ \(\sigma 0 \iota \delta \in \gamma \omega \epsilon \sigma \theta \lambda \alpha \nu 0 \epsilon \omega] \nu \quad \epsilon \rho \epsilon \omega \quad \mu \epsilon \gamma\left[\alpha \quad \nu \eta \pi \iota \epsilon \Pi_{\epsilon \rho \sigma \eta}\right.\) \([\tau \eta \nu \mu \epsilon \nu\) то८ какот \(\quad \tau \alpha\) ка] \(\epsilon \iota \lambda \alpha \delta \circ \nu[\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu \quad \in \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota\) [ \(\rho \eta \iota \delta \iota \omega s \quad \lambda \in \iota \eta \mu \in \nu\) oठos] \(\mu \alpha \lambda \alpha \delta\) [ \(\epsilon \gamma \gamma \nu \theta_{\iota} \nu \alpha \iota \epsilon \iota\)

257. \(\theta \epsilon \omega \nu\) : \(\theta_{\epsilon o \iota s}\) MSS. Genitives of this kind are familiar in such phrases as dia \(\theta_{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \omega \nu\),

260. \(a \delta[4] \times[0] v\) (GILMQ and v. 1. in others, Proclus 184.8 g ) seems better adapted to the space than \(a \delta[l] \times[\omega] \nu\) (CFHDKENOP).
262. Sikas, as originally written, is the reading of the MSS. Neither \(\delta i k n s\) nor \(\delta i k n\) commends itself as an alternative.
263. \(\beta[a] \sigma \iota \lambda \eta s\) : so Schaefer; \(\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i\) IK, \(\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \hat{\eta} \epsilon s\), CDE, \&c., Rzach.

It is unfortunate that the papyrus breaks off at etfvvere and leaves unsolved the crux
of the final word of this verse. The MSS. are divided between סikas, which is corrupt, and \(\mu \dot{v} \theta o v s\), which is not convincing. Rzach prints \({ }^{\dagger}\) dikas.
264. \(\sigma \kappa о \lambda \iota \epsilon \omega[\nu \quad \delta \epsilon \quad \delta\}_{\kappa \kappa \epsilon \omega \nu}\) : \(\sigma к о \lambda_{\iota} \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \grave{\epsilon} \delta \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu\) MSS. \(\delta \iota \kappa \epsilon \in \omega \nu\) had been desiderated by Kirchhoff and is adopted by Rzach. There is a light mark through the \(\epsilon\) of \(\delta, \kappa \kappa \omega \nu\), perhaps inserted by some one who wished to cancel that letter; but the \(\epsilon\) of \(\sigma \kappa \circ \lambda t \epsilon \omega[\nu\) is certainly untouched.
265. A mark through the tail of the \(\phi\) in the line above may possibly be meant for a circumflex accent on ajuret, but an accent would more naturally have been written to the right of the \(\phi\).
268. тa反, which has been interlineated by the corrector, is the traditional reading; кє is corrupt.
\(\epsilon \theta \epsilon \lambda \eta \iota\) : so Heinrich ; there does not seem to be room for the \(\epsilon \theta_{\epsilon} \lambda \eta[[\sigma\) of the MSS.
269. \(\pi \pi_{\iota} \lambda_{\iota}\) : 1. \(\pi 0^{2} \lambda_{\iota s}, \nu\) of \(\delta[\iota k \eta] \nu\) is damaged but is suited by the remains.
270. \(\delta \epsilon\) : so FGH ; \(\delta \dot{\eta}^{\prime} \mathrm{CDE}\), \&c., Rzach, \(\delta^{\prime}\) äp' Bentley, \(\delta \delta^{\prime} \tau^{\prime}\) Gerhard.
271. apa: 1. av \(\delta \rho a\) with the MSS.
273. A slightly inclined stroke above the \(\tau\) of \(\mu \eta \tau เ o \in \nu[\tau a\) seems meaningless.
278. It is clear that the papyrus agreed with the MSS. in reading \(\epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon \iota \nu\), not \(\epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu\) (Rzach with Clement), which is too long for the space.
\(\epsilon[\sigma] \tau \iota \mu \epsilon \tau\) : so the Rainer papyrus, Plutarch, Aelian, Sextus Emp., Orion, also Clement

280. [ay]opev \([a \iota\) : [ay]opeve \([\iota v\), which is the reading of most MSS., besides Pseudophoc., Orion, and Tzetzes, is equally possible.

284-5. катот \([\iota \sigma \theta \epsilon \ldots\). . катотъ \([\sigma \theta \epsilon \nu: \mu \epsilon \tau о \pi \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon \ldots \mu \epsilon \tau о \pi \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu\) MSS.
289. Faint indications remain of two more verses, below which the column may have been continued for five lines further.
1091. BaCCHYLIDES, Dithyrambs.
\[
\text { Fr. I } 19.4 \times 9.3 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Second century. }
\]

Remains of one column containing part of Bacchylides' Ode xvi (xvii). To the top of the column is affixed a vellum \(\sigma i \lambda \lambda v \beta\) os or label bearing the title Baкхv入íóov \(\Delta \iota \theta\) v́pa \(\mu \beta o t\). This roll was therefore limited to the Dithyrambs and did not include the Epinician or other poems, a fact which supports the view of Blass (Bacchyl. pp. v-vi) that the British Museum fragments were derived from two rolls, rather than, as Kenyon supposed, from one. The corresponding column of the British Museum papyrus ( \(\Pi\) ) has some small lacunae, and the present second copy is not only an independent witness to the existing text but in a few passages usefully supplements it. Lines 50 and 53 are now completed, the former in accordance with a conjecture of Jurenka, the latter not quite corresponding with any editorial restoration. An emendation of Blass in 1. 62 and his transposition of that verse are confirmed, while on the other hand one or two further slight alterations adopted by him do not receive support
(11. \(51,58,66,69,75,1 \leq 6\) ). In general the agreement between \(\Pi\) and 1091 is. close, extending to the arrangement of the verses, in regard to which only one small discrepancy occurs (1.51) ; and there is but a single difference of reading that amounts to a serious variant (1. 70 паvгаркє́a for \(\pi a v \delta \epsilon \rho \kappa \epsilon ́ a)\). \(\Pi\) is the more careful and accurate, the writer of 1091 being rather liable to small graphical errors, though some of these have been subsequently eliminated. His semicursive script is also in strong contrast to the formal uncials of \(\Pi\); it is round, upright, and clear, but makes no pretence to calligraphy. It may be dated to about the middle of the second century. Punctuation, accents, and other aids have been freely inserted by a second hand, which has also made some corrections in the text. A few of the signs, however, seem to be original,-the diaeresis in 1. 71, the mark of elision in the same line and perhaps that in 1. 64, and the short curved stroke placed above the letters instead of, as usual, below them (cf. e.g. 1082. ii. 18) to connect the component parts of the compound word moraviav in 1. 51. The accentuation is similar to that of 1082 . Examples of \(\sigma i \lambda \lambda v \beta o \iota\) intended both for literary and non-literary rolls have been previously found (e. g. 301, 381, 957), but the present, so far as I am aware, is the first which has survived in its primitive position. It measures \(2.1 \times 10.1 \mathrm{~cm}\). and is stuck on to the verso so that the edge of the papyrus coincides with the initial letters of the title, which is written on the outer side. When the MS. was rolled up, these initial letters must have been concealed, but enough would remain uncovered for easy identification. This label seems to have been attached at a period rather later than that to which the manuscript itself belongs, the sloping uncials of the title suggesting the third century. It is, moreover, a palimpsest, and the original hand, again a sloping uncial, which is visible on the side inscribed with the title, is unlikely to be earlier than the latter part of the second century, if indeed so early. Portions of three lines are legible, apparently hexameters, but I have failed to identify them.
\[
\text { Fr. } 1 .
\]
\([\tau] o ́ \sigma=\) єimєv \([\alpha] \rho \in[\tau] \alpha[\iota] X \mu o s \quad[\eta] \rho \omega s\)
\([\tau] \alpha \dot{\phi} о \nu\) ס \(\epsilon \nu \alpha \nu \beta \alpha \tau \alpha \iota\)
[ \(\phi] \bar{\omega} \tau о s ~ \ddot{̈} \pi \epsilon \rho \alpha \phi \alpha \nu o \nu\)

> [v]фаıขє́ \(\tau \epsilon\) тотатьıíav \(\mu \hat{\eta} \tau \iota \nu\).
> [ \(\epsilon 1] \pi \epsilon \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \cdot \mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda o \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \epsilon S\)
> [Z]єv \(\pi \alpha \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha к о v \sigma o \nu \cdot є i ́ \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \quad \mu \epsilon \nu \nu \mu[\phi \alpha\)
[Ф]óıvıбба \(\lambda \epsilon \cup \kappa \omega \lambda \epsilon \nu 0 s\) \(\sigma o i \quad \tau \in \kappa \in \nu\). [
\(\left.\left.55[\nu] \hat{\nu} \nu \pi \rho \circ \pi \epsilon \mu \pi \prime^{\prime} \llbracket o v \sigma\right]\right] \alpha \pi\) ov \(\rho \alpha \nu o v\) \(\theta_{o}[\alpha \nu\)
\([\pi] v \rho \iota_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime} \theta \epsilon \iota \rho \alpha \nu \quad \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \pi \alpha \nu\)
\([\sigma \alpha] \mu^{\prime} \alpha \rho i ́ \gamma \nu \omega \tau о \nu \cdot \epsilon i\)
\([\delta] \epsilon\) каь \(\sigma \in\) T \(\rho \circ \iota \S \eta \nu i \bar{\alpha} \quad \sigma \in \iota \sigma i \chi\) Oovt
\([\phi] u ́ \tau \epsilon v \sigma \epsilon \nu\) Aı \(\theta \rho \alpha\) Пoб \(\epsilon \iota \delta \hat{\alpha} \nu \iota\)

[X] \(\epsilon \iota \rho \circ=\alpha \gamma \lambda \alpha o \nu\)


65 [K]povıos \(\epsilon v \chi^{\alpha}\) s
\([\alpha \nu \alpha] \xi \uparrow[\iota] \beta \rho \epsilon ́ \nu \tau \alpha s\) o \(\pi \alpha[\nu \tau \omega \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \delta \epsilon \omega \nu\)

\(\left[Z_{\epsilon \nu S} v\right] \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \circ \chi \neq \nu \quad \tau \in \llbracket \nu \rrbracket \iota \nu[\omega \quad \phi \nu \tau \epsilon \nu \sigma \epsilon\)
\([\tau \iota \mu \alpha \nu] \phi \iota \lambda \omega \theta \in \lambda \omega \nu\)
70 [ \(\pi \alpha \iota \delta \iota] \pi \grave{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha \rho \kappa \epsilon ́ \alpha\) \(\theta_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \mu[\epsilon \nu\)
\([\alpha \sigma \tau \rho] \alpha \psi^{\prime} \quad \tau^{\prime}\) o \(\delta \epsilon \theta \overline{\ddot{v}} \mu \alpha \rho \mu[\epsilon \nu 0 \nu\)
\(\left.[\iota \delta] \omega \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha s \quad \chi^{\epsilon \epsilon \rho \alpha}[5]\right] \pi \epsilon \in \tau[\alpha \sigma \sigma \epsilon\)
\([\kappa \lambda \nu] \tau \alpha \nu \in S \quad \alpha \iota \theta \epsilon \rho \alpha \quad \mu \in \nu[\epsilon \pi \tau 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu \circ S \quad \eta \rho \omega S\)
\([\epsilon \iota \rho \epsilon] \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \cdot \Theta_{\eta \sigma \epsilon \nu^{\cdot}} \tau \alpha ́ \delta \epsilon[\)
\(75[\mu \epsilon] \nu \beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \alpha \phi \eta \Delta \iota[s\)
\([\delta \omega \rho] \alpha \quad \sigma \nu \nu \delta\) ó \(\left.\rho \nu v \sigma^{\prime} \llbracket 0\right] \in S[\beta \alpha\)

[ \(\delta \epsilon \tau 0 \iota] \pi \alpha \tau \eta[\rho \alpha \nu \alpha \xi \quad \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota\)

Fr. 2.
91 \([\nu \iota \nu \beta o] \rho[\epsilon \alpha S\) \(\epsilon \xi \circ \pi \iota \theta \epsilon \pi \nu \epsilon o v \sigma \quad \alpha \eta \tau \alpha\)
\([\tau \rho] \in \sigma \sigma \alpha \nu[\delta A \theta \alpha \nu \alpha \iota \omega \nu\)
Label attached to top of column

> Вакхй入ıбǒ
> \(\delta \iota \theta\) ирацßоı

Remains of a previous text
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ]av } \quad \text {. . . } \in \delta^{\prime} \text { aı } \\
& ] \delta^{\prime} E \lambda \in \nu \eta \text { фaos a! } \tau \eta
\end{aligned}
\]
]aßp. . .
49. \([\phi] \omega\) os: so rightly B (lass), \([\hat{a} \nu \delta \bar{\delta}] \rho\left[\dot{a}_{s}\right] \mathrm{K}\) (enyon). As B. observed, there was not room for \(a \nu \delta\) in front of the supposed \(\rho\) (which was in fact a \(\tau\) ).

 reading proves to have been nearer the truth, and the tradition of the MS. at l. II 6 is upheld. There is no analogy for the intransitive use of \(\chi\) रo \(\begin{gathered}\text { oùv, and the subject must be ápérax } \mu \text { os }\end{gathered}\) njpos, which is readily understood from 1. 47.

53. \(\mu \epsilon \nu v \mu\left[\phi a\right.\) confirms Jurenka's conjecture. \(\mu\left[\epsilon\right.\) kovp]a B., \(\left[\mu^{\prime}\right.\) à \(\lambda a \theta^{\prime} \omega \mathrm{\omega}\) s] K. with Palmer; B. was correct in reading the vestige of the final letter of the verse as \(\alpha\).
54. \(\tau \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \nu\) : \(\tau \epsilon \kappa[\Pi\). Since the corresponding syllable in the second epode is short, the
 referred to in the next note, and may be due to accident.
55. The correction is by the second hand. A misplaced mark of elision after the \(\mu\) of \(\pi \rho o \pi \epsilon \mu \pi\) is rather fainter than that after the \(\pi\) and has perhaps been partially erased. There is an unexplained dot above the line where the \(a\) of \(\theta_{o a \nu}\) would have stood; it does not suit an accent, and is probably accidental.


 obtaining a closer metrical correspondence and improving the construction. These alterations, which were accepted by Jebb, are now confirmed. Line 63 has been accidently left out, but by reading the \(\epsilon_{\kappa}\) restored by B. 1091 shows that 1.62 corresponds to 1.128 , not to 1. 129. The probability of Jebb's suggestion, that the dislocation was caused by an omission of \(1.6_{3}\), which was subsequently supplied in a wrong position, is also demonstrated.
 that the MS. reading is an example of the confusion of \(\epsilon\) an o in the papyrus. It is now evident that the \(\epsilon\) is no individual vagary, and the spelling is quite defensible. There is
 Schaefer) and \(\pi \rho\) és for \(\pi \rho o ́ s\) according to Joannes Gram. 244 b; moreover, \(\beta\) pévтat is directly attested by Hesychius, a fact which the editors of Bacchylides appear to have overlooked.
 to have been correct. The facsimile does not support Jebb's assertion that 'the sixth letter is clearly \(\rho^{\prime}\).
\(68-9\). The readings of \(\Pi\) are upheld. B. in his second edition adopted Housman's фíतov . . . \(\pi a i ̂ ̃ a\).
70. тагтаркєа: \(\pi а \nu \delta \delta \rho к к є a\) П, a more difficult reading (and therefore perhaps preferable), since \(\pi a \nu \delta \epsilon \rho \kappa \dot{\prime} s\) has elsewhere only an active sense. Aeschylus calls Darius mavaapкijs
 the present passage the word would mean 'all-sufficient' and would be apposite enough.
\(7 \mathrm{I} . \tau^{\prime}: 1 . \theta^{\prime}\). The diaeresis over \(v\) of \(\theta v \mu a \rho \mu[\) evov is unintelligent.
72. \(\chi\) є pas, the original reading, is that of \(\Pi\), which also gives пє́тaббє. As thus written the verse has a long syllable more than the three corresponding lines, an irregularity
 B. in his first edition considered the metre of this ode too obscure for a departure here from the tradition, while in his second he transposed \(\chi\) єipas and \(\pi \epsilon \in a \sigma \sigma \epsilon\). But it is now seen that tradition was not stable, and the corrector's deletion of the finals of \(\chi\) tipas anticipates in

75. \(\beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota\) arose from a lipography ; 1. \(\beta \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon\) with \(\Pi\). The emendations by which it has been sought to give regularity to the metre \(\left(\langle\sigma \dot{v}\rangle\right.\) táḋ K . with Jebb, \(\epsilon_{\beta} \beta \epsilon \pi \epsilon s \mathrm{~B}\). ed. 2 with Richards) receive no support, though Platt's rád ( \(\left.{ }^{\prime} \mu \dot{a}\right\rangle\) is not excluded.
76. \(\sigma v \nu\) is an error for \(\sigma v\), correctly written in \(\Pi\). For \(o \rho \nu v \sigma(o) \Pi\) has ö \(\rho \nu v^{\prime}\), which may be preferred as the rarer form.
\(76-8\). It is not quite certain how these lines were divided, but \([\beta \rho 0]\) and [ \(\tau 01]\) would barely fill the space at the beginnings of 11.77 and 78 , and the probabilities are that the arrangement coincided, as elsewhere except in 1. 51, with that of \(\Pi\).

9r-2. This small detached fragment from the bottom of a column seems to be rightly identified. Both the hand and the texture of the papyrus are closely similar. If it is correctly placed, the height of the roll was about 30 cm .
si \(\lambda \lambda \nu \beta o s\). The mark of elision in the first line of the original text is doubtful and the last word may be \(\delta a i!\), In 1.2 E \(\lambda \epsilon \nu \eta\) can of course be divided \({ }^{\pi} \lambda \epsilon \nu \eta \eta_{\eta}\).

\section*{1092. Herodotus ii.}

Height 23.1 cm . Late second century.
Plate V (Col. ix).
The following group of fragments from the Second Book of Herodotus is of rather more respectable compass than the Herodotean papyri which have hitherto been published, whether from Oxyrhynchus \((18,19,695)\) or elsewhere (P. Rylands 55, and a Munich papyrus in Archiv i. p. 47I). It extends from chapter 154 to chapter 175 , though some of the pieces are very small and the gaps extensive. Perhaps more scraps of this roll will eventually make their appearance, since 1092 is derived from the same large find as 1082-3, and moreover was in the company of another fragmentary text written in a hand which in the minor samples is practically indistinguishable from that of the Herodotus. Meanwhile I print so much as I have up to the present been able to identify. The extremely mutilated state in which this papyrus proves to be is not of happy augury for the numerous other MSS. which have still to be dealt with from the same source.

The small neat uncials are of the sloping oval type and may be referred to
the latter part of the second century ; they are more careful and regular than those of the Oxyrhynchus Hellenica (841), and are perhaps rather earlier in date. Though of no great height, the columns, which are strongly inclined to the right, contained about 41 or 42 lines each. Punctuation is effected by high stops, inserted perhaps by the original scribe; they are accompanied by short blank spaces and the usual paragraphi. A second, but no doubt practically contemporary, hand is apparently responsible for a semicursive entry at the top of Col. ix, where a different version of a passage has been copied at length. To this writer may be due a few other small corrections and insertions, but since there is no difference in the colour of the ink no certainty is here attainable.

The MSS. of Herodotus are divided into two groups known as the Florentine, which is headed by \(A B\), and the Roman, represented by RSV. As might be expected from the analogy of other papyri, 1092 does not consistently support either family, but agrees here with one and there with the other. Readings of RSV occur in v. 6, vi. 6, viii. 24, ix. 32, of the Florentine group in i. 2, 3, vii. I, 5, viii. 22, ix. 44, x. 5 ; in viii. 7 the papyrus occupies a position midway between the two. The text thus exhibits a stage prior to the differentiation of the families as we know them. But there were already divisions, for, as has been mentioned, an alternative version of several lines in chapter 162 has been inserted 'from certain other copies' at the top of Col. ix ; and occasional variants have been recorded elsewhere (cf. v. 5 and notes on viii. 3, 12). In omitting à aja \(\boldsymbol{i} i\) in \(v .8\) the papyrus is in agreement with the MSS. as against some other authorities. Readings apparently not otherwise attested are found in vi. 15 , viii. 3 , 12 , ix. I-5 (cf. ix. I2), 46, xii. II-I2, and XV. 4, the last confirming a commonly accepted correction of Abresch.

\section*{Col. i.}
```

[\gamma\lambda\omega\sigma\sigma]\alpha\nu ol \nuv\nu \epsilon\rho\mu\eta[\nu\epsilon\epsilon]s \in\nu A! [ ii. 154
[\gammav\pi\tau]\omegal y\epsilon\gammaо\nu\alpha\sigma|[[] ol }\delta\epsilon\mathscr{I}\omega\nu\epsilons \tau
[kal ol] Kap\epsilons tovtous tovs \chiwpous ol [

```

Col. v.

158
[кає \(\sigma v \nu \tau] \rho \mu \omega \tau \alpha \tau o \nu \in \mathbb{@}[\tau \eta S\) ßop
```

    [\iota\etas] 0\alpha\lambda\alpha\sigma\sigma\etaS v\pi\epsilon\epsilon\rho\beta\eta\nu\alpha[\iota \epsilonS \tau\eta\nu
    [\nuот]!\eta\nu к\alpha\iota E\rhov0\rho\eta\nu \tau\eta[\nu \alphav\tau\eta\nu
    5 [\tau\alpha]u\tau\eta\nu к\alpha\lambda\epsilon\cup\mu\epsilon\nu\eta\nu \alpha[\piо \tauоv
[Ka\sigma]!ov op\epsilonos tov opl\xiovto[s Al\gammau
[\pi\tauo].v \tau\epsilon ка\iota \Sigmavp\iota\eta\nu` a\pio [\tauоu\tauov
[\epsilon\iota\sigma\iota \sigma]\tau\alpha\delta\iotaol X<br>lambda\iotao\iota \epsilons \tauov A[p\alpha\beta\iota
[ov ко]\lambda\piov. тоv\tauo }\mu\epsilon\nu \tauо \sigmav[\nu\tau
10 [ }\mu\omega\tau\alpha\tau]ov

```

Col. vi.
[ \(\tau \eta \iota \alpha s ~ к \alpha \iota ~ \tau \rho \iota \eta \rho \epsilon \epsilon s]\) a \(\mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon[\pi \iota \tau \eta \iota\) \([\beta о \rho \eta \iota \eta \iota \quad \theta \alpha \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma] \eta \iota\) є \(\pi 0!\eta[\theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu\) \([\alpha \iota \delta \epsilon \epsilon] \geqslant \tau \omega \iota\) A \(\alpha \beta \beta \iota \omega \iota\) ко \(\lambda \pi \omega[\iota \epsilon\)
\(5\left[\begin{array}{lll}\pi \iota & \tau \eta \iota & E \rho\end{array}\right] u \theta \rho \eta \iota \quad \theta \alpha \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \eta \iota \tau \omega \nu\) [ \(\epsilon \tau \iota\)


\(\left[\begin{array}{ll}\sigma \iota & \pi \epsilon \zeta\end{array}\right] \eta \iota\) o \(N \epsilon \kappa \omega s \quad \sigma[\nu \mu] \beta \alpha \lambda \omega \nu \quad \epsilon \nu[\)
[Maүס] \(\omega \lambda \omega t \quad \epsilon \nu \ell \kappa \eta \sigma \epsilon[\cdot] \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha\) \(\delta \epsilon \quad \tau \eta[\nu\)

\([\epsilon o v \sigma] \alpha \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha[\lambda \eta \nu \quad \epsilon \iota \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \nu \quad \tau] \eta \iota[\delta \epsilon\)
\([\epsilon \sigma \theta \eta] \tau \iota \quad \epsilon \tau v \chi \epsilon \quad \tau \alpha u \tau \alpha \quad \kappa \alpha[\tau \epsilon] \rho[\gamma \alpha \sigma \alpha\)
\(\mu \in \nu 0[s \quad \alpha \nu] \epsilon \theta \eta \kappa \epsilon \quad \tau \omega \iota A \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \omega[\nu \iota\)
\(\pi \epsilon \mu \psi[\alpha s\) єs \(B] \rho \alpha \gamma \chi^{i} \delta \alpha s\) \(\tau \alpha s\) Mi入 \(\eta \sigma \iota\)

[ \(\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha\) a \(\rho \xi \alpha s\)

Col. vii.
\(\omega \nu\) к \(\alpha \iota ~ \tau \omega[\nu \quad \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega \nu E \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \omega \nu \quad \circ\)

\(\left.\alpha \gamma \omega \nu_{[l]}^{[ }\right] \xi \epsilon \sigma \theta_{l} \alpha\) ol \(\delta \epsilon\) AlyvTтtol \(\epsilon \phi \alpha\)

5 тov סıкаıov \(\eta[\mu \alpha \rho \tau \eta \kappa \in \nu \alpha l\) ov \(\delta \epsilon\)
\(\mu \iota \alpha \nu \quad \gamma \alpha \rho \in \iota\left[\nu \alpha \iota \quad \mu \eta \chi^{\alpha \nu \eta \nu}\right.\) ок \(\omega\) s
ov \(\tau \omega \iota \quad \alpha \sigma \tau \omega \iota[\alpha \gamma \omega \nu \iota\} \circ \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \iota \pi \rho o \sigma\)
\([\theta \eta \sigma о \nu \tau \alpha] \_\quad \alpha \delta[\iota \kappa \in O \nu \tau \in s\)
6 or 7 lines lost.
ı 6 o七 \(H \lambda \iota o \iota \sigma[\iota \quad v \pi \epsilon \theta \eta к \alpha \nu \tau о \Psi \alpha \mu \mu \iota\)
os \(\delta \epsilon \epsilon \xi \in[\tau \epsilon \alpha\) मouvov \(\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \nu\)

\(\mu \in \nu o v \in S\) A \(\theta \iota \circ \pi[\imath \eta \nu\) к \(\alpha \iota \mu \in \tau \alpha \iota \tau \iota\)
\(20 \kappa \alpha\) т \(\epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \nu[\tau 0 \varsigma \in \xi \in \delta \epsilon \xi \alpha \tau 0\)
\(\overline{A \pi} \rho \imath \eta s\) o \(\Psi \alpha \mu[\mu \cos\) os \(\mu \in \tau \alpha \Psi \alpha \mu\)
\(\mu \eta \pi<\) Хоे тоע [є由utov тротатора

\(\pi \rho о \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda[\epsilon \omega \nu \quad \epsilon \pi \quad \epsilon \tau \epsilon \alpha \pi \epsilon \nu\)
\({ }_{25} \tau \epsilon\) к \(\alpha \iota\) єıкоб८ \(\alpha \rho \xi[\alpha S \in \nu\) то८б८ \(\epsilon \pi \iota\)
\(\tau \epsilon \sum_{i} \delta \omega \nu \alpha\) бт \(\rho \alpha \tau[0 \nu \quad \eta \lambda \alpha \sigma \epsilon \kappa \alpha \iota\)
\(\epsilon \nu \alpha \nu \mu \alpha \chi \eta \sigma \epsilon \tau \omega \iota[T \nu \rho \iota \omega t \in \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \epsilon\)
\([o \iota] \epsilon \delta[[\epsilon] \epsilon \kappa \alpha \kappa \omega \varsigma \quad \gamma \epsilon \nu[\epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota\)
6 lines lost.
35
\(\tau \alpha \nu \tau] \alpha[\epsilon \pi \iota] \mu \epsilon \mu[\phi o\)
\([\mu \epsilon \nu o l ~ \alpha \pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \nu] \alpha \pi\) avтov \(\delta[0\)
[кєоขтєs тоע \(A \pi \rho \iota \eta \nu]\) єк \(\pi \rho о \nu \circ \iota[\eta]\) § [
[avtous \(\alpha \pi о \pi \epsilon \mu \psi \alpha \iota]\) єs \(\phi \alpha \iota \nu о \mu \epsilon\) [
[vov какоу ıvа ठ \(\eta\) б] \(\phi \in \omega \nu\) ф \(\theta\) ор \(\eta\) [
\(40[\gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \tau \alpha l\) avtos \(\delta \epsilon \tau] \omega \nu \lambda 0 \iota \pi \omega \nu\)
\([A \iota \gamma v \pi \tau \iota \omega \nu \quad \alpha \sigma \phi \alpha \lambda \epsilon] \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu \alpha \rho\)
\([X \circ \prec \tau \alpha v \tau \alpha \quad \delta \epsilon \delta \epsilon \iota \nu \alpha \pi o l] \epsilon v \mu \epsilon \nu O \iota\) ov

Col. viii.
```

$[\gamma \alpha \rho \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \sigma] \alpha \nu \tau 0 \quad \mu \iota \nu \quad \beta \quad[\alpha \sigma i \lambda \epsilon \alpha$ 162
$\tau[\omega] \nu \quad A \iota \gamma v \pi \tau \iota \omega \nu$ ol $\alpha \pi[\epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega$
$\tau \in S \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \sigma \kappa \in v[\alpha]\{\epsilon \tau[0 \quad \omega S \in \lambda \omega \nu \in] \nu$.

```
```

    \(\pi \iota\) тоv \(A \pi \rho \iota \eta \nu[\cdot] \pi v \theta o \mu[\epsilon \nu 0 s\)
    5. $\overline{\delta \epsilon} \tau \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ o $A \pi \rho ı \eta S ~ \epsilon \pi \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon$
T $A \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$ av $\delta \rho \alpha$ סoкi[ $\mu]$ ] $\nu[\tau \omega \nu$
$[\pi \alpha] \rho$ є由vто⿱ $A \iota \gamma v \pi \tau \iota \omega \nu$ т $\frac{\omega}{[l}$
[оv»она $\eta \nu$ Па]т $\alpha \rho \beta \eta \mu \iota$ [ $\epsilon 1 \tau \tau \epsilon$
3 lines lost.
12
8 lines lost.
$A \pi \rho \iota \eta] \nu^{\circ}$
$[\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \quad \gamma \alpha \rho \kappa] \alpha \iota \quad \alpha v \tau о s$ к $\alpha \iota \quad \alpha[\lambda=]$
[入ous] $\alpha \xi \in u,[\tau 0 \nu] \delta \epsilon \Pi_{\alpha \tau \alpha} \beta \eta \mu \iota \nu$
[єк $\tau] \omega \nu$ тє $\lambda о \gamma \omega \nu$ ovк $\alpha \gamma \nu о є!\nu$
${ }_{25}\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[\eta \nu} & \delta \iota \alpha] \nu o \iota \alpha \nu\end{array} \kappa \alpha[l] \pi \alpha \rho \alpha[\sigma \kappa] \epsilon \nu\right.$,
$\left[\alpha \xi_{0 \mu \in \nu 0} \nu\right.$ ор $\omega \nu \tau \alpha$ $\sigma \pi \sigma[\nu] \delta \eta \iota$
```
                Col. ix. Plate V.
    [..............] toutou кан оик а

    [va \(\lambda\) oyov autwt \(\delta\) ovta] a \(\lambda \lambda a\) пєpitu


    [ \(\alpha \pi \iota \epsilon \nu \alpha \iota\) ßov \(\lambda о \mu \epsilon] \nu 0 \nu\) т \(\eta \nu \tau \alpha\)
    \([\chi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \nu \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \iota \delta] \eta \lambda \omega \sigma \alpha \iota \tau \alpha\)
    \([\pi \rho \eta \sigma \sigma o \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha\) ws \(\delta] \epsilon \quad \alpha \pi \iota \kappa \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota\)
    [avто⿱ \(\pi \rho\) оs тор \(A \pi \rho] \iota \eta \nu\) ovк \(\alpha\)
Іо \([\gamma 0 \nu \tau \alpha\) тov \(A \mu a \sigma \iota \nu\) o] \(u \delta \in \nu \alpha\) \(\lambda o\),
    \([\gamma o \nu\) avt \(\omega \iota\) סov \(\alpha \alpha \lambda] \lambda \alpha \pi \epsilon \rho \ell v\)
    [ \(\mu \omega \mathrm{s}\) єXоעт \(\pi\) пробт \(\alpha \xi\) ] \(\alpha \iota ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \alpha\)
    \([\mu \epsilon \iota \nu\) avtov \(\tau \alpha \tau \epsilon \omega \tau \alpha \kappa] \alpha \iota \tau \eta \nu \quad \rho \iota\)
    \([\nu \alpha<\delta o \mu \in \nu o l \delta\) ol \(\lambda o \iota \pi] o \iota \tau \omega \nu A \iota\)

    \(\left[\begin{array}{ll}\nu \in O \nu & \alpha \nu \delta \rho \alpha \\ \tau 0 \nu & \delta о к \iota \mu] \omega \tau \alpha \tau о \nu\end{array}\right.\)
    \([\epsilon \omega v \tau \omega \nu\) оит \(\omega\) atб \(\chi \rho \omega s \lambda v] \mu \eta \iota \delta \iota\)

        K 2

 tous \(A \mu \alpha \sigma[\iota \pi v \theta \circ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 s \delta \epsilon\) к \(\alpha \iota\)
таuта ○ \(A\left[\pi \rho \iota \eta s\right.\) \(\left.\omega \pi \lambda_{l}\right\} \epsilon\) tous \(\epsilon\) \(\pi \iota \kappa o v \rho o v[S ~ к \alpha \iota ~ \eta \lambda \alpha u \nu \epsilon \in \pi \iota\) tous Aıjumtıovs \([\epsilon \iota \chi \epsilon \delta \epsilon \pi \epsilon \rho \iota\) є由utov
\({ }_{2} 5\) K \(\alpha \rho \alpha s\) \(\tau \epsilon \kappa[\alpha \iota\) I \(\omega \nu \alpha s\) a \(\nu \delta \rho \alpha s \in \pi \iota\) кoupous \(\tau \rho[[\sigma \mu v \rho l o u s ~ \eta \nu \delta \in\) ol \(\tau \alpha\) ßaбl \(\lambda \eta \ddot{\alpha} \alpha \in\left[\nu \quad \sum \alpha \iota \pi o \lambda \iota \quad \mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \alpha \in\right.\) ov \(\alpha\) к кц \(\alpha[\xi \in о \theta \epsilon \eta \tau \alpha\) к \(\alpha \iota\) ol \(\tau \epsilon\) \(\pi \epsilon \rho \iota\) тov \(A \pi[\rho \iota \eta \nu \in \pi \iota\) tous \(A \iota \gamma v\)
\(30 \pi \tau \iota o u s \eta \sigma \alpha[\nu\) каı ol \(\pi \epsilon \rho \iota\) тov \(A \mu \alpha\) \(\sigma \iota \nu \in \pi \iota\) tous [ \(\xi \in \iota \nu 0 u s \in \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \delta \eta\) \(M \omega \llbracket \nu] \mu \epsilon \mu \phi[\iota\) то入८ єуєขоעто \([\alpha] \mu \phi о \tau \epsilon \rho о \iota \quad \underset{[ }{\kappa} \alpha \iota \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \eta \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota\) \(\epsilon \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda o \nu \quad \alpha \lambda[\lambda \eta \lambda \omega \nu \quad \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \delta \epsilon\)
\(35 \overline{A \iota \gamma} \pi \pi \tau \iota \omega \nu \in[\pi \tau \alpha \quad \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \alpha<\alpha \iota\) тоит \(\omega \nu\) ol \(\mu \epsilon \nu[\iota \rho \epsilon \epsilon S\) ot \(\delta \epsilon \mu \alpha\)
 \(\lambda o l \cdot\) ol \(\delta \epsilon \sigma v \beta \omega[\tau \alpha l\) ol \(\delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \pi \eta\) \(\lambda o l \cdot\) ol \(\delta \epsilon \epsilon \rho \mu \eta[\nu \epsilon \epsilon\) ol \(\delta \epsilon \kappa \nu \beta \epsilon \rho\)
\(40 \nu \eta \tau \alpha \iota \cdot \gamma \in \nu \in \alpha[\mu \in \nu\) Al \(\gamma v \pi \tau \iota \omega \nu\) \(\tau о \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \in \sigma \tau \iota \nu[0 v \nu 0 \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \delta \epsilon \sigma \phi \iota\) \(\kappa \epsilon \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \quad \alpha \pi 0 \quad \tau \omega[\nu \quad \tau \epsilon \chi \nu \epsilon \omega \nu\) ol \(\delta \epsilon \mu \alpha \chi \iota \mu 0 \iota \alpha \nu \tau[\omega \nu\) к \(\alpha \lambda \epsilon о \nu \tau \alpha \iota\) \(\mu \epsilon \nu K \alpha \lambda \alpha \sigma \iota \rho \iota \epsilon[s \quad \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha \iota E \rho \mu o\)
\(45 \tau \nu \beta \iota \epsilon S^{*} \epsilon \kappa \nu о \mu \omega[\nu \delta \epsilon \tau \omega \nu \delta \epsilon\) \(\epsilon \iota \sigma \iota^{\circ}\) ката \(\gamma \alpha \rho\) vo \([\mu\) ous \(A \iota \gamma v\)

Col. x.
[ \(\pi \tau 0 \rho \quad \alpha \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha \quad \delta \iota \alpha \rho \alpha \iota \rho] \eta \tau \alpha[\iota \quad E \rho\)
\(\left[\begin{array}{llll}\mu \circ \tau v \beta \iota \omega \nu & \mu \epsilon \nu & o \iota \delta \epsilon\end{array}\right]!!\sigma \iota \nu[0 \mu O l\)
[Bovaıрıтŋs \(\left.\Sigma^{\text {K }} \alpha \iota \tau \eta\right]\) ? [

Many lines lost.
\(\sigma \alpha s \quad \kappa[\alpha \iota\) Avסous к \(\alpha \iota \quad \sigma \chi \epsilon \delta o \nu \pi \alpha \nu\)
5 таs \(\tau\) [ous \(\beta \alpha \rho \beta\) роия \(\alpha\) тотıцотє pous [

Col. xii.

\([\tau \epsilon \delta \epsilon A \mu \alpha \sigma \iota 0] s\) \(\eta \delta \eta[\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \eta \iota \alpha \in \nu\) [日avта \(\delta \epsilon \tau \epsilon \omega s] \mu \epsilon \nu \in \tau[\rho \epsilon \phi \epsilon \tau 0 \in \nu\)

5 [ \(\sigma \iota \varsigma \in v \pi \in \rho l \epsilon \iota] \pi \epsilon \cdot \quad \tau \epsilon \lambda[0 S\)
2 lines lost.
\(\left.{ }^{\epsilon} \chi \theta \iota \sigma \tau 0 \nu\right]\) out \([\omega \quad \delta \eta\)
[ \(\pi \alpha \beta \alpha \delta \iota \delta\) т тоv \(A \pi \rho \iota] \eta \nu\) тоוб८ \([A l\)
10 [ \(\gamma v \pi \tau \iota \circ \iota \sigma \iota\) ol \(\delta \epsilon \mu \iota \nu] \alpha \pi \epsilon \pi \nu \iota[\xi \alpha \nu\) \([\kappa \alpha \iota \quad \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \tau \alpha \in \theta \alpha \psi \alpha \nu \quad \epsilon] \nu \quad \tau \eta[\iota] \pi \alpha[\tau \rho \omega\) \([\iota \eta \iota \tau \alpha \phi \eta \iota \quad \eta \delta \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \tau] \iota \in \nu \tau \omega \iota[\iota \rho \omega \iota\)
 \(\gamma \alpha \rho[0 v \epsilon \sigma \iota o \nu \tau \iota \quad \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau] \epsilon \rho \eta s \quad \chi[\epsilon \iota \rho o s\)
\({ }^{15} \overline{\epsilon \theta} \alpha\left[\Psi \alpha \nu \delta \epsilon \sum_{\alpha \iota \tau \alpha \iota} \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha s\right.\) tous


About ig lines lost.
\(3^{6}\)
\(] \cdot[\quad \lambda \iota \mu\)
\(\left[\begin{array}{llll}\nu \eta & \tau \epsilon & \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota & \epsilon\end{array}\right] \chi \circ \mu \epsilon\left[\begin{array}{lll}\nu \eta & \lambda_{l} \theta \iota \nu \eta \iota\end{array}\right.\)
\([\kappa \rho \eta \pi \iota] \delta \iota \quad \kappa є \kappa 0 \sigma \mu \eta[\mu \epsilon \nu \eta \in v\)
\([\kappa v] \kappa \lambda \omega t \quad \kappa \alpha \iota \quad \mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha \theta o s \omega[s\)

Col. xv.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \pi] \alpha \rho \epsilon \in[0 \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu \\
& \epsilon \pi \epsilon \mu \epsilon] \lambda \epsilon \tau \circ[\kappa \alpha \iota \\
& A \theta] \eta \nu \alpha \iota[\eta \iota
\end{aligned}
\]

\title{
\(\theta \omega v \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \alpha]\) ọ \(\iota \alpha \xi[\epsilon \pi 0 \iota \eta \sigma \epsilon\) \\ \(\nu \pi] \in \rho \beta \alpha[\lambda о \mu \in \nu O S\)
}
i. 2. \(\tau \epsilon\) : so the older MSS., om. RSV. H(ude) prints \(\tau \epsilon\) in brackets.
3. \(\chi \omega \rho o u s: ~ \chi \rho o ́ v o u s\) RSV. This fragment comes from near either the top or the end of a column, but since the papyrus is broken immediately above and below the letters of 1l. I and 3 , the point cannot be definitely decided. My numeration of the columns proceeds on the second alternative, and if that is correct, l. 3 was probably the last of the column.
v. I. The papyrus seems to have agreed with C in reading \(\delta \epsilon\) (so H.) ; \(\delta \dot{y}\) other MSS. Only the bases of the letters remain, but these on the whole suit \(\delta \epsilon \in \lambda a[\) better than \(\delta \eta \in \lambda[\).

2 sqq. A hypothetical division of the lines is adopted.
5. калєข \(\epsilon \epsilon \nu \eta, v . l\). калєонєи \(\boldsymbol{r}\) : the MSS. here apparently agree on the latter spelling.
6. opı̧ovto[s: so PRSV ; oúpígovtos others, and H.
8. \(\chi^{1 \lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\iota}\) : so the MSS. H. inserts àmapti before xidto on the authority of Bekker, Anecd. 418 , Schol. Aristoph. Plut. 388, Suidas, s.v.
vi. 1. This line was probably the first of the column.
5. \(\tau \omega \nu\) : \(\hat{\omega} \nu\) PRSV.
6. єเซı \(\delta \eta \lambda o \iota:\) so RSV ; \(\dot{\epsilon} \pi i o i \eta \lambda o t\) other MSS., II.
7. \(\Sigma]\) voo \([\sigma \iota \iota\) could also be read with ABCP .
9. May \(\delta] \omega \lambda \omega \iota\) : May \(\delta] 0 \lambda \omega \iota\) (SV) is equally possible, but May \(\delta] a \lambda \omega \iota\) (R Lex. Vind. 165) is unlikely.

vii. ı. кає is omitted in RSV.

4. or \([\tau \omega\) : тои̃то S .

viii. 3. The ]v at the end of this line is preserved on the edge of the papyrus in the margin of Col. ix. It is too close to the beginnings of the lines of that Column to be the normal conclusion of a line, nor is there in the text an available final \(\nu\) followed by a stop. I can therefore only suppose that \(] \nu\) represents an alternative reading enclosed, as often, between medial dots; perhaps \(\epsilon \lambda a \sigma \omega \nu\) was a variant on \(\epsilon \lambda \omega \nu\) or vice versa, though no such variant is known, and \(\epsilon^{\rho} \lambda \omega \nu\) would be the regular Herodotean form.
7. [ \(\pi a] \rho\) є \(\omega v \tau o \nu: ~ \epsilon\) of \(\epsilon \omega u \tau o \nu\) is represented only by an ambiguous vestige, which could just as well belong to an \(\iota\), but this would leave no room for the \(\epsilon . \mathrm{R}\) similarly has \(\pi a \rho\) ' airúv, SV \(\pi a \rho^{\prime}\) aùt \(\bar{\nu}\); \(\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}\) є \(\omega v \tau^{\prime} \nu\) other MSS., H. \(\pi \epsilon \rho i\) is certainly the natural preposition here, and \(\pi a \rho a ́\) may have come in from ajayєiv \(\pi a \rho^{\prime}\) 'c⿴utóv just below, though the analogy of expressions like Xen. Cyrop. i. 4. \(18 \mu^{\prime} \nu \in \epsilon \nu \pi a \rho ’\) éavtó̀ might be used in its support.

12. What appears to be a final \(] \leqslant\) stands, like \(] \nu\) at the end of 1.3 , in the margin of the next column. It is not so near to the beginnings of the lines as the \(] v\) is, but is nevertheless rather further out than would be expected, even in a line of more than the average length; and since there is no \(\epsilon\) in the text hereabouts with which it can be readily identified, this may be another instance of a marginal variant.
22. avtos: so H. with the older MSS.; aüróv RSV.
24. [ \(\epsilon \kappa \pi]\left[\omega \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda o \gamma \omega \nu\right.\) : so RSV ; \({ }^{\prime \prime} k \tau \epsilon \tau \omega \bar{\omega} \lambda \epsilon \gamma \circ \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu \nu\) other MSS., H.
ix. \(1-5\). These five lines give an alternative version of \(11.9^{-1} 3\), with the remark that it was found 'in certain copies'; for ov \((\tau \omega s) \in \nu \tau(\iota \tau \tau) \sigma[\lambda \lambda(o \iota s)\) cf. e.g. 874 . The second version differs considerably from the ordinary text, which coincides with what stands in 11. 9-13; it has, however, left no trace in the mediaeval MSS. In one respect it seems clearly wrong, for \(\Lambda \pi \rho \iota \eta\) does not harmonize with \(\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \tau a] \xi a\). This may be merely an isolated slip, but the accusative participles certainly produce rather longer supplements than would be expected in II. 3-4, especially in 1. 4, where the lacuna is of the same extent as that at the beginning of the line below. Perhaps, therefore, the writer was consistent and סous and \(\epsilon \chi \omega \nu\) followed, though unless something essential has been omitted this construction could not be justified.

9-13. Sce the previous note. \(\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \tau a \xi] a \iota \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau a[\mu \epsilon \iota \nu\) is an inversion of the order found in the MSS. Cf. I. 4.
30. \(\eta \sigma a[\nu\) : so CPRSV; 1. \(\eta\) ıoav.
32. \(\mu \omega \iota \mu \epsilon \mu \phi \iota\), which has been corrected fronı \(\mu \omega \nu \mu \epsilon \mu \phi\), may be merely a misspelling of \(N \omega \mu \epsilon \mu \phi\), , though it is likely enough that the letters were understood to be divided \(\delta \eta \mu \omega \iota\) M \(\epsilon \mu \phi\), as in RSV. \(\delta \dot{\eta} \dot{\eta}^{\prime} \epsilon \mu \phi \iota\) other MSS.
42. \(к \in \in \tau .[\iota\) : so MSS. ; кeitu[ı H.
44. \(\mu \epsilon \nu\) : so AB , \&c., H. ; om. RSV.
46. \(\gamma\) ap: \(\gamma\) ар \(\delta \eta\) MSS.
x. I. \(\delta\) apatp \(] \eta \tau a a_{\iota}\) suits the space better than \(\left.\delta थ \eta\right] \rho \eta \tau a_{\imath} \iota(S V)\).
5. \(\tau\) [ovs : so H., with \(\mathrm{AB}, \& \mathrm{C}\). ; om. RSV.
xii. \(1-5\). The division of these lines, which is calculated on the basis of the following fragment (ll. 8-16) is likely to be approximately correct.
3. \(\tau \epsilon \omega s\) ]: or perhaps \(\epsilon \omega s\) ], with RSV.
 not room for \(\tau r[\sigma \tau]\), still less \(\operatorname{\tau r}[\langle\sigma \tau]\), and \(\pi \eta[l]\) has been followed in the supplement to its logical conclusion. But of course \(\tau \eta \iota\) may have been no more than a clerical error, and \(\pi a \tau \rho \omega \iota \iota \sigma \iota \kappa \tau \lambda\). have succeeded. No safe inference can be drawn from the size of the lacuna at the beginning of l. 12 . The plural is used e. g. in iv. 71, v. 63 .
14. The papyrus may have had eוซıovet, with RV.
\(3^{8-9}\). Line \(3^{8}\) is slightly shorter than the average and the point of division is uncertain, but no arrangement will admit of the addition of \(\kappa a \iota \epsilon \rho \gamma a \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \eta\), which is read by most MSS.
 RV, каì \(\dot{\alpha} \rho \mu о \sigma \mu \hat{\nu} \nu \eta\) S.
XV. 4. nta confirms the correction of Abresch ; oi MSS. There is no indication how the lines of this fragment were divided.
1093. Demosthenes, Contra Bocotum.

Height 29.1 cm .
Sccond century.
These fragments of the \(\Pi_{\rho o ̀ s ~ B o \omega t o ̀ v ~}^{\pi \epsilon \rho i} \tau 0 \hat{v}\) ob \(\begin{gathered}\text { 'ópatos are comprised in the }\end{gathered}\) remains of seventcen consecutive columns, covering \(\S \S 7-23\) of the speech. They are written in an upright semicursive hand, clear but by no means clegant,
dating from about the middle of the second century. The columns, which are very narrow, vary in length from 27 to 31 lines; a deep margin is left both above and below them. Short lines are filled as usual by a conventional sign, which here has the shape of the figure 7. The text has been revised by a corrector, and to him are probably due one or two accents and in considerable measure the elaborate punctuation, for which stops of thrce kinds, high, medial, and low, are employed, as e.g. in 844. Their use, however, is not invariably accurate, for a medial point sometimes appears where one in the high position would be expected ; there is a clear instance of this, e.g., in xv. 24. A symbol resembling the letter s is placed after the word preceding the entry \(\mu\) áptvpes or дарторia (xii. I9, xiv. II). The text of this papyrus, as of so many others, shows mixed relationship ; there is, however, some tendency to agree with S , by common consent the best MS. of Demosthenes. Coincidences with S occur at v. 5-6, viii. 14, ix. 2, x. \(6,20-1\), xi. 1, xii. 26, xiv. 13 , against which have to be set iv. 7 (=Q), vi. \(2 \mathrm{I}-2\), viii. 28 (=FQ), xii. 10-1 I, xiii. 10 (=FQr), xiv. I5, xv. 23 ( \(=\mathrm{r}\) ). Of the few peculiar variants (v. 7-8, ix. 6, xii. 17, 2I, xiv. 5-6) none arc striking ; two of these (xii. I7, xiv. \(5^{-6}\) ) proceed from the second hand.

Col. i.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \tau \omega \iota \delta \eta \lambda o \nu \quad \text { oly } \varphi \nu \\
& \epsilon \sigma[\tau \alpha \iota \pi] \rho o \tau \epsilon \rho \rho_{[\nu} \nu \\
& \sigma \epsilon[\phi \epsilon \rho] \text { lovatı }[\eta \\
& \epsilon \mu[\epsilon] \sigma v \mu \epsilon[\nu \\
& 8 \\
& 5 \text { र } \quad \text { 人 } \phi[\eta] \sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma \epsilon[\epsilon \\
& \epsilon \gamma \omega \delta \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \cdot \kappa \alpha \iota \\
& \delta \eta \kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon \iota \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \\
& \text { тоито o } \alpha \rho \chi \omega \nu \\
& \eta \pi \rho o s \text { ov }[7][\nu \alpha \\
& \text { 10 av } \eta t \eta \delta \iota \iota \eta \\
& { }^{00} \text { X } u \pi \alpha[k o v o \\
& \mu \in \nu^{\circ} \text { ov }[\lambda \eta \iota \text { roup }
\end{aligned}
\]

Col. ii.
\[
\begin{align*}
& \quad \pi] \lambda \eta \nu  \tag{9}\\
& {\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & \pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho & \kappa
\end{array}\right] \alpha \iota \delta \eta} \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\mu o s & \omega \nu & o
\end{array}\right] \cdot \tau \omega \nu} \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\alpha \mu \phi o l \nu & \tau
\end{array}\right] \omega \nu}
\end{align*}
\]

\section*{Col. iv.}
[oukovy o] \(\mu \epsilon \nu\)
\(\left[\begin{array}{lll}\alpha v \tau o \nu & \epsilon \gamma\end{array}\right] \omega \delta \epsilon\)
[ \(\epsilon \mu \alpha \nu \tau \sigma \nu] \phi \eta \sigma \omega\)
\(\left[\begin{array}{ll}\text { о } & \epsilon i \lambda] . \eta \chi \text { ог } \alpha\end{array}\right.\)


[ \(\epsilon \iota \sigma \iota \epsilon \nu \alpha l]\) ouкоuv
\([\epsilon \phi \quad \epsilon \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau] \omega\) тоv
\(\left[\begin{array}{ll}\tau \omega \nu & \delta \iota \kappa \alpha\end{array}\right] \sigma \tau \eta \rho \iota\)

\([\kappa \alpha \theta l \in \iota \quad \kappa \alpha] \iota\) тou
\([\mu \in \nu\) коוv]ov к \(\alpha \iota\)
\([\) loov \(\operatorname{\tau ov}\) тo] \(\nu \lambda \alpha\)
\(\left[\begin{array}{lll}\chi o \nu \tau \alpha & \alpha \rho\end{array}\right] X \in L \nu\)
\({ }_{15}[\alpha \pi о \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \eta] \sigma o \mu \epsilon\)
\(\left[\begin{array}{ll}\theta \alpha & \alpha \lambda \lambda \eta\end{array}\right] \lambda o u s\)
\([\delta \epsilon \pi \lambda \nu \nu o] v \mu \epsilon \nu\).
\([\kappa \alpha \iota\) o \(\tau \omega \iota \lambda] 0 \gamma \omega \iota\)
\([k \rho \alpha \tau \eta \sigma \alpha] s\) a \(\rho\)
\(20\left[\begin{array}{lll}\xi \in \iota & \kappa \alpha \iota \pi o\end{array} \pi \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha \nu\right.\)
[ \(\beta \in \lambda \tau \iota o u s] \epsilon \iota \eta\)
\(\left[\begin{array}{lll}\mu \in \nu & \tau \omega \nu & \nu\end{array}\right] \pi \alpha \rho[\)


Col. iii.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda \omega \iota \tau \omega \nu \iota \tau \omega \iota \\
& \chi^{\alpha \lambda \kappa \iota \omega[\iota} \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \\
& \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha l \text {. ка[l ov } \delta \epsilon \\
& \text { точто oп }[\text { oт } \epsilon \rho 0 \cup \\
& 5 \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu \text { o८ [ } \pi 0 \lambda \lambda o \iota \\
& \gamma \nu \omega \sigma o[\nu \tau \alpha \iota
\end{aligned}
\]

\section*{Col.v.}
[ \(\operatorname{vos}\) a \(\alpha \lambda\) dov \(\pi \rho o s\) ]
[ \(\eta \mu \alpha s\) avtous]
\(\alpha \mu \phi \iota \sigma[\beta \eta \tau \omega\)
\(\underline{\mu \epsilon \nu .} \quad \tau \iota \delta[\alpha] \nu\)
\(5 \alpha \rho \alpha \cdot \delta \in \iota \quad \gamma \alpha[\rho] \alpha\)
\(\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \quad \eta \mu[\alpha] s\)
\(\epsilon \xi \in \tau \alpha \sigma \alpha \iota[\alpha \tau \epsilon\)
pos \(\pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \alpha s\) [Tov
\(\epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu . \epsilon \alpha[\nu\)
10 \(\lambda \alpha \chi \eta \iota \pi \alpha \rho \alpha[\delta o v\)
\(\nu \alpha \iota \alpha v \tau \omega \iota \tau[\eta \nu\)
a \(\quad\) Х \(\eta \nu\). оит \(\omega\) [
\(\kappa \lambda \eta \rho \omega \tau \alpha \iota\) -
то Svouv \(\pi \iota \nu a[k \iota\)
15 ouv Tov \(\varepsilon \nu \alpha\) [
\(\kappa \lambda \eta \rho o v \sigma \theta \alpha[\iota]\)
\(\tau i \quad \alpha \lambda \lambda o \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu\).
\(\epsilon \iota \tau \alpha \in \phi\) फl \(\theta \alpha \nu \alpha\)
то⿱ § \(\eta \mu \iota \alpha \nu\)
20 o vouos \(\lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \epsilon\). ,
тоvт[0] \(\eta \mu \iota \nu\),
\([\alpha] \delta \in \omega s \in \xi \in[\)
\([\sigma] \tau \alpha \iota \pi \rho \alpha \tau \tau \epsilon l[\nu\)
\(\left[\begin{array}{ll}\lambda t \omega \nu & \alpha \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \tau\end{array}\right]\)
25 [ \(\tau \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota \eta] \kappa \alpha \iota\)
\([\nu \alpha s \in X \theta \rho \alpha] s\) кац
\([\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \phi \eta \mu]\) las
[ \(\pi о \iota o \nu \mu \epsilon] \nu o \iota^{-}\)
\(\left[\begin{array}{lll}\alpha s & \pi \alpha \sigma & \alpha \nu\end{array}\right] \alpha \gamma \kappa \eta\)
\(3^{\circ}[\sigma v \mu \beta \alpha \iota \nu \epsilon t] \nu \quad 0\)
\(\left[\begin{array}{c}\tau \alpha \nu \\ \alpha \rho \chi \eta s]\end{array}\right\rangle \tau \iota\)

Col. vi.
\([\kappa \alpha \lambda] o \nu \quad \epsilon \xi{ }^{\circ} \nu\)
\([\mu \eta] \epsilon \iota \epsilon \nu \cdot \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha\)
\([\tau \alpha \nu \tau] \alpha \quad \mu \in \nu \quad \eta \quad \pi o\)
[ \(\lambda \iota s \beta] \lambda \alpha \pi \tau \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota[\)
\(5[\epsilon] \gamma \omega \delta \epsilon \ddot{\partial} \delta \iota \iota \tau \iota\)
\([\theta] \epsilon \alpha \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \epsilon \quad \eta \lambda \iota\)
\(\left[\begin{array}{ll}\kappa \alpha & \kappa\end{array}\right] \alpha \iota \quad \sigma \kappa о \pi \epsilon \iota\)
\([\tau \in \alpha \nu] T \iota\) סокш \(\tau\)
\([\lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon l] v . \pi o \lambda v \quad \gamma \alpha \rho\)
10 \(\chi^{\alpha \lambda \epsilon \pi} \omega \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha\)
таита \(\omega \nu\) ак \(\eta\)
коат \(\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu . ~ о \rho \alpha\)
\(\overline{[\tau] \epsilon} \mu \epsilon \nu \gamma \alpha \rho\),
\(\alpha \pi \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon S\) аvтоע
\(\left.{ }^{1} 5[\mathrm{X}]\right] \omega \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu \in\)
\(\omega \varsigma \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \zeta \eta \iota\)
\(M_{\epsilon \nu \epsilon \kappa \lambda \epsilon \ell} \kappa \alpha l\)
\(\tau[o c s] \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \quad \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \iota\)
\(\nu[0] \nu \quad \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi o l s\).
\(20 \nu \nu \nu \delta \epsilon \epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho o t s\)
ov \(\delta \epsilon \nu\) єкєเขov
\(\beta \in \lambda \tau \iota \sigma \sigma \iota\) к \(\alpha \iota \tau \alpha\) \(\tau о[\iota \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon] \leqslant \eta \lambda \omega\)
\(\pi \alpha \nu v \quad \gamma \epsilon \cdot\) ov \(\gamma \alpha \rho\) [
\({ }_{2}{ }^{\alpha} \alpha \nu\) аито тоı \(\quad \sigma[\alpha \iota\)
\(\mu \epsilon \nu^{\cdot} \quad\) oi \(\delta \alpha\) ка \(\gamma \omega\)
то youv кат \(\epsilon \mu \epsilon\).
\(\alpha \lambda \lambda\) оv \(\alpha \iota \tau \iota \alpha \nu\)
тoı \(\alpha u \tau \eta s\) گ \(\eta \mu \iota\)
30 as \(\epsilon\) vious \(\epsilon \chi \epsilon!\nu\)

Col. vii.

About 16 lines lost.
\([\tau] \omega \iota \delta \eta \mu[o \sigma \iota \omega \iota\)
\([\tau] c \quad \mu \alpha \lambda \lambda[o v\) ov
Tos \(\epsilon \gamma \gamma \epsilon[\gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \epsilon\)
\(z \circ \operatorname{vos} \epsilon[\sigma \tau \alpha \ell \epsilon \mu o v\)
\(\overline{0 \tau \iota} \nu[\eta \Delta \iota \alpha \in \iota\)
\(\sigma o \nu \tau \alpha[\iota \pi \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon S\)
\(\pi[o \tau] \in \rho[o s \quad \pi о \tau \epsilon \omega\)
```
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    $\kappa o[\tau \alpha \kappa \alpha \iota] \delta \in \iota v o \nu$

5 lines lost.
$\left[\phi \lambda \epsilon \nu^{\prime}\right] \kappa[\alpha \lambda \omega S \in \alpha \nu$
$2_{5}\left[\begin{array}{lll}\delta \epsilon & 0 & \tau\end{array}\right] v[$ [ $\quad$ OV $\gamma \epsilon$ [ $\operatorname{\nu olt}$ ] av [Xpoios $[\delta l] \in \lambda \theta \eta \cdot \kappa \alpha[\iota] \mu \eta$ [ $\epsilon \kappa]$ ] $\iota \sigma \theta \eta \iota$ то о $[\phi] \lambda \eta \mu \alpha \cdot \tau_{[l]} \mu \alpha \lambda$ 30 [ $\lambda 0$ olv ol tcutou [

7 lines lost.
[ $\delta \iota \kappa \eta] \nu \in \xi \circ v$
$\left[\begin{array}{ll}\lambda \eta S & \alpha\end{array}\right] v \tau \omega \iota \quad \lambda \alpha \chi \omega \nu$
: $0[\mu \eta \delta] \epsilon \nu \in \mu 0 \iota$
[фаı $]$ ] $\pi$ роs autov
[ $\epsilon \iota \nu \alpha l]$. кupıav $\delta \epsilon$
[то८] $\eta \sigma \alpha \mu \in \nu о s$
[ $\epsilon \gamma \gamma] \rho \alpha \psi \alpha \iota \cdot \tau \iota \mu \alpha \lambda$
$15[\lambda o] \nu$ a $\omega \in i[\eta]$ Tov
$[\tau] o \nu \quad \eta \quad \epsilon \mu \epsilon \epsilon \gamma \gamma \epsilon$
$\gamma \rho \alpha \phi \omega s$. $\tau \iota \delta \epsilon \iota$
тivas єiनфopas
$\mu \eta \theta \epsilon \iota \eta$. $\tau \iota \delta \in \iota$
$20 \tau \iota s \alpha \lambda[\eta] \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau 0 \nu$
$\nu о \mu \alpha$ үเүขоьто
$[\eta] \lambda \eta \xi \iota[s \quad \delta]<\kappa \eta s^{\circ}$
[ $\eta$ $\bar{\eta} \delta o \xi \alpha \quad o \lambda] \omega s$
$[a \eta \delta \eta s \tau \iota s] \epsilon \iota \sigma \epsilon$

Col. i..
татpos ovtotv.
$\phi \in \rho \in \delta\left[[\eta] \delta_{\imath}^{\iota} \eta \eta \nu\right.$
$\alpha \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \epsilon \iota a s \quad \phi \in \nu$
yot. Xopevol $\delta \in[0$
5 т $\alpha \nu \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \epsilon \nu \epsilon$
$\sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ סє́ $\eta$ l. каl $\nu v \nu$
$[o] \tau \alpha[\nu]$ є $\iota$ T Tapv
$[\nu] \alpha s \pi \alpha \rho \eta \lambda \theta o \nu$
ol $\alpha \lambda[\lambda o]$. $\epsilon \nu \theta a$
10 $\delta \in$ tovs xoas
$\alpha \gamma \omega \nu[\alpha] \pi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota$
$\phi \theta \eta . k[\alpha \ell]$ тols $\Delta \iota o$
$\nu v \sigma t[o \iota s]$ ката
$[\mu \epsilon] \nu \nu[\alpha s \in X \circ \rho \in \nu$
${ }^{15}[\epsilon \nu] \omega[S \quad \alpha \pi \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon S$
$[\epsilon \omega] \rho[\alpha \tau \epsilon \quad o\} \iota \in[$
$[\pi l] \delta \eta \mu 0 \nu \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma^{*}$
$[\alpha] \pi \epsilon \lambda \theta[0 \nu \tau \omega \nu$
17
$\delta \epsilon \in \xi$ Evß[olas
$20 \tau \omega \nu$ बтpati[ $\omega$
$\tau \omega \nu \lambda[l] \pi о \tau \alpha \xi ⿺ 𠃊$
$\pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \theta \eta$.
$\kappa \alpha \gamma \omega \tau \alpha \xi[\alpha \rho$
$\chi^{\omega \nu} \tau \eta s \phi \nu$ [

140
${ }_{2} 5 \lambda \eta S \quad \eta \nu \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha$
§оцך $\kappa$ кат $\alpha$
tov ovopatos
тои єนаขтои
$\pi \alpha \tau \rho \circ \theta \epsilon \nu \quad \delta \epsilon$

Col. xi.
$X \epsilon\left[\begin{array}{lll}\sigma \theta \alpha \iota & \tau \eta \nu & \lambda \eta\end{array}\right.$
$\xi \iota \nu[\kappa \alpha \iota \in \iota \quad \mu \iota$
$\bar{\sigma}_{\sigma \theta}$ [s $\epsilon \pi \sigma \rho \iota \sigma \theta \eta$
тol[s Sıкабтךрı
5 ois [ $\epsilon \iota \sigma \eta \gamma 0 \nu$
$a \nu \delta[\eta \lambda o \nu$ oт $\iota$
$\overline{\tau \alpha v}[\tau \alpha \delta \epsilon \iota \mu \eta$
$\sigma \epsilon \sigma[\eta \mu] \alpha[\sigma \mu \epsilon$
$\nu[\omega \nu \eta] \delta \eta[\sigma v \nu$
10 $\epsilon \beta[\eta \tau \omega] \nu \in X \underset{[l}{[\iota}$
$\nu \omega[\nu \quad \kappa] \alpha \nu \quad \mu a \rho$
$\tau \nu \rho[\alpha s \quad \nu] \mu \nu \nu$
$\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon[\sigma \chi] \circ \mu \eta \nu^{.}$
$\overline{\epsilon \iota \epsilon \nu^{\cdot}} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}[\iota \delta] \epsilon \quad \xi \epsilon$
15 vLas $\pi[\rho 0] \sigma \kappa \lambda \eta$
$[\theta \epsilon l] \eta . \pi[0 \lambda \lambda o l] s$
$\left[\begin{array}{ll}\delta \epsilon & \pi\end{array}\right] \rho o[\sigma \kappa \rho o v] \epsilon \iota^{\circ}$
[ка८ o] $\nu \quad[\eta \nu \alpha] \gamma к \alpha$
$[\sigma] \theta \eta \quad \tau[\rho \circ \pi \circ] \nu$
20 [0] $\pi \alpha[\tau \eta \rho \pi] 0 i \eta$
$[\sigma \alpha] \sigma \theta[\alpha \iota \alpha v] \tau 0 \nu$.
$\left[\begin{array}{ll}o v & \lambda \in \lambda \eta\end{array}\right] \theta \epsilon \nu . v$
$\left[\begin{array}{lll}\mu \epsilon \iota S & \delta \epsilon & o \tau\end{array}\right] \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu$
[тovtov ov]k $\epsilon$

$[\tau \eta], \nu[\mu \eta]\rceil \in \rho \alpha$
${ }_{\omega}$
रєyovos outos
[0] $\chi^{\lambda} \eta \rho o s \quad \eta \iota$,
$[\pi] \alpha \lambda \iota \nu \quad v \mu \iota \nu \pi o$

$5[\alpha \lambda \eta] \theta \eta \quad \lambda \in \gamma \in I \nu \cdot$ $\left[\begin{array}{lll}\tau \iota & \delta & \epsilon l\end{array}\right] \psi \in v \delta o$, $[\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho l] \omega \nu \quad \alpha$ $[\lambda \omega \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha] \iota \pi \rho \circ \sigma$ $\left[\begin{array}{lll}{[\delta \kappa \omega \nu} & \epsilon] \phi \\ \text { ols }\end{array}\right.$
10 [ $\epsilon \rho \alpha \nu l\} \epsilon l$ T]outols [Tols $\pi \epsilon \rho]$ а autov $\epsilon \rho[\eta \mu \eta \nu \quad \epsilon \alpha \sigma \epsilon \iota]$ $\epsilon \tau[\epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta \eta \nu \alpha l]$ $\tau \eta \nu \delta[\iota \kappa \eta] \nu[\alpha$ ${ }_{15} \bar{\rho}[\alpha] \gamma \in[\mu \iota \kappa \rho \alpha \nu]$ $\eta \gamma \in \iota \sigma[\theta \in \beta \lambda \alpha]$ $\beta \eta \nu \omega[\alpha \nu \delta \rho \epsilon s]$ AOทvaıoь $\in \nu$

$20 \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \beta$ וov,
tךs тоנтov So
$\xi \eta S \kappa \alpha \iota \tau \omega \nu \epsilon \rho$ $\gamma \omega \nu \in \iota \nu \alpha \iota$. ○

- Ti Tolvvv ov[ $\delta] \alpha$
${ }_{2} 5 \delta l \epsilon \xi \epsilon \lambda \eta \lambda v[\theta \alpha]$
$v \mu \iota \nu \quad \mu \alpha \tau \eta \nu$
$\lambda[\eta \theta] \eta \quad \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$
$\eta[\gamma \in \iota \sigma \theta] \epsilon$ autov．
$[\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \alpha] \nu \delta$ out $\omega s$

Col．xii．
кац ypaфаs．
tivas $\omega \alpha \nu \delta \rho \in s$
AӨクขaıoь $\pi \epsilon$
$\phi \in u \gamma \in \nu \in \phi$ als
5 ov $\delta \in \nu$ altlos
$[\omega] \nu \quad \epsilon \gamma \omega \sigma \nu \nu$
$\delta \iota \alpha \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \mu \alpha \iota$ ．
$\kappa \alpha \iota \tau \eta S \quad \alpha \rho \chi \eta s$
$\eta \mu \phi \epsilon \sigma \beta \eta \tau \epsilon \iota$
$10 \eta \nu \nu \mu \epsilon \iota \rho \mu \epsilon$
$\epsilon \pi \epsilon \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \frac{\tau}{} \nu \nu$
$\sigma \alpha \tau \epsilon^{\cdot} \kappa \alpha \iota \pi o \lambda \lambda \alpha$
$\kappa \alpha \iota \delta \nu \sigma \chi \in \rho \eta$
$\delta_{\iota \alpha}$ то орода
${ }_{5}{ }_{5} \sigma v \mu \beta \in \beta \eta \kappa \in \nu$
$\eta \mu \iota \nu . \omega \nu \quad \iota \nu \alpha \in \iota$
$\overline{\delta \eta} \tau \epsilon \epsilon \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau \omega \nu$ ）єкабтоv
$\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \alpha s$ v $\mu / \nu$
$\pi \alpha \rho \in \xi \circ \mu \alpha \iota$ 与
20 －$\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \epsilon s$
оратє $\alpha \nu \delta \rho \in s$
AӨךขaıo $\tau \alpha$
$\sigma v \mu \beta \alpha t \nu 0 \nu \tau \alpha$ ．
$[\kappa] \alpha \iota \tau \eta \nu \quad \alpha \eta \delta \iota$
${ }_{25} \alpha \nu$ т $\eta \nu \epsilon \kappa$ тоט
$\pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu$ атоs．
єl Tolvvข $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon l$ ，
$\phi о \beta о v \mu \alpha$ ．$\theta \epsilon$
$\omega \rho \eta \sigma \alpha \tau \epsilon^{\cdot} \quad \stackrel{c}{ }[\nu]$ ToS $\gamma \alpha \rho \eta \delta \eta$ ，

Col．xiii．
$\alpha \eta \delta \epsilon s \quad \eta \nu \in \kappa$ тоuт $\omega \nu \mu \eta \delta \epsilon$
o入 $\omega$ s a
тov тavtov $\epsilon$
$5 X \in \iota \nu \quad \nu^{\circ} \rho \mu \alpha$
$\eta \mu \iota \nu \quad \sigma v \nu \in \beta \alpha \iota$
$\nu \in \nu$ ．ov $\delta \eta \pi o \nu$
тоvтор $\mu \in \nu$
Sıkalov to $\mu \epsilon$
Io pos $\tau \omega \nu \chi \rho \eta$
$\mu \alpha \tau \omega \nu \in \chi \in[\ell] \nu$
$\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$ т $\eta \nu \pi 0 \iota$
$\eta \sigma \iota \nu \quad \eta \nu \quad \pi \quad \pi \alpha$
т $\eta \rho$ avtov $\alpha \nu \alpha \gamma$
${ }_{15} \mathrm{~K} \alpha \sigma \theta \epsilon \iota \varsigma \in \pi \% \iota$
$\eta \sigma \alpha \tau 0^{\circ} \epsilon \mu \epsilon \delta \epsilon$
$\overline{\alpha \phi} \alpha \iota \rho \in \theta \eta \nu \alpha \iota$
тоидона о
$\beta$ оило $\mu \in \nu о$ ．
20 каl ov $\nu \phi \in$
vos $\beta \iota \alpha \sigma \theta \epsilon i s$
$\epsilon \theta \epsilon \tau 0^{\cdot}$ ouk $\epsilon \gamma \omega$
$\gamma \quad \eta \gamma o \nu \mu \alpha l$ ．ì $\alpha$
тоוขve $\epsilon i \delta \eta$
2；$\tau \in[0]$ Tl ou $\mu$ ovon
fis tous $\phi \rho \alpha$
тораs oviws
$\omega s ~ \mu \epsilon \mu \alpha \rho$
$\tau v \rho \eta[\tau] \alpha l$ ，

Col. xiv.
o $\pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho \tau \eta \nu$
є $\gamma \gamma \rho \alpha \phi \eta \nu$ >
$\epsilon[\pi \sigma] \iota \eta \sigma \alpha \tau 0, \alpha \lambda$ [
$\lambda \alpha[\kappa] \alpha \iota \tau \eta \nu \delta \epsilon$

$\pi o[\iota \omega \nu]$ Tov $\nu$ o
$\mu \alpha[\tau 0 v] \tau 0 \in$
$\left[\begin{array}{ll}\theta \epsilon \tau о & \lambda \alpha\end{array}\right] \beta \epsilon \mu о \iota$
[ $\kappa \alpha \iota \tau \alpha \nu \tau \eta] \nu>$
10 $\tau \eta[\nu \mu \alpha] \rho \tau \nu \rho \iota$
$\alpha \nu s$
$\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \stackrel{\rho}{\rho}$
$\alpha к о v \epsilon \tau \epsilon \alpha \nu \delta \rho \epsilon S \quad 21$
AӨクレaloı оть
${ }^{1} 5 \epsilon \gamma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu \eta \nu \epsilon$
$\pi[l]$ тоuvo $\mu \alpha$
[Tos] tovtov $\pi \alpha \nu$
та тоv X रovov. $^{\text {. }}$
toutovt $\delta \epsilon$
20 Bolwtov eis
tovs фратораs
$\eta \nu เ \kappa \alpha$ $\eta \nu \alpha \gamma$
$\kappa \alpha \sigma \theta \eta \quad \stackrel{\nu}{ } \epsilon$
$[\gamma] \rho \alpha \psi \in \nu$ o $\pi \alpha$
$25[\tau] \eta \rho . \eta \delta \in \omega s$
[т]oıvvข єроц $\mu \eta \nu$
$\alpha \nu \alpha \nu \tau о \nu \in \nu$
$\llbracket \nu \rrbracket \alpha \nu \tau \iota \nu \nu \mu \omega \nu$.

Col. xv.

9 or 10 lines lost.
10 $\delta \epsilon \pi \alpha \lambda[\iota \nu \kappa \alpha \iota$ $\mu \eta \nu \in l \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \iota \alpha S$ $\alpha \nu \tau o \nu . \in \nu \in \gamma \rho \alpha \psi \epsilon \nu$ $\alpha \nu \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \iota$ tovs $\delta \eta$ $\mu о \tau \alpha s$ от $\frac{\rho}{}$ єis
${ }_{15}$ tous фратораs. oukouv $\delta \in i v o \nu$ $\omega \gamma \eta$ каı $\theta \in o{ }^{\circ}$ $\phi \alpha \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu \mu \epsilon \nu$ єкєเขOV autov $20 \pi \alpha \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha \epsilon l \nu \alpha L^{-}$ $\tau о \lambda \mu \alpha \nu \delta \epsilon \alpha$ кขра тоlєцข a $\epsilon \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu O S \in \pi \rho \alpha$ $\xi \in \nu . \quad \epsilon \tau о \lambda \mu \alpha$
 $\delta \iota \alpha \iota \eta \tau \eta \ell \pi \rho \alpha$ $\gamma \mu \alpha \quad \alpha \nu \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha$ тор $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon เ \nu$. $\omega \mathrm{s}$

Col, xvi.
About 12 lines lost.


Col. xvii.

About 14 lines lost.
${ }_{15}$ ov [Towve $\epsilon \kappa$ Tov
23
$\tau \omega l^{\prime} \in[\sigma \tau \quad i \delta \in \iota\rangle$ $\mu \mathrm{Or}[0 \mathrm{ov}$

Unplaced Fragments.
Fr. I .
Fr. 2.
Fr. 3.
Fr. 4.
$\sigma \kappa[\quad] \cdot \alpha \sigma \sigma[$
] $0 \pi[$
]. [
$] \in \iota \delta[$
$\begin{array}{cccc}\text { Fr. 5. Fr. } 6 . & \text { Fr. } 7 . & \text { Fr. }\end{array}$
Col. i. Col. ii.

| $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | $] \epsilon \cdot[$ | $] \cdot \alpha[$ | $] \ldots[$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $] \alpha \rho$ | $[$ | $] \leqslant![$ | $]!!\sigma \pi[$ | $] \tau \eta[$ |
| $] \alpha$ | $\tau[$ | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ |

i. 2. $\pi] \rho 0 \tau \epsilon \rho \rho[v: 1 . \pi o t \epsilon \rho \circ v$.
10. $\eta \delta\left[\iota \kappa \eta\right.$ : so MSS. ( $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { ík } \eta \\ S\end{array}\right)$; om. $\mathrm{B}($ lass $)$.


7-8. [aтє] pos: ätєpos $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ MSS.
II. autwt: this word is bracketed by B. on account of the hiatus.
vi. 6. $\dot{\eta} \lambda i к a$ was originally omitted in S .
16. $\zeta$ of $\epsilon \zeta \eta \mathrm{h}$ has been partially rewritten, probably by the second hand.

 the cross-bar of the following $\tau$.
27. A short horizontal mark over the $\nu$ of $\epsilon \sigma \tau \nu$ has no evident meaning. It cannot satisfactorily be explained as intended to represent the $\nu$ and then replaced by that letter.
28. Mavtiteol was apparently omitted after $\delta$ voon, as in the text of FQ.
ix. 2. $\phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \delta \epsilon$, as emended by the corrector, is the original reading of $S(\delta \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon i) ; \phi \epsilon \rho^{\prime}$ fi $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ others, B.

7. The $a$ of otav should have been struck out as well as the $\nu$.
17. The letters $\mu$ ov and part of the $\nu$ are on a small fragment which is placed here with some doubt ; it does not join up exactly on either side, and its colour is rather lighter than would be expected.
x. 6. $\delta\left[\eta \lambda o \nu\right.$ : so $\mathrm{Sr}, \mathrm{B} . ; \mu \epsilon \delta \bar{\eta} \lambda_{o \nu}$ others.


${ }^{25}$-8. $\pi 0$ ote and $\nu$ in $11.25-6$ and the initial letters of $11.27-8$ are on detached fragments, the position of which is hardly certain; that of the second fragment is more particularly doubtful owing to its colour.
xi. I. $\gamma$ ধुovos was a slip due perhaps to the influence of the next two words. oitos is omitted by FQ.
10. т] ovoos [rous: so the MSS. Harpocration seems to have read qovitovs toús.
20. пиขтa: so Q ; äпаитa other MSS.
xii. 3. $\nu$ of $\pi \epsilon \phi \epsilon v \gamma \epsilon \nu$ has been converted from $\mu$, by which hand is uncertain.
9. $\eta \mu \phi \epsilon \sigma \beta \eta \tau \epsilon!$ : so B . with S ; $\eta \mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \beta_{\boldsymbol{\eta} \tau \epsilon}$ others.
 тоvíбate S corr. Q and B .
17. The marginal variant єкaotov is apparently new, but S records $\tilde{\text { énaota }}$; the symbol prefixed is like that sometimes used in supplying an omission, e.g. 844. in4.

26. The papyrus agrees with Sr in omitting $\theta_{\epsilon} \omega \rho \epsilon \epsilon \tau \varepsilon$ after $\pi \rho a \gamma \mu a \tau o s$.
xiii. ıо. $\tau \omega \nu$ : so FQ text r ; $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \grave{\epsilon} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{S}$ and as v. l. FQ, B.
26. фраторas is also the spelling of S; фрátepas B. Cf. xiv. 21 , xv. 15 .
xiv. 5-6. $\epsilon \mu \circ \pi \pi\left[\epsilon \omega^{\prime}\right]$, as originally written, is the usual order. For the use of the figures $a$ and $\beta$ to effect a transposition cf. e. g. 16. i. $26,1018.38$.

15. $\eta \nu$ : so vulg. ; єimi B. with S.
19. тоuтov : so MSS.; тоиิтov B.

Fr. 1 The first letter is possibly $\epsilon$, but the fragment does not suit vii. 28 .
Fr. 2. This fragment cannot well be assigned to ix. 14.
Fr. 4 may come from x. 29, but does not directly join.

Fr. 5. This fragment might be supposed to be part of § $14 \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda a \gamma] a \rho[\epsilon \sigma \tau a \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \Delta \nu \backslash a$, but this cannot be reconciled with the $\tau$ (or $\pi$ ) of the next column, which would belong to about the twentieth line from the end, and there is no initial $\tau$ or $\pi$ within two lines of the twentieth from the end of Col. viii.

Fr. 6. Apparently not vi. 8-9.
Fr. 8 does not appear to suit either x. 26 or xiv. 5. It is hardly certain that the fragment belongs to this MS., or even which way up it should be read.
1094. Demosthenes, De Falsa Legatione.
${ }_{1} 7 \times 6 \mathrm{~cm}$. Fifth century.
A fragment from the upper part of a vellum leaf, containing on the verso portions of $\$ \S 274-5$, on the recto portions of $\$ \$ 279-80$, of the De Falsa Legationc. It is clear from the extensive lacuna between 11.23 and 24 that the leaf included more than one column; and we have the alternatives of supposing that there were two columns, implying a tall and narrow page of about 35 (at least) $\times$ 20 cm ., or three columns, which would give a squarer page measuring some $2 S \mathrm{~cm}$. across and, if the lower margin was as deep as the upper one, of approximately the same height. The former is perhaps the likelier shape, but in any case this was a large and handsome codex. The script is a sloping uncial of medium size, carefully finished and with rather strongly marked contrasts of light and heavy strokes; it may date from the fifth century. Stops in two positions occur, inserted apparently by the original hand. There is a welldefined vertical ruling down the margin of the recto, but traces of horizontal rulings are only to be distinguished in places.

No particular affinities are traceable in the text, which is inferior in quality to that of 1093. A conjecture of Dobree is supported in l. $3^{8}$.

```
Verso.
```

```
    к\alpha\iotaто\iota к\alpha\lambda\lambda\iota\omega \tau\alpha[v\tau\eta\ \epsilon\iota\rho\eta
```

    к\alpha\iotaто\iota к\alpha\lambda\lambda\iota\omega \tau\alpha[v\tau\eta\ \epsilon\iota\rho\eta
        274
        274
    \imath\eta\nu ov\tau\epsilon \piрот\epsilon\rho[ol' ov0 v
    \imath\eta\nu ov\tau\epsilon \piрот\epsilon\rho[ol' ov0 v
    \sigma\tau\epsilon\rhoov ov\delta\epsilon\iotas a\nu [\epsilonl\pi\epsilonll' \epsilonXol
    \sigma\tau\epsilon\rhoov ov\delta\epsilon\iotas a\nu [\epsilonl\pi\epsilonll' \epsilonXol
    \pi\epsilon\pi\circ\iota\eta\mu\epsilon\nu\eta\nu \tau[\eta\nu \pio\lambda\iota\nu
    \pi\epsilon\pi\circ\iota\eta\mu\epsilon\nu\eta\nu \tau[\eta\nu \pio\lambda\iota\nu
    5 \alpha\lambda\lambda ov тоvт \epsilon\sigmaко[\piov\nu rov\tauov
5 \alpha\lambda\lambda ov тоvт \epsilon\sigmaко[\piov\nu rov\tauov
\mu\in\nu \gamma\alpha\rho \eta\gammaov\tauo [\tau\eta\nu \alphav\tau\omega\nu

```
\mu\in\nu \gamma\alpha\rho \eta\gammaov\tauo [\tau\eta\nu \alphav\tau\omega\nu
```

$\alpha \rho \epsilon \tau \eta \nu \kappa \alpha l$ т $\eta \nu[\tau \eta s \quad \pi o \lambda \epsilon \omega s$
$\delta o \xi \alpha \nu \alpha \iota \tau \iota \alpha \nu \in[\iota \nu \alpha \iota \operatorname{\tau ov} \delta \epsilon$ $\pi \rho о \iota к \alpha$ $\eta \eta$ то[ $\nu$ тоv $\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta \epsilon v$ 10 тоv трото⿱ то[utov ouv Sıкаเov $\eta \xi \iota \circ \cup \nu[\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon X \in \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ $\kappa \alpha \iota \alpha \delta \omega \rho \circ \delta 0 \kappa[\eta \tau 0 \nu$ тоע $\pi \rho \circ$ бovia rols koi[vols exelvol $\mu \in \nu$ тolvvข o[vт $\omega s \in X \theta \rho o \nu$ ${ }_{15}$ Пरоиขто то $\delta[\omega \rho о \delta о к \epsilon \iota \nu$ $\kappa \alpha \iota \quad \alpha \lambda \nu \sigma \iota \tau \epsilon \lambda[\epsilon \varsigma \quad \tau \eta \pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \iota$ $\omega \sigma \tau \epsilon \mu \eta \tau \quad \epsilon \pi[\iota \pi \rho \alpha \xi \in \omega s \quad \mu \eta$ $\delta \epsilon \mu(\alpha s \quad \mu \eta \tau \in[\pi \quad \alpha \nu \delta \rho o s \in \alpha \nu$
 $\gamma \iota \gamma \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \quad \ddot{u} \mu[\epsilon \iota S \quad \delta \epsilon \omega \tau \eta \nu$ $20 \alpha v \tau \eta \nu$ єєр $\eta \nu[\eta \nu$ єоракотєS $\tau \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu \tau \omega \nu \sigma[\nu \mu \mu \alpha \chi \omega \nu \tau \omega \nu$ $\eta \mu \epsilon \tau \in \rho \omega \nu$ г[є८Х $\eta \kappa \alpha \theta \eta \rho \eta$


Recto.

$$
\tau o] v \delta \omega \rho \alpha \quad \epsilon i \lambda \eta \phi \in \nu \alpha \iota
$$

${ }^{2} 5[\epsilon \iota \mu \epsilon \nu \quad \eta \rho]$ ] $\left[\begin{array}{lllll} & \epsilon \iota \nu & \lambda o l\end{array}\right] \pi o \nu$ a $\nu \nu \nu^{\prime} \in \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \eta$

 280 [ $\tau \omega \nu$ ovt] $] \omega \varsigma ~ \epsilon X o \nu \tau \omega \nu \quad \ddot{\psi} \mu \epsilon \iota S$ $30[\epsilon \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu \omega \nu] \tau \omega \nu \alpha \nu \delta \rho \omega \nu$ ov $[\tau \epsilon S$ ol $\delta \epsilon \kappa] \alpha \iota \tau \iota \nu \epsilon S$ avt $\alpha \nu$ $[\epsilon \tau \iota$ § $\omega \nu \tau] \epsilon \varsigma ~ \ddot{\sim} \pi о \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \iota \tau \epsilon$ $\left[\begin{array}{lll}\tau 0 \nu & \mu \epsilon \nu & \epsilon\end{array}\right] \cup \epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon \tau \eta \nu$ тov $\delta \eta$ [ $\mu$ ои каı то] $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ єк $\Pi \epsilon \iota \rho \alpha \iota \omega s ~ E \pi \iota$
$35\left[\begin{array}{lll}\kappa \rho \alpha \tau \eta \nu & \epsilon \kappa\end{array}\right] \pi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ ка८ ко入 $\alpha$ $[\sigma \theta \eta \nu \alpha \iota ~ к \alpha \iota] \pi \alpha \lambda \iota \nu \pi \rho \omega \eta \nu$,

```
    [\Theta\rho\alpha\sigmav\betaov\lambda]ov \tauо\nu \Theta\rho\alpha\sigmav\betaov
    [\lambdaov тou \delta\eta]\muотוкои \tauov a
    [\piо \Phiu\lambda\etas к]a\tau\alphaya\gammaov\tauos
40 [Tov \delta\eta\muO\nu к]\alpha\iota tivas a\lambda\lambdaous
    [\tau\alpha\lambda\alpha\nu\tau\alpha \delta\epsilon]<<\alpha \omega\phi\lambda\eta\kappa\epsilon\nu\alpha\iota
    [к\alpha\iota \tauо\nu \alphaф] A\rho\muо\delta\iotaov к\alpha\iota \tau\overline{\omega}
    [\tau\alpha \mu\epsilon\gamma\iota\sigma0 v]\mu\alphas a\gamma\alpha0\alpha \epsilon\iota\rho\gamma\alpha
    [\sigma\mu\in\nu\omega\nu ovs] \nuo\mu\omega\iota \delta\iota\alpha \tau\alphas
45 [\epsilonv\epsilon\rho\gamma\epsilon\sigma\iota\alphaS \alpha]s \ddot{u}\pi\eta\rho\xi\alpha\nu\nu \epsilon\iotaS
    [v\mu\alphas є\nu \alpha\pi\alpha\sigmal] tols \ddot{\epsilonpols к\alphal}
    [\epsilon\pi\iota \tau\alphals 0v\sigmal\alphals \sigma\pio]\nu\delta\omega\nu к\alphal
```


12. $\pi \rho \circ$ б $\sigma$ оута: $\pi \rho \circ \sigma$ оо́vта MSS.
19. For the abbreviation of $\omega$ avopes A A $\eta$ vato cf. l. 28 and e.g. P. Rylands 58.92. A stop probably followed $\gamma \iota \gamma \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$, but it cannot be distinguished.
22. $\eta \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \nu$ : so ks; $\dot{v} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho \rho \omega \nu$ others.
25. $\epsilon \xi \in \lambda \epsilon \gamma\left[\chi \epsilon \epsilon \nu\right.$ : so MSS. except S, which has ${ }^{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$, and this is adopted by Butcher.
26. $\left.\lambda_{01}\right]_{\text {Tov }}$ : so SYO; there is not room for $\tau 0 \lambda_{01}$ ] $\pi o \nu$, which is found in other MSS.

28. $\pi \rho \circ \sigma]_{\eta \kappa \epsilon t}$ : so $k$; $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \tilde{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \nu$ other MSS. The present tense is consistent with о $\mu$ oдolyovg $\nu$ in 1.27.
30. єкєเข $\omega \nu$ apparently stood in the text, not Dobree's commonly accepted emendation є $\epsilon$ єкєı $\nu \omega$.
 with most MSS. Ө $\rho a \sigma$. . . . tóv is omitted by the first hands in SY; L has ékeivov tòv

38. rov : so Dindorf with Dobree ; кaì rov̂ MSS., Blass, Butcher.
40. k]at tivas a $\lambda \lambda$ ous: om. MSS. The words perhaps came in from a marginal note.

 (ípîs S, \&c.), om. ípâs A ; [í $\mu a \bar{s}]$ Blass.
46. кає: оm. MSS.
1095. [ISOCRATES,] Ad Demonicum.

$$
25.6 \times 8.5 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Fourth century. }
$$

This is a leaf from a papyrus book, complete at the top and bottom but broken at the side, so that about half the letters in each line are lost. It is inscribed in a clear semicursive hand of medium size, and probably of the fourth
century. Stops in three positions occur, apparently added by the original scribe, to whom a rough breathing in 1.47 also seems to be due; but a few accents are differentiated by a rather darker coloured ink than that of the text, which is of a brownish shade.

Isocrates papyri have not as a rule been distinguished for good qualities (cf. e.g. 844), and in particular their chief representative for the Ad Demonicum, P. Berlin 8935, which is attributed to the second century, displays an extraordinary divergence of tradition. 1095 follows the normal type of text and is on the whole a creditable witness. As might be anticipated, there are occasional coincidences with the deteriores (1l. 3-4, 17), but agreements with the superior group of MSS., of which the chief is the Codex Urbinas ( $\Gamma$ ), predominate. In the collation appended below, which is based on E. Drerup's edition, I do not include unsupported readings of the eccentric Berlin papyrus. Another papyrus at Strassburg is available for comparison only in $\S 45$.

Recto.

```
    \sigma\iota\nu \alphá[\sigma]k\epsilon[\iota] \mu[\epsilon]\gamma\iota[\sigma]\tauo\nu [\gamma\alpha\rho \epsilon\nu \epsilon\lambda\alpha\chi\iota\sigma\tau\omega\iota40
    vovs a\gamma\alpha0os \epsilon\nu \alpha\nu0\rho[\omega\piov \sigma\omega\mu\alpha\tau\ell \pi\epsilon\ell
    \rho\omega \tauо }\mu[\epsilon]\nu \sigma\omega\mu\alpha \epsilon\iotav[\alpha\iota \phii\lambdaо\piо\nuos \tau\eta
    \delta\epsilon \psiv\chi\chi\eta\nu \phii\lambdao[\sigma]o\phio[s \imath\nu\alpha \tau\omega\iota \mu\epsilon\nu \epsilon\pi\iota\tau\epsilon
5[\lambda]\epsilon\iota\nu \deltav[\nu]\eta \tau\alpha \deltao\xi\alpha\nu\tau[\alpha \tau\etal \delta\epsilon \pi\rhoоора\nu \epsilon\pi\iota
    \sigma\tau\eta \tau\alpha \sigmav\mu\phi\epsilon\rho[0\nu\tau]\alpha [\pi\alpha\alpha\nu}
    [\epsilon]\rho\epsilon\iota\nu. \pi\rhoо\tau\epsilon\rhoо\nu \epsilon\pi\iota[\sigmaко\pi\epsilon\iota \tau\eta\iota \gamma\nu\omega\mu\eta\iota
    [\piо\lambda]\lambdao\iotas \gamma\alpha\rho \eta \gamma[\lambda]\omega\tau\tau\alpha [\piрот\rho\epsilon\\in\iota \tau\etaS \delta\iota\alpha
    [\nuol]as. \nuo\mu\iota{[\epsilon] \mu\eta\delta[\epsilon\nu \epsilonl\nu\alphal \tau\omega\nu a\nu
10 [0\rho\omega]\piív\omega\nu \beta\epsilon\beta\alpha\iotao[\nu ov\tau\omega \gamma\alpha\rho ov\tau \epsilonv\tauv\chi}\omega
    [\epsilon\sigma\epsilonl] \pi\epsilon\rhol\chi\alpha\rho\etaS ov\delta\epsilon \delta[v\sigma\tauv\chi\chi\omega\nu \pi\epsilon\rhol\lambdav\pios
    [\deltavo \pi]0\iotaov̂ к\alpha\iota\rho[0]us \tauov [ }\lambda\epsilon\gamma\epsilon\iota\nu \eta \pi\epsilon\rho\iota \omega\nu o42
[\sigma0\alpha \sigma]\alphaф\omegas \eta \pi\epsilon\rho\iota \omega\nu [\alpha\nu\alpha\gammaк\alpha\iotao\nu \epsilon\iota\pi\epsilon\iota\nu
[\epsilon\nu] \tauo[v\tau]ols \gamma\alpha\rho \mu[o]vols [o \lambdaogos \tau\etaS \sigmal\gamma\etaS
I5 [\kappa\rho]\epsilont\tau[\tau]\omega\nu. \epsilon\nu \delta\epsilon \tauoוs a[\lambda\lambdaols a\mu\epsilon\iota\nuO\nu \sigma\iota
    [\gamma\alpha]\nu \eta \lambda\epsilon\gamma\epsilon\iota\nu. \chi\alpha\iota\rho\epsilon }\mu[\epsilon\nu\epsilon\pi\iota\iota \tauoוs \sigmav\mu\beta\alpha\iota!
    [\nu0v\sigmal] \tau\omega\nu a\gamma\alpha0\omega\nu к.\alphal \lambdau\piov \mu\epsilon\tau\rhot\omegas \epsilon
    [\pi\iota \tauo]ls \gamma\iota\nuо\mu\epsilon\nuols [\tau\omega\nu как\omega\nu \gammaı\nuov
    \delta\epsilon [\tauOLS] \alpha\lambda\lambdaols \mu\eta\delta \epsilon[\nu \epsilon\tau\epsilon\rhoоוs \omega\nu к\alpha\tau\alpha\delta\eta
```

 oıкıals $\alpha \pi \sigma[\kappa] \rho v \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu[\tau \eta \nu \quad \delta \epsilon$ סıavolav $\phi \alpha \nu[\epsilon] \rho \alpha \nu \quad \epsilon \chi{ }^{\nu} \nu \tau \alpha \pi[\epsilon \rho \iota \pi \alpha \tau \epsilon L \nu \quad \mu \alpha \lambda \lambda o \nu$ $\overline{\epsilon v \lambda} \alpha \beta$ ou 廿oyov $\eta$ кi[ $\nu \delta u v o \nu \quad \delta \epsilon l$ $\gamma \alpha \rho$ єival $\phi 0 \beta \in \rho \alpha \nu$ тoıs $\mu \in \nu$ ( $\phi \alpha \cup \lambda$ oıs т $\eta \nu$ tov ßıov

$\zeta \eta \nu \alpha \delta[0] \xi \iota \alpha \nu^{\circ} \quad \mu \alpha\left[\lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \quad \mu \in \nu \quad \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \omega \quad \zeta \eta \nu^{\prime}\right.$
$\overline{\kappa \alpha} \tau \alpha \quad \tau \eta[\nu] \alpha \sigma \phi \alpha[\lambda][[\alpha \nu \in \alpha \nu \delta \epsilon \pi о \tau \epsilon$ боו $\sigma \nu \mu \beta \eta \iota$
$\kappa เ \nu \delta v \nu \in \cup \epsilon \iota \nu \quad \zeta \eta[\tau \in \iota \quad \tau \eta \nu \quad \epsilon \kappa$ тоv $\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu \circ v$ $\sigma \omega i \eta p l \alpha \nu \quad \mu \in \tau \alpha \alpha \alpha[\lambda \eta S$ $\delta 0 \xi \eta s$ $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \mu \eta \mu \epsilon$
 $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu \quad \eta \pi \epsilon \pi \rho \omega \mu \epsilon[\nu \eta$ к $\alpha \tau \epsilon \kappa \rho \iota \nu \epsilon$ то $\delta \epsilon$ $\kappa \alpha \lambda \omega s \alpha \pi о \theta \alpha \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ l $[\delta \iota 0 \nu$ тols $\sigma \pi$ ov $\alpha \alpha \iota o l s$ $\alpha \pi \epsilon \nu \epsilon \iota \mu \epsilon$. к $\alpha \iota \mu \eta \quad \theta a[\nu \mu \alpha \sigma \eta \iota S \in \iota \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \alpha \tau \omega \nu$ $\epsilon \iota \rho \eta \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$ ov $\pi \rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon[\iota$ $\sigma 0 \iota \pi \rho \circ s \tau \eta \nu \nu v \nu$

Verso.
3 . $[\pi \alpha \rho о v \sigma \alpha \nu ~ \eta \lambda \iota \kappa \iota \alpha \nu$ ov $\rceil \epsilon \quad \gamma \alpha \rho \epsilon \mu \epsilon$ тоито $\delta \iota \epsilon$ $[\lambda \alpha \theta \epsilon \nu \quad \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \quad \pi \rho 0 \epsilon i \lambda o \mu] \eta \nu \quad \delta \iota \alpha$ т $\eta S$ avt $\eta S \pi \rho \alpha$ $[\gamma \mu \alpha \tau \epsilon l \alpha s$ a $\mu \alpha$ тov $\tau \epsilon \pi] \alpha \rho o \nu \tau o s \beta \iota\left[v \sigma^{\top} v \mu \beta o v\right.$ $[\lambda \iota \alpha \nu \quad \epsilon \xi \in \nu \epsilon \gamma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu \quad \kappa \alpha \iota \tau]$ ov $\mu[\epsilon \lambda] \lambda o \nu \tau 0 s$ Xpovou $[\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \mu \alpha<\alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \iota \pi] \epsilon \epsilon \nu[\cdot] \quad \tau \eta \nu \quad \mu \in \nu \quad \gamma \alpha \rho \quad \tau[0 \nu$
$40[\tau \omega \nu$ र $\rho \epsilon \iota \alpha \nu \rho a \delta \iota \omega S] \epsilon \iota \delta \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma^{.} \tau \circ[\nu] \delta \epsilon \mu[\epsilon$
 [ $\sigma \epsilon \iota$ s ot $\pi \omega$ s ouv $\mu \eta$ ] $\pi \alpha \rho$ єт'́pov $\tau \alpha$ $\lambda o \iota \pi[\alpha$
 $[\pi \rho \circ \phi \epsilon \rho \eta \iota s \quad \omega \iota \eta \theta \eta \nu \quad \delta] \epsilon \iota \nu \quad \mu \eta \delta \delta \nu \quad \pi \alpha[\rho \alpha$
$45\left[\lambda \iota \pi \epsilon \iota \nu \quad \omega \nu \in \chi^{\omega} \sigma 0\right]^{\ell} \iota v \mu \beta o v \lambda \epsilon \nu \epsilon \iota \nu[\pi 0 \lambda$ $[\lambda \eta \nu \delta \alpha \nu$ тols $\theta \epsilon o \iota s] \quad \chi \alpha \rho \iota \nu \sigma \chi o l \eta \nu \in[\iota \mu \eta$
 $[\sigma o v \tau v \gamma \chi \alpha \nu \omega \tau \omega] \nu \quad \mu \in \nu \quad \gamma \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \tau 0[u s$ $[\pi \lambda \epsilon \iota \sigma \tau o v s ~ \epsilon v \rho \eta \sigma \sigma \mu] \epsilon \nu \omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \tau \omega[\nu] \sigma \iota \tau[\iota \omega \nu$
50 [tols $\eta \delta \iota \sigma t o l s ~ \mu a \lambda \lambda o{ }^{\prime} \nu \eta$ tols vyletvota[fols [Xatpovtas ovт $\kappa \kappa \alpha]_{\iota} \tau \omega \nu$ фi $\lambda \omega \nu \tau[o \iota s ~ \sigma v \nu$
$[\epsilon \xi \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha \nu 0 v \sigma \iota \pi \lambda] \eta \sigma \iota \alpha \xi^{\prime} \nu \tau \alpha s^{*} \quad \alpha[\lambda \lambda$ ov

[ov тоvт $\omega \nu$ єуvळкє] $\nu \alpha \iota ~ \tau \epsilon к \mu \eta \rho \iota \omega \iota ~ Х \rho[\omega$
55 [ $\mu \in \nu$ OS $\tau \eta \iota \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \quad \tau \eta \nu] \alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \pi \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon \iota \alpha \nu \quad \phi \iota$

$[\tau \in \iota \nu \in \pi \iota \tau \alpha \tau \tau 0 \nu \tau \alpha \tau] 0 v \tau 0 \nu$ єוKOS ка८ $\tau \omega[\nu$
$\left[\alpha \lambda \lambda \omega \nu\right.$ Tous $\left.\epsilon \pi \iota \tau \eta \iota^{\prime}\right] \alpha \rho \epsilon \tau \eta \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda o v[\nu$
$[\tau \alpha s \quad \alpha \pi o \delta \epsilon \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \quad \mu \alpha] \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ $\delta \alpha \nu \pi \alpha \rho \circ \xi v \nu$ [


$[\tau \omega \nu \quad \mu \alpha \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \quad \gamma \nu \eta \sigma \iota \omega] s \in X \circ \mu \epsilon \nu^{*} \quad \epsilon \nu \mu \epsilon \nu$
[ $\gamma \alpha \rho \tau \omega \iota \quad \rho \alpha \theta v \mu \epsilon \iota \nu$ к $\alpha \iota]$ $\tau \alpha s \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \mu о \nu \alpha s ~ \alpha$
$[\gamma \alpha \pi \alpha \nu$ єvOUs al $\lambda v \pi \alpha \iota]$ т $\alpha \iota s$ $\eta \delta 0 \nu \alpha \iota s \pi \alpha \rho \alpha$
$65[\pi \epsilon \pi \eta \gamma \alpha \sigma \iota$ то $\delta \epsilon \pi \epsilon \rho \iota]$ т $\eta \nu$ а $\rho \epsilon \tau \eta \nu \quad \phi \iota \lambda о \pi о$

[коขо $\mu \in \iota \nu \quad \alpha \in \iota \quad \tau \alpha S] \tau \in \rho \psi \in \iota S$ єl $\lambda \iota \kappa \rho \iota \nu \in \imath$ is
 $\sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau \iota \mu \epsilon \nu^{\prime}$.

5. є $\pi \iota] \sigma \tau \eta \iota: ~ \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \eta, \Sigma Y$.
7. [ $\epsilon] \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ : so probably the papyrus, not $[\lambda \epsilon] \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ with $\Lambda \Pi$ P. Berl.

9-1I. The sentence $\nu 0 \mu \nu \zeta[\epsilon] \ldots \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \lambda \nu \pi o s$ stands in this position in all MSS. and is retained there by Drerup. H. Wolf transposed it and ll. i2-I $6 \delta v o \ldots \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon L \nu$, an arrangement adopted by subsequent editors.
II. ovסє: l. ovtє.
12. $\pi$ ]o七ov kaı $[0]$ Us: кaıpò̀s $\pi$ o七oû $\Upsilon$ Stobaeus.
 inconsistent with $\lambda$.
30. The grave accent on aıбxpas should have been a circumflex.

32. To add $\eta$ фvoıs (ПइY Stobaeus) after $\sigma \pi o v \delta a \iota o \iota s$ would clearly overload the line, and these two words were no doubt omitted as in $\Gamma$ P. Berl. They are retained by Blass and other editors, but not by Drerup.
33. The angular paragraphus below this line marks a new section.

40-I. $\mu[\epsilon \tau \epsilon v \nu o t a s ~ \sigma v \mu \beta o v \lambda \epsilon v] o \nu \tau a: ~ \sigma o \iota ~ \sigma v \mu \beta$. $\mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \dot{\prime} \nu . ~ \Lambda \Pi$, $\sigma v \mu \beta o v \lambda \epsilon v \sigma o v \tau \alpha \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon v \nu$. P. Berl.
42. $\pi a \rho$ єтєроv та $\lambda o \iota \pi\left[a\right.$ : so Г ; тà $\lambda o \iota \pi a ̀ ~ \mu \grave{\eta} \pi a \rho \rho^{\prime}$ є́т. $\Lambda \Pi$ and P. Berl. ( $\left.\epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \nu\right)$.
$44-5$. It is possible that $a \nu$ preceded $\epsilon \chi^{\omega}$ as in $\Lambda \Pi \Sigma Y$; the syllable $\lambda_{\iota}$ may have stood in 1.44.
47. $\eta s: \eta \nu \Lambda \Pi$.

57. $\tau \omega[\nu$ a $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ : rovs a $\lambda \lambda$ ous P. Arg.
61. $\epsilon \mathrm{k}$ : tàs $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{A}$. The supplement at the beginning of this line is rather longer than would be expected, but, as it includes four iotas and two omicrons, may be passed.
66. єavtov: the scribe first wrote єavтตv, éautov̂ АП Stobaeus, aùtov̂ $\Gamma$.
1096. Isockates, Panegyricus and De Pace.

A practically complete though much wrinkled and discoloured leaf of thin vellum, containing the conclusion of the Panegyricus and the beginning of the De Pace, separated by the titles of the two orations. The measurements of the leaf are similar to those of 1080 ; it bears no decipherable number, but this must have been a rather bulky little volume, consisting of at least I 50 such leaves. The handwriting is a well-formed upright uncial, of medium size, belonging to the so-called biblical type and attributable to the fourth century; some accompanying cursive documents ranged in date from the third century to the fifth. Corrections have been made in blacker ink by a second hand, which has also added stops in three positions, occasional breathings, \&c. Textually there is little to remark beyond the usual absence of well-defined affinities to mediaeval MSS.: an agreement with $\Gamma$ in 1. It is immediately followed in 1. i 9 by a 'vulgate' ( $\Lambda$ ) reading. No comparison is possible with the British Museum papyrus of the De Pace, since the commencement of that copy is lost. My collation depends on the edition of Blass.

```
Verso.
    k\alphai Tols a\lambda\lambdaols }\mu\in\gamma\alpha\lambda\overline{\omega
    \alphay\alpha0\omega\nu \alpha\iotaт\iotaо\iota \deltao\xi![o]v
    \sigma\iota\nu \epsilonlv\alphal>>>>
    >>>>>-- <\pi\alpha\nu\eta\gammaир\iotaкоs>
    <\pi\epsilon\rho\iota \tau\etaS \epsilon\iota\rho\eta\nu\etaS>
    \alpha\pi\alpha\nu\tau\epsilonS }\mu\in\nu\quad\epsilon\iota\omega0\alpha\sigma\iota\nu o
    \pi\alpha\rhoוо\nu\tau\epsilons \epsilon\nu}0\alpha\delta\epsilon \tau\alphav\tau
    \mu\in\gamma\iota\sigma\tau\alpha \phi\alpha\sigma\kappa\inl\nu \inlv\alphal
    \kappa\alpha\iota \mu\alpha\lambda\iota\sigma\tau\alpha \sigma\piоv\delta\etas \alpha\xil
10 a \tau\etal \pio\lambda\epsilonl \pi\epsilon\rhol \omega\nu \alpha\nu \alphav
```

```
    \tauо\iota }\mu\epsilon\lambda\lambda\omega\sigma\iota\nu [\sigma]v\mu\betaov\lambda\epsilon
    \epsilon\iota\nu}\mathrm{ . ov }\mu\eta\nu[\alpha]\lambda\lambda \epsilon\ell к\alpha\iota \pi\epsilon\rho\iota
    \alpha\lambda\lambda\omega\nu \tauו\nu\omega\nu \pi\rho\alpha\gamma\mu\alpha\tau\overline{\omega}
    \eta\rho\muо\sigma\epsilon\nu то\iota\alpha\nuта \pi\rhoоє\iota
15 \pi\epsilont\nu. \deltaок\epsilon\ell \muо\iota \pi\rho\epsilon\pi\epsilon!\nu
    \kappa\alphal \pi\epsilon\rho\iota \tau\omega\nu \nuv| \pi\alpha\rhoov
            Recto.
    \tau\omega\nu \epsilon\nu\tau\epsilonv0\epsilon\nu [\pi]o\iota\eta\sigma\alpha\sigma0\alpha\iota
    \tau[\eta]\nu [\alpha\rho]\\\eta\nu
    \epsilonкк\lambda\eta\sigma\iota\alpha\sigmaо\nu\tau\epsilonS \pi\epsilon\rho\iota \tau\epsilon
20 [\pi]0\lambda\epsilon\muоv к\alpha\iota \epsilon\iota\rho[\eta]\nu\eta) \stackrel{\alpha}{a}
    \mu\epsilon\gamma\iota\sigma\tau\eta\nu}\underset{\tau<<\epsilon\iota\iota}{\epsilon\in\iota}\deltav\nu\alpha\mu\iota
    \epsilon\nu \tau\omega\iota \beta\iota\omega\iota \tau\omega\nu \alpha\nu0\rho\omega
    \pi\omega\nu. к\alpha\iota \pi\epsilon\rho\iota \omega\nu \alpha\nu\alpha\gammaк\eta
    tovs o\rho0\omegas \betaov\lambda\epsilonधo\mu\epsilonvovs.
{ } _ { 2 } ^ { 5 } \alpha \mu \epsilon \iota \nu O \nu ~ \tau \omega \nu ~ \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega \nu ~ \pi \rho \alpha \tau
    \tau\epsilonl\nu}\mp@subsup{\nu}{}{\bullet}\mathrm{ тo }\mu\in\nu ouv \mu\epsilon\gamma\epsilon0o
    v\pi\epsilon\rho \omega\nu \sigmav\nu\epsilon\lambda\eta\lambda\nu0\alpha\mu\overline{\epsilon}
    т\eta\lambda\iotaкоч\tauо\nu \epsilon\sigmaт\iota\nu o\rho\omega 3
    \delta'[\epsilon]] v\mu\alphas [ov]\kappa [\epsilon]\xi, !\sigmaov T\omega\nu
з० \lambda\epsilon\gammaо\nu\tau\omega\nu [\tau]\eta\nu ак\rhoо\alpha\sigma\overline{\imath}
    \piolov\mu\epsilon\nuous. \alpha\lambda\lambda\alpha \tauols
    \mu\epsilon\nu \pi\rhoо\sigma\epsilon\chiо\nu\tau\alphaS \tauо\nu
    \nuov\nu. \tau\omega\nu \delta\epsilon ov\delta\epsilon \llbracket\tauT\eta[\nu\rrbracket]
```


I 1. $[\sigma] v \mu \beta o v \lambda \epsilon v \epsilon \iota \nu: \sigma v \mu \beta o v \lambda \epsilon v \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ MSS.
14. $\eta \rho \mu \sigma \sigma \epsilon \nu$ : so $\Gamma(-\epsilon)$; $\tilde{\eta} \rho \mu о \zeta \epsilon$ vulg.
16. $\nu v \nu$ : $\nu v \nu \iota$ vulg.
19. $\pi \epsilon \rho \imath \tau \epsilon$ : so Dionys. Hal. lsocr. 16 and vulg.; om. $\tau \epsilon$ I.
22. The corrector's reading is that of the MSS.

24 ßou入єvoдєvovs: so MSS. ; ßovえєvaapévovs Dionys.
33. $\tau \eta \nu$ was perhaps repeated by an inadvertence on the next page and therefore deleted here. A thick ink-mark between $\delta \epsilon$ and ovoє may have come through from the other side.
1097. Cicero, De Imp. Ch. Pompci and In Verrem II. i.
$15.4 \times 8.4 \mathrm{~cm}$. Fifth century. Plate VI (recto).
Two fragments from Egypt of the Pro Plancio, on vellum, were published last year by S. de Ricci (Mélanges Chatelain, pp. 442-7), to be followed a few months ago by a papyrus of the Second Oration against Catilina (P. Rylands 61), and still more recently by another of In Verrem II. i (ed. F. Ramorino in Papiri d. Soc. Ital.). A fourth Ciceronian fragment now makes its appearance. It is a portion of a leaf from a papyrus book, containing the conclusion of the speech De Imperio Cu. Pompei and the commencement of the Actio Secunda against Verres. The script is a small and upright half-uncial, with some tendency to a more cursive style, especially towards the ends of lines; the linked form of $t$ with the cross-bar at an angle and continued to form the base of the next letter is occasionally employed, e. g. in 1.22 auctoritate. Greek documents of the fifth century were found in the company of this papyrus and to that century it is itself suitably referred. With the commencement of the Verrine oration the hand becomes rather heavier, and very likely the new speech was begun on another day or with a different pen. The ink throughout is of the brown colour common at the period. The lines are long, and the leaf when complete must have measured some 18 cm . across, the column of writing having a width of about 13.5 cm . With an allowance of 5 cm . for the margin at the top and bottom, the height of the leaf may be estimated at some 29 cm ., the proportions being thus very much the same as those of 1011. A great deal can be got into a page of this size, with small writing and closely packed lines; and a further economy of space was attained by the abbreviation or contraction of certain common words. For punctuation a single high point was used, accompanied by a short interval before the next letter, e. g. 1. 62.

In the text of this papyrus the chief point of interest is its relation to the Harleianus (H). Noteworthy agreements with that manuscript occur in 11. 12, 28 , and 31 , in the two latter passages against all other authorities. On the other hand 1097 and H are opposed in 11. 18, 21, 4I, and 44. The affinity between the two, therefore, seems to be weaker than that between $H$ and the Turin palimpsest. Readings peculiar to the papyrus are limited to two slight variations in the order of words (11. 25-6, 42-3), an apparently erroneous addition in 1.29 , a fairly evident interpolation in 1.58 , and the insertion of viri, which is of very questionable value, in 1.13 . The collation given below is based on the Oxford editions of Clark and Peterson, supplemented occasionally by
that of Baiter-Halm. Orthographical details such as adque, optume, inprobari are not noticed.

$$
\text { Recto }(\$ \S 60-65) . \quad \text { Plate VI. }
$$

adq. Hispainiense ab uno imperatore $\overline{e e}$ confecta duasq urbes potentissimas quae huic impcrio maxime
mintita[blántur Carthaginem adq. Numantiam ab codem Scipione $\overline{c e}$ deletas in commemorabo
muper ita [vobis patribusq> vestris $\overline{e e}$ visum ut in uno C. Mario spes imperi poneretur ut idem cum Iugurtha idem
cum Cimbris idem cum Teutonis bellum administraret in ipso Cn. Pompeio in quo novi constitui nilit volt $Q$.
5 Catulus quarm] m[ulta sint nova summa Q. Catuli voluntate constituta recordamini quid tam novum
quam adul[escontulum privatum exercitum difficili $\bar{r} \bar{p}$ tempore conficere confecit huic praēee prae
fuit rem optume duictit suo gevere gessit quid tam praeter consuetudinem quam homini peradu
lescenti cuius aet[as a senatorio gradu longe abesset imperium adq- exercitum dari Siciliam permitti
adq, Africam belluimq, in ca provincia administrandum fuit in his provinciis singulari innocentia
gravitate virtute [bellum in Africa maximum confecit victorem exercitum deportavit quid
vero ta[m] inauditum quam eq $R>$ trimmpleare at cam quoq> rem populus $R>\bar{n}$ modo vidit sed omnium etiam
studio vis[e]ndam et co[ncelebrandam putavit quid tam inusitatum quam ut cum duo consules cla
rissimi viri fortissim[ $i q$, essent eq $R>$ ad bellum maximum formidolosissimumq, pro consule mitte
retur missus est quo quuidem tempore cum esset $\bar{n}$ nemo in senatu qui diceret ni oportere
${ }^{15}$ mitti hominem pri] sua sententia pro consule sed
pro cons, mittcre tantia in eo $\bar{r} \bar{p}$ bene gevendae spes constituebatur ut duorum consulum munus unius
adulescentis virtuti 「committoretur quid tam singularc quam it cx senatus consulto legib. solutus consul ante
fieret quan ullum alium magistratum per leges capere licuisset quid tam incredibile quan ut iterum
cq $R$ > ex scnatus consulto triumpharet quae in ommib. hominib. nova post hominum mennoriam con
stituta sunt ca tam multa [ $\bar{n}$ sunt quam hace quae in hoc uno homine vidimus adq. haec tot exempla
norá pirefecta sunt in cundemt.
tanta ac tam hominem a $Q$. Catuli adq a cetcrormm eiusdem dignitatis amplissimorum hominum
auctoritate qua re videa[nt ne sit periniqumm et in forcudum illorum auctoritatem de Cn.
Pompci dignitate a vobis çonprobatum semper $\overline{\text { ce }}$ vestrum ab illis de eodem homine iudicium populiq> $R$,
auctoritatem inprobari peraesertim cum iam suo iure populus $R$ > in hoc homine suam auctoritatem vel
25 contra omnes qui dissentinnt possit defendere propterea quod isdem istis reclamantib: vos illum
unum ex omnib deligisti's quem bello praedonum praeponcretis hoc si wos temere focistis et $\bar{r} \bar{p}$
parum consuluistis recte [isti studia vestra suis consiliis regere conantur sin autem vos plus tum in $\bar{r} \bar{p}$
vidistis vos istis répugnantib> per rosmet ipsos dignitatem luic imperio salutem orbi terrarum attulistis
quarre aliquando ïsti] principes et sibi et ceteris populi $R$ ? universi auctoritati parendum $\overline{c e}$ fateantur
30 adq, in hoc bello Asiatic[o] et régio Quirites n̄ solum militaris illa virtus quae est in Cn. Pompeio singularis
sed aliae quoq, animi virtutes magnae et multae requiruntur difficile est in Asia Cilicia Syria
regnisq. interiorum nationum ita versari nostrum imperatorem ut nihil aliud nisi de hoste ac de
laude cogitct deinde etiam [si qui sunt pudore ac tomperantia moderatiores tamen eos $\overline{c e}$ tales propter mul
titudinem cupidorum hiominum nemo arbitratur difficile est dictu Quirites quanto in odio simus apud
exterras [nationes

Verso (§§ 70, 71 , In Verrem II. i. 1-4).

] $\operatorname{cor}[u t] m$ qui ad
[rom publicam adeunt maxime perspiciunt me hoo neq> rogatu faceve cuiusquam neqs quo Cn.] P[o]mi pei gratiam
[mihi por hanc causam conciliari putem neq. quo miki cx cuiusquam amplitudine ault praesidia
ipericulis aut adiumenta honorib> quaeram propterea quod pericula facile ut. liodmincon praestare
[oportet innocentia tecti repellemus honorem autem neq. ab uno neq. ex hoc loco sed eadem illa nostra
[laboriosissima ratione vitae si vestra voluntas foret consequemur quam o]b rem quidquid in hac causa
mihi susccptum est Quirites id ego omne me $\bar{r} \bar{p}$ causa suscepisse confirmo tantumq, abest lit aliquam mihi gratiam
[bonam quaesisse videar ut multas me etiam simultates partim obscuras par]tim apertas intellegam
[mihi $\bar{n}$ necessarias vobis $\bar{n}$ inutiles suscepisse sed ego me hoc honore praeditum] tantis vestris beneficios
[adfectum statui Quirites vestram voluntatem et $\bar{r} \bar{p}$ dignitatem et salutem] provinciarum adq s[ocio]
[rum meis omnib, commodis et rationib, praeferre oportere

[neminem vestrum ignorare arbitror iudices hunc per hosce djeie[s] sermonem volgi adq, h[anc
[opinionem populi $R>$ fuisse C. Verrem altera actione responsurum $\bar{n} \bar{e}]$ neq, ad iudicium adfuturum [
[quae fama $\bar{n}$ idcirco solum emanarat quod iste certe statuera]t ac deliberaverat $\bar{n}$ adee ver $u t] m$ [
[etiam quod nemo quemquam tam audacem tam amentem tam inpudentem fore arbitr[abatur
[qui tam nefariis criminib, tam multis testion convictus] ora iudicum aspicere aut [os
suum populo $R>$ ostendere auderet est idem Verres qui fuit semper u't ad audendum proiectus
55 [sic paratus ad audiendum praesto est respondet defendi]tur ne hoc $\overline{q d}$ sibi reliqui facit
nt in rebs turpissimis cum manifesto teneatur si reticeat ct absit tanen inpudcntiae suac prudcntem
[exitum quacsisse rideatur patior iud ct $\bar{n}$ molestc fero] me laboris mei vos cirtutis tiestrae
「fructum ec laturos nam si isto id fecisset qued prius sta tuerat ac deliberaverat ut $\bar{\pi}$
adesset minus aliquanto quam mihi opus esset cognosceretur] quid ego in hac accusationc cion
6o [paranda constituendaq. elahorassem vestra vero lans temu]is plane atq, obscura iud 'esset
[neq, hoc a wobis populus $R$, exspectat neq, eo potest $\overline{c e}$ contont]us si condemnatus sit is qui
'adē noluerit et si fortes fueritis in co quem nemo sit autsus defondere immo ucro [
[adsit respondcat summis opib summo studio potentissinoru]m hominum defendatur cervtet
mea diligentia cum illormm omnium cupiditate ves]tra integritas cum istius po
65 [unia testium constantia cum illius patronorum minis aḍ q] potentia. tu!?! demium
illa omnia victa videbuntar cum in contentionem certamenq. venerint absens si e]ss[et
6. The abbreviation of res publica is nowhere preserved in the papyrus, but it is commended by considerations of space here and elsewhere.
10. virtule gravitate $T$.
12. el co[ncelebrandam: so HE; om. others.
13. viri : om. MSS.
17. The supplement in this line is of unusual length and perhaps senatus consullo was abbreviated, though written out in 1. 19.
18. alium: om. H.
19. A stroke indicating abbreviation may have disappeared above the $q$ of eques.

2 I . eundem] hominem: so MSS. except H, which has eodem homine; this is adopted by Clark. The omitted words were added by the original hand.
a Q. Ca[tuli(?): so ET ; atque Catuli H, a Q. Catulo dett.

25-6. illum] unum: unum illum MSS. deligistis is also the spelling of T .
28. istis: so $\mathrm{H}:$ iis T , his E dett.
29. qua[r]e: om. MSS. The word may have come in from a failure to recognize that vos ...attulistis belonged to the protasis; or perhaps, as Clark suggests, it is a corruption of Quirites, the abbreviation of which was frequently misunderstood. We might then suppose that the Quirites which H inserts in 1.30 stood earlier in the papyrus.
30. The addition of Quirites with H is probable in view of the size of the lacuna, but of course not certain ; cf. the preceding note.
31. animi virfutes: so H, Clark; virtutes animi others.
41. quidquid: so MSS. with the exception of H, which has si quid (adopted by Clark).

42-3. gratiam [bonam: bonam gratiam MSS. It is improbable that bonam was left out entirely, since this would leave the supplement in 1.43 abnormally short. That in 1. 42 , on the other hand, is rather longer than would be expected, and perhaps ego was omitted, as in one of the dett.
44. vestris is omitted in H .

47-8. The title of the speech following as well as of the one preceding seems to have been given here ; cf. e. g. 1098. 4-5 and 1011. 90-1.
52. The apparent shortness of the supplement may be explained by the repetition of the letter $m$.
53. $a$ of $a u t$ is considerably enlarged.
55. It seems clear that paratus was not omitted as in D, \&c.
58. ac deliberaverat, which the MSS. omit, has doubtless come in wrongly from 1.51.
60. Why the $a$ of plane was written above the line is not clear.
61. The supplement is quite long enough without enim after neque (so D, \&c.) even if populus was abbreviated.
64. istius: so p Schol. Gronov. and dett. ; illius others, Peterson. The initial supplement is four or five letters shorter than would be expected.

## 1098. Vergil, Aeneid ii.

 $5.2 \times 20.8 \mathrm{~cm}$.Fourth or fifth century. Plate VI (verso).
Examples of Latin MSS. in square capitals are scarce, and this small fragment from the second book of the Acneid, though textually of no value, has a palaeographical interest. The script is not particularly large, but it has the roundness and breadth characteristic of the type. Hands of this kind are attributed to the fourth or fifth century, and there is no reason to put this specimen any later. They may indeed go back to a somewhat earlier period; cf. Wessely, Stud. Pal. i. App. The fragment is part of a leaf of thin vellum, which was ruled horizontally and vertically in the usual way with a hard point. When complete the page must have been fairly tall, the column consisting of some twenty-three lines. The text has been revised by a corrector whose blacker ink is
casily distinguishable from the brown of the first hand. He also added occasional marginal notes ( 1.42 ), inserted some stops in the middle position, and touched up others which he already found there. He was not, however, responsible for the marginalia on the recto, which are inscribed in small half-uncials in an ink of the same colour as that of the text and may be attributed to the original writer.

Recto.
[acdificant sectaque intcxunt abiete co]stas
[votum pro reditu s]imulant. ca fama vagatur. per ter?
[huc delecla virum sortiti corpora. furtim a.epor?a
[includ]unt caeco [lateri penit]usq. caicrmas
20 [ingentis utcrumque armato mi]lite conplent.
[est in conspectu Tenedos noti ssima fama
[insula dives opum Priami d]um regna maneb[ant
[nenc tantum simus et statio] male $f$ [ida carinis

Verso.
Plate VI.
[s cindi[tur incertum studia in contraria volgus
40 primus ibi ante omnis miagna comitante caterva
Laocon ardens. summa decurrit alb arce
Laocoontis et procul. o mise[ri quae tanta inlsania ciives
credit $[\stackrel{\mathrm{i}}{\mathrm{e}}]]$ s avectios hostis aut ulla putatis
dona carere [dolis Danaum sic notus Ulixes
aut hoc inclusi ! igno occultantur Achivi
[aut haec] in nos[tros fabricata est machina muros
17. The supposed $t$ in the adscript may be $a$, but aeras is hardly suitable.
18. Some further letters of the illegible marginal note may have disappeared.
42. It is possible that three or four letters preceded Laocoontis, e.g. haec. The meaning of the curved mark, which was inserted by the second hand below this line, is not clear.

## 1099. Greek Paraphrase of Vergil, Aeneid.

$25.2 \times 14.1 \mathrm{~cm}$

Fifth century.
A leaf, broken diagonally on one side, from a Latin-Greek vocabulary to the Aeneid. An analogous text is P. Rylands 6I, which contains part of Cicero's In Catilinam II with a paraphrase in Greek. There, however, the Latin is transcribed continuously, whereas here it is only excerpted. The Latin words and their Greek equivalents are in parallel columns, both written by one copyist in a well-formed uncial hand of medium size and dating perhaps from the fifth century. The vocabulary extended over at least two books, of which the explicit and incipit, inscribed in capital letters and enclosed in an ornamental border, are preserved near the end of the second page. A work on so large a scale and executed by so practised a hand is evidently not to be credited to a young learner ; and it is surprising to find it so full of blunders. Not only are there frequent errors both in the Latin and the Greek, but the Vergilian order is not always maintained. Words from different verses are sometimes ranged in the same line (e. g. 11. 16, 21) and in two places (ll. 1-6, 27 sqq.) there is a considerable dislocation. Some of the mistakes have been eliminated by a less cultivated hand employing a darker ink, but a good many remain, and no attempt has been made to amend the disturbed order. The corrector is responsible for the accentuation, which in order to assist pronunciation has been applied to the Latin as well as the Greek, stressed syllables receiving an acute accent ; in one case (1. 6) a mark of long quantity is used instead. These accents were often very lightly written, and were probably inserted in many places where they are no longer really visible. The leaf, which is of stout vellum, was ruled on the recto with a hard point which has left a light brown mark; the horizontal rulings are doubled so as to regulate the size as well as the line of the writing. There is no trace of pagination.

Recto.

| aspiciunt | $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \circ \hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota$ | 664 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| anriat | $\alpha \nu \tau \lambda \eta \sigma \eta$ | 661 |
| sparsasq. | $\kappa \alpha \iota \epsilon \rho \alpha \nu \tau \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha s$ | 665 |
| conlapsam | $0 \lambda \iota \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu$ | 664 |
| iit | $\pi o \rho \epsilon \cup \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ | 665 |
| moriēmur | $\alpha \pi \circ \theta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ | 659 |



Verso．
defcit cubitoq．
lacvávit toro
revolita cst
quaesivit
40 reperta
difficilis
obitus
luctantcm
nexaeq：
45 ártus mérita
peribit
nóndum
flávum
Prosérpina
50 abstulerat
damnáverat
Órco mille
adverso
dévolat
55 Diti huic
síquat
calor
\＄expls［ lib．iiii
嚮 incipit lib．v
60 interea
áquilone（？） 2
piolluto（？） 6
$\alpha \tau[\omega] \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \kappa_{\text {S }}$ a $\alpha \kappa \omega \nu \epsilon \iota \quad 689,690$
єкоифเテєข $\sigma \tau \rho \omega \mu \nu \eta \quad 690,691$
єขєкข入ícol 69r
$\epsilon$ Єŋ̆тך $\quad 692$

סuनXєри́s


кац $\delta \in \delta \epsilon \mu \epsilon ́ v a l$
$\mu \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \eta{ }^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \xi t \propto$ 695，696
ато́лдито 696
ov $\omega \omega \pi \omega \quad 698$
ǵav $\theta$ óv
$\Pi \epsilon \rho \sigma \in \phi[0] \varphi[\eta$
$\alpha \phi \lambda \lambda[\tau 0$
кате́кк $\rho \stackrel{\rho \nu \epsilon}{ }$
$X \alpha \in[$
699，701
$[\epsilon \nu] \times[\nu \tau \omega \omega$
［
［
［ 704
［ 705
n［otum（？）
．［
5. iut: 1. it.
7. ab: 1. ad.
10. ululato: cf. M ululat $[0]$ u.
15. It may perhaps be inferred from кьлıovtat that zolvuntur and not zolvantur was written. zolviuntur is the original reading in Pc and was inserted by the second hand in $\gamma$.
17. Some other letter was originally written in place of the first $a$ of $\mu$ anvovaa.

2 I. $\pi^{\prime}$ vркаïa should be oxytone, and possibly the accent, though actually nearer to the «, was intended for the $a$. The accent on $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \eta$ in I. 45 is similarly somewhat misplaced.
22. Why the imperative кa]raфpounбov was written is not easy to see.

25 . Nothing is wanted before $\tau a]$ s and probably a defect in the leaf caused the Greek line to be begun further to the right than usual; cf. l. 26. Several other flaws occur in this leaf. $\epsilon \mu \epsilon \tau$ as would be an unnatural order.
26. Jorepos here can hardly be anything else than the termination of a a $\phi$ orepos, for a $\mu$ фотєраs, the accented $\epsilon$ being a survival of the correct termination. But a ${ }^{2} \phi$ ]orepos does not account for the space, and since o avios a $\mu \phi$ ]orepos would more than fill it , this line tends to confirm the hypothesis suggested by 1.25 of a flaw in the vellum at this point.

27 -8. The remains of the Greek appear to suit nothing in the Latin nearer than 11. 685 -6.
29. If ll. 27-8 are rightly reconstructed, there was very probably a disturbance in the order of the entries, as in 11. 1-6, and 11.29 sqq. may return to one of the earlier verses. Jrim however is rather intractable unless we go back as far as 1.675 hoc illud fuit, which might perhaps be represented by $\epsilon \kappa \epsilon \nu о \tau о v \tau \iota \eta \nu$. This would be of about the right length, but is not particularly satisfactory.
35. Clearly marked rulings terminate three or four lines below this one, but there are faint traces of further rulings lower down, and the column may have continued some ten lines beyond 1.35 .
37. The second $o$ of toro has been converted from a $u$.
39. quaesivit: $v$ and $t$ were written by the corrector over $t$ and $s$ (quaesitis).
43. 1. avtıтадaiovgav.
44. 1. nexosq(ue). The Greek shares the error.
46. 1. peribat.
47. nondum: necdum $\mathrm{P}_{\gamma}$. The first $\omega$ of ov $\delta \omega \pi \omega$ is rather damaged and the misspelling was possibly amended.
55. 1. hunc.

# IV. DOCUMENTS OF THE ROMAN AND BYZANTINE PERIODS 

## (a) OFFICIAL.

1100. Edict of a Praefect.

${ }^{1} 5.7 \times 11.8 \mathrm{~cm}$.<br>A. D. 206. Plate V.

A circular letter addressed by the praefect Subatianus Aquila to the strategi of the Heptanomia enclosing a copy of an edict which they are directed to post up in conspicuous places for a period of not less than thirty consecutive days. The edict is unfortunately disfigured by extensive lacunae which render it difficult to follow the sense at all closely, and not much more can be said than that the object aimed at was the repression of official extortion, $\delta \iota a \sigma \epsilon \iota \sigma \mu o{ }^{\prime}$. Complaints of this are not rare in the papyri (e. g. 240. 5, 284. 5, 285. 12, P. Amh. 81.6), and prohibitions of it go back to Ptolemaic times (cf. P. Paris 6I, P. Tebt. 5. 13 ${ }^{8-43}$, \&c.). What particular class or classes of officials the praefect on the present occasion had in view is not apparent.

The text, which is on the verso, is copied in a hand approximating to the literary type, and so, being accurately dated, has a certain palaeographical interest. On the recto is $\mathbf{1 1 1 0}$.

 $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau i \gamma \rho \alpha \phi o \nu$
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu o \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \phi \alpha-$
 $\tau \downarrow \grave{\alpha} \pi \epsilon-$
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[..v\nu\omega\nu к\alpha\tau\grave{\alpha} \tau. [.....]o\mu\eta\deltaо\nu\eta \pi\alpha\rho\grave{\alpha \sigmav́\sigma\tau\eta\mu\alpha [}
```




```
[..]T\omega\nu \tau ...[.]\nu \delta\iota\alpha\sigmalóv\tau\omega\nu \tau\hat{\omega\nu\nu \epsilonis \tau\alphaû\tau\alpha \dot{v}\pi\eta\rho\eta [ [ }17\mathrm{ ",}
```
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    [..]. ро\iota\nu\tauо тоîs \deltaı\alpha\sigma\epsiloníov\sigma\iota каì \pi\epsilon\rho\iota\mu\epsiloń\nuо\iota\epsilon\nu к\alphaì \alphaú\tauо!! . [ I7 letters
```
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    [. .]vols ко\lambda\lambda!\eta\tau\iota\omegaि\sigma\iota \alpha'\pi'́\chi\epsilon\sigma0\alpha\iota \tau\hat{\omega}\nu \grave{\epsilon}\nu\tau][
=0 [..] \mu\grave{\eta}\pi\rhoо\sigma\phi0\epsiloni\rho\in\sigma0\alphaL \alphaú\tauò \epsilonis кат\alpha\lambda.[
[\deltat\alphá]т\alpha\gamma\mu\alpha ф\omega\rho\alpha0\epsiloni\epsilon\nu €́\pii тоито[. .]\sigma . [
[. .]\alpha\deltaо0\etá\sigmaо\nu\tau\alpha\iota каì oi \chi\rhoш́\mu\epsilon\nuо\iota [
[\pi\rho]o\epsilon\tau\epsiloń0\eta '̇\nu 'A\nu\tau\iotavóov \pió\lambda(\epsilon\iota) v́\piò A\rhol[
" 3
", ,
28 "
\(=0\) [. .] \(\mu \grave{\eta} \pi \rho o \sigma \phi \theta \epsilon i \rho \in \sigma \theta \alpha l\) aútò \(\epsilon\) is к \(\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda\) [
" 3
29 "
```



3. $i \mu \epsilon \epsilon$ Pap. 4. $\nu$ of $\epsilon$ дatтov added above the line. 5. at of $\epsilon \rho \rho \omega \sigma \theta a t$ written
 added above the line. $\quad 23$. $\ddot{\pi} \pi \sigma$ Pap.
r. For Subatianus Aquila cf. the note on 1111. i. 3-5. The extent of the lacunae at the ends of the lines is uncertain, but can hardly be less than I have supposed. The loss in 11. 2-23 has been roughly calculated on the basis of the supplement adopted in 1. I.
2. 'A $\lambda \epsilon \xi a \nu \delta \rho \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu$ : 'Avтtuó $\omega \nu$ might be preferred on account of 1.23 , but Alexandria is the natural place of promulgation. If 'Avtuoé $\omega \nu$ were supplied, $\chi \omega$ pis 'Avtıvoitov would presumably have to be added in 1. I ; cf. e. g. B. G. U. 484. 9-10.


 For the supplement at the end of the line cf., besides that inscription, ibid. $664.4^{-5}, \mathrm{~B} . \mathrm{G} . \mathrm{U}$. 1086. ii. 3-4.
4. In B. G. U. $37^{2}$. ii. 18 the period specified is three months.
5. Bnúdouat is the word also used in the analogous circular B. G. U. 646. 7 , and in the letter of Subatianus Aquila published by F. Zucker in Sitzungsb. der K. Preuss. Akad. 1910,
 is somewhat misleading ; $\beta$ où $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\text {поat }}$ seems to have been preferred in the praefect's bureau.
10. $\kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \theta^{\prime} \eta \sigma \epsilon \tau a t$, if rightly read, may be for $\kappa \lambda \eta \theta_{\dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau a t ; ~} \kappa \lambda \eta_{\eta} \epsilon \iota \nu$ and $\kappa \lambda \lambda_{j}^{\prime} \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ are unlikely here.
II. Perhaps $\mu \eta \delta \delta^{\prime} \nu$ was meant ; the writer was clearly not very accurate.
14. $\dot{\imath} \pi \eta \rho \epsilon \tau$ cannot be read, but perhaps the second $\eta$ is a mistake for $\epsilon$.
19. ко入入 $\eta \tau \iota \omega \sigma \iota$ is a remarkable word ; the fourth and fifth letters might be read as a $\nu^{\prime}$, which, however, does not improve matters. $\tau$, not $\gamma$, is clear. A Grecism of collatio is hardly likely at this date.
 previous line. The number of letters lost at the beginnings of ll. II-22 is not precisely fixed.
1101. Edict of a Praefect.
$28.7 \times 17.7 \mathrm{~cm}$. A.D. $3^{67-70 .}$

Copy of an edict prohibiting recourse to military praepositi on the part of civil litigants. The name of the praefect issuing this decree is given as . . . Imius Statianus, i. e. no doubt Flavius Eutolmius Tatianus (Dittenberger, Or. Gr. Inscr. 723), who held office in A.D. $367-70$; cf. Cod. Thcod. xii. 18. I, Cantarelli, La Serie dei Prefetti, ii. p. 34. He begins by stating that the illegal abuse of military jurisdiction was a matter of his own personal knowledge (ll. 2-12). Jurisdiction over civilians belonged to the praefect (ll. I3-I 5) and their recourse to praepositi was only permissible when justice was required locally against a soldier (ll. 17-21). Nothing else justified neglect of the proper civil courts, and future offences in this regard would be punished by deportation or confiscation, according to the rank of the delinquent (11.22-5). The text breaks off in an incomplete sentence ordering the local riparii to report any cases which they might detect; probably only a few words were wanted to conclude the copy of the edict, and if they were added it must have been either along the lost left-hand margin or on a separate sheet of papyrus, for this one is complete at the bottom and on the right-hand side, and there is no indication of a second sheet having been joined on.

The encroachment against which this proclamation is directed of the military authority in judicial matters is illustrated by the frequently recurring imperial constitutions on the subject during this period. In Cod. Theod. xii. r. 128 of A. D. $39^{2}$ it was ordained Militaribus viris nihil sit commune cum curiis; nilit sibi licitum sciant, quod suae non subiectum est potestati; cf. id. i. 21. I Numquam omnino negotiis privatorum vel tuitio militis vel executio tribuatur (A.D. 393), ii. I. 9 Si quis neglectis iudicibus ordinariis sine caclesti oraculo causam civilem ad militare iudicium crediderit deferendam, praeter poenas ante promulgatas intellegat se deportationis sortem cxcepturum (cf. l. 24 below v̂̂oov оiкฑбає кєлєv́ш), nihilo minus et advocatum eius decem librarum auri condemnatione feriendum, Cod. Fust. i. 46. 2 Praccipimus, ne quando curiales vel privatae condicionis homines ad militare exhibcantur iudicium (cf. 11. 24-5 below). Military
arrogance and aggression form one of the main themes of the oration of Libanius De patrociniis (cf. $\S \S 4-5,23, \& \mathrm{Ec}$.) ; and a concrete instance is provided by P. Brit. Mus. 408, where a complaint is brought against a praepositus that he had prevented certain criminals from being brought to justice (about A. D. $3+6$ ).
'Avíypaфov סıãár $\mu \alpha \tau о s$.

 $\left[\pi \nu \theta_{o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0]}\right.$ s, $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta ı \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda i ́ \alpha \nu ~ \tau \rho o ́ \pi о \nu ~ \tau \iota \nu \grave{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha ́ \nu \nu \nu$























 from ı.
' Copy of an edict. Proclamation of Flavius Eutolmius Tatianus, most illustrious praefect of Egypt. [My orders are] not based on information gained by . . . and from a few first comers, but on instruction in a way derived from what occurs in every city and district. I learn from petitions that some persons of civil status, without [excuse ?], whether from malice or from perversity of judgement, in their desire thoroughly to worst their adversaries at law, have recourse to the local praepositi, presenting petitions to them and procuring exactions by their means from persons, as I said, of civil status. That this is forbidden by the law is clear. For a praepositus has authority over soldiers, but not over civilians; it is enjoined on the praesides to [govern] them and to receive their applications. This, therefore, is for the future made clear by this proclamation. If any civilian has a difference with a soldier and relies on the vengeance of the praepositus and is confident of receiving assistance from him, let him apply ; for he cannot obtain requisite assistance on the spot from any one else. If, however, it is with a person of civil status, let him not attempt to do this. For should any one ever be discovered leaving his proper court and having recourse to unauthorized persons, if he is a man of common rank, I order him to be deported, and if he is a senator, I subject him to confiscation of property. I therefore command the local riparii, if they catch any civilian who has left his proper court and had recourse to praepositi . . .'

3 sqq. This construction is not very satisfactory, and it is quite possible, as Mitteis suggests, that $\ddot{\epsilon}^{\gamma} \nu \omega \nu$ preceded ov in 1.3 and that there is no full stop at the end of 1.5. But
 $\hat{e ́}^{e} \nu \tau \epsilon \dot{v} \xi \epsilon \omega \nu$ as a parenthesis instead of substituting, as he proposes, something like [ка\#ánc] $\rho$.

5. $\epsilon i]$ s $\epsilon \kappa \kappa \sigma \tau[\eta] \nu$ : this use of $\epsilon i s$ for $\epsilon \in$ is frequent in the Kolv', e.g. Diodor. xiii. 12

 évopia, Gelzer, Byz. Verwalt. Aeg. p. 62.
7. The illegible word after äv]ev may begin with $\pi \rho o \sigma$, and $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \tau a \sigma i a s$ would be reconcilable with the very slight remains. But such a recognition of the principle of patrocinium seems inconsistent with the quite general terms of the prohibition in 11.17 sqq ., as well as with the trend of contemporary legislation (cf. e.g. de Zulueta, De Patroc. Vicorum,
 preferable. $\pi \rho o \phi a ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s$, however, cannot be read, and the letter after $\pi$ is more probably $\lambda$ or o than $\rho$, of which part of the tail should be visible. $\left.a_{a} \nu\right] \in v$ too is uncertain; $\epsilon v$ may belong to the following word. како $[\beta$ оu入 $(\epsilon)$ ia]s produces a tolerable antithesis to какias, but is highly conjectural.

13-14. By Cod. Theod. ii. I, 2 (A. D. 355) military jurisdiction was limited to criminal cases in which the defendant was a soldier ; in Cod. Just. iii. 13. 6 (A. D. 4 I3) it extends to civil cases of a like character. A differentiation of suits in which one of the parties was a soldier is recognized in $11 . \mathrm{I}_{7} \mathrm{sqq}$. below. No distinction is, however, drawn between criminal and civil cases.
 $\chi$ єротогіау.
${ }^{15}$. An infinitive is to be supplied before $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ oúros.
19-21. Cf. Cod. Just. iii. 13. 6 (A. D. 413) praesertim cum id ipsum e re esse litigantium videatur constelque militarem reum misi a suo iudice nec exhiberi fosse nec, si in culpa fuerit, cocrceri. The supplement in I. 19 is somewhat long, and perhaps $\beta o \eta] \theta \eta \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota$ was written; cf. Daniel (Theodot.) xi. 34 .

2 I. The active form $\delta \iota a \pi \epsilon \rho \hat{\rho} \nu$ is used by Plutarch, Pomp. 5 I.

[^1]
## 1102. Report of Legal Proceedings.

$$
20.4 \times 25.5 \mathrm{~cm} .
$$

About A. D. 146 .
A report of a judgement delivered by a hypomnematographus, Cerealis. There are very slight remains of the column preceding that printed below, and perhaps the earlier history of the case was originally prefixed. It was evidently a complicated and difficult matter, for references are made to decisions already given concerning it by the praefect Valerius Proculus (1.7) and the juridicus Neocydes (11. 16, 24),-familiar names which supply an approximate date for the present proceedings. The principal parties to the suit were the representatives of a city (Oxyrhynchus?) and one of its citizens, a certain Eudaemon, the question at issue being the ownership of some property to which Eudacmon was the successor and the city asserted claims. Cerealis re-affirms a decision of the praefect Proculus directing Eudacmon to hand over to the city for the gymnasiarchy a quarter of the property, with exception of a part which had been bestowed as a dowry (II. 7-1I). He ordains a further investigation by the local strategus of the question whether certain land was included under the willpresumably that under which Eudaemon had inherited the property-and if the answer should be in the negative, that the land was to belong to the city (II. II-15). The ownership of some furniture and slaves had already been determined by Neocydes (11. $155^{-16}$ ). A request was then made by the citydelegates to be allowed to keep the revenues of the above-mentioned land, and these were awarded to them apparently for one year (11, i6-I8). Eudaemon complains that his revenues had been impounded, and Cerealis replies that they should be released when the terms of his judgement had been complied with, and declines to reconsider further questions raised by the delegates (11. 18-24).


```
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$$
\text { 4. l. Kєpєä̀cs. } \quad \text { 17. 1. } \sigma \tau \bar{\eta} v a a . ~
$$

' Copy of a judgement. . . . year, Hathur 2 I. . . . and . . . and their associates and Eudaemon and . . . being present, Cerealis, priest and recorder, having considered with those in attendance dictated a judgement which was read out as follows :- " In accordance with the decision of the most high and gracious praefect Valerius Proculus, I think it right that Eudaemon, without taking any of his brother's property, having once entered on the inheritance, should contribute the fourth part of the estate to his native city for the gymnasiarchy, with a deduction of the dowry previously given to the daughter. With regard to the amount, seeing that in answer to my question he made no clear statement, the strategus of the nome shall hold a more exact inquiry in the place where he lives, and if the ... arourae appear not to come under the testamentary covenant according to the laws and
the decisions read to me, . . . these arourae shall go to the city. With regard to the furniture and young slaves, a decision has been given by Neocydes, ex-juridicus." The delegates having requested to remain in possession of the income of the arourae, the priest and recorder said : "The city shall receive the income of one year." On Eudaemon's declaring through his companions that his income had been impounded, and requesting that it should be released, the priest and recorder said: "As soon as my orders have been carried out, and the city has received its proper share, it shall be released." The delegates having made a request for delay and also concerning the property standing in the name of the wife of Apollonius, the priest and recorder said: "Neocydes has delivered judgement . . . about this. Sarapion, assistant of the praefect, Trogodyte, went out. Read by me."'
2. Perhaps $[\pi a] \rho \sigma_{L}^{\prime} \nu \tau^{\prime} c\left[\nu . \quad \lambda_{c}\right.$ may be $\chi^{\iota}$, but the remains do not appear to suit ' $o \xi^{\prime} \nu \rho \nu \gamma-$ $\chi^{i}$ ings in any form.
3. Ei $[\delta]$ ri $\mu v[0]$ s, though commended by 1. $8, \& \mathrm{sc}$., is not very satisfactory, the first three letters being too cramped.
 now confirmed. On the rank of the inou identical with the Claudius Cerealis who was strategus of the 'Hpakגei'ouv $\mu$ єpis in A.D. I 38-9 (P. Brit. Mus. 1222. I \&c.).
5. Cf. P. Tebt. 286. $\mathbf{1}^{5}-18$, which should be restored on this analogy as follows:


 and no doubt also in C. P. R. 18. 24-5.
7. L. Valerius Proculus was praefect in the years A. D. 145-7; cf. Cantarelli, La Serie dei Prefetti, pp. 49-50.
 $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \epsilon[\sigma \omega \nu$, but a past tense is rightly preferred by Mitteis.

I I. $\tau \hat{\eta} \theta v \gamma^{[a \tau \rho} \rho^{i}$ is more likely to mean the daughter of the testator (Eudaemon's brother? cf. 1. 8) than Eudaemon's own daughter.
 Eudaemon. $\quad \dot{\delta} \delta \eta \lambda \dot{\omega} \dot{\omega}[\theta] \eta$ is less suitable.
14. For this intransitive use of imoarє

 e. g. $\mu \epsilon \tau$ ' $\epsilon$ toos, which would not be inconsistent with the very slight vestiges.
16. Other references to Claudius Neocydes occur in P. Fay. 203 (= Preisigke, P. Cairo 1), P. Brit. Mus. 196. 1, B. G. U. ${ }^{245}$. ii. 1, 378.17 , 1019.5 ; cf. Archiv iii. p. 104.

23. The wife of Apollonius may be identical with the $\theta$ vyitrp mentioned in I. II.

24-5. Cf. e.g. B. G. U. 592. ii. 9-10 and Gradenwitz, Einfïhrung, pp. 10-Ir; $[\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu]_{0 o r}\left[{ }^{\iota k}\left(o{ }^{\circ} s\right)\right.$ is a doubtful reading, but seems justified by analogy. For the spelling T $\rho \omega$ yodirns, which is correct, cf. Wilcken, Theb. Bank. p. 58. Aethiopic slaves are mentioned in P. Flor. 50. 62, 94.

## 1103. Proceedingis of the Senate.

$$
25.5 \times 16.3 \mathrm{~cm} .
$$

A. D. $3^{60}$.

A minute of a report made to the Oxyrhynchite senate by Eutrygius, formerly a logistes, concerning the payment of certain recruits. The dux, or commander-in-chief, on visiting the city had received a complaint from thesc recruits that they had not had their dues. Eutrygius states that his department had satisfied the dux that the complaint was groundless, and that the recruits had as a matter of fact been paid more than they were strictly entitled to.

The payment in question is apparently to be brought into connexion with the $\chi \rho v \sigma o ̀ s \tau \iota \rho \cdot \omega=\omega v$ mentioned in several Leipzig papyri of about the same period as 1103 ; cf. 34 . verso 7, 61. 14, 62. 3, \&c. In P. Leipzig 35.8 (c. A.D. 373) an imperial ordinance is quoted limiting the sum payable to recruits to 10 solidi, and in A.D. 375 it was fixed at 6 solidi by Cod. Theod. vii. 13.7.2, where the payment is described as an allowance for clothing and expenses (gratia vestis ac sumptuami). At the time when the present docament was drawn up no such general regulation can have been promulgated, since according to 1.7 the amount was determined by the Treasury. Mitteis has raised the question (Introd. to P. Leipzig 54) whether this burden was borne by the State or fell upon the municipalities where the recruits were raised; it is now sufficiently clear that the latter of these alternatives is to be accepted.

This text is written on the verso of 1104, which is over fifty years carlier in date. For other records of proceedings in the local senates cf. B. G. U. $9^{2} 5$, C. P. Herm. 7, Archiv iv. pp. II 5 sqq.

 ( $\nu \alpha \sigma \iota \alpha \rho \times \eta ́ \sigma \alpha \nu \tau o s) ~ \beta o u \lambda(\epsilon \nu \tau o \hat{)})$,
 $\tau \dot{\alpha} \tau о \nu)$ סоикòs $\Phi \lambda(\alpha o v i ́ o v) ~ ' A \rho \tau є \mu i ́ o u ~$


 $\phi \theta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon S$

 [ 35 letters

$$
\text { 5. aveve } \gamma^{\prime} \kappa о \nu \tau \omega \nu \text { Pap. }
$$

'The year after the consulship of Flavius Eusebius and Flavius Hypatius the most illustrious, Mecheir 17. At a meeting of the senate, the prytanis being Asclepiades son of Achilleus, ex-gymnasiarch and senator, Eutrygius, ex-logistes, said: His highness my lord the most illustrious dux, Flavius Artemius, having auspiciously made a visit here, we advised his excellency that the new levies raised by us for military service had falsely: represented themselves as not having received the sum agreed upon with them, and that we had previously paid them not only the amount fixed upon by the treasury but a further consideration ; and his highness accepted [this statement.'
3. Fl. Eutrygius is mentioned in 66. 5, where also he is described as aंmì $\lambda о \gamma \iota \sigma \tau \bar{\omega} \nu$. On the titular use of ex and ajó see Mommsen, Ephem. Epigr. v. pp. 128-9, and cf. e.g. 133. 4

5. vєо入є́ктшv: cf. P. Leipzig 35. 8.
8. סєїтьгда: cf. P. Tebt. 3 II. 27-9 and note.
 lacuna.

## 1104. Application for Payment.

$$
25.5 \times 16.3 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { A. D. } 306
$$

A letter from Aurelius Hieracion, prytanis, to the logistes, requesting payment of a sum amounting to over fifty talents of silver in order to meet expenditure on the public baths. Repairs of the baths of Oxyrhynchus figured conspicuously in the municipal budget at this period; cf. 53 and 896, which are dated ten years later than 1104. It is noteworthy that the present outlay is stated to have been authorized by the praefect Clodius Culcianus,-whose period of office is brought down a year later by this allusion. At the end is an endorsement showing that the money was duly paid over and an acknowledgement given for it by Hieracion. Cf. Wessely, Stzd. Pal. v. 66 sqq. The document is a good deal rubbed, and is difficult in places to decipher ; on the verso is 1103.
 $\beta o v \lambda(\epsilon \nu \tau \eta े S)\left[{ }_{\epsilon} \nu \alpha \rho \chi(O S)\right.$



$\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon!\tau!k \hat{\omega} \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi \grave{o} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \delta \iota \alpha \tau v \pi \omega \theta \epsilon \nu \tau \omega \nu \quad \epsilon \xi \eta[. . .$.




$\delta \eta[\nu] \alpha ́ \rho \iota \alpha$ тєтрако́бьа $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \eta ́ \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha, /(\tau \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \nu \tau \alpha) \nu(\delta \eta \nu a ́ \rho \iota \alpha) v v^{\prime}, \delta[\epsilon ́ O \nu$





 $M \alpha \xi \iota \mu \alpha \nu 0 \hat{v}$
 Kaıба́ $\rho \omega \nu$ Паиิvı $\delta$.
 $\pi \rho \nu \tau \alpha ́ \nu \in \iota$ єis $\lambda o ́ \gamma o[\nu$ т $\hat{\omega}]$ ]
 ( $\tau \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \nu \tau \alpha)$. .
.......[..].... $\dot{\alpha}^{\rho} \rho \gamma(v \rho i ́ o v) ~ \tau \alpha ́ \lambda(\alpha \nu \tau \alpha) \pi \epsilon \nu \tau \eta ́ \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha(\kappa \alpha i)(\delta \eta \nu \alpha ́ \rho \iota \alpha)$ $\tau \in \tau \rho \alpha к о ́ \sigma \iota \alpha$ тє $\tau \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha$,
 $\dot{\alpha} \pi o \chi(\hat{\alpha} s)(\kappa \alpha i) \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \delta(\epsilon \in \delta \omega \kappa \alpha) \tau \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha ́ \xi \iota$.


$$
\text { 16. 1. roîs ä } \lambda \lambda o t s .
$$

'The sixth consulship of our lords the Emperors Constantius and Maximianus. To his dearest Aurelius Seuthis, also called Horion, logistes of the Oxyrhynchite nome, from Aurelius Hieracion also called Noninus, ex-gymnasiarch, ex-prytanis, senator, prytanis in office of the illustrious and most illustrious city of Oxyrhynchus, greeting. I request that
orders may now be given to pay me out of the city's funds from the sums publicly apportioned . . . to be used for the expenses in accordance with the letters of his highness my lord the praefect Clodius Culcianus which I lately handed to you . . . a further sum of fifty talents and four hundred and fifty denarii of silver, total 50 tal. 450 den. I think it right that the curator of the civic chest should be sent to make this payment to me, so that there may be no obstruction to the interests of the public baths or to the other interests of my prytany. I pray for your health, dearest friend.' Date by the regnal years of the emperors, and declaration of the curator (?) that he had paid over the money and obtained a receipt.
3. For Aurelius Seuthis cf. 895.3.
6. The last three letters of airoî$[a]_{t}$ are rather cramped, but the reading, which was suggested by Wilcken, is doubtless correct ; cf. e. g. 55. 6-7.
 Gelzer, Byz. Verzalt. Aeg. p. 40.
8. $\dot{\omega} s$ is perhaps for $\tilde{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$, or of course it may be a genitive termination governed by $\dot{\epsilon} \xi$, or an adverb.
10. A new date is here supplied for the praefecture of Clodius Culcianus, who according to this passage was still in office on May 29, A.D. 306. He is known to have been praefect in Feb. A. D. 303 from 71 ; cf. 895.8 (A.D. 305 ), P. Amh. 83.1 (about A. D. 303 , Archiv v. p. 268).
 B. G. U. 934 .3, C. P. Herm. 94. I, and note on I. 21 .
21. Apollonius was presumably the name of the émitponos or of his father. The


23. Perhaps ä̀ $\lambda \lambda a$ ajp $\gamma(v p i o v)$ as in 1.1 1, but the vestiges are very ambiguous.
24. The apparent $\beta$ suggests $\dot{\text { a mé } \lambda a ß o \nu, ~ b u t ~ I ~ c a n n o t ~ r e c o n c i l e ~ t h i s ~ w i t h ~ t h e ~ r e m a i n s . ~}$

## 1105. Notice to the Agoranomus.

$$
14.2 \times 10 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { A. D. } 81-96
$$

A description of this papyrus was printed in Part II, $339(=\mathrm{P}$. Brit. Mus. 805), but since some scepticison has been expressed concerning the accuracy of the interpretation there given (Manigk, Gläubigerbefriedigung durch Nutzung, p. 23; cf. Z. Sav.-St. xxx. p. 283), and I have ascertained, as I think, the nature of the yearly payment, previously described as obscure (cf. note on 1.2I), it is desirable to publish the complete text. The formula of this document, which is common to a number of Oxyrhynchus papyri of the end of the first century, was discussed in the introd. to 241 ; cf. Archiv i. p. 194, Wenger, Stellvertretung, p. 8o. There is, however, still some doubt whether in such authorizations to the agoranomi the verb àvaүpáфetv means 'to register' or 'to draw up' a contract.

It was originally interpreted by us in the former sense (cf. Preisigke, Girovesen, pp. $307-8,423,44^{1}$ ), but the latter, which was advanced by Koschaker in $Z$. Sa\%-St. xxxviii. p. 289 is, as I understand, now preferred by Mitteis.
$\pi i \omega \nu o s \tau \hat{Q} \dot{\alpha} \gamma о \rho \alpha \nu o ́ \mu \omega$ Х $\alpha i ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu . \quad \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha ́ \gamma \rho \alpha-$
$5 \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ \{v $\left.\frac{\pi}{} 0 \theta \eta \kappa \eta s\right\} \tau \bar{\omega} \nu$ ن́ $\pi \alpha \rho \chi o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \tau \rho t \hat{\nu} \nu \text { à } \pi \grave{o} \text { } \mu \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \in \nu \tau \epsilon \text { oikías }
\end{aligned}
$$

> Io $\pi \rho \circ \sigma o v \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$ aủ $\lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ каì фр́́ar\{p\} os $\lambda_{l} \theta i$ ivov
> $\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \epsilon ̇ \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu ~ \chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau \eta \rho i ́ \omega \nu ~ к \alpha \grave{~ \tau} \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \epsilon i s$
> $\tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \alpha \alpha$ єiбód $\omega \nu$ каi $\epsilon \mathfrak{\xi} o ́ \delta \omega \nu$ каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
> $\sigma[v] \nu \kappa \imath \cdot \rho о ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ коเ $\nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ каì $\langle\dot{\alpha}\rangle \delta \iota \epsilon \rho \epsilon ́ \tau \omega \nu$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \alpha ́ p \gamma u p i ́ o v ~ к є \phi а \lambda \alpha i ́ o v ~ \delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \grave{\alpha} s
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha u ̛ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \text { тр } \omega \hat{\nu} \nu \mu \in \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \text { } \tau \hat{\eta} s \text { oiкías }
\end{aligned}
$$


' Phanias, nominee of Phanias son of Sarapion, to the agoranomus, greeting. Register (?) a contract of mortgage for Thoönis son of Thoönis son of Thoönis, of the city of Oxyrhynchus, of the property of the mortgager situated at the Serapeum at Oxyrhynchus

[^2]in the quarter of the South Square, namely, three-fifths of a three-storeyed house, below which is a cellar, and the courts belonging thereto, and a stone well and other fixtures and the entrances and exits to them and appurtenances, being joint and indivisible, which Tbekis daughter of Hierax son of Thoönis, of the city of Oxyrhynchus, has mortgaged to him for a capital sum of 400 drachmae of silver for a period of three years, with the right of inhabiting the said three parts of the house and the rest of the property in lieu of interest, on condition that he shall pay annually the charge for the renewal of the mortgage, being of the value of 30 talents of copper. Good-bye. The . . . year of the Emperor Caesar Domitianus Augustus Germanicus . . .'
18. Other examples of occupation of house property instead of interest are P. Brit. Mus. II 168 and B. G.U. I 1 15 5 , which were discussed at length by Manigk, Glüubigerbefriedigung, pp. 21 sqq . In those two documents, however, there is no technical mortgage of the property, as there indubitably is, notwithstanding Manigk's objections, in 1105. For a later instance (a.d. 608) see the papyrus published by Wessely in Wiener Stud. vii. pp. 130-1


 Flor. 1. 6, \&c.; Naber, Archiv i. p. 314, had already suggested that this was an annual impost. avave is the most probable reading of the first five letters, and the $\omega$ of the termination is also fairly clear ; I am, therefore, confident that the payment to be made by Thoönis was connected with the ajvavé $\omega \sigma$ s. More doubt attaches to the identification of the figure at the end of the line. In the original description of this papyrus (tá入avaa) $\delta$ was adopted as the object of rágeral; but that sum is larger than would be expected in view of the fact that the tax on mortgages is known from 243 to have been only 2 per cent. At the normal ratio between silver and Ptolemaic copper of 1: 450 (242. introd.), 4 talents of copper are $13 \frac{1}{3}$ per cent. of the capital sum of 400 drachmae. Quite possibly the actual tax was not the only expense involved in the process of avavéwass; but it can hardly be supposed that extra charges could raise the percentage so high as $\mathbf{I} 3 \frac{1}{3}$. Mr. Bell, who has kindly looked at the passage, agrees with me that $a$, which would be $3 \frac{1}{3}$ per cent., instead of $\delta$ is palaeographically unsatisfactory, and hence I prefer to read the figure as $\lambda$ and to regard this, not as the amount of the tédos, but as the amount on which the tédos was paid. At a ratio of $1: 450,400$ drachmae of silver and 30 talents of copper are equivalent (cf. 331, where these identical sums again stand side by side); and, as is clear from the numerous examples ( $242-\mathbf{3}, 327 \mathrm{sqq}$.), it was the rule in documents of this class for the capital amount to be expressed in terms of both copper and silver. $\tau \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu$ is accordingly not to be altered to $\tau \bar{\eta} s$, but some word like $\delta a \pi a ́ \nu \eta \nu$ must be understood. [For the literature on àvavé $\omega a t s$, which must now be reconsidered, see B. Schwarz, Hypothek, p. ill.]
1108. Letter to Paulus.
$$
19.5 \times 31.2 \mathrm{~cm}
$$

Sixth century.
The writer of this letter, apparently a military officer of rank, instructs his correspondent, probably a subordinate, to go to a certain village which had been raided by some neighbours, and protect it from further molestation. Armed
intervention is threatened, in case of a repetition of the offence. Both the sender and the scribe add their 'visé' (legi) at the foot of the document.

```
                [ ] Kó\mu\mu\omega[\nu] Tav\rho( ) (2nd hand)
```




```
        \alpha
```



```
        \nu\etas к\omegá\mu\eta\mp@code{S}
    \omega[. . .].v[. . . . . . . . .] \tauо\lambda\mu\eta\eta\sigma\alphal. \gamma\epsilon\nu0\hat{v}}<<\alpha\tau\alphà \tau\età\nu \epsiloní\rho\eta\mu\epsilońv\eta\nu к\omegá\mu\eta\nu
        к\alphai \pi\alphaрафv́\lambda\alpha\xi%ov
```



```
    \epsilonُк\epsiloniv\omega\nu \pi\rho\hat{人}}\alpha\iota
```




```
        \sigma\tau\rhoат\iota\omegaт\iotaкò\nu
```



```
        т\iota\mu\omegaрía\nu
    \varrhò\nu\grave{\alpha}\nu\pi\alpha\alpha\alpha\nuo\mu\tilde{\eta}\sigma\alpha\iota \tauо\lambda\mu\etá\sigma\epsilonl\epsilon\nu. (3rd hand) + legi. +
IO Ist hand legi scribus.
```

On the verso

 $\left(=\tau о \lambda \mu \eta^{\prime} \sigma \omega \sigma \tau \nu\right) . \quad$ 10. 1. scribas.
'Kommon son of Taur . . . to Paulus son of (?) Sillagr . . . brother of Narroous, Pachon 23. The people of P... have come and informed me that certain neighbours have again attacked them and ventured to [carry off . . .] and other objects belonging to the people of the said village. Go to the said village and preserve their inviolability, and if any persons attempt to do any lawless action to them or even to contemplate it, instruct their leaders to abstain from any such outrage. For if they persist, a troop of soldiers will come on them and seize and hand them over to a tribunal to be punished for the lawlessness upon which they may venture. (Subscribed) Read by me. Read by me, the scribe.' Address on the verso.

2-4. A similar local feud is referred to in B. G. U. 1035 (Wilcken).
9. For the endorsement legi at this period cf. e.g. P. Cairo Cat. 67030. 6. In 6703 r .17 I would suggest that the subscription is + proronatur for proponatur; cf. 1. 16,


1107．Letter of Eudaemon．

$$
6.4 \times 3 \mathrm{I} \cdot 4 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Fifth or sixth century }
$$

An order to an assistant from an official，whose status is not mentioned， not to permit the removal of the produce of a cultivator until he had paid the rent due to the landowner，who is described as a nurse．The handwriting suggests the fifth century rather than the sixth．
 $\Psi \theta \epsilon \iota ⿱ ⿵ 人 丶 龴 ⿱ 丆 贝 т о s ~ т о 仑 ~ Ф u ́ t o \tau ̣[0] s ~$
 äq $\downarrow \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ той
 фópous ध̇autク̂s．$\pi \rho o ̀ s$
 Є้ $\lambda \alpha \beta \in \nu \quad \alpha$ ủtov

On the verso

$$
+ \text { '́ } \pi i ́ \delta(o s) \text { ' } I \omega \alpha ́ \nu \nu \eta \eta \quad \beta o \eta \theta \widehat{\omega} \quad \pi(\alpha \rho a ̀) \text { Eú } \delta \alpha i ́ \mu o \nu o s .
$$


＇Since the nurse of the lord Sophronius is owed rent by Pstheious son of Phutos who cultivated her land，allow no one to touch what is left at his threshing－floor or the green crops until she has received her rent in full．I have to direct this letter to you，and if I learn that any one has taken any thing of his，I shall demand from you personally all that is owed her by him．（Addressed）Deliver to John，assistant，from Eudaemon．＇

## 1108．List of Officials．

$$
34 \cdot 1 \times 12.2 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Late sixth or seventh century. }
$$

A short list of persons bearing various minor titles．The names are throughout in the accusative，but the purpose of the list is not stated．

> +
> $+E \dot{v} \tau u \chi \bar{\omega} s$.
> $+\tau o ̀ \nu \mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda(o \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \tau o \nu) \kappa u ́ \rho(\iota \circ \nu) \Theta \epsilon o ́ \delta \omega \rho o \nu$
> тòv $\pi \rho^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \gamma \kappa \iota \pi \alpha$, тòv $\mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda о \pi \rho(\epsilon \pi \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \tau o \nu)$ Tє $\omega \rho \gamma \iota \circ \nu$ $\sigma \kappa \rho(\epsilon i ́ \beta \alpha \nu)$,
> 5 Tò̀ $\pi \epsilon \rho i ́ \beta \lambda(\epsilon \pi \tau o \nu)$ Kó入入ouӨov,
$\tau o ̀ \nu \mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha(\lambda o \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \tau o \nu) \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \gamma v \rho o(\pi \rho \alpha ́ \tau \eta \nu$ ？），
$\left.\llbracket \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \kappa v ́ \rho^{\prime}(\circ \nu) \Gamma \epsilon \omega ́ \rho \gamma t o[\nu] \tau o ̀ \nu \chi^{\alpha \rho \tau(o v \lambda \alpha ́ \rho t o \nu)}\right]$
［ $\tau \bar{\eta}(s) \kappa v \rho(i \alpha s)$ इoфías］］
то̀ $\nu \dot{\alpha} \beta \alpha ́ \kappa \tau \eta \nu$＇$A \lambda \epsilon ́ \xi \xi(\nu \delta \rho \rho \nu)$ ，

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6. } a_{\rho} \chi \text { Pap. I } \quad \text { I. } \rho \text { of } \epsilon \xi \kappa \epsilon \pi \tau о \rho a \text { corr. }
\end{aligned}
$$

3．пріүкıта：cf．e．g．P．Brit．Mus．409．12，P．Flor．36．19，B．G．U． 93 I．у $\pi \rho \iota \gamma \kappa \iota \pi a ́ \rho \iota o s$, Wessely，Altersindiz．im Philogelos，p． $23 \pi \rho i \gamma \kappa \iota \pi \iota \dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu$ óvos．

6．àpхiarp（ov）：cf．e．g．126． 23.
7．For à $\rho \gamma v \rho o(\pi \rho a ́ t \eta \nu)$ cf．e．g．127．5，144． 3 ．
10．There is probably no loss at the end of the line．
11．ảßákrทs $=a b$ actis；cf．P．Flor．71．509，where an àßákтクs immediately follows а $\pi \rho \iota \gamma \kappa \iota \pi$（ápıos）．

13．${ }^{\boldsymbol{\xi} \xi} \kappa \kappa$ ќтторa ：cf．43．recto ii．26，942．6，1139．2，P．Hamburg 23． 4.

## （b）DECLARATIONS TO OFFICIALS．

1109．Selection of Boys（èmíkpıols）．
A．D． $160-1$ ．
An unaddressed application requesting that a boy who had arrived at the age of thirteen might be placed on the list of persons paying a reduced poll－tax of 12 drachmae．The formula is the same as that of 258 ；cf．also 478 and 1028. The＇past 23 rd year＇mentioned in 11．9－10 and 13 probably refers to the reign of

Antoninus. This document was made up with others into a roll, and small fragments of the adjoining sheets adhere to each side of it.

```
    [П]\alpha\rho\alphà 'E\rho\muiт\piтои то\hat{v} каi 'Артократі'ш\nuоs
```




```
    [\omega]s \deltall]\alpha\alpha पlovv\sigmaíov Di\deltav́\muov фí\lambdaov. ка\tau\grave{\alpha}
5[\tau]\grave{\alpha}}<\kappa\epsilon\lambda\epsilonv\sigma0\hat{\epsilon}\nu\tau\alpha \pi\epsilon\rho\grave{ \epsiloṅ\piוкрí\sigma\epsilon\omegas \tau\hat{\omega}\nu
```




```
    \nu0ứ[0\epsilon\omegas] ò viós \muov \Pi\tauо\lambda\epsilon\muаîos \mu\eta\tau(\rhoòs)
```




```
    \tauou €̇íк\rho\iota\sigma\iota\nu \delta\eta\lambda佥 \alphaư\tauò\nu \epsilonî\nu\alphal (\delta\omega\delta\epsilonк\alphá\delta\rho\alpha\chi\muо\nu)
```




```
    [\kappa\alpha]! \tauò[\nu] T\hat{\}S \mu\eta\tau(\rhoòs) \tauo\hat{v}\mathrm{ viov̂ }\mu0v \pi\alpha\tau\epsiloń\rho\alpha A. .-
r [. .]. 'Артократím\nuоs той 'A\rhoтократí\omega\nuоs
```



```
        Vestiges of the beginnings of 3 more lines.
3. Aaïoos Pap. 8. vïos Pap. ; so in l. 14.
```

' From Hermippus also called Harpocration, son of Horion elder son of Hermippus, his mother being Thaïs daughter of Pekusis, of Oxyrhynchus, through his friend Dionysius son of Didymus. In accordance with the orders concerning the selection of boys who have reached the age of 13 years if their parents on both sides are inhabitants of the metropolis rated at 12 drachmae, my son Ptolemaeus by ... daughter of $A$. on was listed in the quarter of Teumenouthis as having reached the age of 13 in the past 2 3rd year; wherefore coming forward for his selection I declare that he is a person rated at 12 drachmae and that I am similarly rated at 12 drachmae as registered in a poll-tax list of the past 23 rd year at the Upper Camp quarter, and that the father of the mother of my son, A. . on son of Harpocration son of Harpocration, his mother being Heracleia, was similarly rated at 12 drachmae...'
 $\Delta \delta \delta \dot{v} \mu o v \quad \pi \rho o \sigma \beta \epsilon \beta \eta \kappa(\dot{\omega} s)$, in accordance with Wilcken's correction, made on the analogy of an unpublished Leipzig papyrus, in Archiv v. p. 237. द̇táqn had been proposed by Wessely in Sitzungsb. K. Akad. Wissen. Wien, cxlii. 9, p. 36, but the remainder of his restoration is
 1 1), 257. 23 [ $\bar{\epsilon}] \nu$ àveтıкрíros тeráx $\theta a l$, \&c.


## 1110. CENSUS-RETURN.

$15.7 \times 11.8 \mathrm{~cm}$. A.D. 188.

This census-return, which is preserved on the recto of 1100 , though mutilated, is of interest as being concerned, like P. Reinach 49 of the year A.D. 215-16, with Antinoöpolis (cf. 970). It resembles the Reinach return in being addressed to a board of three persons from one $\phi v \lambda \eta$, who had been chosen (by the senate) to superintend the census in one of the districts of the city. The return is made by a citizen of Antinoöpolis, apparently a young man, whose household consisted chiefly of slaves. Incidentally it adds to the list of Antinoïte demes (cf. the note on 1. I), and provides new evidence for the date of the praefecture of Aurelius Papirius Dionysius (ll. 6-7). Both the beginnings and ends of the lines are lost; the extent of the lacunae can be measured by ll. 6-8, but it is uncertain how the lines should be divided, and the arrangement adopted is only hypothetical. Two diagonal dashes have been drawn across the top left-hand corner.

[ $\quad$ ра́щцатоя.
 'OX.[v $\nu \pi$ íou . . . . .
 Ko䒑 $о$ óov 'Avтш[עívov Kaívapos




[vívov Kaía人pos тo]̂̂v кupíov кат' oikíav ámoypaф[̀̀v . . . ]. s єis

 $\tau \alpha \cdot\left[\ldots\right.$. . ${ }^{\prime} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \beta$ $\beta \rho \alpha ́ \mu \mu \alpha \tau \iota$
 $(\dot{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu) \times[\quad \mathrm{I}$ 厄 letters
［．．．．．．．．．］．Z $\omega i ́ \lambda o v ~ Z \omega i ́ \lambda o v ~ \gamma v \mu \nu \alpha \sigma \iota \alpha \rho \chi \eta ́ \sigma \alpha \nu \tau o s ~ \tau \eta ̄ s ~ ' O \xi v ~$ $\rho v ́[\gamma \chi \omega \nu$ по́ $\lambda \epsilon \omega s$ ．．．．．．．
 $\mu[0$ ol
 ＂$\Omega$ pos ó $\mu$ oíms［ 15 letters
$[19$ letters ？$\Pi \alpha\rceil \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \theta \iota s(\xi \in \tau \hat{\omega} \nu) \kappa \beta, \Pi \alpha v \lambda \hat{\eta} \mu \iota s \delta_{\text {o }} \kappa \alpha i$ Mav入i！ 12 letters
 $\nu v \nu i$ є $\quad 15$ letters
 15 letters

23 letters ］． $\operatorname{tos}(\epsilon \in \tau \bar{\omega} \nu) ~ \iota \theta, \sum \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \iota \alpha ̀ s ~ o ́ \mu o i ́ \omega s ~$ Soúd［ $\eta$ I 4 letters

24 letters ］$\quad ⿺ 辶 \nu \alpha \rho o u ̂ s ~ \dot{\eta}$ каi $\Pi \epsilon i ̂ \nu \alpha(\dot{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu) ~ \imath \beta$ ， ＇ISook．［ 14 letters


 Ka［í⿱人pos тoû кupíou
［тט́Xŋ⿱ 32 letters каì］द́g v̇єloûs
каi $\epsilon^{\prime} \pi{ }^{\prime}[\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \in i ́ \alpha s$＇$\epsilon \pi \iota$ ．


 corr．from $i$ ．

1．Пароа́лєсоs and＇Epuacés（cf．1．2）are both new deme names．A new deme of the Hadrianian tribe also occurs in l． 4.

 associated with the $\beta$ үрám $\mu$ and should be restored in the present case also ；but $\phi u \lambda \hat{\eta} s$ Matioias nipeteiot would hardly fill the lacuna．It is not clear in the original that an abbreviation of rov was intended，the o not being raised appreciably above the $\tau$ ．
4. 'Oג ${ }_{2} v \mu \pi i n v$ : this very appropriate supplement was suggested by Wilcken; cf. Archiw iv.p. 556. Clearly neither of the two known Hadrianian demes, Kamırшגıє่́s (B. G. U. 301. 2) and $\Sigma \omega \sigma t \kappa \sigma \dot{\sigma} \mu$ os (B. G. U. 709. ${ }^{2}$ ), suits the remains, but $\mathrm{T}\left[\right.$ is possible in place of $0 \lambda_{1}$.
5. ${ }^{7} a$ is the termination of the name of some athletic festival, perhaps tà $\mu \in \gamma^{\prime} \lambda a{ }^{\prime}$ Avtıvoei $]_{a}$, for which of. B. Brit. Mus. II64. (i) 14-16.
 preceded.

For MI. Aurelius Papirius Dionysius cf. Cantarelli, La Serie dei Prefetti, p. 6r. The papyrus provides a welcome confirmation of the inference that he was praefect in A.D.. 188.
 year after the census-year. From other districts, however, there are several examples, besides the present, dated in the actual year of the census; cf. P. Reinach 49, P. Brit. Mus. $9^{15}$, P. Hamburg 7, Wessely, Stud. Pal. ii. pp. 27-8, 3 r. The editors of P. Brit. Mus. 915 were wrong in suspecting an error on the part of the scribe, not noticing that the date in $1,4 \mathrm{I}$ is confirmed by ll. 20-2 1. For $\pi$ pòs ró cf. P. Reinach 49. 7.
 vot [ti]ou Preisigke), P. Strassb. 34. 9, where similarly voteíc, not voteiou, is probably to be read; for the $\pi \lambda \iota \theta^{i}$ a at Antinoöpolis see also P. Brit. Mus. ı164. (c) 12-13. The demename 'Appovicús has occurred in P. Hamburg 15.3, 16. 12, P. Brit. Mus. 1164. (i) 23, \&c.

10-11. каі ті̀̀ yvvaîká $\mu$ ov . . . is probably to be supplied in the lacuna.
 improbable.


## 1111. Census-REturns.

```
10.2 \ 13.4 cm.

Two returns for the census of A. D. 2OI-2, relating to the Oxyrhynchite village of Mermertha. They were stuck together to form a roll, but the first line of Col. 1, owing no doubt to the relative shortness of that particular sheet, corresponds with the eighth of Col. ii ; in the left margin also there are some slight remains of the document (no doubt a similar declaration) affixed on that side. Col. \(i\), of which the commencement is preserved, is unaddressed, like 479.

\section*{Col. i.}

\(\Delta \iota \delta u ́ \mu \eta s \quad \mu \in \tau \grave{\alpha}\) кvрíov ‘H入ıoס(ஸ́pov) \(\Delta \iota o v(v \sigma i ́ o v)\)





\(\sigma \grave{v} \nu\) тоîs ó \(\mu \circ \gamma \nu \eta(\sigma i o \iota s) \mu \circ v\) à \(\delta \in \lambda(\phi \circ i ̂ s)\) ' \(A \sigma \kappa \lambda \hat{\alpha} \tau \iota\) каì



[. .] ó \(\mu \boldsymbol{i}(\omega s) \cdot[\)
6. च̈лapXovaav Pap. є of \(\epsilon \nu\) corr. from !.

Col. ii.

```

    M\epsilon\rho\mu[\epsiloń\rho0(\omega\nu). ка\tau\grave{\alpha} \tau\grave{\alpha} к\epsilon\lambda(\epsilonv\sigma园\nu\tau\alpha) vi\piò M\alpha\iotaкíov
    ```

```

    \alphȧ\piо\gamma\rho\alphá(фо\mu\alpha\iota) \pi\rhoòs \tau\grave{\eta}[\nu \tauov̂ ८('̈\tauоus) к\alpha\tau' oik(í\alpha\nu)
    ```

```

    (\pi\rhoóт\epsilon\rhoо\nu) \tauо仑 \pi\alphaт\rhoòs к\alphaì (\pi\rhoóт\epsilon\rhoо\nu ?) [\tauо\hat{v \epsilońк\epsiloní\nuov \pi\alpha-}
    ```



```

10 \mu\eta\tau(\rhooेs) Ta\pio\nu\tau\omega\tau\tau[0s . . ...,
ò\nu \delta\eta\eta\lambda(\hat{\omega}) \tau\epsilon\tau\epsilon\lambda(\epsilonu\tau\etaК\epsilońv\alpha\iota) 'Є'\tau\iota ả[\pi\ò .....
'H\rhoак\lambda\hat{\eta}s viòs \mu\eta\tau\rhoòs [........,

```

```

    *A\delta\mu\etaтos viòs \mu\eta\tau(\rhoòs) T[.
        ät(\epsilon`\\nuOS) \ddot{\alpha}\sigma\eta(\muOS) [(\epsilon\epsilon\tau\omega\nu\nu)..
    Mเ\epsilon\hat{U}S \dot{\alpha}\delta\epsilon\lambda\phi[òs
        [
        . [
    ```
i. 'From Didyme daughter of Cephalon and Didyme, with her guardian Heliodorus son of Dionysius, of the city of Oxyrhynchus. In accordance with the orders of his excellency the praefect Maecius Laetus I register for the house to house registration of the past roth year the house belonging to me in the mid-eastern parts of the village of Mermertha together with my full brothers Asclas and Cephalon, formerly the property of our mother in respect of one half and of our father the said Cephalon in respect of the remaining half . . .'
i. 3-5. It is clear from this passage that Q. Maecius Laetus remained in office down to the beginning of September A.D. 202, and, since census-returns were commonly sent in when the year was well advanced, it is probable that his tenure extended into A.D. 203. Cantarelli is accordingly mistaken (La Serie dei Prefetti, p. 65 ) in dating the praefecture of Subatianus Aquila from the year 201-2 on the strength of B. G.U. 484, in which the census of A.D. 201-2 is referred to but which cannot itself have been written in that year, as 1111 proves. The earliest date for the commencement of the tenure of Subatianus Aquila is A.D. 202-3 (Euseb. vi. 3. 3; cf. Archiv v. p. 418 ).

The statement of the text that the census of A.D. 20I-2 was ordered by Laetus is of interest for another reason, to which my attention has been drawn by Wilcken. Rostowzew in Röm. Kolonat, pp. 209-11, seeks to bring into close connexion with the census the edicts of Subatianus Aquila and Valerius Datus directing people to return to their homes. It is now clear that Subatianus Aquila was no more the initiator of the census of A.D. 201-2 than Valerius Datus was of the census of A.D. \(\mathbf{2 I 5}^{-16}\), and hence their edicts at any rate formed no part of the original orders for holding the census. Cf. Wilcken's forthcoming Chrestomathie, p. 235.
ii. '. . . of the village of Mermertha. In accordance with the orders of his excellency the praefect Maecius Laetus, I register for the house to house registration of the 1oth year the half share of a walled space belonging to me at the village, formerly the property of my father and formerly of his father Admetus; at which we return ourselves as follows: Admetus son of Heracleus, aged . . years, my mother being Tapontos daughter of . . ., whom I declare to have died in the ... year; my son Heracles by ... daughter of ..., whom I declare to have died long ago; my son Admetus by.T ... daughter of . . ., having no trade or distinguishing mark, aged . . . years ; my brother Mieus . . '
6. For the repetition of ( \(\pi \rho\) о́тeןov \()\) cf. i. \(9-10 . a\) is clear, but whether it is the numeral or not is doubtful.
8. For the supplement cf. 171. 1 I (Part II, p. 208). I suspect that ' ' \(\phi\) ' oṽ should also be read in P. Flor. 4. 12.
if. The entries here and in 1.13 concerning the death of the mother's father are unusual. They can hardly refer to the persons named in ll. 9 and 12 , since it is the rule for the name of the person making the return to stand first ; cf. e.g. P. Flor. 4.
17. A horizontal stroke at the beginning of this line may either belong to a letter, e. g. \(\tau\), or represent givovat.
1112. Purchase of Acacia-trees.
\[
17 \cdot 3 \times 9 \mathrm{~cm}
\]

Two extracts from the records of the idiologus giving the substance of declarations made by a certain Apollonius concerning purchases by him of acacia-trees (áкav \(\theta a \iota\) ). These trees were evidently the property of the government, and were bought by Apollonius at the rate of I3 drachmae each, a very much smaller price than that found in the private contract 800 , where 14 trecs fetch I,200 drachmae. That document, however, is some forty years later in date than 1112, which belongs to the reign of Commodus, and of course there may have been a considerable difference in the size and condition of the trees; some of those here sold are described as 'fallen' (1.23). The extracts, which are very cursively written and much abbreviated, are written across the fibres on the verso of the papyrus ; on the recto are the beginnings of some lines of a second-century account.
```

    Tò к(\alpha\tau` ä\nu\delta\rho\alpha ?) i\deltaíou \lambdaó\gamma(ov), a \tauó(\muov).
    \mu\eta\tau\rhoо\pi(ó\lambda\epsilon\omegas). 'A\pio\lambda(\lambda\omega\nu\iotaos) '̇\pi(\iota\kappa\alpha\lambdaov́\mu\epsilon\nuOs) '\Omega\rhoí\omega(\nu) 'A\pio\lambda(\lambda\omega\nuiov) '́\xi}\eta(\gamma\eta
        \tau\epsilon\tilde{\sigma}\sigma\alphas)
    \delta\eta\lambda(\hat{\omega)}<\epsilon\kappav\rho\hat{\omega}\sigma0(\alpha\iota)\tau\grave{\alpha}s \sigma\eta\mu\alpha\nu-
    0\epsiloni\sigma\alphas \tau\hat{Q}}\Pi\alpha[\hat{v}\nu]! \mu\eta\nu\imath \tauо\hat{v
    ```

```

    \tau\hat{S N\epsilon\epsilon\epsiloń(\rho\omega\nu) '́\piì \chi}\mp@subsup{\chi}{}{\prime}(\mu\alpha\tauо\varsigma) (\pi\rhoó\tau\epsilon\rhoо\nu)
    ```

```

    \alpha, ú\piò \deltaछ̀ \tauov̂ \tau\etâS \Sigma \Sigma\epsilonpú(\phi\epsilon\omegas)
    \epsiloń\pii \chi}\mp@subsup{\chi}{}{\omega}(\mu\alpha\tauоs) \epsilonॅ\rho\gammaov Koví\omega\nuo(s
    10 \pi\epsilon\rhoi }\mp@subsup{\Sigma}{\epsilon\nu\epsilon\mu\epsilon\lambda\epsilon(v)}{}\beta\mathrm{ , каi
vं\piò \tauov̂ \tau\etâS \Sigma\epsilon\nu\tau\grave{\omega} \epsiloṅ\pii \chi}\mp@subsup{\chi}{}{\omega}(\mua\tauos
\alpha}\pi\epsilonp\gamma\alpha\sigmaí\alphas \tauộ̣ \in (\epsilonै\tauous) 0\epsilono(\hat{v})O\dot{v}\in\sigma\pi(\alpha\sigma\iota\alpha\nuO\hat{v}
ơ\nu\tauos \epsilońк \betaop(\rho\hat{\alpha}) \tau\etâs \Sigma'є\nuо\iotaко̣( )
\alpha, (\delta\rho\alpha\chi\mu\hat{\omega}\nu)\nu\beta к\alphaì \tau\hat{\omega\nu \epsilon}\pi\piо\mu'́(\nu\omega\nu)

```

```

    [\pi\rho'(o\sigma)]\delta(\iota\alpha\gamma\rho\alphaфо\mu\epsiloń\nu\omega\nu) (\delta\rhoа\chi\mu\hat{\nu}\nu)\gamma (ỏ\betao\lambdaov̂) (\dot{\eta}\mu\iota\omega\beta\epsilon\lambdaiov), / (\delta\rho\alpha\chi\mu\alphai) \nu\epsilon
        (ò\betao\lambdaòs) (\grave{\eta}\mu\omega\omega\beta\epsiloń\lambda\iotao\nu).
    ```


```

    \(\dot{\epsilon} \pi(\iota \kappa \alpha \lambda o v ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \circ s) \delta \eta \lambda(\hat{\omega}) \kappa \epsilon \kappa v p \hat{\omega} \sigma \theta(\alpha \iota) \tau \grave{\alpha} s \quad \sigma \eta\) -
    $20 \mu \alpha \nu \theta \epsilon i \sigma \alpha s$ vimò $\pi \rho(\epsilon \sigma) \beta(v \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu) \delta \iota \alpha-$

```


```

    \(\left.\epsilon \nu, \epsilon \lambda . . . к \alpha i \begin{array}{l} \\ \\ \omega \\ \sigma \\ \beta( \end{array}\right) \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \pi \epsilon \pi \tau \omega(\kappa v i ́ \alpha s)\)
    ```



1. \(\iota \delta \omega \nu^{\lambda}\) Pap. 2. First \(\omega\) of \(\omega \rho \omega \nu\) corr. from \(\delta!\). 7. Second \(\nu\) of \(\pi \epsilon \epsilon \nu \omega\) corr. 20. \(\rho^{\prime} \bar{\beta}\) Pap. 22. \(\beta\) ō̄ \([\). \(]\) vois Pap. 25 . \(\rho\) ' Pap.
' Individual list of the idiologus, volume I ; department of the metropolis. I, Apollonius surnamed Horion, son of Apollonius, ex-exegetes, declare that I have been duly assigned the acacia-trees designated in the month Pauni of the 28th year, one by the comogrammateus of Nemera on the embankment formerly belonging to Saras son of Amoïs in the neighbourhood of Peënno, two by the comogrammateus of Seruphis on the embankment in the tillage of Conion in the neighbourhood of Senemeleu, and one by the comogrammateus of Sento on the embankment in the reclamation of the 5th year of the deified Vespasian situated to the north of Senoikoth ..., for 52 drachmae and extra payments, in the 29th year, Hathur 10 , the price being \(5^{2}\) drachmae and the additional charges 3 drachmae \(\mathrm{I} \frac{1}{2}\) obols, total 55 drachmae \(\mathrm{I} \frac{1}{2}\) obols.

Likewise in the same volume. I, Apollonius the aforesaid surnamed, \&c., declare that I have been duly assigned the three acacia-trees designated by the elders, being deputies for the comogrammateus of Nemera, in the northern parts of the embankment of . . . and Thosbis, which have fallen down . . ., for 40 drachmae and extra payments, in the \(29^{\text {th }}\) year, Hathur 12, the price being 40 drachmae and the additional charges 2 drachmae 3 obols, total 42 drachmae, 3 obols.'
1. тò \(\kappa\left(a \tau^{\prime}\right.\) ӥv \(\left.\nu \rho a\right)\) : so e.g. P. Brit. Mus. 259. iii. 104; the third letter is possibly \(\beta\), but not \(\mu\). The superfluous \(\lambda\) above the line (cf. the critical note) stood for \(\lambda\) (ó oov), but the writer changed his mind and added \(\lambda_{o} \gamma(o v)\), without cancelling the suspended \(\lambda\).
5. той: sc. кшдоүрацдатє́шs ; cf. 11. 20-1.
7. For \(\Pi \epsilon \epsilon \nu \nu \omega \dot{c}\) cf. 713. 26. The scribe seems to have at first intended to abbreviate the name after \(\Pi \epsilon \epsilon \nu\); cf. the note on 1. r, and \(1.22 \beta\) op \((\rho)\left[i{ }^{\prime}\right.\) vois.
 that the \(\epsilon\) was miswritten, which would be natural were it not for the fact that \(\Sigma \in \nu \epsilon \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \dot{v}\) seems to have been the spelling in 482. 5. Possibly, then, \(\Sigma \in \nu \epsilon \kappa \in \lambda \epsilon \dot{v}\) and \(\Sigma \in \nu \epsilon \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \dot{v}\) were distinct places.
14. \(\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \dot{\sigma} \mu \epsilon \nu a\) is the usual term for extra charges in connexion with government sales; cf. 513. 12, P. Amh. 97. 14. As suggested in the note on 513. 12, they are not to be distinguished from the \(\pi \rho \circ \sigma \delta\) апүрафодеда, on the amount of which see the next note.
16. For this contraction of \(\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \delta \delta a \gamma \rho a \neq \mu \hat{v} \omega \nu \nu\) cf. 11. \(20 \pi \rho(\epsilon \sigma) \beta(\nu \tau \epsilon \in \rho \nu)\) and 1. 25 , where the \(\delta\) is omitted. Other instances of such contraction are P. Amh. \(.35 \beta a\left(\sigma \lambda_{l}\right) \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu\) and the common \(\kappa(\) (í \() o t(\kappa o s)\); cf. also l. 22. The \(\pi \rho o \sigma \delta \kappa a \gamma \rho a \phi o \mu \in \nu a \operatorname{amount}\) to \(6 \frac{1}{4}\) per cent. of the price, both here and in 1.25 ; the same proportion is found in 513.15 .
19. \(\epsilon^{\prime}\) is no doubt to be interpreted \(\epsilon^{\prime} \pi(\) гкалочиєроs) here as in 1.2 , the following name, \&c., being omitted for the sake of brevity. Apparently in 1. 2 also the scribe originally

20. \(\pi \rho(\epsilon \sigma) \beta(\nu \tau \epsilon \in \rho \omega \nu) \delta \delta a \delta \epsilon \chi \nu(\mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega \nu)\) cf. e.g. B. G. U. 6. 4, 1 15. i. 8.
23. It may be doubted whether \(\epsilon \nu\) is the preposition or the first syllable of a villagename. It does not seem possible to read \(\bar{\epsilon} \nu\) T Tetovít, a name coupled with \(\theta \bar{\omega} \sigma \beta\) Is in 721. 9.
24. The two final letters of кvatı( ) may be \(a \gamma\).

\section*{1113. Return of Unirrigated Land.}
\[
17.3 \times 14.9 \mathrm{~cm} \quad \text { A.D. } 203
\]

Two declarations, which have been joined together, concerning unirrigated land ; cf. P. Grenf. II. 56, P. Fay. 33, P. Tebt. 324, B. G. U. 139, 198, 973, P. Hamburg II. One is addressed to the comogrammateus of the village near which the land was situated, the other, like B. G. U. 198, bears no address. Such returns were usually made in consequence of an order of the praefect in office, but in P. Hamburg II, as in the present case, the authorization is stated to have emanated from the procurator usiacus Claudius Diognetus, who is also known from P. Giessen 48.25 and papyri published by Wilcken in Hermes, xxiii. p. 593 (A. D. 197) and Comparetti in Mélanges Nicole, pp. 57 sqq. (Cols. i and iv, A. D. 203, not second century, as was pointed out by Stein, Archiv iv. 165).

\section*{Col. i.}
\(K \omega \mu о \gamma \rho \alpha(\mu \mu \alpha \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath}) \Sigma_{\epsilon} \in \nu \tau \grave{\omega}\) каì ä \(\lambda \lambda \omega \nu\)
\(\kappa \omega \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \eta \hat{\eta}_{S} \mu \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \eta s\) топ( \(\left.\alpha \rho \chi i \alpha s\right)\)
\(\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀ ~ \Delta \iota \delta u ́ \mu o u ~ \tau о 仑 ~ к \alpha i ~ \Delta ı \delta v \mu i ́ \omega-~\)
vos \(\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \iota \epsilon \rho \alpha \tau \epsilon \cup ́ \sigma \alpha \nu \tau[0 S] \tau 0 \hat{v}\)


इ'apa[ \(\pi] i ́ \omega \nu о s ~ \tau о \hat{v}\) каì 'Apıбток入є́ous
\(\alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu[\phi] 0 \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu \quad \Sigma \alpha \rho a \pi i ́ \omega \nu \% s\)
\(\mu[\eta] \tau[\rho o ̀] s{ }^{\prime} A \rho \iota[\sigma] \tau[0] \kappa \lambda \epsilon i ́ \alpha s \quad \tau \hat{\eta} s \quad \kappa \alpha i\)
```

10 [X\alphal]p\eta\muoví\deltaos \alphá\pioे \tau\eta\s \alphau.
[\tau\tilde{\eta}] \pió\lambda\epsilon[\omega]s. к\alpha\tau\alphà \tau\grave{\alpha}}\kappa\epsilon\lambda\epsilonv\sigma0(\epsiloń\nu\tau\alpha
v̇\piò K\lambda\alpha[v]\deltaíov \triangleוo\gamma\nu\etáтov то\hat{v}
\kappa\rho[\alpha\tauі`]\sigma\tauоv є́\pi\iotaт\rhoо́\piоv \alpha’\piо\gamma\rho\alpha(фо́}\mu\in0\alpha
\pi[\rhoò]s \tauò \epsilońv\in\sigma\tauòs \iota\alpha ('\epsilon'TOUs) \grave{\eta}\nu '\epsilon'XO-

```

```

[\epsilonै]\kappa. [\tauo]\hat{v}K\lambda\epsilon\alphá\nu\delta\rhoov \sigmaù\nu \tauoís
\pi\alpha\rhoo\rho[io]ls \epsilonis \Deltaiovv́\sigmatov
'A\pií\omegavos (\piро́т\epsilon\rhoov ?) \alpha'\beta\rhoóXov
(\alpha\rhooú\rho\alphas) . \delta'.
20 ('゙тоvs) \iota\alpha Avंтократо́р\omega\nu Kа\iota\sigma\alpháр\omega\nu
Aovкíov \Sigma\epsilon\pi\tau\iota\muíov \Sigma\epsilonov\etá\rhoov Ev̇\sigma\epsilon\beta[ov̂s]
\Piє\rhoтíакоз 'A\rho\alpha\beta\iotaко仑ै 'A\delta\iotaа\beta\eta\nu\iotaко\hat{v}
\Pi\alpha\rho0\iotaко仑 Mєуi\sigmaтоv ка\iota М\alphá\rhoк[о]v
Aú\rho[\eta]\lambdaíov 'A\nu\tau\omegavivov Ev̌\sigma\epsilon\betaoûs

```

18．a＇Pap．

Col．ii．
2nd hand \(\Pi \alpha \rho a ̀ ~ \sum \alpha \rho \alpha \pi i \omega \nu o s ~ \tau o ̂ ̂ ~ к \alpha i ~ \Phi[\alpha \nu i o v ~ \gamma \nu \mu \nu \alpha \sigma \iota \alpha \rho X(\eta ́ \sigma \alpha \nu \tau o s) ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s\)＇\(O\)－
 \(\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \kappa \epsilon \lambda(\epsilon v \sigma \theta \epsilon ́ \nu \tau \alpha)\)

［＇่ \(\pi \iota \tau \rho]\) ó \(\pi(o v) \tau \hat{\omega} \nu\) кvрí \(\omega \nu \quad \Sigma_{\epsilon} \beta \alpha \sigma \tau \hat{\omega}[\nu\) á \(\pi о \gamma \rho \alpha ́(\phi о \mu \alpha \iota) \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~\)

入орєі́коv \(\mu \eta \tau \rho ⿺ к о \hat{v} \pi \rho о ́ т(\epsilon \rho о \nu) ~ A[. . . . . . . . . .\).








\author{
 \\  \(\Sigma \epsilon \beta \alpha \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu\) каі Пouß入iov \(\Sigma_{\epsilon} \epsilon[\pi \tau \iota \mu i o v\) Г'єт \(\alpha\) \\ 

}
'To the comogrammateus of Sento and other villages in the middle toparchy from Didymus also called Didymion, ex-chief-priest of the most august temple of Hadrian in the city of Oxyrhynchus, and from Diogenes and Sarapion also called Aristocles, both sons of Sarapion and Aristocleia also called Chaeremonis, of the said city. In accordance with the orders of his highness the procurator Claudius Diognetus we register for the current inth year the unwatered land belonging to us at Sento in the holding of Cleandrus with the adjacent ground standing in the name of (?) Dionysius son of Apion, namely . \(\frac{1}{4}\) arourae of land previously unwatered.' Date.
'From Sarapion also called Phanias, ex-gymnasiarch of the city of Oxyrhynchus, and however he is styled, of the city of Oxyrhynchus. In accordance with the orders of his highness the Imperial procurator Claudius Diognetus I register for the inth year at the village of Psobthis in the middle toparchy in the holding of Philonicus, once belonging to my mother and formerly in the possession of A . . . son of . . . nuptas, . . arourae of unwatered land out of \(4 \frac{1}{12}\) arourae, and I swear by the fortune of Lucius Septimius Severus Pius Pertinax and Marcus Aurelius Antoninus Pius Augusti and Publius Septimius Geta Caesar Augustus that I have made no false statement.' Date.
i. 14. This date is noticeable, since both B. G. U. 139 and P. Hamburg il refer to the roth year, and evidence is thus for the first time obtained of the registration of unirrigated land in two consecutive years. The fact that such a registration took place in the year 202-3 is a slight argument in favour of the supposition that B. G. U. 108 is also concerned with äß̧ouxos \(\gamma \boldsymbol{\eta}\) (cf. Eger, Grundbuchwesen, p. 183, note 3), in which case a third consecutive year would have to be added. This, however, would not necessarily disprove our view that the returns of unirrigated land were not annual (P. Oxy. II. p. 177), for a succession of low Niles is quite possible; cf. Eger, op. cit., p.•• \({ }^{184} 8\).
 phrase is probably to be understood before \(\epsilon\) is on the analogy of most of the other returns,

 P. Fay. 33. 18-19, and Eger, Grundbuchwesen, p. 188, Lewald, Röm.-Aeg. Grundbuchrecht, p. 79 .
18. ( \(\pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \epsilon \rho \nu)\) is apparently meant ; \(\dot{a} \pi\left(\delta^{\circ}\right)\) is inadmissible.
ii. I. Cf. ll. 17-18.
10. Considerations of space indicate that túx \(\begin{aligned} \text { stood in this line and not in l. in } \text {. }\end{aligned}\)

\section*{1114. Declaration of Inheritance.}
\[
26 \times 56 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { A. D. } 237 . \quad \text { Plate VII. }
\]

A Latin declaration made to the responsible Roman official by M. Aurelius Saras, a citizen of Oxyrhynchus, that his wife had died intestate, and that the inheritance, which passed to their two daughters, was of the value of 200,000 sesterces and free from the vicesima hereditatium or succession duty of 5 per cent. To this declaration is appended an affidavit in Greek, duly witnessed, of the fact of intestate death, and a subscription in Latin of a notary of the office concerned with the tax.

The persons concerned were all Aurelii, and therefore subject to the vicesima; it was indeed in the interest of this and similar taxes, so we are told (Dio lexvii. 9), that the constitutio Antonina was promulgated; cf. Archiv v. p. 429. On the history of the impost see Bachofen, Ausgew. Lehren des R. Civilrcchts, pp. 322 sqq., Cagnat, Les Impôts indircets chez les Romains, pp. 175 sqq., Hirschfeld, K. Verwaltungsbeamten, pp. 96 sqq. Instituted by Augustus it was levied upon all inheritances and legacies except where the beneficiaries were near relations or the estate inconsiderable ( \(\pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi a ́ \nu v \sigma v \gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu \omega ิ \nu \grave{\eta} \kappa \alpha i ̀ \pi \epsilon \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \omega \nu\), Dio lv. 25). Caracalla, who raised the rate to 10 per cent., abolished the exemption in virtue of close relationship (Dio lxxvii. 9, Ulpian, Coll. xvi. 9. 3) ; but these changes were reversed by his successor Macrinus (Dio lxxviii. 12). What degree of affinity is to be understood from Dio's phrases \(\pi a ́ v v ~ \sigma v \gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu \hat{\omega} \nu\) and \(\pi \alpha ́ \nu v \pi \rho o \sigma \eta \dot{\eta} \kappa v \sigma \iota\) is not clear (cf. Cagnat, op. cit., p. 184), but daughters succeeding to an intestate mother, as they were entitled to do by the recent Senatus consultum Orfitianum (cf. 1118. 13), would doubtless be included within the exemption, and this benefit would extend to their father, who in such a case would be the de facto heir ; cf. the note on 1.9. A niece on the other hand seems not to have enjoyed immunity, for in P. Amh. 72, a declaration by a woman of the value of an intestate uncle's estate to which she was succeeding (A. D. 246), there is no mention of any claim to exemption. Relationship and not poverty was evidently the ground of the claim in the present instance. The taxable minimum is indeed uncertain, but it can hardly have reached 200,000 sesterces; Bachofen puts it at half that amount (op.cit., pp. 341-2 ; so Mommsen, Dic Röm. Tribus, p. 120 , Marquardt, Staatsverzu. ii. p. 259). The tax no longer existed in the time of Justinian (Cod. vi. 33.3) and its abolition was perhaps one of the financial reforms of Diocletian and Constantine ; this papyrus appears to be the latest document in which it is directly mentioned.

The Latin professio and the subscription of the notary are written in clear cursive hands rather similar in type to that of P. Grenf. II. Io8 of the latter half of the previous century. Dots or short dashes are, as usual, commonly placed after abbreviations and occasionally after other words (11. 5, 37). Line \(3^{8}\) is in a smaller and less legible writing.

Pcrpetzo et Comeliano co(n)s(ulibus) anno iii Imperatoris Cacsaris Gaii Tuli Veri Maximini
Pii A[ug(usti) Germanici Max(imi) Dacici Max(imi) Samiatici? [Max(imi) et Gaii Tuli Veri Maximi Germanici Max(imi)
Dacici Max(imi) Sarmatici Max(imi) Caesaris sancti]ssinini .Au\(g(u s t i)\) fili Aug(usti)
[A]pud Geminium Valentem 44 letters
procurationis.
Marcus Aurelius Saras fa[ctus] gymnas[iarchus decurio cir]itati]s \(O_{[ } x y\) rhijnchitarum
filius Marci Aureli Diogenis \(q(u i)\) e(t) Helliodori facti suthe \({ }^{\prime} i\) ia'rchae... [. . . .]. . [.]s . . . ac
civitatis Alexandrinorum prof \([\) iteor.........? filiabus me[i]s Aureliabus Stra-
tonice \(q\) (uac) e(t) Sosipatrae et Apolloniac \([q(u a e) e(t)\) Dicutis] \(k[c r] c d i t a t e m\) sew bonorum posses-
sionem Aureliae Ap[ollloniae filiae Marci Aurel[i] Apolloni Demetri \(q(u i) e(t)\) Psammi-
dis facti gymnasiarchi dccurionis civitatis Oxyrinchitarmm, matris eorum uxoris
autem suae, intestatae defunctae civitat\{a\}e Oxyrinchitarnm prid(ie) non(as) Iul(ias) \(q\) (uae) p(roximae ?) f(uerunt)
hora diei tertia secundum testationie'm de hac re factam cuius exemplam subieci,
\({ }^{1} 5\)
eamque hereditatem esse ducena[ri]am" et inmunem a vicensima. exemplum testationis.

2nd hand "Etous tрítou Aútoкрátopos Kaíбapos Taîov 'Iou入íou Oủńpou Magı\(\mu \epsilon i ้ \nu o u\) Eủ \(\sigma \epsilon\) ßoûs Eủjuхoûs
 Mєүíбтои каі \(\Gamma\) а'ov 'Iov入íov
 тіко̂ \(M \epsilon \gamma i ́ \sigma \tau o v ~ \tau o \hat{v}\)
 'Og̀vív \(\chi \omega \nu\) пó \(\lambda \epsilon \iota\).
 \(\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s\) viòs Máp-


 тóסє тò \(\mu \alpha \rho \tau \cup \rho о-\)
 \(\tau \rho i \tau \eta \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \nu-\)
 \(\lambda \omega \nu i o v \quad \Delta \eta \mu \eta \tau \rho i-\)


 \(\rho \eta \lambda \iota \omega \nu \quad \Sigma \tau \rho \alpha-\)
 \(\dot{\alpha} \phi \eta \lambda i ́ \kappa \omega \nu \quad \dot{\alpha} \delta \iota-\)

Col. ii.
\([\alpha \hat{\alpha} \theta \epsilon \tau 0 \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota\)

30 3rd hand (?) [
60 letters
1 \(\beta\)

 . .] . [. . . .]a[.] . [. . . . . . . . . . .
 \(\rho \nu[\gamma] X \in \iota \tau \hat{\omega}[\nu\)

356 th hand Ivivilinus Aug(usti) lib(ertus) tabul(arius) intestatam dec [e]ssisse secundum

\section*{adfirmationcm insertam pr(idie) non(as) Iul(ias) Perpetwo ct} Corneliano co(n)s(ulibus) notairi pr(idie) id(us) Iulias) co(n). s(ulibus) s(upra) s(criptis).
7 th hand \(a c t(\) um \() s(\quad) \ldots[\ldots .]!.[u l\) lias Perpetuo et Corncliano co(n)s(ulibus).


' In the consulship of Perpetuus and Cornelianus, in the third year of the emperor Caesar Gaius Julius Verus Maximinus,' \&c., 'before Geminius Valens . . . of the procuratorship. Marcus Aurelius Saras, ex-gymnasiarch, senator of the city of Oxyrhynchus, son of Marcus Aurelius Diogenes also called Heliodorus, ex-eutheniarch of the [most illustrious city of Alexandria, declare [on behalf of (?)] my two daughters Aurelia Stratonice also called Sosipatra and Aurelia Apollonia also called Dieus the inheritance or possession of the property of Aurelia Apollonia daughter of Marcus Aurelius Apollonius son of Demetrius also called Psammis, ex-gymnasiarch and senator of the city of Oxyrhynchus, their mother and my wife, who died intestate at the city of Oxyrhynchus on the day before the succeeding (?) Nones of July at the third hour of the day according to the affidavit made on this matter, of which I append a copy, and certify that the inheritance is of the value of two hundred solidi and free of the tax of a twentieth.
'Copy of the affidavit. In the third year,' \&c., 'at the city of Oxyrhynchus. Marcus Aurelius Saras, ex-gymnasiarch and senator of the city of Oxyrhynchus, son of Marcus Aurelius Diogenes also called Heliodorus, ex-eutheniarch and senator of the most illustrious city of Alexandria, and however he is styled, called to witness the persons about to seal the present affidavit that on this day at about the third hour to the loss of our hopes Aurelia Apollonia daughter of Marcus Aurelius Apollonius son of Demetrius also called Psammis, and however he is styled, ex-gymnasiarch and senator of the city of Oxyrhynchus, his wife and the mother of their daughters Aurelia Stratonice also called Sosipatra and Aurelia Apollonia also called Dieus, who are under age, died intestate ...' Signatures of witnesses and of Marcus Aurelius Saras in Greek and of a notary in Latin.

2-4. The small fragment assigned to the middles of these lines is doubtfully placed, sancti]ssim[ \(i\) being unsatisfactory. D]acici could be read in place of Sarm]atici, but this causes greater difficulties in the next line.

5-6. Geminius Valens, if that was his name, was apparently an official in the office of the procurator vicesimae, who was no doubt appointed for Egypt as for other provinces; cf.
 \(\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \varepsilon v \theta \in \rho i \omega \hat{\nu}\) at Arsinoïtonpolis is mentioned in B. G. U. 326. ii. 10.
7. It is noticeable, as Wilcken remarks, that the term civitas was now used where before the grant of the \(\beta\) oudín the correct Latin word was metropolis; cf. e.g. the Cairo diptych referred to in the following note.
8. \(q(u i) e(t)\) : the same abbreviation occurs e.g. in the cretiones hereditatium published by De Ricci from a Cairo diptych in Nouv. Rev. xxx. pp. 479 sqq. and reprinted in Bruns, ed. 7, pp. 319-20. At the end of this line decurionis clarissimae is expected, but cannot be read. Perhaps decurionis was omitted and some longer adjective used, e. g. spl enl \(d l^{\top} d{ }^{1} d i^{1} s-\) \(s_{1}{ }^{i}\) imae, which is a possible though not very satisfactory reading ; or decurionis was written and the adjective was quite short, decu[rioni]s s.]s... ae.
9. How the lacuna after prof ittor is to be filled is unfortunately somewhat doubtful.

The daughters could only succeed uissu patris, and he would at this period be the real beneficiary (Gaius ii. 87; modifications were subsequently introduced, Cod. Just. vi. 60): hence something like me admuisse would be suitable. The construction of 1 . 15 rather suggests that an infinitive had preceded, otherwise the more neutral supplement [pro duabus] might be preferred.
13. suae: the construction demands meae; that prof [iteor and not profitetur preceded in l. 9 is indicated by subieci in l. I 4.

The meaning of the letters \(q . p . f\). here is uncertain; from their position and the run of the sentence they should refer in some way to the date, and the interpretation suggested in the text will give a tolerable sense. Or possibly \(p\) might represent praesens as an equivalent
 Laberio] ii cos. [. . . .] q. p.f.[. . cur]atores Satur[. . . i]nfra scripti. The letters have there been explained as standing for qui primi fuerunt, referring to the persons infra scripti; that, however, is very doubtful, and it seems likely that the day of the month preceded in the lacuna, and that the meaning of the abbreviation is the same as in the present passage.
30. If l. I was the first of the column the loss at the top Col. ii above l. 30 would not be expected to exceed more than three or four lines.
32. \(\mu a \rho \tau v \rho \hat{\omega}\) or some equivalent term is to be supplied at the end of the line.

35. Ivivilinus is a curious name, but I cannot read the letters otherwise; Iul(ius) Vilinus is inadmissible. Tabularii are frequently mentioned in connexion with the vicesima; cf. Hirschfeld, op. cit., p. 105.' They were commonly freedmen, as here.
38. If actum is right (cf. e.g. the Cairo diptych, ap. Bruns, p. 320 ), a place-name would be expected to follow, but the \(s\) followed by a dot seems clear. Perhaps \(s(u p r a) s(c r i p t o)\) die was written.

\section*{1115. Reply to a Strategus.}
\[
23.5 \times 20.8 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { A.D. } 284
\]

The writers of this letter were three agents for the delivery of the military annona, who had been asked by the strategus, in consequence of representations from the praefect and dioecetes, for the receipt for a large quantity of bread delivered by them to certain military and naval detachments. They accordingly forwarded the original receipt, enclosing at the same time a copy which they request the strategus to sign. The receipt had been issued in the sixth year of Probus (A.D. 28I), but the signature of the strategus, which is appended as requested, is dated in Pachon of the second year, the reference presumably being to the reign of Numerianus. Pomponius Ianuarianus, the praefect in office (1.4), is a new addition to the list of Egyptian praefects.
 \(\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀ ~ A \dot{v} \rho \eta \lambda i ́ \omega \nu ~ ' I \sigma \iota \delta \omega \rho o v ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ ' A \sigma к \lambda \eta \pi \iota \alpha ́ \delta o v ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \Pi \lambda o v \tau i v o v ~ \alpha ́ \nu \alpha \pi[о \mu-\)









 \(\mu \alpha \lambda \epsilon i ́ \alpha \iota s \chi^{\omega \rho \eta} \sigma \alpha \sigma \iota \quad \sigma \tau \rho[\alpha \tau \iota \omega] \tau \alpha \iota s\) каi vaútaıs ă \(\rho \tau о v . .[. .\).

\({ }^{15}\) коขта \({ }^{\prime \prime} \xi, \gamma i(\nu 0 \nu \tau \alpha \iota) \mu(\nu \rho \iota \alpha ́ \delta \epsilon s) \gamma{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \nu q 5\).


 \(\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \alpha \dot{\partial} \theta \epsilon \nu \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi o \times \grave{\eta} \nu\)
 20



'To Aurelius Philiarchus also called Horion, strategus of the Oxyrhynchite nome, from Aurelius Isidorus, Aurelius Asclepiades, and Aurelius Plutinus, deliverers of bread. Having been asked by you in consequence of letters sent to you by his honour the praefect Pomponius Januarianus and his honour the dioecetes Aurelius Aristeas for the authentic receipt in our possession for the bread which we have delivered and distributed, we deliver to you the aforesaid authentic receipt and a copy of it which we beg you to sign in order that we too may have the security of the said authentic receipt. "Miccalus, superintendent of the distribution of the annona, to Isidorus and Asclepiades, overseers of the Oxyrhynchite nome. You have delivered in Panopolis in obedience to the order of his highness the dioecetes Aurelius Aristeas, in accordance with the certificates presented by you, to the mobilized (?) soldiers and sailors thirty-eight thousand and four hundred and ninety-six modii (?) of bread, total \(3^{8,496}\). The sixth year of our lord the Emperor Caesar Marcus Aurelius Probus Pius Augustus, Tubi sixteenth, Tubi 16." (Signed) I, Aurelius Philiarchus also called Horion, strategus of the Oxyrhynchite nome, have received the authentic receipt, which agrees with the copy above written, and have forwarded it as ordered. Second year, Pachon 26.'




 be a misspelling of фориарía (= formula) than of фроицєעтарía, and P. Cairo Cat. 67050. I I, 6705 I. 6.

I3-14. \(\mu 0\) ofíovs suggests itself as the measure (cf. e. g. P. Leipzig 97), but the vestiges do not appear to be very suitable, and moreover there would be plenty of room for so short a word in 1. 13, so that its division between two lines is unnatural. But possibly äprov had an epithet (not \(k a[\theta a \rho o \hat{\imath})\).
19. катє́ \(\pi \epsilon \mu \psi a\) : i. e. to Alexandria.

\section*{1116. NOMINATION TO AN OFFICE.}
\[
\mathrm{I} 5.8 \times \mathrm{II} \cdot 3 \mathrm{~cm}
\]

Nomination of a person to act for one year as inspector of dues appropriated to the Augusteum at Alexandria. In what these dues consisted is not clear owing to the bad condition of the papyrus. The nomination, which is addressed to the logistes, was made by the local ovarárŋs, on whose office see the note on 1. 5. Cf. 580, P. Flor. 2, \&c.

```

            \delta\etáтtov \pi\rhoòs т\età\nu X\rhoía\nu,
                        \epsilon̈\sigma\tau\iota \delta\epsiloǹ
            Av́\rho\etá\lambda\iotaov Z\alphaк\alphá\omega\nuos M\epsilon\lambda\alpha\nu\hat{\alpha} [
    20 \alpha\pi⿱亠⿱口小⿺尢丶⿳亠二口丿
2nd hand
Aú\rho\etá\lambdalos Mov\sigma\tilde{s \sigmau\sigma\tauá\tau\etas}
\epsiloń\pi\mp@code{\deltá\ell\delta\omegaк\alpha.}

```

8．1．\(\check{\epsilon} \pi о 廿 \iota \nu\).
9．1．\(\pi a \rho \epsilon \chi \not \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu\) ．
10．iє \(\rho \omega\) Pap．
12．l．тò̀ ．．．ע’eop


＇In the consulship of Julianus for the fourth time and Sallustius the most illustrious praefect of the sacred praetorium，Thoth ．．To Flavius Psoeis，logistes of the Oxyrhynchite nome，from Aurelius Moses son of Theon，of the said city，delegate of appointments of the quarter of the Gymnasium Square and other quarters．I present and announce at my own risk for the inspection of the ．．．provided as is the custom at the temple of Augustus in the most illustrious Alexandria，for one year from the first day of Thoth up to and including the fifth intercalary day of Mesore of the present 40 th which \(=\) the 9 th year， the person whose name follows below，who is suitable for the office，namely Aurelius son of Zakaon son of Melanas，of the said city and said tribe．＇Signatures of Aurelius Moses and other officials．
 by Jouguet and Wilcken on the analogy of P．Flor．39． 4 ovarááns \(\tau \eta \bar{\eta} \rho \epsilon \lambda \lambda\) ovorns \(\lambda \iota \tau \sigma v[\rho \gamma \epsilon i v\)


 performed by amphodogrammateis and phylarchs．For the tribal divisions at Oxyrhynchus cf． 1030.

8．The initial letter of the mutilated word could also well be \(\eta\) ．
Io．The letters following＇A yovar are very uncertain，but the reading suggested is sufficiently suitable．＇Ayougtie does not seem possible．The building referred to may well be the same as that known as the Katrípıo（Strabo xvii．794）or \(\sum_{\text {eßártoo（ }}\)（Philo，Leg．ad Gaium，22）；cf．Lumbroso，L＇Egitto，pp． 188 sqq．，F．Blumenthal，Archiv v．pp．318－19． The Caesareum was turned into a church under Constantine or Constantius II，but retained its old name ；cf．Archiv v．p． 328.

13－14．Cf．P．Flor．39．8，where the same phrase is to be read（Archiv iii．p．534）． It may be suggested that in the next line of that papyrus the letters following ërous，which are transcribed as ov \(\mu[\) ，represent the current years of the Oxyrhynchite eras，which in Thoth of A．D． 396 would be oy \(\mu[\beta\) ；cf．l．I 5 here．

1. 17. For Zaкáwvos cf. P. Strassb. 45. 49 and P. Théad. Inv. 15 . iv. 2 ; it is a variant of the common name इaxíav, e.g. 1059. 4.
20. \(\tau \bar{\eta} s\) aùr \(\bar{\eta} s \phi[u \lambda \bar{\eta} s\) : the reading of the much damaged letters is confirmed by P. Flor. 39. 5. \(\phi\left[u \lambda \hat{\eta} s\right.\) may have been abbreviated. Since an ä \({ }^{\prime \prime} \phi\) оooov only has been named above (11. 5-6) and not a \(\phi \nu \lambda \dot{\eta}\) as such, the close connexion of the фviai and ä \({ }^{2} \phi o \delta a\) already noticed by Preisigke, Beamtenwesen, p. 185, is here brought out very clearly ; cf. P. Flor.


\section*{(c) PETITIONS.}
1117. Petition to A Praefect.
\(19.8 \times 13.9 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad\) About A.D. 178.
Draft of an appeal to an unnamed praefect from six persons described as the superintendents of the golden statue of Athene-Thoëris concerning a case of peculation which he had recently decided. The ends of the lines are lost throughout, but, though the lacunae as gauged by ll. 1,7 , and 13 are too large to be restored with much certainty, the general sense is seldom obscured. Judgement had previously been given by an earlier praefect, Pactumeius Magnus (A.D. 176-7), and it was probably to his immediate successor Aurelius (?) Sanctus (A.D. 177-9) that the present petition was addressed. The statue of the goddess had lately been made, and a quantity of gold embezzled in the process. Magnus had decided that the loss, amounting to eighteen talents of silver, should be made good by the artificers and the municipal officials of the year (11.4-5). His judgement was substantially upheld by the new praefect, who distributed the responsibility between the contractor, the inspector, the officials who disbursed the money, and the overseers, who now apply for relief (ll. 6-8). They make no profession of innocence, but in the first place ask that two gymnasiarchs and a third official, all of whom, they assert, had been concerned in the disbursements (ll. 9-15), should be called on to assist, and secondly apply for an extension of time, offering an annual payment of two talents (11. 16 sqq.), and declaring that their existing obligation must reduce them to ruin.

This draft is written across the fibres of the verso; the recto contains remains of two columns of a list of persons, in which the word \(\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta v i \tau \epsilon \rho \sigma\) s is apparently abbreviated in the same way as in 1112. 20.
 тท̂s каi Єoŋ́pıסos


 ( \(\tau \alpha \dot{\lambda} \alpha \nu \tau \alpha) ~ \iota \eta ~ \dot{u} \pi \grave{̀} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu\)
 \(\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \grave{v}\) ò
 ó \(\tau \grave{\eta} \nu\)

 \(\dot{\eta} \mu \in i ̂ s\) oû \(\nu\),

 \(\alpha{ }^{\alpha} \nu[\).

 хрибохо́-







 \(\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu\) '̇ \(\pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime}\) єैтоs \(\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota\{s\} \quad \epsilon i \sigma[\epsilon \nu \epsilon \chi \theta \bar{\omega} \sigma \iota \ldots . .\).


\(\pi \rho \alpha \tau о \grave{\imath} \gamma \in \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta \alpha \iota\).
4. о крatıซтos added above the line. 5. кає т由 added above the line. 6. outшs.
 the line. 12. \(\tau \epsilon\) before \(\tau \epsilon \chi \nu \epsilon \epsilon \tau \nu\) added above the line. I3. eוs corr. I4. of ous
corr. ka added above the line. 24. \(\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota\) corr. from \(\gamma \in \nu \omega \mu \epsilon \theta a\).
18. iv Pap.; so in l. 20.
22. io̊ıa Pap.
I. \(\tau(\) ( \(o s)\) кai \(\tau(\iota \nu o s):\) cf.e.g. 509, 1034 ; there is no visible mark of abbreviation with the second \(\tau\), but it may have disappeared. The supplement \(\tau \hat{\eta} s\) kai Өón\(\rho \iota \delta o s\) is indicated by 1. 5 ; cf. 579, where the reading Өorípioos is now confirmed, and 483. 3, note.
3. \(\left.{ }^{\epsilon} \kappa<\kappa \kappa\right\rangle \lambda \eta \tau 0[\) : it seems necessary to postulate a misspelling here, for a mention of Letopolis is very unlikely, there being no further indication that any other city than
 preferably perhaps, as Wilcken suggests, \({ }^{\epsilon} \kappa\langle\kappa\rangle \lambda \eta \tau \circ[\nu \delta i \kappa \eta \nu\).
4. a, if that is the right reading, is a figure, having a stroke above it. Perhaps ë \(\tau \in \iota\) or
 been previously mentioned.

 Wilcken adds P. Par. 69. ii. 8 (Philologus 53. 82) ['"] \(] \tau \epsilon \psi \in \nu \epsilon\) єis \(\gamma v \mu \nu a \sigma \tau a ́ \rho \chi\{\eta \nu\).

\({ }^{15}\). A final conjunction must have occurred in the latter part of this line.
20. ínó \(\epsilon к \alpha ́ \sigma \tau o u\) is suggested by the figures; if each of the six \(\overline{\epsilon \pi} \pi \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \pi a i\) paid 2,000 drachmae, the sum of two talents mentioned in l. I 6 would be produced.
 327.27.
 1. 24 keeps up the metaphor.

\section*{1118. Petition to an Archidicastes.}
\(11.7 \times 1 \mathrm{I} \cdot 4 \mathrm{~cm}\). Late first or early second century.
A fragment of an application, addressed no doubt to an archidicastes, for the recovery of a debt. The request is made that the strategus of the Small Oasis should be authorized to forward a copy of the claim to the debtors, and probably this application was appended to a notification to the strategus that the archidicastes had sanctioned the claim, the arrangement being similar to that e.g. of 485 ; cf. P. Flor. 86. 20-5, where the phraseology is very close to that used here.




\(5[0 ̋ \pi] \omega s\) єैт८ каì \(\nu \hat{v} \nu\) á \(\pi 0 \delta \widehat{\omega} \mu 0 \iota \tau \grave{\alpha}\) ó \(\phi \epsilon \iota \lambda o ́ \mu \epsilon\) -
```

[\nu\alpha] каi тоùs \piро\sigmaофєi\lambdaо\mu\epsilońvous тóкоvs каi \tau\grave{\alpha}

```

```

[\tau\alphá \mu]\epsilon\epsilon \epsilonis \tau亠⿱亠乂

```




```

[\pi\epsilonp]!! oú\delta\in[\nuòs á\pi\lambda\omegaिs

```

7．\(\epsilon \mu\) corr．from \(\mu \epsilon\) ．
＇．．．I beg you to write to the strategus of the Small Oasis to present to ．．．and Chenenoubis，through the one of them who may be found，a copy of this memorandum，in order that he may yet pay to me the debt and the interest due in addition and extras，or else that they may know that I shall enter on the mortgaged property and shall occupy and exercise ownership over it and alienate it and do with it whatever I choose，without any claim being left to them or to any one else for the future in any respect ．．．＇

1．Perhaps àvay kuí］ws ；cf．e．g．1121．23，P．Flor．86．19．
 what is here meant by［סú］\({ }^{\circ}\) opa．סıú申opov is sometimes practically synonymous with rókos； cf．1040．introd．，and e．g．1130．II．


1119．Petition to a Strategus，etc．
\[
28.5 \times 39.6 \mathrm{~cm} .
\]

A．D． 254 ．
The body of this long document consists of a petition from two citizens of Antinoöpolis who had property at Oxyrhynchus，Theon and Arsinouis，requesting the strategus of the Oxyrhynchite nome to notify the existing phylarch that they were exempt from nomination to municipal offices．Their rights had been established ten years before under an carlier strategus，and they give a narrative of the course of events，and enclose copies of official correspondence relating to their case．Its history was as follows．Aurelius Sarapion，an amphodogramma－ teus of Oxyrhynchus，in contravention of the privileges of Theon and Arsinouis as Antinoïte citizens，had nominated them as collectors of money－taxes in the metropolis．On receiving information of this they applied to the senate of their native city，who sent a letter of remonstrance to the epistrategus Antonius

Alexander．A copy of this interesting letter，which is dated in A．D． 244 ，is given in 11．14－22．It is a vindication of the privilege enjoyed by Antinoïtes of immu－ nity from public burdens outside their own city（cf．note on 1．I6）．That privi－ lege had been granted by their founder Hadrian，had been confirmed by his successors，and respected by a long line of praefects and epistrategi．Antonius Alexander is asked to follow this example，and to instruct the strategus of Oxyrhynchus to call the offending amphodogrammateus to account．He did so two months later in a letter transcribed in 11．22－4．The strategus passed on the correspondence to the amphodogrammateus demanding explanations，and the reply of the latter follows in 1l．25－8．He acknowledges his error，admitting that Theon and Arsinouis had the rights of Antinoïte citizens，and apparently himself undertaking the duty which he had wrongly imposed upon them．In the upper margin a short note has been added by the strategus to whom the petition was addressed，forwarding the document to the phylarch，as requested hy the petitioners（11．2－5）；and at the foot（1．30）is the signature of the agent who delivered it．

The lines are of great length and there is a large lacuna at the commence－ ment of each．The restorations adopted often aim at no more than indicating the general sense，which is for the most part clear．

I

 Tá入入ov каì Taîov Oủßßío］v＇A Oúo入ouซıa \(\alpha 0 \hat{v} \quad E v \dot{v} \epsilon \beta \hat{\omega} \nu \quad E v ่ \tau v \chi \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \Sigma \in \beta \alpha \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad\)（2nd hand） Мєборウ̀ ку．








[ 5I letters oủX \(\dot{\eta} \sigma v \chi \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha] \mu \in \nu, \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho о \sigma \dot{\eta} \lambda \theta о \mu \in \nu \tau \hat{\eta}\) кратí \(\tau \tau]\)




 \(\nu \alpha \kappa \iota ~ к \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \alpha s\) аủzòv \(\dot{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \nu \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota ~ \ddot{\eta} \pi \rho о \chi \epsilon \iota \rho i ́ \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota\)
 \(\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \nu o \mu i \alpha s, \quad\) ó \(\tau \epsilon \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \eta \gamma\) òs \(\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha \quad\) ' \(\pi \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota \lambda \epsilon \nu \quad \tau \hat{\omega}\)



 \(\tau \grave{\alpha} s \lambda_{\epsilon \iota \tau o v \rho \gamma i ́ \alpha s . ~ o ̋ \theta \epsilon \nu} \kappa \alpha i ̀ \nu v ̂ \nu ~ v i \pi \grave{\rho} \rho\) тô̂ \(\mu \grave{\eta}\) каi \(\tau o ̀ \nu \nu v \nu \epsilon i\)










 \(\Sigma_{\epsilon} \in \alpha \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad\) (2nd hand) \(M \epsilon \sigma o \rho \eta\). (3rd hand) \({ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota\) \(\delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \grave{\alpha}\)
 oi ă \(\rho \chi\) оעтєs каi خ̀ \(\beta\) ou入خ̀


 \(\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \omega \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu\), öть \(\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau 0 \nu \mu\) èv \(\theta \epsilon \grave{o}\) ' 'A \(\delta \rho \iota \alpha \nu o ̀ s\)




[ \(3^{1}\) letters \(\left.\quad \delta \iota \alpha \delta \epsilon \xi \dot{\xi} \mu\right] \in \nu 0 \iota\) т \(̀ \nu \quad \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i ́ \alpha \nu\) тì \(\nu \dot{v} \pi \alpha \dot{\rho} \rho X 0 v[\sigma] \alpha \nu\)

 oi кра́тıбтol ov̉ \(\mu\) óvov áфíєтаı
\(\left[\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\alpha} s \pi \alpha \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \rho^{\prime}\right.\) व̈入入ols \(\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \tau \epsilon\) каi \(\left.\lambda \epsilon \iota \tau o v \rho \gamma \iota \hat{\omega}\right], \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}\)




 'Oॄ̇v \(\mu \eta \tau \rho \circ \pi о \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu\)


 \(\sigma \alpha \nu \tau \alpha\) є̇ \(\tau \epsilon \rho \circ u s \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau\) ’ \(\alpha \dot{\tau} \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \delta o \hat{v} \nu \alpha \iota\)


 \(\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu 0[\nu] \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \kappa \rho i ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu\)


 'A \(\downarrow \tau \iota \nu 0 \epsilon \in \omega \nu \nu \epsilon \in \omega \nu\) ' \(E \lambda \lambda \eta \eta_{\nu} \omega \nu \lambda \alpha \mu\) -












30 5th hand
] \({ }^{€} \pi \eta \dot{\eta} \nu \in \gamma к \alpha\).
 \(\kappa \epsilon \kappa \zeta\).




 of фaphov \(\theta_{l}\) corr. from o.
'. . ., strategus of the Oxyrhynchite nome, to Aurelius Heras, phylarch for the coming fourth year. I send you the petition of Aurelius Theon and Aurelius Arsinoüs, both sons of Theon, Antinoïtes of the Sebasteian tribe and Dioscureian deme, enclosed in which are copies of two letters, one written by the most high senate of the Antinoïtes to Antonius

Alexander the most high epistrategus, the other by the said epistrategus to the then strategus, and also a copy of the reply made by the then amphodogrammateus. The third year of the Emperors and Caesars Gaius Vibius Trebonianus Gallus and Gaius Vibius Afinius Gallus Veldumianus Volusianus Pii Felices Augusti, Mesore 23.

To . . ., strategus of the Oxyrhynchite nome, from Aurelius Theon and Aurelius Arsinoüs, sons of Theon, Antinoïtes of the Sebasteian tribe and Dioscureian deme. In the previous cycle of the persons about to serve in the quarters of this city, the amphodogrammateus then in office, Aurelius Sarapion, [ignoring our rights,] in the list of burdens submitted by him returned us for the collection of money-taxes in the metropolis, and we immediately on receiving information of it . . . did not acquiesce but applied to the most high senate, recounting the audacity and illegality of the said amphodogrammateus. The senate was indignant and sent to the most high epistrategus Antonius Alexander, who, heedful of the rights especially accorded to our native city, sent to the then strategus Aurelius Dius also called Pertinax directing that the amphodogrammateus should be compelled either to present some other persons instead of us for the office, or [to pay the penalty for] his illegality. The strategus sent the whole correspondence to the amphodogrammateus, and he, being aware of the danger hanging over him in consequence of his illegal action . . ., himself promised in amends for his error, for which he pleaded the excuse of ignorance, to undertake the burden for the future. Now, therefore, in order that the present phylarch may not appear to be ignorant of these facts . . . because one of us, Aurelius Theon, is there in our native city attending to the duties to which we have been assigned, since the turn has come to our senate in the present year to . . ., we submit to you the following copies, begging that they may be communicated for his information by means of one of your assistants to Aurelius Heras, phylarch of the quarters about to serve. The third year of the Emperors and Caesars Gaius Vibius Trebonianus Gallus and Gaius Vibius Afinius Gallus Veldumianus Volusianus Pii Felices Augusti, Mesore. The copies are as follows:-
'The officials and senate of the illustrious city of the Antinoiltes, new Hellenes, to Antonius Alexander the most high epistrategus, greeting. You are aware, highest of procurators, you who during your procuratorship have been especially concerned with the exceptional rights claimed by our native city, that originally the deified Hadrian . . . [distinguishing] it from the other cities in Egypt clearly established the law that we should bear office and burdens nowhere but at home, and we were relieved of all offices and burdens elsewhere ; and next . . . his successors on the throne often confirmed our immunity in this respect, and they have been scrupulously followed by the praefects appointed from time to time and by you the most high epistrategi, who not only release us from all external offices and burdens but also punish the lawlessness of those who attempt to offend against the Imperial legislation and the judgements of praefects. Whereas, then, Aurelius Theon and Aurelius Arsinoüs . . . our fellow-citizens have approached us in a petition accusing Sarapion, amphodogrammateus of the city of Oxyrhynchus, of having illegally nominated them both for the collection of money-taxes in the metropolis, . . . we apply to your heedfulness in order that you may direct the strategus of the said nome to have one of two things done, namely that the amphodogrammateus, if he gives way, should nominate to the office other persons in their stead, or else [be compelled to] appear before you at your coming auspicious visit, in order that in accordance with the ancestral usages of our constitution he may render an account for his outrage upon the Imperial laws and the judgements of praefects . . . We pray for your health. The second year of the Marci Julii, Hathur 30th.
'Copy of the letter. Antonius Alexander to the strategus of the Oxyrhynchite nome,
greeting. I have ordered the document sent me by the officials and senate of the illustrious city of the Antinoïtes, new Hellenes, to be appended for your information to this letter. See that the amphodogrammateus whom they accuse of having nominated to the office of collector members of their polity appear . . . to give an account for his defiance of the law, if he still attempts to subject them to the office as persons within his province. I pray for your health. The second year of the Marci Julii, Mecheir 3 .
'Copy of the report. To Aurelius Dius also called Pertinax, strategus of the Oxyrhynchite nome, from Aurelius Sarapion, amphodogrammateus of the city of Oxyrhynchus. I received from you on Phamenoth the ist a missive to which was appended a copy of a letter written to you by Antonius Alexander, the most high epistrategus, with an enclosure in the latter of the appeal made to him by the most high senate of the Antinoiltes on behalf of Aurelius Theon and Aurelius Arsinoüs, twins . . ., who were nominated by me for the collection of money-taxes in the metropolis, one of whom, Arsinoiis, . . ., directing that I should go down to appear before the most high epistrategus, if I still attempt to subject them to the burden as persons within our province. I have accordingly investigated the matter and found that they possess hereditary Antinoilte rights, and I immediately on learning this undertook the burden on their belalf; I accordingly make this report. The second year of the Marci Julii, Pharmouthi \(1_{3}\).
'Presented by us, Aurelius Theon and Aurelius Arsinoüs, sons of Theon.
' Delivered by me, . . 3 rd year, Mesore 29 ... pages \(25-27\).'

\section*{r. \(k \eta\) is an official number ; cf. 1. 30 .}
2. \(\phi\) vגáp \(\chi(\omega)\) : this title does not seem to have occurred in other papyri of the period, but Wilcken informs me that it is found in a fourth-century Leipzig papyrus which he is editing in his Chrestomathie ; cf, the \(\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \tau \grave{\eta} \rho \phi u \lambda(\hat{\eta} s)\) of P. Leipzig 65. 7.
 known.
5. For the date cf. \(11.1^{13-1} 4\) and 30. It is at first sight surprising, because the Galli only just reached their third year, and the accession of Valerian and Gallienus must have been known in Egypt long before the end of August of 254. The explanation probably is that the covering note of the strategus was, like the petition, written early in the year, and that the month, which is by the same hand as that which inserted Me⿴op \(\eta\) in I. 14, was subsequently added without any modification of the regnal year. This will not account for the date in 1.30 , which, however, might very naturally be made to conform to those in 11.2 and 5 .
6. \(\pi \epsilon \rho\) tóo \(\omega\) : cf. 1030. 2, where the word should be taken, as here, in a temporal and not a local sense. For the ä \(\mu \phi\) oठa in connexion with \(\lambda_{\epsilon \iota \tau o v p \gamma i a t ~ c f . ~ 1116 . ~}^{5}\) and note, B. G. U. 958. C. II-I2 rov̂ vvvì \(\lambda\) ırovpyoûvtos á \(\mu \phi o ́ \delta o v\). The initial supplement here is rather long, but perhaps this first line projected slightly.
7. ėкєîqє: i. e. probably at Antinoöpolis; cf. 1. 12. The division éкєî \(\sigma \epsilon\) is less likely.
8. \(\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \dot{\eta} \lambda \theta \circ \mu \epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\eta}\) крatior \(\beta o v \lambda \hat{\eta}:\) cf. B. G. U. 1022, a petition to the Antinoilte senate
 P. Tebt. 330. 8 ; but of course various other phrases are possible.
10. For the supplement cf. 1. 20.
11. Cf. 1. 28; but why the amphodogrammateus himself undertakes the \(\lambda\) etrovpyia, as he apparently does, is not clear. The \(\beta\) oudj in 1.20 only asks that he should be made to nominate other persons.
 been appointed at Antinoöpolis was apparently some burden which the \(\mu \eta \tau \rho o \pi o\) idets undertook in turn. \(\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ́ ~ m a y ~ b e ~ s u p p l i e d ~ i n s t e a d ~ o f ~ \delta i a ̀ ~ \tau o ́, ~ ' ~ i n ~ o r d e r ~ t h a t ~ h e ~ m a y . ' ~ '\)
14. \(\nu \epsilon ́ \omega \nu\) 'E \(\lambda \lambda \eta_{\eta} \nu \omega \nu\) : so e. g. B. G. U. 1022. 2, Dittenberger, Or. Gr. Inscr. 709. 4 ; cf. Wilcken, Archiv iv. p. II8.
 and V. Martin, Epistrateges, p. IO9. It is clear from these passages that the reference is to the present and not, as \(\tau \hat{\omega}\) र \(\chi \circ \rho \nu \omega \tau \bar{\eta} s \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \rho \circ \pi \hat{\eta} s\) at first suggests, to a previous office of Antonius Alexander. The supplement at the beginning of the line both here and in 1.25 is somewhat shorter than would be expected, but this may be explained by supposing that spaces were left before \(\left.\chi^{a}\right] i \rho \epsilon \iota \nu\) and \(\left.\pi a \rho\right] a ́\); or perhaps iotas adscript were written.
16. The first letter is probably \(\eta, \nu\), or \(\rho\) : perhaps \(\chi \omega] \rho(\epsilon)\) is. Cf. B. G. U. 1022.6-10


17. \(\epsilon^{\prime}[\beta \epsilon \beta] a i \omega \sigma a \nu\) (Wilcken) is more probable than \(\epsilon[\delta \iota \kappa] a i \omega \sigma a \nu\), of which I had thought.


22-3. The insertion of \(0 \pi \omega\) cioins gives the sentence the form of an indirect question. The use of ris for ös is found in the N. T., e. g. Mark xiv. 36 , Luke xvii. 8, as well as in papyri and inscriptions, e. g. 1155. 13, B. G. U. 822. 5, P. Brit. Mus. 239. 10, but is perhaps better avoided in a comparatively well written document like the present.
26. \(\delta v \delta \nu \mu a[\gamma \epsilon \omega \omega \nu\) : cf. e. g. B. G. U. 26. 1о, 115. i. 12. This fact has not previously been stated, unless it was in l. 17.
 A verb to govern the accusative has to be supplied, but there is very little room for it ; perhaps there has been some omission.
28. i \(i \pi \epsilon \sigma \tau\rceil \eta \nu\) is obtained from l. II ; cf. the note there.
30. Cf. the note on 1.5 .
1120. Petition.
\(27 \times 9.5 \mathrm{~cm}\).
Early third century.
This petition, like 1117, is no more than a draft ; it lacks address and conclusion, and the name of the writer, a widow, is not given. She accuses one man of an outrage upon her son-in-law and another of an act of violence against herself. The document may have been intended for the strategus.
```

$\Pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\eta} \hat{\eta} s \quad \pi \epsilon \pi \pi \nu \theta \epsilon \nu \quad \epsilon \pi i$
тót $\omega \nu$ ó $\dot{\alpha} \nu \eta ̀ \rho ~ \tau \eta ̂ S ~ \theta v$ -
रатро́s $\mu$ ои Подvסєúк $\eta s$
$\beta ı \beta \lambda \epsilon i ́ \delta \iota \alpha$ ' $\pi \iota \delta$ б́ $\delta \omega \kappa \alpha$
5 т $\mathfrak{i}$ 今 $\tau \alpha ́ \xi \in \sigma \iota ~ \kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} ~ \tau o \hat{v}$
v́ßpío $\alpha \nu \tau 0 s ~ \alpha u ̛ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ E u ́-~$ סaípovos, $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ ồtos $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \in i ́-$

```
```

    \sigma\chiv\sigma\epsilon\nu \tau\grave{\alpha}}
    \tau\eta0\etā\nu\alphal, ìv\alpha \mu\grave{\eta}\mathrm{ фа́vŋ}
    ```

```

    \muа\rhoти́ро\muа\iota \tau\grave{\età \betaía\nu}
    \gammav\nu\età \chi\etá\rho\alpha к\alphai \alphȧ\sigma0\epsilon\nu\etás.
    \Theta\omegav\nuls \gamma\grave{\alpha}\rho \ddot{\omega}\nu коvрáт\omega\rho \Sigma'\epsilonú-
    0ov \epsiloni\sigma\epsilon\pi\eta{\delta\eta\sigma\epsilon\nu \epsilonis
    I5 T\età\nu oikía\nu \muov каi \epsiloń\tauó\lambda-
\mu\eta\sigma\epsilon\nu \alphá\pi\pio\sigma\pi\alphá\sigma\alpha\iota \deltaov́-
\lambda\eta\nu \muov \Theta\epsilono\deltaळ́\rho\alpha\nu \mu\eta
\epsilon'X\omega\nu ка\tau' \alphaủ\tau\etaेS c'\xiov-
\sigmaía\nu, \grave{\omegas}\mathrm{ '̇ }\nu\pi\alpha\nu\taui \sigma0\epsilon'-
20\nu\epsilon\ell \betaí\alpha\nu \mu\epsilon \sigma\chi\epsilonî\nu.

```
6. üßрıаа⿱тоя Pap. ¢ \(\chi \omega \nu\) corr. from \(o\).
- Concerning the outrage suffered at his abode by my son-in-law Polydeuces I presented to the officials a petition against the perpetrator, Eudaemon; but his influence procured the failure of the petition, so that he should not seem indictable. I accordingly testify to his violence, being a feeble widow woman. For Thonis the curator of Seuthes rushed into my house and dared to carry off my slave Theodora, though he had no power over her, so that I am subjected to unmitigated violence.'
1. \({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{s}: ~ s c . ~ v ै \beta \rho \epsilon \omega s ; ~ c f .1 .6\).
13. кovра́тшр: cf. 888. 3, note.
1121. Petition to a Beneficiarius.
\[
25.6 \times 16.8 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { A.D. } 295 .
\]

A petition from a woman accusing two neighbours of having seized some property which had belonged to her mother and of which she was the heir. The writer announces her intention of proceeding against the offenders, and asks that they should be made to give security for their appearance.
```

' E\pii \tau\hat{\omega}\nu oै\nu\tau\omega\nu \dot{v}\pi\alpha\dot{\tau}\tau\omega\nu.

```









 \(\rho 0 \hat{v} \sigma \alpha\). \(̈ \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta s \pi \rho o ̀ ~ o ̉ \lambda i ́ y \omega \nu ~ \tau o u ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ \grave{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \omega \hat{\nu}\) тòv Bíov \(\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \pi \alpha v-\)














 \(\tau \hat{\omega}[\nu \quad \kappa v \rho i ́ \omega] \nu \quad \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \Delta \iota о \kappa \lambda \eta \tau \iota \alpha \nu 0 \hat{v}\) каi \(M \alpha \xi \iota \mu[\alpha \nu] о \hat{v} \quad \sum_{\epsilon} \beta \alpha \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad\) каì '́tous \(\gamma\)
 \(30 K \alpha \iota \sigma[\alpha ́ \rho \omega \nu] M \epsilon \chi \epsilon i \rho \iota \delta\).



On the verso
2nd hand \(T \alpha \sigma \alpha \beta \hat{\eta} S \tau \hat{\varphi} \beta(\epsilon) \nu(\epsilon) \phi(\iota \kappa \iota \alpha \rho i \omega) \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ̀ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \in \kappa \nu[\omega \nu] \alpha u ̉ \tau \hat{\eta} s\).
```

and at right angles

```

```

$35 \cdots[\cdot] \ldots \underset{( }{(1)}$

```

 ïva Pap. 25. ̈̈каva Pap. 26. їба Pap. 28. \(\sigma \epsilon \beta a \sigma \tau \omega \nu\) corr. from \(\sigma \epsilon \beta a \sigma \tau o v\) ?
'In the consulship of the present consuls. To Aurelius Ammonius, beneficiarius of the praefect of Egypt, from Aurelia Techosis daughter of Diodorus and Techosis, of the Small Oasis, now living at the illustrious and most illustrious city of Oxyrhynchus. No small danger and no ordinary severity awaits those who lightly give themselves over to plunder and robbery of the property of others. I therefore, being the victim of a most outrageous attack and robbery, approach you to testify to the assault upon me. Techosis, my aforesaid mother, was stricken with illness, and I in the goodness of my heart nursed and tended her and was assiduous in performing what is owing from children to parents. When a few days ago she died intestate, leaving me her daughter heir in accordance with the law, I provided for her funeral and did all that was fitting on the occasion of her death. While I was occupied with my trouble, I know not on what ground or with what impulse, a certain Sotas and Papontos, who are my neighbours in the same house where my mother lived, possessing themselves of the extensive movables left by her, a considerable amount of gold, a quantity of furniture, some very valuable clothes, and other things, lawlessly carried them all off, on what inducement I cannot tell. I am about to demand satisfaction for this of the superior official, and in order that they may put in an appearance I perforce present this petition, testifying to the assault and requesting that they may be compelled to provide written security that they will stay and appear, since I am already having recourse to the official, and that a copy of this document be prepared through your grace for the praefect's office.' Date and signature of Aurelia Techosis, written for her by Aurelius Agathodaemon.
1. The document is dated on Feb. 8 (1. 30) when the names of the consuls for the year were very likely not yet known. This is a more probable reason for their omission

 e.g. 902. 19 .
2. Cf. P. Brit. Mus. II57. 4 Bevєфıкıapiov є́тápхоv Aiyúntov and P. Leipzig 20. 4, \&c.,


I3. катà тoùs vópous: i. e. the senatus consultum Orfitianum, Inst. iii. 4, Dig. xxxviii. i7; cf. 1114.
15. \(\theta[a] v a ́ t \omega: \delta[v]\) vat \(\omega\) is less suitable.


\({ }^{25}\). iא[avá] Wilcken, who points out that this passage confirms his restoration of
 Wenger, Rechtshist. Papyrusstud. p. 87. For the technical \(\mu\) ovîs кai \(\epsilon^{\prime} \mu \phi a v e i a s ~ o f . ~ e . ~ g . ~\) P. Grenf. II. 62, 10, 79. 7, B. G. U. 58 1. 8.
33. This endorsement is mistaken; it was no doubt intended for another document.

34-6. These three obscure lines have no apparent connexion with the contents of the recto.

\section*{（d）CONTRACTS．}

\section*{1122．Engagement of Services．}
\(13.2 \times 14 \mathrm{~cm}\) ．
A．D． 407.
Commencement of a contract for personal attendance and service，in return for food and clothing；probably a money wage was also included in the agreement，which breaks off before this is reached．Cf．P．Strassb．40，where the servant is described as a фащı入ıáplos éôpaîos катáóov入os \(\pi a \hat{\imath}\)（A．D．569），and Archiv v．pp．260－I．On the verso is a small fragment of a money account．
```

M\epsilon\tau\grave{\alpha} \tau\grave{\eta}\nu v̇\pi\alpha\tauí\alpha\nu \tauо\hat{v} \delta\epsilon\sigma\pióтоv \dot{\eta}\mu\hat{\omega\nu} 'A\rhoк\alpha\deltaíov

```


\section*{Пâvı \(\iota \epsilon\) ．}



Є́к \(\pi \alpha \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ M \epsilon ́ \lambda \alpha \nu o s ~ \alpha ́ \pi o ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ \alpha u ̉ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s ~\)


10 \(\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \iota \nu \pi \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha}\) бoì каi \(\sigma v \nu \alpha \pi o \delta \eta \mu i ̂\)



каì ipaтí乌 \([\epsilon]\left[\begin{array}{l}\nu \\ 22 \\ \text { letters }\end{array}\right.\)
15 ．\(\epsilon \cdot \epsilon \ldots\)

＇The year after the sixth consulship of our lord Arcadius，eternal Augustus，and Flavius Probus the most illustrious，Pauni 15．To Aurelius Didymus son of Theon，senator of the illustrious and most illustrious city of Oxyrhynchus，from Aurelius Phoebammon otherwise Lucas，son of Melas，of the said city，greeting．I acknowledge of my own free will that I have made an agreement with you to attend you and travel with you in other lands and obey you in all your commands，while you are to feed and clothe me ．．．＇
 Èv тє \(\tau \grave{1}\) пódı каї кат' àypoús.
 \(\chi\) Өךбо́деขп (P. Strassb. 40.40 ), would also be suitable.
 1126. 22.

\section*{1123. Devolution of Domain-Land.}
\[
12.3 \times 9.4 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { A.D. } 5^{8-9 .}
\]

In this contract the incoming tenant of some domain-land guarantees the daughter of the late cultivator, who had died, against any future demands for dues upon the land, over which he is given full rights, while he apparently promises to make no claims to any other part of the estate. The situation may be contrasted with that of 899 , where the daughter and heir of a cultivator of domain-land petitions to be released from the responsibility (theoretically illegal) of continuing the cultivation ; cf. B. G. U.648, Rostowzew, Röm. Kolonat, pp. 196-7. In the present instance the heir was more fortunate and had found somebody willing to relieve her by becoming the tenant in her father's place.
```

    'A\pií\omegav \a\rho\alpha\pií\omega\nuos \tauov 'I\sigma\chiv\nuí\omegavos \mu\eta-
    [\tau\rhoò]s 'A\mu\mu\omega\nuoû\tauos 0\epsiloń\sigma\epsilon\iota B\alpha\lambda\lambda\alphá\rhoov \Pi\epsilon-
    ```

```

    [.....] T\epsilonढ̂тоs rov̂ Tотоє́\omegas \mu\etaт\rhoòs
    5 T\sigma\epsilon\nu\alphaфú\gamma\chi<os ả\piò \tauо\hat{v} \Pi\epsilon\tau\epsilon\nu0ú\rho\iota-

```

```

    vlos \Deltalo\gamma\epsiloń\nuov[s] \mu\eta\tau\rhoòs Ta\pi\epsilon\tau\sigma\epsiloníplos
    \alpha\pi' 'O\xiv\rho\tilde{́}\gamma\chi\omega\nu \pió\lambda\epsilon\omegaS \chi\alphaí\rho\epsilon\iota\nu. ó\muо\lambdaó-
    \gamma\hat{\omega}}\dot{\alpha}\pi<̀े \tau0\hat{v} \nu\hat{v}\nu\dot{\alpha}\nu\tau\iota\lambda\etá\mu\psi\epsilon\sigma0\alpha\iota \tau\hat{\eta}
    10. [. .]T\etaS \alpha'\nu\alpha\gamma\rho\alphaфо\mu\epsiloń\nu\etas \epsilonis \tauòv \mu\epsilon\tau\eta\lambda-
[\lambda\alpha\chió\tau\alpha \sigmaov] \pi\alpha\tau\epsiloń\rho\alpha T\epsilon\epsilonิ\nu \pi\epsilon\rhoi \tauò П\epsilon\tau\epsilon\nu-
```

```

    \sigmaí\alphas \gamma\eta\s \pi\alphá\sigma\etas каi \alphȧ\pi\alpha\rho\epsilon\nuó\chi\lambda\eta\tauó\nu \sigma\epsilon
    ```



On the verso
\[
\pi[\cdot] \cdot \alpha \underset{\lambda}{\lambda} \quad \text { ) } T \alpha[
\]

'Apion son of Sarapion son of Ischyrion, his mother being Ammonous adopted daughter of Ballarus son of Pe ... is, of the city of Oxyrhynchus, to Ta. ... daughter of Teos son of Totoeus, her mother being Tsenaphunchis, of the village of Petenouris, with her guardian her husband Thonis son of Diogenes and Tapetsiris, of the city of Oxyrhynchus, greeting. I agree that I will henceforward undertake all the public land registered in the name of your departed father Teos at the village of Petenouris and Panechmothis, and that I will secure you against any trouble or liability in regard to all dues upon the said public land from the present 22 nd year of Antoninus Caesar the lord continually; while I make no claim to control any remaining part which may be found to belong to you of your father's estate, but only to have power to dispose of the land, since you have resigned the cultivation.'

 letter suggests \(a, \nu\), or possibly \(\chi\), but there would hardly be room for \(\chi[\rho(\epsilon)\) ias \(]\), which is not in itself very satisfactory. \(a[\hat{i}]\) \(\tau \hat{\eta} s\) could be read, but is also unconvincing.

19 sqq. Apion here seems to be renouncing claims to property other than the land, and the proposed restoration proceeds on that hypothesis. The desirability of such a stipulation is evident from a comparison of B. G. U. 648, where the claim to the petitioner's inheritance was based on the cultivation of her father's \(\beta\) aoı \(\lambda \iota \kappa \dot{\eta} \gamma \hat{\eta}\).


24. \(\sigma[v] \operatorname{có}^{\lambda}(\lambda a \gamma \mu a)\) is unsuitable.
1124. LEASE OF LAND.
\(16 \times 13.2 \mathrm{~cm}\).
A.D. 26.

The latter part of a lease of land for one year, the rent to be paid partly in wheat, but on green crops in money (ll. \(13-15\), note).
[. . . . . . . . .] . va . [














\(\lambda \iota \pi \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \nu \quad \tau \grave{\eta}[\nu \quad \gamma \epsilon] \omega \rho \gamma i ́ \alpha[\nu]\) X \(\omega \rho i s \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho о к \epsilon \iota \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu\)




\(\dot{\eta} \mu i \sigma \theta \omega \sigma\left[\iota s\right.\).] (光Tous) \(\iota \gamma\left[T_{\iota}\right] \beta \epsilon \rho i o v K a i ́ \sigma \alpha \rho o s \Sigma_{\epsilon} \beta \alpha a \tau o \hat{v}\) \(\Phi \alpha \omega \bar{\phi} \iota \lambda\).
2nd hand \(\triangle \iota o \nu v ́ \sigma \iota o s ~ \Theta e ́ \omega \nu o s ~ \mu \epsilon \mu i ́ \sigma \theta \omega к \alpha ~ к \alpha Ө o ́ t \iota ~ \pi \rho o ́ к є \iota \tau \alpha \iota . ~\)

' . . . the rent being free of all risk. If any demand be made upon the lessee for the government or for any other purpose on account of Dionysius or the land, the amount shall be deducted from the rent; and Dionysius and his agents shall retain the ownership of the crops until he recover the rent. The lease being guaranteed, the lessee shall pay to Dionysius the rent in the month Pauni of the said year at the threshing-floors of Isieum Tryphonis in wheat that is new, pure, unadulterated, and unmixed with barley, by the 4 -choenix measure of Ammonius son of Ptolemaeus, or shall forfeit as the value of every artaba which he does not pay . . . drachmae of silver and double the rent of the land sown with green produce, while the penalty for abandoning the cultivation, in addition to the amounts aforesaid, shall be 100 drachmae of silver and to the treasury an equal sum; and Dionysius shall have the right of execution upon the lessee or anyone he chooses and upon all his property as if in accordance with a legal decision. This lease is valid.' Date and signature of Dionysius.

3-5. Cf. P. Tebt. 105.48 and 277. 8-10, where \(\hat{\eta} \tau[\tilde{\eta}] s \gamma \hat{\eta} s\) is to be read, as here, before in]oдоүєіт \(\omega \iota\).
12. For similar measures cf. e. g. 101. 40, P. Tebt 376. 24, note, P. Strassb. I. 9, note.
\(\mathbf{1 3}^{-1} 5\). It may be inferred from this distinction between the rent in wheat and that on the land \(\mathfrak{a} \pi \dot{o} \xi \cup \lambda a \mu \eta{ }^{\prime} s\) that different categories of produce had been previously specified. \(\xi \cup \lambda a \mu \dot{\prime}\) and \(\xi \cup \lambda a \mu a ̂ \nu\) are almost always used of green crops like äpakos or \(\chi\) о́pтos.

15-16. тои̂ ס’ '̇̀ката入єтєì ктл. ; cf. 729. 20, P. Tebt. 105. 44, Berger, Strafklauschn, pp. 154-6, 162-4.
1125. Lease of Land and Loan.
\[
9.2 \times \text { II } \cdot 10 \mathrm{~cm} .
\]

Second century.
Part of a contract for a lease of some land, with an advance from one of the lessors of 200 drachmae at the usual rate of interest. The formula is similar to that of 101 and 501.

 нóvov тồ \(\Delta \iota o v a \sigma i o v ~ \pi \rho о \chi \rho \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s ~ \alpha ́ \rho \gamma u p i ́ o v ~ \delta \rho \alpha-~\)




 Х \(\mu \alpha \alpha \hat{o} \nu ~ \tau o ́ к о \nu, ~ \grave{\alpha} \kappa i ́ \nu \delta \nu \nu \alpha ~ \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha ~ \pi \alpha \nu \tau o ̀ s ~ к \iota \nu . ~\)

\(\chi \circ\left[s \gamma^{\epsilon}\right] \nu \eta \tau \alpha \iota, \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \epsilon \in \chi^{\theta} \eta \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \quad \tau \hat{\varphi} \quad \mu \epsilon \mu \iota \sigma \theta \omega\).

\(\tau \omega \nu\) тро̀s тoùs \(\mu \epsilon \mu \iota \sigma \theta \omega \kappa\) óтаs є́ка́тєроs ка-
\(\theta^{\prime}\) ò \(\mu \iota \sigma \theta 0 i ̂ \mu \epsilon ́ \rho o s\), oùs каì кvрtєúєt \(\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \rho\) -
\({ }_{15} \pi \hat{\omega} \nu{ }^{\prime \prime} \omega \varsigma \tau \grave{\alpha}\) ó \(\phi \epsilon \iota \lambda о ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha\) ко \(\boldsymbol{\prime} \sigma \omega \nu \tau \alpha \iota\). \(\beta \epsilon\).
[ \(\beta \alpha] \operatorname{cov} \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta s\) ठ̀ \(\tau \hat{\eta} s \quad \mu \iota \sigma \theta \omega \sigma \epsilon \omega s \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \rho \epsilon i \tau \omega\) ó


\(\hat{\omega} \nu \theta^{\prime} \mu \alpha\) каӨарò \(\nu \dot{\alpha} \pi o ̀ ~ \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \tau \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \delta o ́ \tau \omega ~ \tau 0 i ̂ s\)


[ \(\sigma \iota \nu \quad 22\) letters ] то́кọ[.......

'... half an artaba of wheat annually. The lessee further acknowledges the receipt from Dionysius singly of an advance of 200 drachmae of silver at the interest of a drachma per mina every month, from the present month Thoth, which sum together with the interest he will return to Dionysius in the month Pauni of the present year or will pay for the overtime interest at the same rate of one drachma, all free of all risk. If any part of the land is unirrigated from the present year, an allowance shall be made to the lessee. The annual taxes upon the land are to be borne by the lessors in proportion to their share of the land leased; and they shall have the ownership of the crop until they receive their dues. The lease being guaranteed, the lessee shall deliver annually at the public granary at his own expense the specified rent, while he shall place on deposit free of all deductions for the lessors, for each the rent of the part leased by him, every year at the time of the first measuring . . .'
1. The word before кат' ध̈tos was apparently not \(\pi \rho о \sigma \tau a \tau \iota \kappa o \hat{u}\) (cf. 590).
 should probably be restored in 501. 39-40.
 cf. 101. 33, P. Amh. 88. 24, \&Ec.; the same phrase, on the significance of which of. Preisigke, op. cit., p. 75, probably occurred in 501. 41-2.

\section*{1126. Lease of Land.}
\(17.4 \times 16.5 \mathrm{~cm}\). Fifth century.
Lease of four arourae for one year at the rent of 52 carats. The spelling of the document is very erratic.

\(\tau \hat{\eta} S \quad \sigma[\hat{\eta}] s \quad \pi \rho o \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma i ́ a s\) \(\gamma \eta \delta i i \omega \nu \quad \pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \pi \epsilon \delta i ́ \omega \nu\) \(\tau \bar{\eta} S\)




\(\lambda \iota \omega \tau\)






wरías \(\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}\) каì \(\tau \hat{\omega} \nu\) vi \(\pi \alpha \rho \chi^{o} \nu \tau \omega \nu \quad \dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu\)

- [.... \(\dot{\eta} \mu i] \sigma \theta(\omega \sigma \iota \varsigma)\) кvрí( \(\alpha)\) к \(\alpha i \quad \beta\langle\epsilon \beta\rangle \alpha i(\alpha)\) к \(\alpha i \quad \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \rho(\omega \tau \eta \theta \epsilon ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s)\) \({ }_{o} \mu(o \lambda o \gamma \eta \sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu)\). (2nd hand) Abं \(\rho \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota o \iota\)
[ \(\quad 17\) letters \(] \cdot[..] . s^{`} E[\rho \mu i] a s ~ к а i ̀ ~ \Pi \rho \alpha \nu i ́ \sigma \chi o \lambda o s ~ v i o ̀ s ~ \Phi o \iota \beta \alpha ́ \mu-~\)


On the verso

\section*{ist hand [}

\(\Sigma \epsilon \rho \eta ́ \nu[0] v\). [ \(\chi \rho(v \sigma o \hat{v}) \kappa \in \rho(\alpha ́ \tau \iota \alpha) \nu \beta\)



4. 1. \(\pi \epsilon \delta i o \nu\).
5. 1. \(\kappa \lambda \eta \eta_{\rho} \varphi\) 9. 1. ßоv \(\lambda \eta \theta \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu\). 10.



. . . I undertake of my free will to lease from you, from the land belonging to your patronage in the fields of the said village in the holding called that of Tsabatoiis, four arourae, total + arourae, by the measure of a fair measuring-line, the boundaries being on the south the land of the people of Tale, on the north that in your said patronage, on the west . . ., on the east . . ., to be sown with any kind of crop we choose, for the sowing of the auspicious 14 th indiction, at a fixed rent as agreed between us of 13 carats of gold on the private standard for each aroura, making together 52 carats of pure metal on the private standard; and we will pay the rent of these to you at the time of the collection of public taxes in the 14 th indiction without delay or dispute of any kind, at the risk of all our property, which is pledged to you for this purpose. The lease is valid and guaranteed, and in answer to the question we have given our consent.' Signatures of the lessees, and endorsement on the verso.
3. There are traces of ink after \(i \pi a \rho \chi \dot{\omega} \nu \tau \omega \nu\), though nothing is wanting for the sense.
 administrative area, and was perhaps of a similar kind to that concerned in 1134 ; but more probably \(\pi \rho o \sigma \tau a \sigma i a ~ h e r e ~ m e a n s ~ s i m p l y ~ p a t r o c i n i u m, ~ t h e ~ p a r t i e s ~ t o ~ t h e ~ c o n t r a c t ~ b e i n g ~ a ~\) patron and one of his dependents.
 \(\tau \rho \eta \sigma \iota \nu\). For Tá \(\lambda \eta\) or Ta入á , which was in the Kwít \(\eta s \tau o ́ \pi o s\) of the Heracleopolite nome, cf. P. Hibeh 36. 3, note. It is to be distinguished from the Oxyrhynchite Tadá.
\(8-9\). \(\lambda_{i} \delta \eta\) or \(\lambda_{i} \delta \eta\) s seems from its repetition to be a common, not a proper name. Possibly it is for \(\lambda_{\iota \tau} \dot{\eta}\) or \(-\hat{\eta} s\) as an equivalent of \(\psi \iota \lambda \dot{\eta}\); cf. Alexand. Aetol. ap. Athen. 296 c入ıтŋ̀ фúєı єïapı үaín.


12. iठı \(\omega \tau \iota \kappa\langle\bar{\varphi}\rangle\) : cf. 1138. 5, note.

16-1 7 . That \(\dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu\) is a misspelling for \(\dot{\eta} \mu \omega \bar{\omega}\) is indicated by the next line, of which the sense is fairly evident though the construction is obscure ; cf. the phrase found in 136.

 (cf. e.g. B. G. U. 740.9 ), which, however, is too long. \(\nu\) [ might be read in place of \(\dot{v} \pi[\).
19. 'E[pui]us is suggested by the verso, but the difference in the case makes the restoration doubtful. The third letter of the next name may be \(\omega\).

\section*{1127. Lease of a Pigeon-house.}
\[
28.1 \times 7.5 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { A.D. } 183 .
\]

Lease of an upper room with a pigeon-cote for four years at an annual rent of 60 drachmae. Cf. the fragmentary P. Flor. Io, where two \(\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon s\) and a \(\kappa\) кé \(\lambda \lambda a\) are let for 400 drachmae in the middle of the next century, and for the formula 502, 911-12, 1036, 1128.



\(\tau \eta \tau_{\epsilon} \sigma \sigma \alpha \rho \alpha\) à \(\pi \grave{o}\) vєov \(\mu \eta \nu i ́ \alpha s ~ \Theta \grave{\omega} \theta\)


Movх!
\(\epsilon \in \kappa \epsilon \hat{\imath} \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \alpha \sigma \grave{\nu} \nu \tau \hat{\eta}\)
тои́тоv клєímaкı \(\xi u \lambda i ̀ \eta \eta\), évol-
Io kíou каì фópov тои́т \(\omega \nu\) кат’ ध̈тоS


\(\beta \epsilon \beta \alpha \iota o v \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta s\) ठ̀̀ \(\tau \hat{\eta} S \mu \iota \sigma \theta \omega ́-\)
\(\sigma \epsilon \omega s\) Х \(\rho \alpha ́ \sigma \theta \omega\) ó \(\mu \epsilon \mu \tau \sigma \theta \omega \mu \epsilon ́ \nu\) оs






\(\tau \alpha, \kappa \alpha i \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha ̀\) тòv Хрóvov т \(\pi \alpha \rho-\)

\(\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \alpha\) बंs \(\epsilon \in \dot{\alpha} \nu \quad \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha ́ \beta \eta\)


\(\tau \eta ̀ \nu \dot{a} \xi i \alpha \nu, \quad \hat{~} \delta^{\prime}\) ä \(\nu \pi \rho o \sigma o \phi \epsilon i \lambda \epsilon-\)
\(\sigma \eta\) वंтотєเซáт \(\omega \quad \mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \iota o \lambda i ́ \alpha s\),


\(30 \alpha u ̉ \tau \underline{\varrho}\{\nu\} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu\). кvрía \(\dot{\eta} \mu i ́ \sigma \theta \omega \sigma \iota s\).
('єтоия) кү Aン̉токра́тороs Kaíбароs
Ма́ркоv Av́pŋ入íov Kо \(\mu\) о́סov
'A \(\nu \tau \omega \nu \epsilon\) ívov \(\Sigma \in \beta \alpha \sigma \tau о \hat{v}\) ' \(A \rho \mu \epsilon \nu \iota \alpha к о \hat{v}\)


\author{
 \\ 2nd hand \(\bar{\Pi}\) ои́тлıos Ov́étтıos \(\Delta l o y \epsilon ́-\) \(\nu \eta\) s \(\mu \epsilon \mu i \sigma \theta \omega \mu \alpha \iota\) Tò \(\nu \pi \epsilon\) \(\rho \iota \sigma \tau \in \rho \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \alpha\) каi \(\tau \grave{o}\langle\nu\rangle \dot{v} \pi[\epsilon \rho \hat{\varphi}-\)


}
 19. a of \(\epsilon \xi a \mu \eta \nu o v\) corr. from \(\eta\). 20. s of \(\delta \rho a \chi \mu a s\) corr. \(\quad 25\). o corr. 1. \(\eta\) o ồ üv or ổ \(\delta^{\prime \prime}\) ä".
'Apollonius son of Sosus son of Sosus, of the city of Oxyrhynchus, has let to Publius Vettius Diogenes for four years from the first day of Thoth of the coming 24 th year the upper room of the house belonging to him at Mouchinor and the pigeon-house there with its wooden ladder at an annual rent and revenue of 60 drachmae of silver free of all risk. The lease being guaranteed, the lessee shall use the room and the pigeon-house for the four years without hindrance, and shall pay the rent annually in two half-yearly instalments of 30 drachmae, and at the end of the term shall return the said room and the pigeon-house in the condition in which he receives them and the two doors and one key attached, or shall pay the value of anything that he does not restore, and shall forfeit one and a half times the amount of any sum owing, right of execution lying against him and all his property. This lease is valid.' Date and signature of Publius Vettius Diogenes.
7. The village of Movxıш \(\dot{\rho}\), which is mentioned in 491. 3 and 895, is probably meant. Mouxt was apparently originally written, the \(\sigma\) being afterwards crossed through and then converted into a \(\nu\). Moûxıs was another Oxyrhynchite village.

\section*{1128. Lease of a Dining-ROOM.}

A lease of a dining-room ( \(\sigma v \mu \pi \delta \sigma \iota \nu\) ) and a store-chamber within it for two years at a rent of 20 drachmae per annum. Cf. 1129, B. G. U. 253, P. Strassb. 14 ; the formula resembles that of 1127.
' \(E \mu i ́ \sigma \theta(\omega \sigma \epsilon \nu) X \alpha \iota \rho \eta{ }^{\prime}(\mu \omega \nu)\) ò \(\kappa(\alpha i)\) ' \(1 \mu \mu \omega\) нитоs \(\Theta\) є́-
\(\omega \nu \mu \eta \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \Theta \epsilon \alpha \nu 0 u ̂ \tau o s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s\) каi \(\Sigma^{\prime} \iota \nu \theta \omega ́ \nu l o s ~ \alpha ́ \pi ' ~ ' O \xi v \rho u ́ \gamma \chi \omega \nu \pi o ́-\) \(\lambda \epsilon \omega s\) ' \(A \mu \mu \omega \nu i ́ \omega \quad \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \theta \epsilon\) '-


'A \(\mu \mu \omega ́ \nu\) vos \(\tau \hat{\omega} \quad \mu \in \mu \imath \sigma \theta \omega\) -
ко́ть ' \(A \mu \mu \omega \nu i ́ \varphi\) т \(\hat{\varphi}\) каi \(\Theta \epsilon\) '-

autô \(\chi \omega \rho i s ~ \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \theta \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma\),
\(\kappa \alpha i ̀ \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ X \rho o ́ v o \nu ~\)
тараסót \(\omega\) тoùs Tónous
\(\kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho o\) vis \(^{\alpha} \pi\) ò̀ котрíwv
 óyסóns каi єiкádos той ơ \(\nu \tau о s\) \(\mu \eta \nu o ̀ s ~ \Phi \alpha \rho \mu o \hat{v} \theta_{l} \tau 0 \hat{v} \epsilon \in \epsilon \sigma \tau \hat{\omega}-\) io тos трıбкаıঠєка́тоv є้тоиs Av́p \(\lambda\) íov 'A \(\lambda \tau \omega \nu\) ivou Kaíбароs

 тò \(\sigma u \mu \pi o ́ \sigma \iota o \nu ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \grave{\epsilon} \nu \tau o ̀ s\)
 тоs \(\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \hat{\omega} \nu\) єikобt, \(\hat{\alpha} s \quad \dot{\alpha} \pi о-\) סóт \(\omega\) ò \(\mu \in \mu \tau \sigma \theta \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu 0 s\)

25 каi \(\pi \alpha ́ \sigma \eta s ~ \dot{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \sigma i \alpha s\)
каi \(\tau \grave{\alpha} s\) ध́ \(\pi \iota \kappa \epsilon \iota \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \alpha s\) \(\theta\) ú-

\(\sigma \iota s\). (ётоиs) ıу Aútoкра́тороs
Kaíбароs Ма́ \(к[о] \cup\)
30 Ájp \(\lambda i ́ o v ~ ' A \nu \tau \omega \nu i v o v\)
\(\Sigma \in \beta \alpha \sigma \tau о \hat{v}\) ' \(А \rho \mu \epsilon \nu \iota \alpha \kappa о \hat{v}\)
МПঠıкой ПарӨıкой
Гєриалıкой Mєуібтои
\(\left[\Phi_{\alpha} \rho \mu \sigma \hat{v}\right] \theta_{l} \kappa \eta\).
1. \(\epsilon \mu \epsilon \theta(\omega \sigma \epsilon \nu) \ldots k(a \iota)\) and \(\theta \epsilon\) in a different hand over an erasure. \(\epsilon \mu \tau \sigma \omega \sigma \sigma \nu \quad a \mu \mu \omega \nu\) os o кає \(\theta \in\) was no doubt originally written; cf. l. 19. \(20 . \epsilon\) of єкagtov corr. from т. \(\quad 23\). \(\pi\) of tomovs corr.
'Chaeremon also called Ammonius Theon, his mother being Theanous also called Sinthonis, of the city of Oxyrhynchus, has let to Ammonius, freedman of Sarapion also called Theogenes, of the said city, for a term of two years from the 28th day of the present month Pharmouthi of the current \(\mathbf{I}^{\text {th }}\) year of Aurelius Antoninus Caesar the lord, from the house belonging to him in the village of Sepho, a dining-room and the store-chamber within it at an annual rent of 20 drachmae, which the lessee Ammonius shall pay to the lessor Ammonius also called Theon at the end of each year without delay, and at the end of the term he shall restore the rooms free of filth and dirt of all kind, and the doors and keys attached. This lease is valid.' Date.
1129. Lease of Dining-Rooms.
\[
3 I \cdot 7 \times 19.5 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { A.D. } 449
\]

Lease of two dining-rooms at the rent of \(12,000,000\) denarii, the contract to last during the pleasure of the lessor ; cf. 1037.
```

    M\epsilon\tau\grave{\alpha} \tau\età\nu v̇\pi\alpha\tauí\alpha\nu \Phi\lambda\alphaoví\omega\nu Z\etá\nu\omega\nuоs к\alphai \Piо\sigmaтоv\mu\iota\alpha\nuо\hat{v}
    \tau\hat{\nu}\nu\lambda\alpha\mu\pi\rhoо\tau\alphá\tau\omega\nu T\hat{v}\beta\iota к\delta.
    ```



```

\epsilońкоv\sigmaí\omegas \epsiloń\pi\iota\delta'́\ellо\mu\alpha\iota \mu\iota\sigma0\omegä\sigma\alpha\sigma0\alpha\iota \alphả\piò v\epsilonv\mu\eta\nui\alphas

```




 бíws ápyvpiov \(\mu v \rho i \alpha ́ \delta a s ~ X i \lambda i a s ~ \delta ı \alpha к о \sigma i \alpha s, ~ \ddot{\alpha} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho ~ \dot{\alpha} \pi о-\)


\({ }^{1} 5\) кирía \(\dot{\eta} \mu i ́ \sigma \theta \omega \sigma t s ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \epsilon \rho \omega т \eta \theta \epsilon i s ~ \dot{\omega} \mu о \lambda o ́ \gamma \eta \sigma \alpha\).


 \(\mu \grave{\eta}\) єió[óтos.]
\(20 \quad+\) di emu No . . osios . .

'The year after the consulship of Flavius Zeno and Flavius Postumianus the most illustrious, Tubi 24. To Aurelia Mikis daughter of Theodorus, of the illustrious and most illustrious city of Oxyrhynchus, from Aurelius Phoebammon son of Artemidorus, of the said city. I undertake of my free will to lease from the first day of the next month Mecheir of the current 125 th which \(=\) the \(94^{\text {th }}\) year and of the present second indiction two rooms or dining-rooms belonging to you in a house situated in the said city in the quarter of Small Teumenouthis with all appurtenances, and I will pay in rent annually 1,200 myriads of silver, which I will deliver annually in half yearly instalments of one-half, and whenever you wish I will surrender the said rooms without delay. The lease is valid, and in answer to the question I have given my consent.' Signature of Aurelius Phoebammon written for him by another person in Greek, and of the scribe in Latin.
1. Rufius Praetextatus Postumianus has apparently not been called Flavius elsewhere.
10. The ä \(\mu \phi o \delta o \nu\) T \(\epsilon \nu \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma v \theta \epsilon \omega s\) is well known, but this is the first mention of the Mıкрà Tє \(\epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu o \hat{\theta} \theta\) ts.
19. fióóros was perhaps abbreviated \(\epsilon i \delta\).

\section*{1130. Loan of Money.}
\[
30.8 \times 19 \mathrm{~cm} .
\]
A. D. 484 .

Contract for a loan of ro solidi for a period of about six months, the interest consisting of twenty bundles of tow. The document is written in a well-formed upright hand, but in very illiterate Greek.
\(\chi \mu \gamma\)
\(M \epsilon \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ ن ́ \pi \pi a \tau \epsilon i \alpha\langle\nu\rangle \Phi \lambda\) (aovíov) T \(T \omega \omega \kappa \omega ́ \nu \delta \eta\) тô̂ \(\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho o \tau \alpha ́ \tau o v\)






10 X \(\rho v \sigma o \hat{v} \nu[0 \mu] \iota \sigma \mu \alpha ́ \tau \iota \alpha \quad \delta \epsilon \sigma \pi о \tau \iota[k] \dot{\alpha} \quad \delta \omega ́ \kappa \epsilon[\iota] \mu \alpha \quad \epsilon \cup ้ \sigma \tau \alpha \theta \mu \alpha \quad \dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \hat{\alpha}\)
 ठıaф́́pou


 кıขס́v́vov















 ist hand


On the verso
то́коч \(\mu[. .\).\(] . каі \alpha\). [. . .







 1. \(\Sigma \epsilon \nu 0 \times \omega \dot{\mu} \mu(\epsilon \omega \overline{)}\).
'The year after the consulship of Flavius Troconda the most illustrious, Pachon 9, 7 th indiction. Aurelius Abraham son of Ision and Sophia, of the village of Senokomis in the Oxyrhynchite nome, to Aurelius Isaac son of Nilus, of the capital city Alexandria, dealer, greeting. I acknowledge, my former bond remaining valid and secure, that I have now received from you as a loan from hand to hand out of your house for my own pressing need ten solidi of gold of the genuine Imperial coinage, of full weight and unalloyed, total io solidi of gold, as a capital sum, and for interest upon it up to the term herein following I will pay twenty bundles of tow, pure and satisfactory, according to the weight of the village, total 20 bundles. This sum I will perforce repay to you free of all risk in the month Phaophi of the current 16 ist which \(=\) the I30th year, at the beginning of the eighth indiction, without delay or dispute of any kind, with the condition that it shall not be lawful for me to say that I have paid any of the aforesaid debt without a written deed or receipt or before the recovery and annulment of this my bond. If at the expiry of the term I do not make the payment to you and you are willing at my request to grant me another term, I will pay you this sum with the same interest for the overtime, and you shall have the right of execution upon me and all my property. This bond, of which a single copy is made, is valid, and in answer to the question I have given my consent.' Signature of Aurelius Abraham written for him by Banos, deacon, signature of the scribe, and endorsement on the verso.
1. \(\chi^{\mu \gamma}\) : cf. 940 . 1, note.
2. Cf. Cod. Just. iv. 59. 2 post consulatum Trocondae; he is commonly called Trocondus. The nomen Flavius appears to be new.
3. There is an inconsistency between this date and the year of the indiction in 1.2. Pachon 9 of the year after the consulship of Trocondus is May 4, A.D. 483, but Pachon 9 of the 7 th indiction is May 4, A.D. 484. The number of the indiction year is supported by i \(\gamma \delta \dot{\sigma} \dot{\sigma}_{s}\) in 1.16 and confirmed by the years of the Oxyrhynchite eras in the same line, where, though eiveatatov (sic) must be an error for eiroóros (cf. the note ad loc.), it is implied that the current year was A.D. 484 , not 483 . Toîs rò \(\beta\) ر \(\mu \epsilon \tau\) ó should therefore have been written in 1. 2, if the name of the consul for A.D. 484 , Theodericus, who occurs in Cod. Just. i. 3. 36, \&c., on April 28, was not generally known.
4. \(\Sigma \epsilon \nu о \kappa \bar{\omega} \mu / s\) is mentioned in 47. г 6 and 740.37, where \(\Sigma \epsilon \nu о \kappa \dot{\omega} \mu[\epsilon] \omega s\) should be read.
6. \(\mu \epsilon \gamma \mathrm{a} \lambda \omega \pi\) ó \(\boldsymbol{c}_{\epsilon o s: ~ c f . ~ P . ~ L e i p z i g ~} 45.13\) as corrected by Wilcken, Archiv iii. p. 565 , with his supplementary note in Archiv iv. p. 189, P. Cairo Cat. 67030. i. 3, ii. ro, and

 Wessely, Stud. Pal. i. p. 7. (2) 13.
12. \(\sigma \iota \pi \pi i o v\), for which cf. P. Brit. Mus. 239. 18, 979. 13, B. G. U. ı080. 18, Wessely, Altersindiz. im Philogelos, p. 29, is no doubt a vulgar spelling of \(\sigma \tau \iota \pi\) iov or \(\sigma \tau v \pi \pi(\epsilon)\) iov, as suggested by Wilcken, Archiv i. p. 556. For other instances of interest in kind cf. P. Grenf. II. 90, B. G. U. 740. A verb like \(\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \sigma\) has to be supplied both here and in 1. 29.

 perhaps \(\langle\bar{a}\rangle\) should be inserted.

I5-16. Numerous instances show that the year by the eras of Oxyrhynchus began, like the ordinary Egyptian year, on Thoth I ; cf. introd. to 125. Since the contract is dated in Pachon (1.3), to speak of the coming 'Phaophi of the present year' is a contradiction, and \(\dot{\epsilon}^{\prime} \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \omega \tau o v\) must be a slip for ciซıóvтos. Though the remains of the figures after \(\rho \xi\) are very slight there is little real doubt about the reading, and I consider \(\rho \xi \rho \kappa \theta\) to be inadmissible; cf. the note on l. 2. The reference to Phaophi as the \(\alpha \rho \chi \dot{\eta}\) of the new indiction, which usually began in Egypt in the latter part of Pauni, is not to be taken strictly.

32. кai \(\nu\). [: or perhaps кaıvo \(\hat{v}\), though this adjective is not used in the contract.
33. This line is written in blacker ink but apparently by the same hand. The supposed chrism may perhaps be an abbreviation of \(\gamma \rho a \mu \mu a \tau i o v\).
1131. Promissory Note.
\(30.2 \times 10.1 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad\) Fifth century.
An acknowledgement of a debt of 2 solidi less 8 carats, being the purchase money for some wine which had been delivered but not paid for; cf. 914. The writing is across the fibres of the recto.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { [. . . . .] . [.] } \mu[\cdot] \text { ]o大ıs oivoX } \in \iota(\rho \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\eta})
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha \rho \in \tau[\hat{\eta}] s \text { к } \alpha i \quad \chi \rho \in \omega \sigma \tau \hat{\omega}
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \pi \rho \alpha \theta \epsilon ́ \nu \tau o s ~ \mu о \iota ~ \pi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀ ~ \sigma o[\hat{v} \\
& \chi \rho[\nu] \sigma o \hat{v} \quad \nu \circ \mu \iota \sigma \mu \alpha ́ \tau \iota \alpha
\end{aligned}
\]
```

    \deltav́o \piu\rho\alphà к\in\rho\alphá\tau\iota\alpha
    óк\tau白: \gammaí(\nu\epsilon\tau\alphal) \chi\rho(v\sigmao\hat{v}) \nuo(\mu\iota\sigma\mu\alpha'\tau\iota\alpha) \beta \pi(\alpha\rho\grave{\alpha}) к\in\rho(\alphá\tau\iota\alpha) \eta,
    10 к\alphai \tau\alphav̂\tau\alpha \epsiloṅ\tauо[i`]\mu\omegas
\epsilon}\chi\mp@subsup{\chi}{}{\omega}\pi\alpha\rho\alpha\sigma\chi\epsilon\hat{\imath}\nu\tau\hat{\eta}\sigma\hat{\eta

```

```

    \tau0\hat{v}\epsilon\ell\nu\deltaó\xi(ov) oíкov
    \alpha}\nuv\pi\epsilon\rho0'́\tauos
    `ॅ \epsilon่\gamma\rho\alpháф(\eta) \mu\eta\nui Паvิ\nu\iota 0
i\nu\delta(\iotaктí\omega\nuOS) 人. ó aú\tauòs 'Iov\lambdal\alpha\nuòs
\nuо\mu\iotaк\alphá\rho(\iotaos) \sigmav\mu\phi\omega(\nu\hat{\omega}) \tauò
\pi\iota\tau\tau\alpháк(\iotao\nu) \dot{@s \pi\rhoók(\epsilon\iota\tau\alpha\iota).}
2-3. 1. \pia\rhoà 'Iov\lambdalavov̂ or 'Iov\lambdalavòs vo\muuk(áplos). 14. 1. ảvv\pi\epsilon\rho0ét\omegas.

```
'To..., wine-dealer of the honourable house, from Julianus, lawyer. I have from your excellency and owe to you, for the price of wine sold to me by you, two solidi of gold less eight carats, total 2 solidi of gold less 8 carats, and this sum I am ready to pay your excellency at the collection of arrears of the honourable house without delay. Written on the 9 th of the month Pauni, ist indiction. I, the said Julianus, lawyer, assent to the deed as above.'
r-3. Julianus, who signs the acknowledgement, is naturally regarded as the debtor, so
 in 138. 10,154 . 10.




 note was mistaken, B. G. U. 799. 2-3 \(\sigma \nu \mu \phi(\omega \nu \hat{\omega})\) каì крı \(\hat{\omega} \nu\) à \(\rho \tau \dot{\beta} \beta \eta \nu \mu i \alpha \nu\). The letters \(\phi \omega\) are written as a monogram, the \(\omega\) through the tail of the \(\phi\).

\section*{1132. Repayment of a Loan.}
\[
9.4 \times 7.5 \mathrm{~cm} .
\]

About A.D. 162.
Acknowledgement of the return of a loan of 600 drachmac, which was being repaid before it was due. This promptness may be explained by the fact that the interest charged was at double the normal rate.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { इ]ap } \alpha \pi()
\end{aligned}
\]
\(\lambda \epsilon \omega s T_{\rho}[\underline{v}] \phi \omega \nu \iota{ }^{\text {＇}}{ }^{H} \rho \alpha \kappa \lambda \epsilon i \delta \delta[0] v \tau[0 \hat{v} \ldots\)5 \(\omega \nu\) оs \(\mu \eta \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ T \alpha \alpha \pi o \lambda \lambda \omega \nu i ́ \delta o[v ~ \alpha ́ \pi o ̀ ~\)\(\tau \hat{\eta} S\) aủ \(\hat{\eta}[s] \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega S\) X \(\alpha i ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu . ~ \dot{o} \mu[0 \lambda o \gamma \hat{\omega}\)\(\sigma i \omega s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \pi \rho o \theta \epsilon \sigma \mu i ́[\alpha] s\) ठià \(\tau \bar{\eta} s\)＇̇ \(\pi i\) той
    \(\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ ’ O \xi v \rho u ́ \gamma \chi \omega \nu\) тó \({ }^{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \epsilon \iota}\) इ \(\alpha \rho \alpha \pi \epsilon\) íou Фаvío[v
Іо \(\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \iota \epsilon \rho \alpha \tau \epsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau о s\) к \(\alpha \grave{~ П \tau о \lambda \epsilon \mu \alpha i ́ o v ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu}\)
    \(\sigma \grave{v}\) Av̉ \(\alpha \alpha \sigma i ́ \omega ~ \Pi \alpha u \lambda \epsilon i ́ v \varphi ~ є ́ \pi \iota \tau \eta \rho[\eta-\)

    кобías кєфа入аiov каi тoùs тоúт \(\omega \nu\)

\({ }_{5}{ }_{5} \delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \hat{\omega} \nu\) סúo, тò \(\delta \grave{\epsilon}\) кєфá入alov \(\delta \alpha\) -


    \(\nu \alpha \iota S\) тov̂ \(\delta \in u \tau \in ́ f \rho o v\) ětous 'Avt由vívou

20 [ \(\epsilon\) is \(\pi \rho 0] \theta \epsilon \sigma[\mu i ́ \alpha \nu\)
\[
\text { 16. } \ddot{u} \pi \text { Рар. }
\]
＇Chaeremon son of Sarapion son of ．．．his mother being Taplutas，of the city of Oxyrhynchus，to Tryphon son of Heraclides son of ．．．on，his mother being Taapollonides， of the said city，greeting．I acknowledge that I have received from you，who are making payment of your own accord before the appointed term，through the bank at the Serapeum at Oxyrhynchus of Phanias，ex－chief priest，and Ptolemaeus，the overseers associated with Audasius Paulinus，the capital sum of six hundred silver drachmae with the interest thereon up to the present time at the rate of two drachmae per month，which sum was lent you by me in accordance with a note of hand through the said bank on the intercalary days of the second year of Antoninus and Verus，lords and Emperors，until ．．．＇

I．\(\Sigma] a \rho a \pi(~)\) ，if right，might refer to the Serapeum（1．9）；but the reading is very doubtful，and Japas or ja̧as would be also possible．］\(\tau \rho a \pi(\epsilon \zeta\) ．．．）is not suitable．

4．A short name such as＇\(A \pi i \mid \omega \nu o s\) or＇\(\Omega \rho i \mid \omega \nu o s\) is required．
8－12．The bank at the Serapeum is in several papyri called after the names of



 37－8．The present passage is peculiar in describing the bank as that of the \(\epsilon \pi \iota \tau \eta \rho \eta \tau a i\). In
the note on 513.37 it was suggested that the persons who successively gave their names to

 theory that they were only mentioned in 91 because at the time there was no tpart Siins (Wilcken, Archiv v. p. 212, note 4, Preisigke, Girowesen, pp. 21-3) becomes less plausible. є̇ \(\pi \iota \tau \eta \rho \eta \tau a i\) of banks at Hermopolis occur in P. Flor. I. 3, P. Strassb. 52. 3.
 were the usual charge in the second and first centuries b.c. upon overdue loans, e.g. P. Amh. 50 . 19, B. G. U. \(1053 \cdot 3^{8-9}\), but are found in ordinary loans, as here, in B. G. U. \(105^{2} .43,1056\). 9. \&c. (reign of Augustus). In B. G. U. II 45 (в. С. 5) interest at the rate of 8 obols occurs.
1133. RECEIPT.
\[
2_{7} \cdot 6 \times 18 \mathrm{~cm}
\]
A.D. 396 .

A receipt for the price of some fruit sold by a grower to a dealer. An acknowledgement of indebtedness had previously been made by the latter at the time of the sale (cf. e.g. 1131), but this could not now be found and handed back to him, and therefore the present document was drawn up releasing him from further obligations in the matter.
\(M \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu\) íтатíav \(\Phi \lambda \alpha o v i ́ \omega \nu\) 'O \(\lambda \nu \mu \beta\) piov каi \(\Pi_{\rho о \beta i \nu o v ~}\) \(\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho о \tau \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu \quad \Phi \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu \grave{\omega} \theta\) к \(\eta\).














\(\gamma \rho(\alpha ́ \mu \mu \alpha \tau \alpha) \mu \eta े\) єióóтos.

On the verso
\[
\dot{\alpha} \pi o \times \eta \text { Xp }
\]
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \(\omega \theta\) corr. & , & 1. \(\pi \rho \iota \mu \iota \pi \iota \lambda a \rho i \omega \nu\). &  \\
\hline 7. 1. \(\dot{\sigma} \pi \omega \rho \circ \pi \dot{\omega} \lambda \eta\). & 8. 1. \(\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta\) ] \(\rho \hat{\sigma} \theta\) au. &  & - of ovoíva corr. from ¢! \\
\hline  & . 1. \(\pi\) apanєтrшкє́vat & I. 13.1. roûto. & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
' The year after the consulship of Flavius Olybrius and Flavius Probinus the most illustrious, Phamenoth 28. Aurelius John son of Sarmates, fruit-gardener of Heracleopolis, now living at the village of Nesus Limenius, ex-primipilarius, to Aurelius Artemidorus son of Calopus, of Arsinoïtonpolis, living at the illustrious and most illustrious city of Cxyrhynchus, fruit-dealer, greeting. I acknowledge that I have received from you and have been paid in full the four gold solidi less 600 myriads due for the price of the produce of the said village, and I have no claim upon you in respect of this, and I make and will make no charge against you; and since your bond has been lost and cannot be found I declare that it is null and [void] both for me and every one producing it, and I have issued this receipt for your security and in answer to the question have given my consent.' Signatures of Aurelius John, written for him by Aurelius Theodore, and of the scribe, and title on the verso.
I. The brothers Olybrius and Probinus do not seem to have been given elsewhere the name Flavius.
3. For \(\pi \omega \mu a \rho i \tau \eta s\) cf. e. g. B. G. U. 643 . I. The adjective \(\pi \omega \mu a \rho \iota \tau \iota \kappa\) ós is found in B. G. U. 900. 24.
5. à à̀ \(\pi \rho ı\langle\mu\rangle \pi \iota \lambda a \rho i \omega \nu\) : cf. P. Flor. 7 I. 697, 7 13, P. Leipzig 41. I.
\(8-9\). This great depreciation of the \(\mu v p a^{\prime}\) at the end of the fourth century is somewhat surprising. The passage does not necessarily prove that 600 myriads were less than a single solidus (cf. e. g. ll38. 6-8), but they must have at least been considerably less than four. Late in the Byzantine period the value of the uvpás was very much lower than this (Wessely, Allersindiz. im Philogelos, pp. 45-6), but for the fourth century the ratio of 1: 110 (ibid. pp. \(3^{2-3}\) ) seems to be the highest that has previously occurred.
 Mus. 918. 22-3 каi \(\phi \eta \sigma \iota \nu \pi[a] \rho a \pi \epsilon \pi \tau \omega \kappa^{\mathcal{E} \nu а \iota .}\)

17. \(\Theta\). [.] \({ }^{\text {pov }}\) perhaps represents some misspelling of \(\Theta є о \delta \dot{\omega} \rho о v\).
18. The last few letters of the signature are a mere scribble, with a \(\rho\) or \(\phi\) at the end.
1134. Official Receipt for Rents.
\[
29.6 \times 30.5 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { A.D. } 42 \mathrm{I}
\]

A receipt and discharge given to an agent by an official who was in the department of the Imperial domains (cf. note on 11. 3-4) for rents collected during the preceding two years from local cultivators. A contract of a kind similar to 136, the phraseology of which is recalled by 1134 (cf. note on 11. 7-10), had probably been previously engaged in by the agent. The document, which might have been included in section (a), is well written in a large and clear hand.
 тò \(\theta^{\prime}\) каì
\(\Phi \lambda[\alpha] 0 v i ́ o v ~ K \omega \nu \sigma \tau \alpha \nu \tau i ́ o v ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \gamma ' ~ \tau o ̂ ~ \lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho о \tau \alpha ́ т о v ~ \pi \alpha т \rho е к i ́ o v ~ \Phi \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu \grave{\omega} \theta\) §.





\(\kappa \omega ́ \mu \eta s\) каì ä \(\lambda \lambda \omega \nu\) тó \(\pi \omega \nu\) à \(\pi о \pi \lambda \eta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \chi^{\omega} \rho \alpha \nu \quad \pi \rho о \nu о \eta \tau о \hat{v} \kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi i ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon l \nu\)





 ìdıктíonos каì
 \(N \in \sigma \mu i-\)

 \(\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi o \chi \grave{\eta} \nu\)
\(\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \nu \quad \gamma \rho \alpha \phi(\epsilon \hat{i} \sigma \alpha \nu) \kappa \alpha i \quad \epsilon \pi \epsilon \rho(\omega \tau \eta \theta \epsilon i s) \quad \dot{\omega} \mu 0 \lambda(o ́ \gamma \eta \sigma \alpha)\).
I. ӥжатєเav Pap. 2. \(\phi \lambda[a]\) oviou Pap. ; so in l. 3. 3. vïos Pap. ; so in l. 5. 7.


- The year after the consulship of our lord Theodosius, eternal Augustus, for the ninth time, and of Flavius Constantius, most illustrious patrician, for the third time, Phamenoth 7. Flavius Phoebammon son of Diogenes, ex-member of the body-guard, administrator for the divine house, of the illustrious and most illustrious city of Oxyrhynchus, to Aurelius Maximinus son of Ammon, of the said city, greeting. I acknowledge that I have been paid in full the rents of every sort, whether in kind or money, which you undertook to collect from the responsible cultivators in the administrative district of the village Nesmimis and other places, discharging the function of an agent in faithful accord with the list of dues handed to you by me and in method corresponding to the account given by you of receipt and expenditure in the two past second and third indictions; and that for the future I have no count against you and neither make nor will make any charge against you in respect of produce or money or dues of any other sort or kind of those which you undertook to collect in the said second indiction and in the past third indiction from the responsible cultivators of the village Nesmimis and the other accompanying lands belonging to the divine house and under my administration; and for your security I have issued to you this receipt, of which a single copy has been made, and in response to the question I have given my consent.'



סıoккิ» . . . oikias: the precise status of this official is not clear, but he was evidently concerned with the royal estates, the \(\theta\) etorár \(\eta\) oixia meaning doubtless the Imperial house. Cf. P. Brit. Mus. 234, a letter written in A.d. 346 by an \(\epsilon \pi i \tau \rho(o \pi o s) \delta \epsilon \sigma \pi o \tau \kappa(\hat{\omega} \nu) \kappa \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu\)
 \([0]\) ]ikov Kaíatpos, P. Cairo Cat. 67024.7 , \&c. Whether \(\delta \iota o \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu\) here implies deputed functions, as in P. Klein. Form. 1010 and probably in 901. 3, may be doubted.




 modelled the administration of its property upon that of the Imperial domains. For проvoптov̀ cf. e.g. 1147. 17, 19, and Gelzer, Byzant. Verw. p. 87. The village of Nesmimis is mentioned in 1053. 21 .

\section*{（c）TAXATION．}

1135．RECEIPT FOR Anabolicum．
\[
9.8 \times 9.2 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Third century }
\]

This and the following papyrus are receipts for \(\dot{a} v a \not \beta o \lambda \iota \kappa o ́ v\) or，as it is termed in 1195，íєò̀ àvaßo入ıкóv，issued in the one case by collectors（ámaıт \(\quad\) таí）in the other by an＇assistant＇（ \(\beta\) op \(\theta\) ós）．The first receipt，which seems to have been written about the middle of the third century，is for a money payment，the second，dated A．D． 420 ，is for four tunics．Apparently the only other papyrus mentioning the anabolicum is P．Théad．Inv． 15 （the reference to which I owc to Wilcken ；cf．Archio iv．p．185），a receipt issued in A．D． 324 by the àmoঠéктає入ívov tov̂ \(i \epsilon \rho o \hat{v}\) àvaßoдıкov̂ for 50 pounds of flax．The name has also occurred on some leaden tablets，and in the Edict of Julius Alexander，C．I．G． \(4957=\) Dittenberger，Or．Gr．Inscr．669． 2 I àvaßо入ıка̀ єìдфóбı є̀к ть̂̂ фíбкоv；cf．Vopiscus， Aurel． 45 vectigal cx Aegypto urbi Romae Aurelianus vitri，chartae，lini，stuppae atque anabolicas species aeternas constituit．On the nature of this impost see Rostowzew＇s discussion in Mitt．d．Arch．Inst．，Röm．Abth．1896，pp． 317 sqq．， Woch．Klass．Phil．1900， 115 ；he points out that the commoditics mentioned by Vopiscus were，with corn，for which there was the special word annona，the principal exports of Egypt（àvaßád \(\lambda \epsilon t \nu=\) to lade a ship），and describes the ratio anabolica as the taxes upon a certain group of monopolized Egyptian industries．
```

    \Deltal\epsilon\gamma\rho\alphá\phi(\eta\sigma\alpha\nu) \alpha}\pi\pi\alphal\tau\eta\tau\alphaî
    i\in\rhoov̂ \alpha'\nu\alpha\betao\lambdack(o\hat{v}) ơvó(\mu\alpha\tauos) к\lambda\eta\rho(ovó\mu\omegav ?)
    \sum\alpha\rho\alpha\pi\hat{\alpha}}\mp@subsup{\delta}{\iota}{(\alpha)
    \delta\rho\alpha\chi\mu\grave{\alpha}s\pi\epsilon\nu\tau\tilde{\eta}ко\nu\tau\alpha
    5 "̈\xi, / (\delta\rho\alpha\chi\mu\alphai) \nuц.
(\epsilon'тоия) € \Phi\alpha\mu\epsilonv[\omega]|0 \imath0.

```

```

[\sigma\epsilon\sigma\eta(\mu\ini}\omega\mu\alpha\iota)
4. 1. \deltaра\chi\muаі.

```
＇Paid to the collectors of the sacred anabolicum on account of the heirs of Sarapas through his wife，fifty－six drachmae，total 56 dr ．Fifth year，Phamenoth 19．Signed by me，Aurelius Antonius Alexander．＇

2．ífpoù means Imperial ；the annona is similarly called iefó，e．g．Wilcken，Ost．682．3， 1019．3．At the end of the line \(\kappa \lambda \eta \rho\left(o v o \sigma_{\mu} \omega \nu\right)\)（Wilcken）is preferable to \(\kappa \lambda \dot{\eta} \rho(o \nu)\) ．

\section*{1138. RECEIPT FOR Anabolicum.}
```

I5.I XIO.2 cm. A. D. }420

```

Another later receipt for à \(\nu a \beta o \lambda \iota \kappa o ́ v\), on which see introduction to the preceding papyrus. The writing is across the fibres of the verso, the recto containing part of a much effaced list of payments in vouı \(\sigma \mu a ́ \tau \iota a\), headed \({ }^{\epsilon} X X(\epsilon \sigma\) ) . .

```

    इap \(\mu \alpha ́ \tau o v ~ \beta o \eta(\theta o \hat{v}) . \quad\) є́ \(\delta \epsilon \xi \dot{\alpha} \mu \eta \eta \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀ ~ \Theta \epsilon ́ \epsilon \nu \nu\langle o s\rangle\)
    \(\alpha i \gamma \epsilon \omega \theta \dot{\eta} \tau \eta s \quad \dot{v} \pi \grave{\epsilon} \rho \quad \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \beta 0 \lambda \iota k 0 u\)
    ```

```

$5 \gamma^{\prime}(\nu \in \tau \alpha l) \sigma \tau l \chi(\alpha ́ \rho l \alpha) \delta, \mu o ́ \nu \alpha$. -

```

```

    \(\sigma \epsilon \sigma \eta \mu i \omega \mu(\alpha l)\).
    ```
        3. ̈̈тє Рар. 4. 1. бтıरápıa тє́ббара.

Receipt issued by me, Apphous, through me, Sarmates, assistant. I have received from Theon, goat-butcher, for the anabolicum of the fourth indiction four tunics, total 4 tunics, and no more. The 97 th which \(=\) the 66 th year, Tubi 5 . Signed by me, Sarmates.'
 Gúrns, e. g. P. Brit. Mus. 1028. ıo.
4. For the collection of \(\sigma \tau \iota \chi\) ג́pıa for the clothes-tax cf. P. Leipzig 59. 13, 60. 14. Mitteis notes in connexion with those two documents (p. 186) that according to Cod. Theod. vii. 6. 3 of A. D. 377 this impost was in Egypt payable in money (cf. e. g. B. G. U. 2 I. iii. 17-18, 727. 14), and thinks that the delivery in kind attested by P. Leipzig 45-6, 58-60 is due to the fact that they are all earlier than the year 377. But this view will not account for 1136, and hence it is necessary to fall back on the alternative explanation that the adaeratio was permissive only and not compulsory.

\section*{1137. RECEIPT FOR DUES ON Land.}
\[
12.4 \times 30.9 \mathrm{~cm}
\]
A. D. 562-3.

A receipt for a payment of \(20 \frac{1}{4}\) carats due upon some land.

 Хрибô̂ \(k \in \rho \alpha ́ \tau \iota \alpha\)
 \(\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta}\langle\nu\rangle \dot{\alpha} \sigma \phi \alpha ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota \alpha \nu\)
 \(\sigma \lambda \theta \sigma \eta .++\)


 \(\sigma т о \chi \chi \in i \mu\) ои．
＇I，Macarius，assistant at the village of Serapion son of Chaeremon，have received and been paid in full on account of the public dues upon the land of Akous for the eleventh indiction，twenty and a quarter carats of gold，total \(20 \frac{1}{4}\) car．gold for dues in full，and for security（have issued）the receipt as above．Written in the month Tubi of the IIth indiction in the 239th which＝the 208th year．（Signed）Through me，Jeremiah，scribe，and Phoebammon，official ；agreed to by me．＇

1．\(\kappa \circ \mu \eta s\) is more probably for \(\kappa \dot{\omega} \mu \eta \boldsymbol{s}\) than \(\kappa \dot{\rho} \mu \epsilon \tau o s\), though this village－name is not otherwise known．For these local \(\beta\) on \(\theta\) oi（ \(\lambda_{o \gamma \iota \sigma \tau \eta p i o v) ~ c f . ~ e . g . ~ 1147 . ~ 4, ~ 6, ~ \& c ., ~ a n d ~ 125, ~}^{\text {，}}\) Gelzer，Archiv v．p． 357.

2．\(\delta \eta \mu o \sigma i o v\) is to be taken substantivally，not as an adjective agreeing with \(\kappa\) rí \(\mu a \tau o s\) ；


\section*{1138．Receipt for Money－taxes．}
\(24 \times 10.2 \mathrm{~cm}\).
Fifth or sixth century：
Receipt for a payment made on behalf of a church on account of money－ taxes．Abbreviations are in several cases marked by a dot above the final letter as well as by the usual diagonal stroke，as e．g．in 1053.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& +K a \tau \epsilon \beta \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta(\eta) \\
& \text { є́ } \pi i \text { тòv } \epsilon \in \kappa \lambda(\eta \sigma i \alpha s) \text { 入ó } \gamma(o \nu) \\
& \pi(\alpha \rho \grave{\alpha}) \text { ' } A \pi \phi o v \hat{\alpha} \pi \rho(\epsilon \sigma \beta \nu \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o v) \\
& \dot{v} \pi(\grave{\epsilon} \rho) \quad \dot{\alpha} \rho \gamma v \rho(\iota \kappa \bar{\omega} \nu) \text { । } i \nu \delta(\iota \kappa \tau i \omega \nu \circ \Omega) \\
& 5 \times \rho(\nu \sigma \circ \hat{\nu}) i \delta(\iota \tau \tau \kappa \hat{\varphi}) \delta \nu \gamma(\widehat{\omega}) \\
& \nu о \mu \iota \sigma(\dot{\alpha} \tau \iota a) \delta \omega \delta \delta \kappa \kappa \alpha \\
& \pi(\alpha \rho \grave{\alpha}) \kappa \epsilon \rho(\alpha ́ \tau \iota \alpha) \pi \epsilon \nu \tau \eta ́ \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha \\
& \epsilon^{\prime \prime} \nu, \gamma i(\nu \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota) \chi \rho(\nu \sigma \circ \hat{\nu}) i \delta(\iota \omega \tau \iota \kappa \widehat{\omega}) \xi v \gamma(\hat{\varphi}) \\
& \nu o(\mu \iota \sigma \mu \alpha ́ \tau \iota \alpha) \text { ィ } \beta \pi(\alpha \rho \grave{\alpha}) \nu \alpha, \mu^{\prime}(\nu \alpha) \text {. }
\end{aligned}
\]
```

IO \Phi\alpha\hat{\omega\phi\ell \eta i\nu\delta(\iotaк\tauí\omega\nuOs) \iota\alpha,}
\deltai \epsiloń\muо\hat{v} \Phii\beta \gamma\rho(\alpha\mu\mua\tau\epsiloń\omegas).
+

```
    \(\pi \rho o ̀ s \quad a ̈ \pi \alpha \xi\).

On the verso
2nd hand \(\alpha \kappa \alpha\). \(\alpha \ldots \beta \in \rho \in \underset{\sim}{\alpha} \alpha \kappa \alpha \quad \epsilon\).
10. First \(\phi\) of \(\phi\) aш \(\phi \iota\) and \(\delta \iota\) in 1. i 1 blotted. iv \(\delta\) Pap.
'Paid to the credit of the church by Apphouas, presbyter, for the money-dues of the 10th indiction, twelve solidi of gold less fifty-one carats on the private standard, total 12 solidi of gold less 51 carats private standard, and no more. Phaophi 8, ifth indiction, through me, Phib, scribe. Once for all.'
5. On the relative value of a solidus on the private, public, and Alexandrian (e.g. 1147) standards see 154. 13, note.
10. \(\iota a\) : or possibly \(\iota \epsilon\), with \(\begin{aligned} & \text { in } 1.4 .\end{aligned}\)
13. Cf. B. G. U. 1020. 15.
14. These letters on the verso seem unintelligible and suggest a magical formula.

\section*{( \(f\) ) ORDERS.}
1139. ORDER FROM A LOGISTES.
\[
5.5 \times 17.5 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Fourth century }
\]

An order from a logistes directing the presidents of the guild of vegetabledealers to supply an exceptor with a certain quantity of vegetables. These tradeguilds are frequently mentioned in the papyri of this period, e. g. 53 (carpenters), 84 (ironworkers), 85 (coppersmiths, beersellers, bakers, oil-sellers, bee-keepers) ; cf. Ziebarth, Griech. Vereinswesen, pp. 96 sqq. The order is written on the verso, the recto containing the beginnings of lines from a list of payments dated in Hathur of the 17 th \(=\) the 15 th \(=\) the 7 th year, i.e. A. D. 322 (cf. Wilcken, Archiv
 \(\kappa \in \rho[a \mu i \omega \nu \quad . .\).\(] є่к ( \delta \rho a \chi \mu \hat{\omega} \nu)\) 'Г).
```

    \Pi(\alpha\rho\grave{\alpha}) \tauо\hat{v}}
    ```

```

    \tau\alpha\gamma\grave{\eta}\nu \muí\alpha\nu.}\quad\sigma\epsilon\sigma\eta(\mu\epsiloní\omega\mu\alpha\iota)
                    2. \epsilonк'\sigmaкє\piтор\iota Pap.
    ```
'From the logistes to the monthly presidents of the vegetable-sellers. Give Arcadius, exceptor, one ration of vegetables. Signed by me.'
2. \(\mu\) Пıááá of other Oxyrhynchite guilds are mentioned in 53. 3, 84. 6. For द̇кбкє́тторь cf. 1108. 13.
3. rayin : the word is commonly used in late Greek in the sense of food, especially

 (в. с. 23-2), it implies a definite amount, and tayípıo has a similar sense in Const. Porph. Cer. p. \(3^{1 \text { I. }} 17\) (Bonn). Cf. 1158. i2, note. Possibly \(\left.\tau \dot{\eta} \nu\right]\) тarín should be read in P. Flor. 119.4-5.
1140. ORDER FOR VEGETABLE-SEEd.
\[
6.1 \times 22.8 \mathrm{~cm} .
\]

An order for the payment of an artaba of \(\lambda a \chi a \nu o ́ \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \mu о \nu\).
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \Pi(\alpha \rho \grave{\alpha}) \sum \epsilon \dot{\theta} \theta_{0} \quad \sum \alpha \rho \alpha \pi i ́ \omega \nu l \quad \gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma \hat{\varphi} \quad \chi \alpha(i \rho \epsilon \iota \nu) \text {. }
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { / ( } \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \alpha ́ \beta \eta) \alpha \text { с } \quad \text { ' } \rho \rho \omega \sigma о . \\
& 5 \text { (ध̈тоus) ধ́vátou каi } \eta \text { каi } \alpha ~ M \epsilon \sigma о р \grave{~} \lambda \text {. } \\
& \text { 3. } \omega \text { of } \epsilon \lambda a \iota o v \rho \gamma \iota \kappa \omega \text { corr. from } \imath \text {. }
\end{aligned}
\]
'From Seuthes to Sarapion, cultivator, greeting. Give Heraclius, donkey-driver, one artaba of vegetable seed by oil-makers' measure, total I art. Good-bye. The 9th which \(=\) the 8 th which \(=\) the \(\mathbf{r s t}\) year. Mesore 30.'
3. \(\mu \dot{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\tau} \rho \varphi\) єं \(\lambda a t o v \rho \gamma \iota \kappa \hat{\varphi}:\) cf. P. Flor. 82. 8, 85. 12, in both instances, as here, for


1141．ORDER FOR WINE．
\(9.4 \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}\) ．Third century．
An order to hand over ten jars of wine and the like quantity of ő \(\xi\) os which were due in connexion with certain agricultural operations．The writing is across the fibres of the verso；on the recto is a fragment of an account．
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \Pi(\alpha \rho \grave{\alpha}) \text { 'Iou入(íov) } \Delta \text { toý́vous } \\
& \Theta \omega \nu i(\rho) \text { oivo } \alpha \rho \alpha(\lambda \eta \mu \pi \tau \hat{n}) \quad \chi^{\alpha(i \rho \epsilon}(\nu) \text {. } \\
& \text { סòs } K[0] \pi \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath} \text { фроvтıбт } \hat{\eta} \Sigma \in \rho \dot{\prime} \phi \epsilon \omega s
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 5
\end{aligned}
\]
＇From Julius Diogenes to Thonius，wine－keeper，greeting．Give to Copreus，agent at Seruphis，on account of the cutting of the growth of reeds in the past first year and the other pressing work at the farm－stead of Gaianus，io jars of wine of the produce of the past first year and similarly 10 jars of vinegar．＇Signature of Julius Diogenes．

4．каланєьфии́（for каланоф．？）seems to be novel．
6．Гaïavô̂ may be the genitive of the name 「aïavós or an adjective from 「átos like ＇Avt \(\omega\) ılavós，\＆c．

\section*{1142．ORDER FOR PURChases．}

A list of various commodities which an unnamed person and his friends wished to be purchased for them．


роv ка入òv ( \(\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \hat{\omega} \nu\) ) 15, тат \(\dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \tau о s ~(\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \hat{\omega} \nu) ~ \eta, ~ \beta \rho \epsilon ́ \lambda\) -

5 vápıa ò \(\lambda \kappa \bar{\eta} s, \sigma \tau v \rho \alpha ́ \kappa \iota \nu\) ó \(\lambda \kappa \eta\) ŋु \(\delta \eta\) \(\nu \alpha \rho\langle i ́\rangle o v, \sigma \tau \rho \circ \beta[i \bar{i} \lambda \iota \alpha \quad \delta \eta \nu \alpha \rho\langle i ́\rangle) v \mu \in \gamma a ́ \lambda \alpha\),

a \(\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ к \epsilon \phi a \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu\) dúo \(\delta \eta \nu \alpha \rho i(o u\rangle \alpha\),




[á \(\gamma o ́ \rho \alpha \sigma o \nu] \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon i ้ \nu \quad \chi \in \lambda \alpha ́ \delta \rho เ o \nu ~[. ~\)

\(15[\tau \ldots \ldots]_{!\varsigma} \alpha\), áyóp \(\alpha \sigma o \nu\) ท̀ \(\mu \in i \nu\)
[. . . . . . \(\gamma \lambda]\) úкıод роוтıкóv. \(\quad\) є́ \(\rho\langle\rho\rangle \overline{\omega-}\)
\(\left[\begin{array}{ll}\sigma \theta \alpha i ́ & \sigma \epsilon \\ \epsilon & \chi\end{array}\right] \circ \mu \epsilon\).
On the verso
'́vто入ıкòv \(\quad\) 'A \(\chi \iota \lambda \lambda i ̂ \tau \iota\).


17. 1. \(\epsilon \check{\chi} \chi]\) одає.
' Order to Achillis. Buy half a cotyle of dry precipitate (?) of good perfume at 16 drachmae, some trodden grapes (?) at 8 drachmae, sweet gum (?) to the weight of 4 drachmae, onyx-shell to the weight of 4 denarii, incense to the weight of 1 denarius, some large cones at I denarius, dry powder at 12 drachmae, thread at 20 drachmae, 2 hair-combs at I denarius, sauce at i denarius. Horion the baker says, buy me 4 obols of marjoram. Diogenes says, buy a sheet of papyrus. Buy us a bedstead . . . buy us I (?) sextarius of oil, buy us ... some pomegranate wine. I pray for your health.'
 is apparently an adjective formed from \(\dot{\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma \tau \text { á } \theta \mu \eta \text {, 'sediment.' The division } \boldsymbol{i \pi} \boldsymbol{\jmath} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} a \theta \mu i o \nu}\) 'by weight' is unsatisfactory, since the article immediately mentioned was to be measured.
3. For \(\pi\) aт \(\dot{\mu} \mu a \tau\) os cf. 1156. 9, where it is evidently a kind of fodder. Perhaps trodden grapes were so used (cf. B. G. U. io39. 4 oi \(\pi\) autjai), or straw of some sort may be meant
 22) has a different sense. \(\beta \rho \epsilon \lambda \lambda \iota \omega \nu\), apparently an unknown form, is perhaps for \(\beta \delta \delta_{\epsilon} \lambda \iota \iota \nu\), which occurs in conjunction with ôvo \(\xi\) in Galen, De Antidol. ii.


6. \(\sigma \tau \rho о \beta[i] \lambda \iota a:\) cf. \(1088.55,1144.11\), B. G. U. 362 . Fr. 2, i. 7 \(\tau \tau \rho о \beta \epsilon\{\lambda \omega] \nu\) каі

7. \(\sigma\) ті́дог : cf. P. Tebt. 413 . 12.

II . There is room for a couple of letters before \(\tau \epsilon \tau \rho \dot{\omega} \beta\) ßoda; perhaps [ \(\tau \dot{\prime}\) ].
 not to be read in this line) being understood.

\({ }_{1} 4^{-1} 5\). Possibly \(\xi \in \sigma\left[\tau \eta \nu a, 0, \xi_{0}\right]\) us \(a\); but the remains suggest \(] / s\) rather than Jus.
16. For foltuóv cf. Diosc. v. 34 คoít \(\eta\) oivos.

\section*{(g) ACCOUNTS.}
1143. TEMPLE-ACCOUNT.

Both this and the following papyrus are fragments of accounts of payments in connexion with one or other of the Oxyrhynchite temples. Not improbably they come from the annual reports of receipt and expenditure which it was incumbent upon the priests to submit to the civil officials of the nome; cf. P. Tebt. 298, introd. The 'deified lord emperor' on whose behalf were made the sacrifices and libations recorded in 1.4, was no doubt Augustus, to whose reign this document, from the handwriting, is to be attributed.
```

́\lambda\alphaiov (?)] котv\lambda\hat{\omega\nu}\gamma (\delta\rho\alpha\chi\mu\alphai) \beta.

```

```

        0v\sigmaía(s) (\delta\rho\alpha\chi\mu\alphaì) к. \epsilonis 0v\mu\epsiloń\lambda\eta\eta\nu \gammav\mu\nu\alpha\sigma\iota\alpha'(\rho\chi\omega\nu) (\delta\rho\alpha\chi\mu\alphai) \delta
    ```


```

        \pi\epsilon\rho!!\sigma\tau\epsilon\rho\iota\delta(\iota ) \epsilonis \tau\alphàs 0v\sigmai\alpha(s) \alphaं\nu\eta\lambdao\hat{v}\nu\tau\iota
        ]" - (ỏßo\lambdaoì \deltav́o), \epsiloń\lambda\alphaío(v) \mu\epsilon(\tau\rho\eta\tau\alphai) \beta,\pi\epsilon\rho\iota\sigma(\tau\epsilon\rhoí\deltal\alpha) l, \dot{\alpha}\lambdaòs (\dot{\alpha}\rho-
            \tau\alphá\beta\alpha\iota) \beta, ф\alpha(кov̂) (\alpha}\rho\tau\alphá\beta\alpha\iota) \iota
                                ]. < < < '!}![\mp@subsup{\beta}{}{\prime}.
                ] \epsilon\alpha\nu[[. . . . . . .
    ```


7. The two diagonal strokes at the beginning of the line probably marked fractions of the artaba ; the horizontal line following apparently indicates a total.

\section*{1144. TeMple-ACCOUNT.}
\(14.8 \times 7.4 \mathrm{~cm}\). Late first or early second century.
A fragment of an account of expenditure at a temple ; cf. introd. to 1143. The goddess Thoëris (cf. 1117. r, \&c.) is named in 1. 10. The column printed was preceded by another, of which only two or three letters survive. On the verso are the ends of three lines in a different hand.

Col. ii.
```

    \sigma\tauo\lambda[\iota]\sigma\tau\hat{\eta}\alpha\mp@code{\alpha}\alpha\mu\eta\sigma\iota\sigma[
    . . [.]. \etas \tau\hat{\omega\nu}\epsilonis 0\alphá\lambda\alpha[\muo\nu
    \pi\alpha\sigma\tau\omegaфо́ро\iotas \tauoís \pi\rhoо\pi[о\rho\invo\mu\epsilońvols
    (\delta\rho\alpha\chi\mu\alphai) \eta, \gamma\epsilon\nu\in0\lambdaíoוs 0\epsilon[ov
    5 то\imatĥs \pi\rhoо\piорєvo\mú́vols [
(\delta\rho\alpha\chi\mu\alphai) \eta, \delta\alpha\pi

```

```

    K\lambda\alphav\deltaíov \mu п\nui T\epsilonр\mu\alphav[\iotaк
    \pi\alpha\sigma\tauофо́\rhoо[l]s \pi\rhoоторєvo\mu[\epsiloń\nuо\iotas
    10 (\delta\rho\alpha\chi\mu\alphai) \eta, i\in\rho\epsilon\hat{v}\sigma\epsilonฺ \Thetao\eta}\rho|\deltaos
\tauoîs aú\tauoîs oт\rhoo\betaí\lambdaov (ỏßo\lambdaós ?), . [
\tau\epsilon\sigma\sigma\epsilon\rho\alpháко\nu\tau\alpha к\alphai \pi\epsilon\rho\iota\sigma[\tau\epsilon\rho\iota\deltaí\omega\nu
\pi\alpha\sigma\tau\omegaфó\rhoo\iotas \epsiloń\xiv\pi\eta\eta\rhoє\tau[0\hat{v}\sigma\iota \dot{\alpha}\piò
\epsiloǹ\nu\delta\epsilonк\alphá\tau\etaS "゙\omegas \} [

```

```

    \delta\alpha\pi\alphá\nu\etas К\epsiloń\\lambda\lambda\etas [
    \tauo[îs] \grave{a}\gammav\epsilon\cuṕov\sigma\iota к\alpha\tau\grave{\alpha}.[
    [. . . . .] \tauo\hat{v}(\piv\rhoo\hat{v})\dot{\alpha}\rho\tau\alpha\beta[
    [......].tos \tau\iota\mu\hat{\eta}s \tau[
    ```

1. \(\dot{\alpha} \nu a \mu \eta \sigma \iota[\) : the third letter has been altered and might be meant for \(\epsilon\), but that is no easier. \({ }^{2} \nu a \mu\langle\nu\rangle \eta \sigma i 0[t s\) would be intelligible, but the word does not occur.
 Marquardt, Röm. Staatsverw. iii. p. 46, 110. 2 клєivqu toû кvpiov ミapátiठos.
 was born in the month Germaniceus (Pachon) or Germanicus (Thoth). But if, as is possible, the name Germaniceus was given by Claudius, a festival in his honour in that month would be natural enough.
17. Payments in kind begin at this point ; Wilcken aptly compares the similar arrangement in B. G. U. I. 17.
1145. AcCount of a Sitologus.
\[
27.8 \times 25.6 \mathrm{~cm} .
\]

First century.
A fragment from an account recording amounts of wheat delivered on different days by various persons who are arranged under their villages,apparently part of the day-book of a sitologus ; cf. e.g. P. Fay. 340. The beginnings of lines of a second column, mentioning the village 'loınov T \(\rho v^{\prime} \phi o v[o s\) (l.
 are not printed.

Col. i.

```

$15 \theta($ ) 'At $\quad \hat{\eta} s$ - $\delta \iota \grave{\alpha}$ тồ aúrov̂
Өракíта Kó $\mu(\omega \nu$ оs) סì̀ тоù aútoû
O( ) 'Atp̣̣̂s $\Pi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \nu$ оú申ıs
/ $\tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\eta}^{\mu} \epsilon \rho \alpha s(\pi v \rho o \hat{v}) \rho \kappa \gamma \delta^{\prime}$.
ı反, $\Sigma \circ \beta \theta \iota s^{\circ}$
$20 \theta($ ) Aoúkıs тoû Aoukiou $\mu \eta \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ ' A \pi o \lambda \lambda o \nu o u ̂ s$ ( $\pi \nu \rho o \hat{v}) ~ \varsigma \angle \delta$ ',

```


```

                    Колкоú入ıs
    ```
（ \(\pi u \rho \circ \hat{v}) \delta\),
（ \(\pi v \rho o \hat{v}) \alpha \angle\) ，
（ \(\pi v \rho o \hat{v}) \iota \beta \chi\)（oivikєs）\(\beta\) ．
（ \(\pi v \rho o \hat{v}) 5 \angle \delta^{\delta}\) ，
（ \(\pi v \rho o \hat{v}) \beta \delta^{\prime}\) ，
（ \(\pi \nu \rho o \hat{v}) ~ \rho \kappa \delta\),
 \(\pi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \chi \omega r\langle\) tos \(\rangle\) above the line：\(\dot{a} \nu \theta^{\prime} \hat{\omega} \nu . . . \Pi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \chi \bar{\omega} \nu\) was apparently inserted after（ \(\pi \nu \rho o \hat{v}\) ）\(\lambda a\)



I．Kóßa：cf．P．Hibeh，p． 8 and \(5_{5.6}\) ，note．Both this village and \(\Sigma \hat{\omega} \beta \theta_{s c}\)（1．19，P．Hibeh， p．8）were perhaps near the boundary of the Oxyrhynchite and Heracleopolite nomes．

2．The meaning of the \(\theta\) which has been prefixed to most of the names，apparently by the same hand as the rest of the account，is uncertain．Above it is a curved or angular mark such as is elsewhere used in this papyrus to indicate an abbreviation．In the second column this \(\theta\) is omitted with four names out of fifteen．The letter is sometimes found in such a position to indicate a person＇s decease（＝日ávatos，e．g．P．Fay．105．iii．26），but its frequency here is hardly consistent with that interpretation．Does it stand for \(\theta \eta \sigma a u p o s ?\)

4．\(\theta^{\prime} \omega[\nu\) os \(\kappa \omega \mu \hat{\omega} \nu\) is probably to be restored on this analogy in 740． 35 ．
9．For the spelling \(\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \beta\)（úт \(\rho \rho o s)\) cf．e．g．B．G．U．102． 2.
12．Kó \(\mu(\omega \nu 0 s)(48.8,13)\) is only one of several possibilities．
15．The dash after＇Arp \(\bar{\eta} s\) takes the place of the father＇s name；there is another instance in Col．ii．Cf．e．g．B．G．U．II5o．3，Archiv v．p． 39 I．

18．The foregoing items add up to \(122 \frac{3}{4}\) artabae 20 choenices，which are expressed in the total as \(123 \frac{1}{4}\) artabae，showing that the artaba here used contained 40 choenices；cf． 1044，introd．

22．The Alexandrian deme－name Einciélios has already occurred in 377 and 623.

1146．Account of Payments．
\[
15.7 \times 12.5 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Early fourth century }
\]

A fragment of a list of payments to various persons．There are remains of two columns，of which the first consists only of a row of amounts in money， ranging from 280 drachmae up to 9 talents．The second column，though the ends of the lines are lost，is worth printing on account of some unusual words．

This account is on the verso ；the recto contains a fragment of a document， apparently a petition concerning the division of an inheritance，mentioning a


\section*{Col．ii．}
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { [......] } \tau \omega v[\text { [ }
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ] } \dot{v} \pi \grave{\rho} \rho \kappa \alpha \rho[.] \alpha \nu \eta s \text { [ }
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ' } I \sigma \iota \delta \omega \rho[\alpha] \text { वं } \rho т о к о \pi і \sigma \sigma \eta \quad \sigma, T \epsilon \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath} \tau \iota \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau о \kappa[о \pi i \sigma \sigma \eta
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 'Hраклєíסov а́ } \rho \gamma v \rho о к о ́ т о ⿱ ~[~
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \Sigma_{\epsilon \rho \eta ́ \nu \omega} \beta \dot{\alpha} \phi \hat{\imath} \text { dià Xıpòs } \\
& { }_{15} \text { Eú } \delta \alpha \iota \mu о \nu \iota[\chi \rho \nu \sigma \rrbracket] \pi \epsilon \rho \iota к о ́ \pi \tau \tau \eta \quad \sigma, \ldots \text { [ }
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{\prime} A \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho i ́ \omega(\epsilon \in \kappa \alpha \rho \tau o \nu \tau \alpha ́ \rho) \chi(\eta) \sigma, \Theta^{\prime} \omega \nu \iota \tau \rho \alpha\left(\pi \epsilon \mathcal{S}^{i} i \tau \eta\right) \text { [ }
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 20 \text { Kотр̂ } \pi \rho \circ \theta \iota \kappa \alpha \rho i, \omega \text {. } \alpha \lambda \ldots[\cdot] \cdot \mu[
\end{aligned}
\]
4．1．\(e^{\prime} \lambda a \iota o \pi[\dot{\omega} \lambda \eta\) ．
5．1．ò ooviou．

9．\(i \sigma \delta \delta \omega \rho[a]\) Pap．

2．To what the figure \(\sigma\) refers，and why it is so constant throughout this column，is not clear．

3．кaf［．］avns might perhaps be for \(\chi^{a \lambda}[\beta]\) ív \(\eta\) ；or it may be a proper name．
 Ө＇்øュ below．

5．For the village of \({ }^{3} \Omega \phi\) c cf ．132．I，\＆c．
8．This feminine form of àpтoкóтos is apparently new．

10．ímokavary ：this word occurs in P．Leyden S iii．30，vii．8，T i．5，where no doubt it is a dative masculine，not，as given by Leemans，a nominative feminine．It means， apparently，a stoker ；cf．e．g．B．G．U．760． 10.

13．idiov may be a word in itself，but＂\(\Omega \rho \sigma v\) is unsuitable for the preceding name．
 meaning here．

16．Өapaıкарị：cf．P．Brit．Mus． 38 7．4，390．I，B．G．U．34．v．15，Wessely，Studien， i．p．2，P．Leipzig 26．9，\＆c．
 \(\pi\) л入ıтเк \(\omega \nu\)（？）．


1147．Account of Arrears．
\[
32 \times 16.4 \mathrm{~cm} .
\]

Late sixth century．
An account of sums which had not been included in＇the great list＇but had either been paid since or were still owing．Some of the items have been subse－ quently cancelled．The account is described in the heading as relating to the district（ \(\delta \iota ⿱ 宀 ⿻ 三 丨 口 к \eta \sigma \iota s\) ）of a comes，and mentions several familiar village names（cf．e．g． 998 and 1053）．

ойт \(\omega \mathrm{s}\) ，

 \(\kappa \in \rho(\dot{\alpha} \tau \iota \alpha) \alpha \angle\),
5 тоîs \(\sigma \tau \alpha \beta \lambda\)（í \(\alpha \iota s)\) Taкóva＇A \(\lambda \epsilon \xi(\alpha \nu \delta \rho \epsilon i ́ a s) \nu 0(\mu \iota \sigma \mu \alpha ́ \tau \iota \alpha) \eta\) \(\kappa \in \rho(\alpha ́ \tau \iota \alpha) \kappa \gamma \angle \delta^{\prime}\),

＇A \(\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \operatorname{\beta o\eta } \theta(\hat{\varphi}) \quad \Sigma \pi \alpha \nu i ́ a s\)


\[
\begin{aligned}
& \kappa \in \rho(\alpha ́ \tau l a) \delta \angle, \\
& \kappa \in \rho(\alpha ́ \tau \iota \alpha) \delta \angle, \\
& { }^{\prime} A \lambda \in \xi(\alpha \nu \delta \rho \in i ́ a s) \nu 0(\mu \iota \sigma \mu \alpha ́ \tau \iota \alpha) \text { ऽ } \\
& \kappa \in \rho(\alpha ́ \tau \iota \alpha) \quad \imath \beta \delta^{\prime}, \\
& \text { 'A } \lambda \epsilon \xi(\alpha \nu \delta \rho \epsilon i \alpha s) \nu o(\mu \iota \sigma \mu a ́ \tau \iota o \nu) \alpha \\
& \kappa \in p(a ́ \tau \iota \alpha) \text { ८ } \zeta \text {, } \\
& { }^{\prime} A \lambda \in \xi(\alpha \nu \delta \rho \in i ́ \alpha s) \nu 0(\mu \iota \sigma \mu \alpha ́ \tau \iota \alpha) \lambda \varsigma \\
& \kappa \in \rho^{\prime}(\alpha ́ \tau \iota \alpha) \varsigma,
\end{aligned}
\]
\(10[\Theta] \epsilon 0 \delta \omega \dot{\rho} \omega \dot{\rho} \rho \stackrel{\rho}{l} \alpha \rho(i(\omega)\)
＇\(A \lambda \in \xi(\alpha \nu \delta \rho \epsilon i \alpha s) \nu o(\mu \iota \sigma \mu \dot{\tau} \tau \iota \alpha) \kappa \epsilon\) \(\kappa \in \rho(\alpha ́ \tau \iota \alpha) \downarrow \gamma\),
 \(\kappa \in \rho(\alpha ́ \tau \iota \alpha) \kappa \gamma \angle\),
\([\tau] \hat{\omega} \beta o \eta \theta(\hat{\varphi}) \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \kappa \tau \eta \mu(\alpha, \tau \omega \nu)\)
＇A \(\lambda \epsilon \xi(\alpha \nu \delta \rho \epsilon i ́ a s) \nu 0(\mu \iota \sigma \mu \alpha ́ \tau \iota \alpha) \kappa \theta\) \(\kappa \in \rho(a ́ \tau \iota \alpha) \quad \iota \subset\).
\(\gamma^{\prime}(\nu \in \tau \alpha \iota) \nu o(\mu \iota \sigma \mu \alpha ́ \tau \iota \alpha) \rho \nu \beta \quad \kappa \in \rho(\alpha ́ \tau \iota o \nu) \alpha\).
\(\llbracket[\dot{\nu} \pi] \frac{\epsilon}{\rho} \rho \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \beta \dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \delta \epsilon i \xi \epsilon \epsilon \nu \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \beta \quad \mu \iota \kappa \rho(\hat{\omega} \nu)\)
\(' A \lambda \epsilon \xi(\alpha \nu \delta \rho \in i ́ a s) \nu o(\mu \iota \sigma \mu \alpha ́ \tau \iota \alpha) \pi \beta\)
\(\kappa \in \rho(\alpha ́ \tau \iota \alpha) \quad \theta<]\)
\({ }_{15} \quad \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta 0 \lambda(\hat{\omega} \nu)\) \(\gamma_{i}^{\prime}(\nu \in \tau \alpha \iota) \dot{A} \lambda \epsilon \xi(\alpha \nu \delta \rho \epsilon i \alpha s) \nu o^{\prime}(\mu \iota \sigma \mu \alpha ́ \tau \iota \alpha) \rho \nu \beta \quad \kappa \in \rho(\alpha ́ \tau \iota \circ \nu) \alpha\).
\([\tau] \hat{\varrho} \hat{\omega} \pi \rho \circ \nu(o \eta \tau \hat{\eta}) \Pi \alpha \gamma \gamma o u \lambda \epsilon \epsilon i ́ o v\)
＇A \(\lambda \epsilon \xi(\alpha \nu \delta \rho \epsilon i ́ \alpha s) \nu 0(\mu \iota \sigma \mu \alpha ́ \tau \iota \alpha) \delta\) \(\kappa \in \rho(a ́ \tau \iota \alpha) \zeta \angle\),

\([\tau] \widehat{\iota} \pi \rho o \nu(o \eta \tau \hat{\eta}) \Theta \alpha \tilde{\eta} \sigma \iota o s\) ن́ \(\pi \grave{\epsilon} \rho\) 入oı \(\pi \alpha ́ \delta(o s) \nu \alpha u ́ \lambda(o v)\)
＇A \(\left.\lambda \epsilon \xi(\alpha \nu \delta \rho \epsilon i \alpha \varsigma) ~ \nu o^{\prime} \mu \iota \sigma \mu \alpha ́ \tau \iota \alpha\right) \S\) \(\kappa \in \rho(\alpha ́ \tau \iota \alpha) \quad \iota \in\),
＇A \(\lambda \epsilon \xi(\alpha \nu \delta \rho \epsilon i ́ \alpha s) \nu 0(\mu \iota \sigma \mu \alpha ́ \tau \iota \alpha) \zeta\) \(\kappa \in \rho(\alpha ́ \tau \iota o \nu) \alpha \angle \delta^{\prime}\).
\(20 \quad \gamma^{i}(\nu \in \tau \alpha \iota) \nu 0(\mu \iota \sigma \mu \alpha ́ \tau \iota \alpha) \star \theta \kappa \in \rho(\alpha \tau i ́ o v) \delta^{\prime}\).

＇A \(\lambda \epsilon \xi(\alpha \nu \delta \rho \epsilon i \alpha a s) \nu 0(\mu \iota \sigma \mu \alpha ́ \tau \iota \alpha) \mu \gamma\) \(\left.\left.\kappa \in \rho(\alpha ́ \tau \iota \alpha) \kappa \alpha \delta^{\prime},\right]\right]\)
 \(\llbracket \gamma^{\prime}(\nu \in \tau \alpha \iota) ' A \lambda \epsilon \xi(\alpha \nu \delta \rho \epsilon i ́ a s) \nu o(\mu \iota \sigma \mu a ́ \tau \iota \alpha) \tau о \quad \kappa \in \rho(\alpha ́ \tau \iota \alpha) \eta \cdot \rrbracket\)
\(\gamma_{i}^{\prime}(\nu \in \tau \alpha \iota)\)＇\(A \lambda \epsilon \xi(\alpha \nu \delta \rho \in i \alpha s) \nu 0(\mu \iota \sigma \mu a ́ \tau \iota \alpha) \iota \theta \quad \kappa \in \rho^{\prime}(\alpha \tau i ́ o v) \delta^{\prime}\).
4．\(\mu \in \iota \zeta \zeta /\) Pap．5．\(\sigma \tau a \beta \lambda^{\prime} \lambda^{\prime}\) Pap．\(\quad\) 9．\(\quad \pi \pi \epsilon \rho\) Pap．\(\quad\) 12．кт \(\tau \mu \mu s\) Pap．；so in l． 22. 14．\(\mu \iota к \rho \rho /\) Pap．22．1．\(\pi \rho o ́ \sigma o \delta o v\).

4．＇A \(\operatorname{A} \in \xi(a \nu \delta \rho \epsilon i a s):\) cf．154．13，note．
5．бтаß入（iтаıs）：cf．140．7，\＆c．
9．\(\mu \in \iota \zeta o v i a\) ，the office of a \(\mu \epsilon i \zeta \omega \nu\) ，seems to occur only here．
15．This word，which goes with l．I4，ought also to have been crossed out．
19．Өánбtos here，like חayरov入єєiov in l．I7，is a village ；cf．998．For the \(\pi \rho o v o \eta+\eta \dot{s}\)
cf． 1134.8 ，note．
23．This deleted total is the correct sum of the items in ll．13，14，20－2．
(h) ORACULAR QUESTIONS, AMULETS, etc.

\section*{1148. Question to the Oracle.}
\(7.1 \times 5.8 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad\) First century.
The two following papyri contain questions addressed to the oracle of Serapis, who in 1148 is identified with Helios, in 1149 with Zeus-Helios. Cf. 923 , which in the light of 1149 is now intelligible, and the analogous documents to which references are there given. The text is written in a crabbed cursive hand across the fibres of the recto.

\section*{4. \(\gamma v v a u^{\imath}\) Pap.}
' O lord Serapis Helios, beneficent one, is it better for my son Phanias and his wife not to agree now with his father, but to oppose him and make no contract? Tell me this truly. Goodbye.'
1. इapâmı "H \(\lambda_{ı \epsilon}\) : cf. 1149. I. The identification of Sarapis with Zeus and Helios is found in many inscriptions.
 though a word like \(\epsilon^{\boldsymbol{\rho}} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\omega} \omega\) could readily be supplied. \(\epsilon i\) might also be regarded here as the conditional particle, 'if it is better . . ., grant me an oracle in that sense,' and this
 favour of taking the first sentence as a question; cf. 1149. 3-4, P. Fay. 138. 2 रрqиítเбóv
 'expediency'.

 I think, mean 'bring this to pass' as translated in P. Fay. i38, but 'deliver an oracle',
 \(\tau \bar{\omega} \nu \Delta \iota \sigma к к о ์ \rho \rho \nu\), and 1150. 6, note.

\section*{1149. Question to tile Oracle.}

A question similar to 1148 addressed to the oracle of Zeus-Helios-Serapis, whether it would be expedient to purchase a slave. The writing is at right angles to the fibres of the recto.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \iota{ }^{`} H \lambda i ́ \omega \iota \quad \mu \in \gamma \alpha \bar{\lambda} \omega \iota
\end{aligned}
\]

> бvvขáoıs. є่ \(\rho \omega \tau \hat{\alpha}\)
> Níкך \(\epsilon i \quad \sigma[v] \mu \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota\)
> \(5 \mu_{0 \iota} \alpha[\gamma 0] \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha \iota \quad \pi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀\)
> T \(\alpha \sigma \alpha \rho[\alpha] \pi i \omega \nu\) оs ò \(\nu\)
> \({ }^{\prime}\) ' \(\chi \in \iota\) סoûגov \(\sum \alpha \rho \alpha \pi i-\)
> \(\omega \nu \alpha \tau[\grave{o}] v\) к \(\alpha[i \quad \Gamma] \alpha i \omega \nu \alpha\).
> [тoûtó \(\mu\) ]ọ סós.
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 1. } \delta i i & \text { Pap. } \gamma] \pi i ̈ \omega \nu a ~ P a p . ~
\end{array}
\]
'To Zeus Helios, great Serapis, and the associate gods. Nice asks whether it is expedient for her to buy from Tasarapion her slave Sarapion also called Gaion, Grant me this.'

 \(\mu[\ldots]\) in 1.6 is no doubt a person.
9. Cf. 923. 14-15 то̂̀тo \(\mathfrak{\eta \mu \epsilon i v}\) סós. סós means 'give a reply', like xpquítıбov and


1 There is no need to suppose with Wilamowitz, Gött. Gel. Anz. 1901, p. 40 , that креє \(1 \nu \epsilon \tau a u\) is for крivete; cf. Wessely, Script. Gr. Spec. 26 є \(\hat{i}\) ov̂ \([\nu \delta i j \delta o \tau a i ́ \mu o t\), where a single deity is addressed.

This prayer asking for guidance is, like 925, a Christian analogue of the questions to pagan deities exemplified in 1148-9. As with the amulets (cf. 1151-2), the old practice was carried on under a different nomenclature.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& + \\
& \text { + 'O } \theta \text { єòs тồ mpootátou ì } \mu \hat{\omega} \nu
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 5 \text { סєîqov т̀̀ } \nu \text { סúva } \mu[i ́ \nu \text { бov }
\end{aligned}
\]
' O God of our patron Saint Philoxenus, dost thou bid us take Anoup to thy hospital? Show thy power and let this prayer be accomplished.'
2. Фıдoģ́vov: cf. 1151. 48, note.

The occurrence of cial \(^{\prime}\) here might be held to confirm the view that \(\epsilon i\) and \(\eta\) in the parallel passages are really conditional (cf. note on 1148. 2) ; but 1149. 3 supplies strong evidence on the other side, and céd may be explained as an indirect interrogative, as e.g. in

6. The first \(\tau\) of \(\pi \iota \tau \tau[a \bar{k}[\operatorname{lov}\) is irregularly written, but I can see no alternative. Cf. Wessely, Script. Gr. Spec. \(26.5^{-6}\) intófıधóv (so we should read, as the facsimile shows, not
 answer ' is probably the real meaning.

\section*{1151. Cirristian Amulet.}
\[
23.4 \times 4.4 \mathrm{~cm} .
\]

Fifth century (?).
An elaborate charm, designed to ward off fever and other ills. Its phraseology is purely Christian, with no admixture of heathen magic. The opening verses of St. John's Gospel are quoted, just as the Lord's prayer is inserted in B. G. U. 954 ; and the Virgin and several saints are appealed to. The papyrus when found was tightly folded, and tied with a string; it is written in a clear upright hand, approximating to a literary type. Cf. 924, 1077, Wilcken, Archio i. pp. 429 sqq.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& +\Phi \epsilon \hat{\nu} \gamma \epsilon \pi \nu(\epsilon \hat{v} \mu) \alpha \\
& \mu \in \mu \iota \tau \iota \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \nu \text {, } \\
& X(\rho \iota \sigma \tau o ́) s \quad \sigma \epsilon \delta \iota \omega ́ \kappa \epsilon \iota \cdot \\
& \pi \rho \circ \epsilon ́ \lambda \alpha \beta \text { '́v } \sigma \epsilon \\
& 5 \text { ó viòs } \tau 0 \hat{v} \theta(\epsilon o) \hat{v} \text { каi }
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ó } \theta(\epsilon \grave{o}) s \text { т } \bar{\eta} s \pi \rho o \beta a \tau \iota- \\
& \kappa \hat{\eta} s \text { ко } \lambda \nu \mu \beta \eta^{\prime}- \\
& \theta \rho \alpha s, \quad ُ \xi \xi \in \lambda 0 \hat{v} \text { т } \eta \nu \\
& \text { Io סoú入 } \eta \nu \text { oov } \\
& \text { 'I } \omega \alpha \nu \nu i ́ a \nu ~ \grave{\eta} \nu \\
& \text { ' } \epsilon \tau \in \kappa \in \nu \text { 'Ava } \\
& \text { єi каi Eùф } \eta \mu i ́ \alpha
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 15+\hat{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} \hat{\eta} \nu \\
& \text { ó 入óyos каi ò 入óyos } \\
& \hat{\eta} \nu \quad \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \theta(\epsilon o ̀) \nu ~ к \alpha i ~ \\
& \theta(\epsilon o ̀) s ~ \hat{\eta} \nu \quad \text { ó } \lambda o ́ \gamma o s . \\
& \text { тávта } \delta_{\imath}^{\prime} \text { av̉тov̂ }
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha u ่ \tau o \hat{v} \text { є́ } \gamma \in ́ \nu \in \tau \circ
\end{aligned}
\]
\(\kappa(\dot{v} \rho \imath, \epsilon+X(\rho \iota \sigma \tau) \in ́, v i \grave{\epsilon}\) каì
入óyє то仑̂ \(\theta(\epsilon o) \hat{u}\) то仑̂
\(\nu 0 s \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \nu \quad \nu o ́ \sigma o \nu\)
каi \(\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \nu \quad \mu \alpha \lambda \alpha \kappa i \alpha \nu\),
íaбаı каi є́тíбкє廿

2．1．\(\mu \epsilon \mu \iota \sigma \eta \mu\) évov．5．vïos Pap．

37．1．трıтаiò тєтартаïov．42．ар \(\chi\) ау \(\dot{\epsilon} \in \lambda \omega \nu\) Рар
50．iovatov Pap．54．\(\ddot{\pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \nu \delta o \xi o v ~ P a p . ~}\)

каi тウ̀ \(\nu\) Soú入 \(\eta \nu\) бov
30 ＇I \(\omega \alpha \nu \nu i ́ a \nu\) خ̀ \(\nu\)＇゙T \(\tau \in \in \nu\)
＇Avaбтабía \(\dot{\eta}\) каì


\(\sigma o \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi{ }^{\prime} \alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha\)
\(35 \pi v \rho \in \tau o ̀ v\) к（aì）\(\pi \alpha \nu \tau 0 \hat{o} 0 \nu\)

т \(\rho \iota \tau \in 0 \nu \quad \tau \in \tau \alpha \rho \tau \in \circ \nu\)
\(\kappa \alpha i\) т \(\alpha \hat{\nu}\) како́v．\(\epsilon\) ひ̋ \(\chi \in \sigma\)－
\(\theta \alpha \iota \pi \rho \in \sigma \beta i ́ \alpha \iota s\) \(\tau \hat{\eta} s\)
\(40 \delta \epsilon \sigma \pi 0 i ́ \nu \eta S\) 市 \(\mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} S\)
\(\theta\) єото́кои каі т \(\omega \bar{\nu}\)
\({ }^{\epsilon} \nu \delta \delta o \xi \omega \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \alpha \gamma \gamma \epsilon-\)
\(\lambda \omega \nu \kappa(\alpha i)\) то仑 \(\dot{\alpha} \gamma i ́ o u\) каì \(\epsilon^{\prime} \nu\)－
סógov àmoбтó̀ov \(\kappa(\alpha i)\)
\(45 \epsilon \dot{\cup} \alpha \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \iota \sigma \tau o \hat{v} k(\alpha i) \theta \epsilon o-\)
入óyou＇I \(\omega \alpha ́ \nu \nu o v ~ k(a i) ~ \tau o v ̂ ~\)
áyiov \(\sum \epsilon \rho \eta \eta^{\prime}\) ov \(k(\alpha i) \tau o \hat{v}\)

वं \(\gamma i ́ o v ~ B \eta ́ к \tau \omega \rho o s ~ к(\alpha i) ~ \tau о \hat{v}\)
50 वंyiov＇Iov́ \(\sigma \tau 0 u \kappa(\alpha i) \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu\)
\([\tau \hat{\omega}] \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma i ́ \omega \nu\) ．őт८ \(\tau \grave{o}\) ơ \(\nu 0 \mu \alpha ́\)
\(\sigma o v, \kappa\left(v(\rho l) \epsilon \dot{o} \quad \theta(\epsilon o ́) s, \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon \sigma \alpha^{\prime}-\right.\)
\([\mu] \eta \nu\) тò \(\theta \alpha \nu \mu \alpha \sigma \tau \grave{\partial} \nu\)

55 фоßєрòv тoîs vi \(\pi \epsilon\)－

I 1．ïalutav Pap．；so in l． 30 ．13．1．\(\dot{\eta}\) ．
28．ïaбal Pap．
36．l．pízos．
46．ïшavoo Pap．49．1．Віктороз．

Fly，hateful spirit！Christ pursues thee ；the Son of God and the Holy Spirit have outstripped thee．O God of the sheep－pool，deliver from every evil thy handmaid Joannia whom Anastasia also called Euphemia bare．In the beginning was the Word，and the

Word was with God, and the Word was God. All things were made by him and without him was not anything made that hath been made. O Lord Christ, Son and Word of the living God, who healedst every sickness and every infirmity, heal and regard thy handmaid Joannia whom Anastasia also called Euphemia bare, chase from her and put to flight all fevers and every kind of chill, quotidian, tertian, and quartan, and every evil. Pray through the intercession of our lady the mother of God and the glorious archangels and Saint John, the glorious apostle and evangelist and divine, and Saint Serenus and Saint Philoxenus and Saint Victor and Saint Justus and all the Saints. Upon thy name, O Lord God, have I called, the wonderful and exceeding glorious name, the terror of thy foes. Amen.'

\({ }^{1} 5^{-22}=\) John i. \(\mathrm{I}-3\).
 of connecting those words with the following sentence, the writer is in accord with \(\mathrm{C}^{3} \mathrm{EG}^{2} \mathrm{HK}\), \&c. ; cf. Ambrose, Enar. in Ps. 36. 35 Alexandrimi quidem et Aegyptii legunt '. . . factum est nihil quod factum est', et interposita distinctione subiciunt ' in ipso vita est'.
 \(\mu\) алакiav (1077. 30 sqq.) ; similarly ix. 35, x. I.
 \(\pi а \rho \eta \mu \epsilon \rho \iota \nu\) ט̂, B. G. U. 956.
38. The infinitive \(\epsilon_{\nu}{ }^{\prime \prime} \epsilon \sigma \theta a t\) is awkward, but cannot be evaded.

47-50. St. Serenus appears also in B. G. U. 954. 3, 29, St. Justus in 941. 14. The latter, like Victor, was martyred in the reign of Diocletian. Philoxenus (cf. 1150. 2) I cannot identify; the Monophysite bishop of Hierapolis is not likely to be meant.
55. There is ink between \(\epsilon\) and \(\rho\) of \(\phi о \beta \epsilon \rho \dot{v}\), and there was perhaps some misspelling.
1152. Christian Amulet.
\[
4.2 \times 6 \cdot 1 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Fifth or sixth century. }
\]

A short incantation containing magical, Jewish, and Christian elements ; cf. 1060. The writing is across the fibres of the recto.
\(\Omega \rho \omega \rho \phi \omega \rho \bar{\epsilon} \lambda \omega \in i ́\),
\(\dot{\alpha} \delta \omega \nu \alpha \in i,{ }^{\prime} I \alpha \grave{\omega} \sigma \alpha-\)
\(\beta \alpha \omega \theta, M i \chi \alpha \eta{ }^{\prime} \lambda, \quad ' I \epsilon \sigma \circ \hat{v}\)
X \(\rho \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \in, \beta o \eta \theta_{\iota}\) ท̀ \(\mu i \nu\)
5 каì тои́т \(\omega\) оїк@. \(\dot{\alpha}\) -
\(\mu \dot{\eta} \nu\).
2. \(a \omega\) of \(t a \omega\) corr. from \(\epsilon \sigma\) ( \(\iota \epsilon \sigma \mid o v)\). 3. l. ' 'I \(\eta \sigma o \hat{v}\).
' Oror phor, eloi, adonai, Iao sabaoth, Michael, Jesus Christ, help us and this house. Amen.'


\section*{(i) PRIVATE CORRESPONDENCE.}
1153. Letter of Apollonius.

First century.
A letter from a father to his son, who, it appears from the address on the verso, was staying at Alexandria. Most of the letter relates to clothes of various kinds. Nicanor, who is frequently mentioned, and was in the son's company, was perhaps his brother.

vî̄t \(\chi^{\alpha i ́ \rho \epsilon i \nu . ~}\)







10 \(\gamma \grave{\alpha}[\rho] \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \nu \epsilon \tau 0^{\circ}\) Є่ \(\gamma \grave{\omega}\) ठ̀̀ \(\epsilon \dot{\cup} \rho \grave{\omega} \nu \tau o ̀\)

\(\delta \eta \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \alpha i ́ \imath o \iota \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho \sigma \gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \epsilon^{\prime}(\nu \omega \nu)\).



\(\pi \rho o ̀ ~ \pi о \lambda \lambda о \hat{v}\) ध́k фı入отıцías aútô катךр-
\(\tau \iota \sigma \mu^{\prime} \nu \alpha, \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \hat{\omega} \nu[\kappa] o \mu \iota \sigma \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \nu 0 S\) ब̀ \(\nu \tau^{\prime} \gamma \rho \alpha(\psi o \nu)\).









\(\chi \rho \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta(\alpha l) \quad \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda о \mu \epsilon \nu\).
In the left margin, at right angles
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \epsilon \rho \rho[\omega \sigma] 0 . \\
& M \epsilon \chi(\epsilon i \rho) \varsigma .
\end{aligned}
\]

On the verso
\[
30 \text { ' } A \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \omega \nu i \varphi \omega
\]
5. 1. ö.
6. \([\pi \epsilon \pi]\) \(]_{\mu} \phi \epsilon \nu s /\) Pap .
14. \(\mu\) ккти added above the line.
19. \(\delta \epsilon\) added above the line.
'Apollonius to his son Apollonius, greeting. I have received through Heraclas the boxes with the books, as you write, and the half-chous jar of oil which Nicanor writes that he has sent. 'Tell Nicanor that Heraclas the boatman . . . to pay us the 600 drachmae for his freights; he was here to-day; I found the boat sailing down and I thought that I ought to let you know about what I have said. You will receive through Origas two variegated (?) wrist-bands, one scarlet and one purple, which your brother Pausanias went to the expense of having made some time ago and presented to you; write and acknowledge their receipt. If I can buy a cloak for you privately, I will send it at once, if not, I will have it made for you at home. The blankets have been cut out; the account of them, as you write, slall be sent by Diogas to Nicanor through Heraclas. A pattern of the colour of the dress that is being made is enclosed in this letter; give it to Nicanor to look at, in order that, if he likes it, he may write to us, for it has not yet been given out. We are going to use local purple. Good-bye. Mecheir 6.' Address on verso.
 a passage misunderstood equally by the compiler of the B. G. U. index, where \(\lambda \in \pi \tau i o s\) is given, and by Herwerden, Lex. Supplet., who translates it 'monetula'. \(\lambda \in \pi \tau\) in in 920. 4 and
 no doubt be interpreted like \(\lambda \in \pi\) тiov here; cf. P. Flor. 50. 104 дєттокєранiov, 7I. 343 \(\lambda \in \pi т о к є \rho а \mu \epsilon ́ \omega s\).
 \(\mu \epsilon \tau a \beta a \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a t\). The word at the beginning of 1.9 begins with a round letter, probably o, \(\theta\), or \(\sigma\); it does not seem possible to read \(\dot{d}[\xi]\) ias. \(\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon(\imath) \sigma[\lambda]\) ias is very unlikely.
14. There may be one or two letters before the supposed \(\mu\) of \(\mu \kappa \tau\) á, which word was added above the line.




26. [oür] ov, if rightly restored, is for [oür] \(\omega\), as e. g. in P. Tebt. 423. 12 ; cf. 1088. I3. Or something like [ \(\left.\check{\gamma \delta} \delta \eta^{\prime}\right]\) oú \(\gamma\) áp may be read.

1154．Letter of Theon．
\(12.5 \times 10.2 \mathrm{~cm}\) ．Late first century．
Commencement of a letter from a man urging his sister（and wife ？）not to be anxious during his absence．Line II suggests that he was on military service．
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \Theta \hat{\epsilon}[\omega] \nu \sum \alpha \rho \alpha \pi o \hat{\tau} \tau \iota \tau \hat{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \delta \in \lambda \phi \hat{\eta} \\
& \text { रaí } \rho \iota \nu . \\
& \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \pi \alpha ́ v \tau \omega \nu \text { ต̀s } \grave{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \tau \epsilon- \\
& \lambda \alpha ́ \mu \eta \nu \text { бо九 кат' ơ } \psi \iota \nu \text { є̀ } \pi \iota \mu \epsilon- \\
& 5 \text { 入ô } \sigma \epsilon a v \tau \hat{\eta} s \text { ìva } \mu 0 \ell \text { ن́yıaí- }
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \mu i ́, \alpha u ̉ \tau o ́ \pi \tau \eta s \text { रà } \epsilon i \mu i
\end{aligned}
\]

In the left margin，at right angles
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \pi \alpha \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha \text { бou каì } \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \mu \eta \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha \text { каi } \tau o u ̀ s ~ \alpha ́ \delta \epsilon[\lambda \phi o u ̀ s \\
& \text { [. . . . . . . . .] . [. . .] . . бíov тои̂ ’Apбıขоєítov [ }
\end{aligned}
\]

On the verso
\[
\pi[(\alpha \rho \grave{\alpha})] \Theta^{\prime} \epsilon \nu \nu
\]
＇Theon to his sister Sarapous，greeting．Above all else，as I enjoined upon you when with you，take care of yourself so that I may have you well，and do not be anxious about me because I am away from home，for I am personally acquainted with these places and am not a stranger here ．．．

12．à ãá̧ov（or à \(\sigma \pi a ́ \zeta o \mu a t\) ）tóv preceded \(\pi a \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a\).
13．Possibly＇Attıvo \(] \hat{\text { v＇}}\) Ifiov，not \(\Pi \eta \lambda\) ］ovsiov．But ］．．viov may be a personal and not a local name，though \(\Delta i o\) ］voviou is excluded．

1155．Letter of Theonas．
```

19.4\times15.5 cm. A.D. 104.

```

A letter sent from Alcxandria to a pastophorus of the temple of Isis．It is written in a rude hand and in very vulgar Greek．
```

    \Theta\omegavâs 'A\piíov\iota \tau\hat{c} \phi\iota\lambda\tau\alphá[\tau\omega
    \pi\lambda\hat{i}[\sigma\tau]\alpha \chi(\alphaí\rho\epsilon\iota\nu). \gammaเ\nu\omegá\sigmaк\iota\nu \sigma\epsilon[0'́-
    ```

```

    \kappa\alpha is 'A\lambda\epsilon\xi{́⿱亠乂
    ```

```

    \tauov \pi\rho\alphá\gamma{a}\mu\alpha\tauoS o\hat{v}}\mu\epsilon\dot{\eta}\mathrm{ -
    \rho\tilde{\tau\etaк\epsilons. \epsilon\hat{v}\rhoo\nu \tauò\nu ă\nu-}
    0\rhoо\piov ка\lambda\omegaिs \pi\rhoá\sigma\langle\sigma`о\nu\tau\alpha
    \tau\alphà \mu\epsilon\gamma\alphá\lambda\alpha. \dot{\alpha}\sigma\pi\alphá}ov
    10 \pi\alphá\nu\tau\epsilons \tauov̀s фí\lambdaous.
\alphaư\tauò \tauò \pi\rhoó\gamma\rho\alpha\mu\langle\mu\rangle\alpha \tauо\hat{v}

```

```

    iva є̇\piiyols \pi\rhoòs \tauí \sigmaoí'\sigma\tau\iota.
        \epsilon'}\rho\rho\omega\sigma{\sigma} о
    I5 (\epsiloň́tovs) \zeta Aú\tauoкра́тороs K\alphaí\sigma\alpha\rhoos N\epsilon\rhoо\langleúv\alpha
Ta\lambda!\iota\alphavov̂ \Sigma\epsilon\in\beta\alpha\sigma\tauov̂ \Gamma\epsilon\rho\mu\alpha\nu\iota!\langleко\rangle\hat{v}
\triangleакıкои̂ \Piах\grave{\omega\nu \alpha.}

```

On the verso
\[
\begin{gathered}
\dot{a} \pi o ́ \delta o s ~ i s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ ' I \sigma i v ~ ' A \pi i ́ \omega \nu \iota ~ \\
\\
\pi \alpha \sigma \tau o \phi o ́ \rho \varphi
\end{gathered}
\]

In the reverse direction
\(20 \pi \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha}\) Єєovâtos．
1．l．＇Ami \(\omega \nu\) ．
3．1．ött．
8．First o of \(a \nu \theta \rho o \pi o \nu\) corr．from \(\omega\) ；l．\(\check{u} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi o \nu\) ．
20．1．Өєшrătos．

16．1．Tpaaavoù．
＇Theonas to his dearest Apion，many greetings．I wish you to know that as soon as I arrived at Alexandria I immediately attended to the matter about which you asked me． I found the man prospering in the main．Greet all my friends．I send you the actual
proclamation of the praefect in order that you may hasten to do what concerns you． Good－bye．The 7 th year of the emperor Nerva Trajanus Augustus Germanicus Dacicus， Pachon I．（Addressed）Deliver to Apion，pastophorus，at the Iseum，from Theonas．＇

I．\(\Theta \omega \nu a ̂ s: ~ o n ~ t h e ~ v e r s o ~ \theta \epsilon o \nu a ̂ s, ~ i . ~ e . ~ \Theta \epsilon \epsilon \nu a ̂ s, ~ w h i c h ~ i s ~ t h e ~ u s u a l ~ f o r m . ~\)
3．\(\dot{\epsilon} \pi(\epsilon) i\) cannot be read．
5． \(\bar{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \lambda \kappa \epsilon\) for \(\mu \epsilon \mu \epsilon \bar{\lambda} \eta \kappa \epsilon\) is an odd form．

12．The praefect in office at this date was C．Vibius Maximus ；cf．P．Amh． 64.
13．\(\tau i\) is for \(\begin{aligned} & 0, \\ & \text { t } \\ & \text { t }\end{aligned}\) ；cf．note on 1119．22－3．
19．On the pastophori，who were of lower rank than the iefeis，cf．Otto，Priester und Tempel，i．pp．94－8，ii．p． \(\mathbf{1 5 2 ,}^{2}\) P．Tebt．299．68，note．

1156．Letter of Anubion．
\[
1 \mathrm{t} \cdot 3 \times 8.6 \mathrm{~cm}
\]

Third century．
Part of a letter apparently from an agent or steward to his employer，con－ cerning the purchase of some fodder．
\[
\begin{aligned}
& X \alpha[\hat{\imath} \rho] \epsilon, \kappa u ́ \rho \iota \in{ }^{\mu} \mu \\
& { }^{2} A \nu \tau \hat{\alpha}, \pi(\alpha \rho \alpha ̀) \text { 'A } \nu o u \beta i ́ \omega \nu o s . \\
& \pi \rho о \sigma \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \in \nu \quad \mu о \iota \quad \Sigma \alpha \rho a \pi i ́- \\
& \omega \nu \text { ò à } \pi \text { ò } \text { Фı入ovíkov }^{\prime} \\
& 5 \text { ஸ́s }{ }^{\prime \prime} \nu \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \nu \text { ó入í } \gamma \omega \nu \text { } \sigma \text { l- } \\
& \text { тарíw } \epsilon \text { 'is } \pi \rho \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota \nu \text {. }
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { [ } \delta 0 \hat{v}] \nu \alpha i ́ l \tau \iota] \kappa \alpha i \alpha \alpha \tau i \quad \tau \hat{\eta} S ~ \tau \iota \mu \hat{\eta} S \\
& \text { [ } \left.\kappa \alpha i{ }^{\circ}\right] \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \alpha ́ \tau \eta \mu \alpha ~ \pi \alpha \rho ' ~ \alpha u ́-~ \\
& 10 \text { [ } \tau \circ \hat{v}] \lambda \alpha \beta i \nu, ~ \epsilon ่ \pi i ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda o-~
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& {[\hat{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon] \mu \psi \alpha \text { oû } \nu \text { aủ } \tau o ̀ \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s}
\end{aligned}
\]

On the verso


＇Greeting，my lord Antas，from Anubion．Sarapion of the village of Philonicus came to me about a small quantity of provisions for sale．Perhaps you may be willing to give him something and take from him the trodden grapes（？）as the price of it，since we are likely to be wanting green－stuff？I therefore send him to you in order that you may do as you please with him ．．＇

4．Фıдо⿱ікоv：cf． 965 and P．Hibeh，p． 8.
9．ла́т \(\eta \mu\) ：cf． 1142.3 ，note．

\section*{1157．Letter of Pathermouthis．}
\[
26.1 \times 8.7 \mathrm{~cm}
\]

Late third century．
The subjects of this letter are a registration，evidently for the census，and a payment of the poll－tax，both of which the writer wished his sister to undertake for him．He was uncertain whether she would be allowed to register him in his absence，and he asks her，in case this should not be possible，to let him know，in order that he might come and do it for himself．

Kvpía \(\mu\) оv \(\dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \hat{\eta} \quad \Delta \iota o \nu v \sigma i ́ \alpha\)
\(\Pi \alpha \theta \epsilon \rho \mu о \hat{\nu} \theta_{\imath s} \chi^{\alpha i ́ p \epsilon t \nu .}\)

\(\dot{\omega} S \quad \stackrel{\prime}{\epsilon} \nu\{\epsilon \nu\} \in \kappa \in \nu \quad \tau \hat{\eta} S \quad \dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \gamma \rho \alpha-\)

\(\psi \epsilon, \epsilon \in \pi \iota \delta \grave{\eta}\) oûv oủ \(\delta u ́ v \alpha-\)
\(\mu a \iota ~ \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \beta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota\) í \(\delta \epsilon \dot{\eta}\) סúv \(\eta\)




\(\grave{\eta} \mu \hat{\alpha} s \quad \alpha ं \pi о \gamma \rho \alpha ́ \psi \epsilon, \alpha^{\alpha} \nu \tau i ́ \gamma \rho \alpha-\)
廿óv \(\mu 0 \iota \kappa \alpha \dot{\alpha} \dagger \grave{\omega} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \beta \in \nu \omega\) ．

15 ov \(\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \iota \tau 0 \hat{v} \sigma \iota, \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta_{\epsilon} \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu\langle\ldots\rangle\)
\[
\tau \epsilon S \quad \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \iota \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota \text { тò } \quad \text { Є́ } \pi \iota \kappa \epsilon-
\] фа́лaıov，סıáypa廿ov aủтò \(\kappa \alpha i ̀ \alpha \nu \alpha \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \omega\) бou тò кє́ \(\rho\)－ \(\mu \alpha \cdot \epsilon \in \grave{\alpha} \nu\) ס̀ \(\delta \iota a \gamma \rho \alpha ́ \psi r, s\) \(20 \tau o ̀ ~ \epsilon \in \pi \iota \kappa \epsilon \phi \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \iota o \nu, \delta^{\epsilon} \xi \xi \alpha \iota\) \(\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi o \chi \eta \dot{\eta} \nu . \quad \mu \grave{\eta}\) o它 \(\nu\) \(\dot{\alpha} \mu \in \lambda \eta \sigma \eta \eta s, \alpha \dot{\alpha} \in \lambda \phi \dot{\eta}, \kappa \alpha i\) रрáчov \(\mu\) о८ \(\pi \in \rho i ~ \tau \eta ̂ s\) \([\alpha,] \pi 0 \gamma \rho \alpha \phi \bar{\eta} s\) öт८ \(\dot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon-\)
 रра廿ov ка́ \(\gamma \dot{\omega}\) à \(\nu \alpha \beta \alpha i ́ \nu \omega\) каi àтоүра́фонає．
 xpóvols．

On the verso

5．1．ìтoypíqui；so in 11． 8 and \(\mathbf{1 2}\) ．7．ḯє Pap．1．єi for \(\eta\) ；so in 1.24.

' To my sister, mistress Dionysia, from Pathermouthis, greeting. As you sent me word on account of the registration about registering yourselves, since I cannot come, see whether you can register us. Do not then neglect to register us, me and Patas; but if you learn that you cannot register us, reply to me and I will come. Find out also about the collection of the poll-tax, and if they are [hurrying on with] the collection of the poll-tax, pay it and I will send you the money; and if you pay the poll-tax, get the receipt. Do not neglect this, my sister, and write to me about the registration, whether you have done it or not, and reply to me and I will come and register myself. I pray for your lasting health. (Addressed) Deliver to Dionysia from Pathermouthis.'
10. The name חatâs occurs in P. Brit. Mus. 1170.264 . There is a small hole in the papyrus immediately after the \(\nu\), but if another letter, except c or \(o\), had been added, it would be partly visible.

14-15. The context indicates that the writer wishes his correspondent to get information and is not himself giving it, so that \(\mu \dot{\mu} \theta \epsilon\) ö́tı . . . \(\dot{\alpha} \pi a \iota \tau o v \sigma \iota \nu\) practically means 'find out when they are collecting'.
\({ }^{15-16}\). \(\tau \in s\) seems to be the termination of a participle of which the commencement has



\section*{1158. LETTER OF LUCIUS.}
\[
17.6 \times 13.9 \mathrm{~cm} .
\]

Third century.
A letter to a shopkeeper ( \(\kappa \alpha ́ \pi \eta \lambda o s\) ) from a man who salutes him as 'brother' in 1. I, but describes himself merely as a friend in the address on the verso. The writer requests his correspondent to collect a debt for him and make certain purchases, and announces the dispatch of some presents. His Greek is erratic.

Иои́кıs каі̀ \(\sum \alpha \rho \alpha \pi i \omega \nu\{\iota\} \pi о \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}\) X \(\alpha i \rho \epsilon \iota \nu\).



oûv \(\pi[0] \iota \eta \sigma \iota s \quad \alpha \quad \pi \epsilon \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \quad \pi \rho o ̀ s ~[' A] \rho \eta \tau i ́ \omega \nu \alpha\)
тòv \(\dot{\alpha} \rho \tau о к о ́ \pi о \nu ~ к \alpha i ~ \delta ́ \epsilon ́ \xi \in ~ \pi[\alpha] \rho . \quad \alpha \cup ̉ \tau о \hat{v} \tau \epsilon \in \sigma\langle\sigma\rangle \epsilon-\)




```

    \alpha \epsiloni'S \tau\grave{\eta\nu X\rho\hat{\eta}\sigma\iota\nu \dot{\eta}\mu\hat{\omega}\nu к\alphai \delta\elĺ\xi\epsilon\epsilon \tau\alpha\gamma\alpha\rhoí\zeta\alpha}
    ```

```

    \epsiloni้v\alpha \sigmaol \delta\hat{\eta} \tau\grave{\alpha} \tau\epsiloń\sigma\sigma\epsilon\rho\alpha \tau\alphá\lambda\alpha\nu\tau\alpha\alpha. \epsilon'\pii c\hat{v}\nu
    ```

```

    \pi\epsiloń\mu\pi\omega \sigmaol. \epsiloň\lambda\alpha\beta\alpha o\hat{v}\nu \tauò K\nu\epsiloní}\epsilon\ell\nu \pi\alpha\rho\alphà 'A\mu\mu\omega-
    \nu\hat{\alpha}\tau0v̂ ö\xious к\alphai \epsilonै }\pi\epsilon\mu\psi\alphá \sigmaol \deltai' \alphaủ\tauov̂ \sigma\phiv
    \rhoí}\epsilonl\nu \tau\rho\alpha\gamma\eta\mu\alphá\tau\omega\nu к\alphaì \sigmaó\lambda\epsilonl\nu. \alphá\sigma\pi\alphá{о\mu\epsilon\nu
    ```

```

    \kappa\alphaì \tau\grave{\eta}\nu 0v\gamma\alpha\tau\epsiloń\rho\alpha\nu \alphau`\tau\etaेs. वै\sigma\pi\alpha\sigmao\nu 'A\phiû\gamma-
    \chi\epsilon\iota\nu к\alpha\grave{ T'\epsiloń\chi\omega\sigma\iota\nu к\alphaì П\tauо\lambda\epsilon\mu\langle\alphaî\rangleо\nu \alpháф' \grave{\eta}\mu\hat{\nu}\nu.}
    \epsilon\epsilon\alphà\nu o\hat{v}\nu \mu\alphá0\eta\etas ö\tau\iota \mu\epsiloń\lambda\lambda\epsilon\epsilon \zeta\epsilon\iota\alpha\beta\alpha\lambda\epsilonî\nu \sigma\epsilon 'A\rho\eta-
    \tauí\omega\nu \pi\epsilon\rhoì \tau\omegaि\nu \chi\alpha\lambda\kappa\epsiloní\nu\omega\nu, \gamma\rho\alpháq%o\nu \muo\iota
    \kappa\alphai \pi\epsiloń}\mu\pi\omega \alphaư\tau\widehat{\varrho
    \epsilon}\rho\hat{\omega}\sigma\sigma\tau\epsiloníl \sigmaol \epsilonU้XO\mual mo\lambda\lambdaoîs X\rhoóvols
    ```

On the verso
\[
\dot{\alpha} \pi(o ́ \delta o s) \Delta \iota o \delta \omega \rho \omega \text { к } \alpha \pi \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega \quad \pi \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha} \text { Aovкiou фî̀ov. }
\]
 8. \(\lambda a \nu\) of tàavעta added above the line. 1. ä for \(\begin{gathered}\omega \\ \nu\end{gathered} \quad\) 12. \(\zeta\) of tayapı̧a corr. from \(\delta\);

 tof \(\chi \rho o \nu o t s\) corr. from \(\sigma . \quad\) 26. \(\pi /\) apa Pap.
' To my brother Diodorus, many greetings from Lucius and Sarapion. Before all else we pray for your prosperity and that of your whole house. Many times in the day we expect you to come to us. It would be kind of you to go to Aretion the baker and obtain from him four talents which he had from us when he was at Alexandria. See that you do not neglect this. If you get the four talents from him, when you come to us, buy us some provisions for our use and obtain stores and send them to us. You must know that I have written to Aretion to give you the four talents. As you said that you wish for . . . I I will send it you when it is brought. I got the Cnidian jar of vinegar from Ammonas, and I have sent you by him a basket of dainties and a . . . We greet our sister and her daughter Helenous and her daughter. Greet Aphunchis and Techosis and Ptolemaeus from us. If you learn that Aretion is going to accuse you about the copper, write to me and I will send him an addition. I pray for your lasting health.' Address.
2. The position of \(\Sigma\) इapati \(\omega \nu\) and the use of \(\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon i s\) and \(\sigma \dot{v}\) make it clear that \(\Sigma a p a \pi i \omega \nu\) was intended.
 and note. tà rapióa (rápos) seems less likely. For the interchange of \(\delta\) and \(\zeta \mathrm{cf}\). Il. I 6 and 22, and c. g. 1089.
15. raaraioa, if that is the right reading, cannot here have its ordinary meaning. It may possibly be connected with the form of sauce called máatך or \(\pi \dot{a} \sigma \tau a\).
 proposed to interpret the term in that passage as the Latin soleae, but the occurrence of the singular here is not in favour of this explanation. See moreover P. Cairo Cat. 67006. 47 \(\sigma_{0} \lambda_{\iota \iota \nu}(?) \sigma \iota \tau v \rho o \hat{v}\) (1. \(\sigma \iota \delta \eta \rho o \hat{\nu} \nu\) ). The active form à \(\sigma \pi a ́ \zeta \omega\) (cf. l. 20) occurs in Boiss. Anecd. iii. p. 205. 96 and in Hesychius.
1159. Letter to a Wife.
\(23.1 \times 5.8 \mathrm{~cm}\). Late third century.
This letter has lost the commencement and is unaddressed on the back; but it was sent to a woman who, since the writer sends salutations to his children and 'our mother', was probably his wife. He gives her various commissions.

є́avtov̂ ìva \(\mu \grave{\eta} \beta \alpha-\)
\(\rho \eta \sigma \omega \omega\) aủ \(\hat{\varphi}\) o’ \(\psi \omega \nu i ́-\)

\(5 \tau \hat{\varphi}\) ő őt \(\mu \epsilon \in \chi \rho \iota \lambda\)
\({ }^{\epsilon} \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha l\). єi ס̀̀ \(\mu \eta \eta^{\gamma \epsilon}\),
\(\sigma v ́ v \tau \alpha \xi \alpha \iota ~ \alpha u ̛ \tau \hat{\omega}\) ồтı
\({ }^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \quad \mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota\)
\(\iota \epsilon \Phi \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu \omega ́ \theta\).
10 \(\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}\) ठ̀̀ тov̂ \(\theta \epsilon \in \mu \alpha-\)
Tos тồ \(\sigma \epsilon\) ítou \(\mu \eta े\)
\(\dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \sigma \eta \eta_{s}^{\tau} \tau \hat{v}\) ध́ \(\nu 0\) -
\(\chi^{\lambda} \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota \quad \Theta \omega \nu i ́ \varphi\).
\(\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\iota}\) ठ̀̀ каi \(\tau \hat{\omega} \nu\) द́-
\({ }^{1} 5 \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \nu \nu \epsilon ́ \rho \gamma \omega \nu\),
\(\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \alpha \iota\) тoís \({ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega-\)
\(\pi o \iota s\) öт \(\pi \epsilon \epsilon \mu \pi \omega\)
\[
\text { 2. ǐa Pap. } \quad \text { 23. є } \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime} к о \nu \text { Pap. }
\]
\(\therefore\)... that I may not trouble him about provisions, since I wrote to him that I was coming by the 30 th ; otherwise, arrange with him that he shall come by the \(13^{\text {th }}\) Phamenoth.

With regard to the deposit of corn, do not neglect to worry Thonius. With regard to my tools, tell the men that I am sending the expenses for them, and leave the tools with them until I send the expenses. When you come, bring the old cushion that is up in the dining-room. Salute my children, whom the evil eye shall not harm, and our mother and your sister and all our friends.'
1. The letter after кot is not \(\nu\).
10. Cf. 1125. 19.
12. There are ink-marks above the latter part of this line, but they are probably accidental.


\section*{1160. Letter of Trophimus.}
\(27.1 \times 10.7 \mathrm{~cm}\). Late third or early fourth century.
This letter, which like 1155 is in more than usually vulgar Greek, was written by a son at Alexandria to his father. The pair seem to have been on very good terms, in spite of the father's aspersion on his son's morals in \(11.2+\) sqq.

> Kvрíce \(\mu\) оv \(\pi \alpha \tau \rho i ̀ ~ ' \Omega \rho \iota \gamma \epsilon ́ \nu \eta s\)
> Tро́фıцоs \(\pi о \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}\) Х \(\alpha i ́ \rho \epsilon i \nu\).
> \(\pi \rho o ̀ ~ \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \quad \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \pi o \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \alpha \in \dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha}-\)

> 5 каi 'Iбíס \(\omega \rho\) оs каi \(\Phi\) ои́ \(\lambda \lambda \omega \nu\) каi ' \(E \lambda \epsilon ́ \nu \eta\) [
> \(\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ̀ s ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \epsilon S\) кат' oै \(\nu о \mu \alpha\).
\({ }^{1} 5 \ddot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \alpha\) v́ \(\mu i \bar{\nu} \pi \hat{\alpha}\langle\sigma\rangle \epsilon \iota \quad \ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \pi \epsilon \quad \pi \pi \iota \nu\).
\(\tau \grave{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \sigma u ́ \lambda \lambda \eta \chi^{\alpha}\) ठє́ \(\kappa \epsilon \in \rho \mu \alpha\langle\tau \alpha\rangle \tau \eta \rho \hat{\omega} \alpha \dot{u}-\)
```

öт\iota 㒸\nu\tau[v]X\epsilon ка\tau\grave{\alpha} \Pio\lambdav\delta\epsilonधv́к\etaS.





```
\({ }_{25} \theta_{\eta}\) '่ \(\nu\) ' \(A \lambda \epsilon \xi \alpha \nu \delta \rho i ́ a \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}\) то仑
\muv\chio[\hat{v}] \sigmaov* \gamma\rho\alphá\psiov \muol \deltà\epsilon \tauíS '̇\sigma\tauL\nu
o \muv\chiós \muov. \llbracket\epsilon\iota \chi\rholav\rrbracket]
    \epsilon}\rho\rho\hat{\omega}\sigma{\sigma}0\alphaí \sigma\epsilon \epsilonU'\chiо\mu\alpha\iota
```

On the verso

$$
\dot{\alpha}(\pi o ́ \delta o s) \quad ' \Omega \rho \iota \gamma^{\prime} \nu[l] \quad \pi(\alpha \rho \grave{\alpha}) T \rho o \phi i ́ \mu o ̣ \cup .
$$

 каvхต $\mu \boldsymbol{\operatorname { \nu o s }}$ written above $\phi$, which is crossed through, and $\mu \epsilon \nu \sigma$ also added above the line.



 $\mu о \boldsymbol{\chi o ́ s .}$
' To my revered father Origenes, many greetings from Trophimus. Before all else I send many salutations to you and your consort Copria and Isidorus and Phullon and Helene and all our friends severally. You wrote to me in your letter that my boastfulness earns me the name of "Gift of Zeus" because I sent you money ; but I do not boast about what I sent you by Philoxenus. If you have sold the various things which I sent you, write to me in order that I may send you more. I have been idle here for two months, otherwise I would have sent you all some more. I am keeping for the trial the money that I have collected; for I am waiting for the memoranda. You wrote to me, "Petition against Polydeuces." If the memoranda come to me, I will petition against him and against Sarapodorus. If it seems good to you, send me a pot of oil. You wrote to me "You are staying at Alexandria with your paramour ". Write and tell me, who is my paramour. I pray for your health. (Addressed) Deliver to Origenes from Trophimus.'
$8-9$. The name Diodorus seems to have been jestingly applied to the son on account of his liberalities.
10. $\langle\tilde{i}\rangle$ : or perhaps $\langle\hat{\omega} \nu\rangle$, the loss of which would be easier after $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \mu a v \tau o ́ v ;$ cf. I. 12.
16. тá is for $\tilde{a}$, a use not uncommon in the papyri. $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \dot{\lambda} \lambda \lambda \eta \chi^{a}$ for $\sigma v \nu \epsilon i \lambda \eta \chi^{a}$ is a noticeable form.
23. кои́ккоуда $=$ сисита ; another form found in P. Amh. 126. 30 and P. Hamburg
 or кочкои́цо is more common.


## 1161. Cifistian Letter.

$$
7 \times 8.8 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Fourth century } .
$$

This and the next papyrus are both somewhat early specimens of Christian letters. 1181, written by a sick woman, is only a fragment. The upright semiuncial hand is hardly likely to be earlier than the fourth century.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ] . . } \alpha \underset{\sim}{s} k \alpha i \\
& \tau \hat{\omega} \text { á } \alpha \theta\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\hat{\omega} & \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega}]
\end{array} \boldsymbol{v} \sigma \omega \tau \hat{\eta} \rho \iota\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$\pi \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega$ őтт $\omega$ o v̂тo兀
$5 \pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma \quad \beta[0] \eta \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega \sigma \iota \nu \quad \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$
$\tau \hat{\omega} \sigma \omega \mu \alpha \tau \iota, \tau \hat{\eta} \psi v \chi \hat{\eta}, \tau \hat{\omega}[\llbracket \pi \nu(\epsilon v \mu \alpha \tau)!\rrbracket]$
$\pi \nu(\epsilon v ́ \mu \alpha \tau) \iota$. таvิта סє́ $\sigma \circ \imath$ 'ै $\gamma \rho \alpha \psi \alpha$
$\nu o \sigma o \hat{v} \sigma \alpha, \delta[\iota \nu] \omega \hat{\omega}{ }^{\prime} \in \chi$ ov $\sigma \alpha, \pi \alpha ́$.
$\nu v \mu \grave{\eta}$ ठvvaرє́vך $\alpha \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \hat{\eta}-$
$\dot{\eta} \nu \epsilon ́ \eta$ ầ $\pi \rho i \nu$ voб $\eta \sigma \omega$ ن́ $\pi \hat{\eta}$ -
15 $\quad \gamma \in \nu$ к $\alpha \iota \in[$

In the left margin, at right angles
$\left.\dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi \alpha{ }^{\prime} \oint O \nu \tau \alpha \iota \quad \dot{v}\right] \mu \hat{\alpha} S \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \epsilon S$ oí $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \alpha \hat{v} \theta[\alpha$
On the verso
] * $\tau \hat{\eta} S \alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta \in \lambda \phi \hat{\eta} s, \underset{.}{\Sigma} \cdot[$.
3. 1. v[i]
'. . . (to our God) and gracious saviour and to his beloved Son, that they all may succour our body, soul, and spirit. I write this to you in sickness, being very ill and quite unable to rise from my bed, because I am very ill. With regard to what you wrote to me . . .
2. If $\dot{\eta} \mu \bar{\omega}] \nu$ is right, $\theta \in \hat{\omega}$ accompanied by other epithets preceded кai in 1. I. Or $\theta(\epsilon) \bar{\varphi} \kappa] a i$ might be read in place of $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$. Cf. e. g. Luke i. $47 \tau \bar{\omega} \theta \epsilon \bar{\varphi} \tau \bar{\omega} \sigma \omega \tau \bar{\eta} \rho i \mu \nu v$.

12-15. These last lines are obscure. ס九apévè is unconvincing, and it is not clear whether $\epsilon_{\pi} \pi \epsilon \iota \gamma \epsilon$ is imperative or for $\eta \pi \pi \epsilon \gamma \epsilon$, or how the letters $\eta \nu \epsilon \eta a v$ should be interpreted;


## 1162. Letter of Leon.

```
12.5\times9.2 cm.
Fourth century.
```

A letter from a priest commending a brother-Christian to the good offices of the priests and deacons of a iocal church. There is considerable variation in the size of the writing, $11.5,9,12$ and the word ${ }_{\epsilon} \rho \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma \theta a \iota$ in l. I 3 being especially conspicuous.
2. $\nu$ of $\sigma v \nu$ added above the line. 3. 1. סaakóvoss. 6. 1. dòe $\lambda$ фóv. 7. First a of
 10. 1. $\boldsymbol{\tau \epsilon}$. 12. A blot, perhaps due to a correction, between $\pi$ poaayopev and $\epsilon \sigma \theta a t$.
'Leon, presbyter, to the presbyters and deacons who share the local service, beloved brothers in the Lord God, fullness of joy. Our brother Ammonius, who is coming to you, receive in peace; through whom we and those with us greet you and those who are with you kindly in the Lord. I pray for your health in the Lord God. Emmanuel is my witness. Amen.'
2. $\sigma v \lambda \lambda$ etrovpyós is a good ecclesiastical word, and is sufficiently satisfactory as a reading here.
5. Cf. e. g. John iii. 29 харậ Xaípєı.
 $\kappa(\nu \rho i) \omega \pi \rho \rho \sigma a \gamma \rho \rho \epsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu$. A verb such as $\theta_{\epsilon} \lambda_{o \mu \epsilon \nu}$ may be understood with the infinitive.
1163. Letter to Heraclammon.

$$
18 \times 30.6 \mathrm{~cm}
$$

Fifth century.
This incomplete letter, which is addressed to a comes, was written from the Cyrenaica giving news of the writer's movements. The writing in this and the two following papyri, as usual in Byzantine letters, is across the fibres. On the recto is a fragmentary account, headed, like the recto, with $\pi /$.

```
                \pi/
```







```
    к\alphai \gamma\rhoаф\etaे\nu\alpha\iota каi \tau]
    \pi\rhoòs \tau\grave{\eta}\nu \sigma\grave{\eta}\nu [\mu\epsilon\gamma\alpha\lambdao\pi\rho'́́\pi\iota\alpha\nu
```

On the verso

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5. } \ddot{\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho a s ~ P a p . ~} \\
& \text { 6. } \ddot{\pi} \pi о \beta \lambda \eta \theta \eta \nu \text { [aı Pap. }
\end{aligned}
$$

'I arrived on the $4^{\text {th }}$ at the western border of Darne, and on the next day met my master the most magnificent and most courageous comes. On the inquiry of his magnificence I told him what was fitting about your magnificence, and immediately had the ... submitted and written and... (Addressed) Deliver to my master the most magnificent and most illustrious comes Heraclammon from . . .'

1. $\pi$ : cf. 1165. i and note on 941. i.
2. Darne (the modern Derne) was in the extreme east of the Cyrenaica. $\tau_{\eta} \boldsymbol{y}$ suggests that $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho a \nu$ is also to be given a capital initial, but perhaps we should write $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$.

## 1164. Letter of Theodosius.

$$
25.1 \times 29.4 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Sixth or seventh century } .
$$

Letter to a comes from a minor local magnate concerning a dispute for the possession of a camel, which was claimed by their respective subordinates. The writer proposes arbitration and promises that the sentence should be respected.







 $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$


 $\pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi о \pi \lambda \eta \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma \alpha \iota$. $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ र $\rho \alpha ́ \phi \omega \pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{i} \sigma \tau \alpha \pi \rho о \sigma \kappa v \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ к $\alpha i$


On the verso

```
+ \delta\epsilon\sigma\pió(\tau\eta) \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon}\mu\hat{\varphi}}\tau\hat{\omega
```



```
    1. \ddot{\mu}\mu\epsilon\tau\epsilon\rhoas Pap. 3. \delta\epsilon\delta\omegaкvйa Pap. 9. l. ai\rho\etá\sigma\omega\nu\tauat. 13. \ddot{v}\mu\epsilon\tau\epsilon\rhoa\nu Pap.
```

'The letter-carriers came to me bringing me a letter from your paternal magnificence about a camel, and I was very grateful for the opportunity granting me to be deemed worthy after so long of your honoured words. I immediately brought in their opponents and they produced not a few persons testifying that the camel is theirs, while on the other hand the letter-carriers brought other persons testifying that the said camel belongs to them; and since both sides brought witnesses, I could not settle the point between them. But if it be your bidding, order them to come to an arbitration with my people before any one whom they shall both select, and to accept the results of the arbitration ; for I will use every means to secure that my people abide by the judgement given them. God is my witness that I am anxious in everything to perform your orders. I write this with many
reverences and greetings to your paternal magnificence. (Addressed) To my master the most magnificent . . reverend . . . comes Peter, from Theodosius.'

S-9. Wilcken notes the parallelism to P. Grenf. II. 99. (a) 5-8 àveג tiv cis diautav cai tà ùmò Suits $\pi$ orív! (cf. Archive iii. p. 126).
14. Some abbreviation of $\pi \alpha \dot{\sigma} \eta s$ probably preceded $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \kappa(v \nu \eta \sigma \epsilon \omega s)$ as in 1165. 13, but the traces of the letters are too faint for identification. Perhaps the proper name begins immediately after $\mathfrak{a} \xi\left({ }_{j}(\varphi)\right)$, though $\Pi \epsilon \in \tau \rho \varphi$ looks right.

## 1165. Letter of Victor.

$$
16.9 \times 29.8 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Sixth century }
$$

A letter from one advocate ( $\sigma \chi 0 \lambda \alpha \sigma \tau<\kappa o ́ s: ~ c f .902$. 1 , note, and Gclzer, Bj’~. Verwalt. p. 34) to another expostulating about the treatment of some cultivators in the writer's employ, and threatening reprisals. The cause of the trouble was apparently a dispute about some camels, perhaps a question of ownership like that involved in 1164.

$$
\pi /
$$

 єu่тє $\lambda \epsilon$ ías $\mu$ uv
 каi тоîs סıаф́́роvбív $\mu о \iota$
 таиิта סє́ $\mu$ On є'рŋтає
 $\delta_{\iota} \grave{\alpha} \delta_{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha ́ \mu \eta \lambda \alpha$ ar $\xi \iota \alpha$
 $\dot{v} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \rho \alpha$ and $\delta \lambda \phi \iota \kappa \grave{\eta}$ бофía $\omega^{\prime} \dot{\eta}$
 $\pi[0 \iota] \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota \quad \mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu \hat{\eta} \in \pi \in \epsilon \xi \in \lambda \theta \in \hat{i} \nu$


 ís каi є́ $\pi$ oí $\sigma \alpha$







On the verso

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{\alpha} \xi(i \neq)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma \chi 0 \lambda(\alpha \sigma \tau \text { וкós). }
\end{aligned}
$$

2. $\ddot{u}_{\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho a \nu}$ Pap.; so in 1. 6. 3. $\mu \eta$ after $\mu$ ovov added by the second hand above the line. $\quad 5$. єб $\theta a \iota$ of $\gamma \in \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a t$ by the second hand over an erasure, probably of $\gamma \in \nu o \mu \epsilon \nu o v s$. The top of the original $\epsilon$ is visible. 9. $\eta \mathrm{s}$ and ouns of $\tau \eta \delta \delta \epsilon \sigma \pi o u \eta s$ corr. by the second hand. 11. avv added by the second hand above $\delta \epsilon$, of which the $\delta$ is lightly crossed through.
' Your fraternal, illustrious learnedness ought to have helped my insignificance and not have allowed me to be so far undone, and not only not to injure me and my insignificant property, but to prevent others who wish to do so. I have said this because my cultivators at Amoules have been put to such straits, and because of some camels worth a score of denarii. If we go to litigation and your fraternal wisdom says that the guard did this, you control the guard and you ought to help me rather than to proceed against me and then leave the whole matter to my insignificance. God knows, I too could have injured an estate near Murmux belonging to the mistress of that guard, as I did on another occasion in the time of the honourable comes Paul, when I was injured once before by the inhabitants of Teruthis. Let me tell you then what you should do ; be persuaded, whether they made an error or whether they did not, to have them released, so that I may not come to that or to other steps which might cause us vexation. I write this with due reverence. (Addressed) To my master the most illustrious, most wise, worthy of all reverence, my dearest brother the most illustrious advocate, from Victor, by the grace of God, advocate.'
3. $\pi$ : cf. 1163. 1.


4. $\nu$ ov $\mu \mu i o v=\lambda \epsilon \pi \tau o ́ v$ or denarius, the smallest monetary unit (cf. Hultsch, Metrol. p. 343), and ásca e $\epsilon \kappa \sigma \sigma \iota ~ \nu о v \mu \mu i \omega \nu$ will here be a contemptuous phrase meaning that the quarrel was all over a mere trifle ; cf. P. Cairo Cat. 67009. 24 тoîs $\pi \epsilon \in \nu \eta \sigma \iota$ 入ovopévoıs tề $\nu o v \mu[i] \omega \nu$. Probably the camels did not belong to Victor.

 II sqq. In the present passage the person or persons constituting the $\pi a \rho a \phi \nu \lambda a \kappa \eta$ are meant.
5. A conjunction such as îva or an adverb like $\nu \hat{v} v$ or $\eta \neq \eta$ probably preceded $\delta\} \xi ;$ the vestiges are extremely slight. $\phi \hat{\epsilon} \rho[\epsilon$ cannot be read. T $\epsilon \hat{\rho} \hat{\theta} \boldsymbol{\iota}$ s is mentioned in 65. 2, 998, 1040. 14. The name is also found in other nomes; cf. P. Giessen 6. 7, P. Hamburg 1 7. ii. 1. 11. ${ }^{\prime} \times \in[\hat{i}] \mathrm{yo}:$ i. e. what he had done on the previous occasion.

## I N D I C E S

## I．NEW LITERARY TEXTS．

（a） 1082 （CERCIDAS）．
（Numbers in thick type refer to fragments；Cerc．Fr．＝a fragment proviously extant；sch．$=$ scholium．）
áßpós 3．ii． 7.
àa［ 6． 4.
ảađós 1．iii． 15 and sch．
çoopá 1．v．I3．
＂$\gamma р є v \mu a$ 3．ii． 7 sch．
á§ovóтлактоs 2．ii． 9 ．
$\dot{\alpha} \in \iota K\left[\eta \eta_{s}\right.$ 2．iii． $1_{5}$ ．
$a \in \lambda \lambda a 1 . \mathrm{iv} . \mathrm{I} 3$ ．
ä $\zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota 1$ ．iii． 6.
äŋr $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ s l．iv． 16.
ai 1．ii． 4 ；3．ii．II．
aîєрıßóбкаs Cerc．Fr． 2.2.
uio］$\lambda$ óm $\omega \lambda$ os 6．I．
айбъ
ùк $\lambda \eta$［4． 2.
úкó入йтоs 9． 2 （？）．
điкочи́ 1．ii．I 5.
áкрабí $\omega$ 1．ii． 1.
eikpatŋ́s 1．ii．I sch．
àkт［3．iii． 20.

Cerc．Fr．2． 5 ．

à入ıкía 3．ii． 12.
d入入á Cerc．Fr．2． 3.
ä $\lambda \lambda$ os 50．I（？）．

áцáخактоs 3．ii． 3 （vv．11．ả $\mu$ á－ раутоs，итл́́раутоs）．
$\dot{\text { ü }} \mu \mathrm{\rho} \rho$ 1．iii． 3.
á $\mu a ́ p a \nu \tau o s ~ 3 . ~ i i . ~ 3 ~(v v . ~ 1 l . ~ a ̉ \mu a ́-~$ дактоs，д̀тє́риитоs）．
ápeis 1．ii． 2 ，iii． 15 ，iv． 5,16 ． á $\mu \in ́$ 1．iii．I I．
ä้ 1 ．iii． 9 ，iv． 8.
àvaßaìєı Cerc．Fr．2．3．
àขáктьр 1．iii． 8.
đıŋُ 1．iii． 3 ；Cerc．Fr．4． 2 ； Cerc．Fr． 5 ．
àíкатоs 3．ii． 4.
びขしたos 4．II．
ảvóvatos 1．ii． 3 ．
ảvti 3．ii．I 2 sch．
ävต 4． 8.
ákia 1．iii． 10.
ámáтv入入a 39． 7 （？）．

лактоs，àца́pàтоs）．
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \theta_{\eta}^{\prime} s$ 1．iv． 7.
ฮimo入aúєı 23． 2 sch．
à $\pi о \sigma \pi a \lambda a \kappa 0 \hat{v} \nu$ 1．ii． 12.
àтобтонойข 4.5.
＂р $р$ voos 1．ii． 3 ．
ảpєтá 5． 6.
ảpıtтtєoós 1．iv．I 2.



ápно̂̀ 10．Іо（？）．
ă $\rho \sigma \eta \nu$ 4． 13 ．

 àт $\rho є$ дia l．iv． 10.
aủסá 2．ii． 14.

ửтós 1．iii． 6 ；4．4；21．4； 23．I．
＇Aфpooíalos 1．iv．I 8 sch．
＇Афроді́та 1．iv．6，v．${ }^{1} 3$.
＂̈харıs 3．iii． 6.
$\beta a \theta$ ús，є̇к $\beta a \theta$ é $\omega \nu$ 1．iv． 4 sch．
ßактрофо́раs Cerc．Fr． 2.2.
$\beta_{\epsilon} \beta[3$. iii． 19.
ßıótas（or $\beta$ ıotá）3．ii．I 3 ．
$\beta \lambda a ́ \beta a$ 4． 2.
$\beta \lambda a \psi$ tт．［ 1．V． 12.
$\beta \lambda \epsilon[28.2$.
$\beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1．ii． 12 sch．
阝入обиро́ $\mu \mu и т и я ~ 28 . ~ 3 ~(?) . ~$.
ßovaóos 6． 2.
ß poóós 1．iv．8；2．ii． 10 ；
3．ii． 2.
Bpuyía 1．iii． 5 （v．1．Фрvyia）．
$\gamma$ â 1．iii．I 6.
$\gamma^{\alpha}$ Cerc．Fr．2．i．
रa $\beta$ pós 1．v． 16.
रúp 1．ii．5，I 4，iii．16，iv．7，
8；2．iii． 12 ；5． 4 ；6． 4 ；
7． 3 ；10． 5 （？）；14． 3 ；
18．1；4ī．2；52． 2 ；
Cerc．Fr．2． 5.
$\gamma \in ́ \nu \in \iota o \nu$ 3．ii．II．
$\gamma \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu a$ 1．ii． 13.
$\gamma v a ́ \theta o s$ 1．iv． 5.
$\gamma \nu \omega[19$. I sch．
$\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \tau o ́ s ~ 1 . ~ i i . ~ I ~ s c h . ~$
үóvos Cerc．Fr．2． 6.
$\delta a i \mu \omega \nu$ 1．ii．I 5，iii．I 6.
$\delta а \mu a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ 36． 2.
$\delta a \mu \nu \bar{\nu} \nu$ 3．ii． 2.
$\Delta а \mu o ́ v o \mu о$ 1．iv． 7.
$\delta a \pi a ́ v \nu \lambda \lambda a$ 1．ii．II．
$\delta \epsilon ́ 1$ ．ii．2， 12 sch．，iii．3，6， ${ }^{\text {I }} 5$ ，iv．12，v． 7 ；2．ii．I5； 3．ii． $3,5,8$ ，Іо； $15 ; 39$ ． 6 （？）．
סєí 35．I．
$\delta \epsilon \xi \iota \tau \epsilon$ pós 1．iv． 9.
ठ́́ркєо $\forall a \iota$ 3．ii．I 3.
8＇ 4.5.
§ıaтрıßá 32． 3.
$\delta \iota a \phi \in \dot{\jmath} \neq \iota \nu$ 3．ii． 6.
סıo̊óvat（ $\delta o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu$ ）1．ii． 10.
סіка 35．1． дікк 1．ii． $12 .^{2}$
ঠıoүє $\eta_{n}^{\prime}$ Cerc．Fr．2． 5.
ס́ólov l．iv．I4．
סıтлоєípatos Cerc．Fr．2． 2.
$\delta \iota \omega \kappa т$ е́os 17． 3 ．
סotós l．iv． 5 and sch．
סoкeîl 1．V．I6．
סo $\xi$ a 13.4.
סv́o 1．iv． 15 ．
ঠ̀véкขıtтtos Cerc．Fr．4． 3.
$\delta v \sigma \pi a \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime}$ 26．I．
ठ́s 1．iii．I5 sch．
${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \gamma \chi \epsilon \sigma i \mu \omega \rho o s$ 2．ii． 9.
є่ $\gamma \dot{\omega}$, ढ́ $^{\prime} i \nu$ 1．iii． 4.
єi入amiva 8．i． 2 （？）．
civat 1．ii． 5 ，iii． 3,4 ，iv． $3($ ？$)$ ， 7，15，18；4．I3；5．3； Cerc．Fr．I．$\hat{\eta}_{s}$ 1．ii． 4 ； 2．iii． 17 ；Cerc．Fr． 2. 5．${ }^{\text {ढ̈ }} \sigma \kappa \in \nu$ 3．ii． $4,7 . \quad \grave{\eta} \mu \epsilon \nu$ （v．l．$\epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu$ ）1．v． 16.
єimề 1．iii． 2.
tis l．ii．I 3 sch．，iii．I 4 ．
tis 1．ii． 3 ；5． 6 ．
$\epsilon \in \kappa$ ，＇̇G l．iv． 4 sch．，v．I3．

ёкаотоs 14． 2.

є́ктє $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \epsilon \mathrm{iv}$ 1．ii． 5 ；10． 4 （v．l． $\sigma u \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \nu)$ ．
є’кфаขŋ́s 3．ii． 9.
є́к $\omega$ य．3i． 3 ．
${ }^{\epsilon} \lambda \in \lambda i \zeta \epsilon \in \nu$ 2．ii．I 6 ．
є̃ $\lambda_{\kappa є \iota \nu}$ 4．II．
$\epsilon^{\prime} \nu$ 1．iii．2，iv．IO；Cerc．
Fr． 1 ；Cerc．Fr． 5.
є́vé $\rho \gamma \eta \mu a 3 . \mathrm{ii} .7 \mathrm{sch}$ ．
${ }^{\epsilon} \nu \partial a$ 26． 2.
$\epsilon^{\prime} \xi \in \mu \epsilon i \nu$ 1．iv． 4.
є̇otкéval 5． 2.

є $\pi \in i$ l．iii．I 5 sch．
є̈ $\pi \epsilon \iota \tau=$ 3．ii．I 4 sch．（？）．
ย́mi 1．ii．6，iii． 8 ；8． 12 ； 21． 4.

＇̇ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau a \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega$ 2．ii． 10.
є่тเтаб́єот рю́ктая 1．ii． 10.
є̇пориข́vą l．iv．I2．
єँ $\rho$ рог 1．iii．I4．
є́peiótiv Cerc．Fr．2． 3.
＂$\rho \in \sigma$ Єaı 1．ii． 4.
${ }^{\pi} \rho \pi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ 32． 4 （？）．
$\left.{ }^{\prime} \rho \varnothing є \sigma \theta a t,\right] \in \lambda \theta \epsilon 10.7$.
ধै $\rho \omega \mathrm{s}$ l．iv． $10 ; 4$ ． 14.
éo $\begin{gathered}\text { ós } 3 . ~ i i . ~ I 4 . ~\end{gathered}$
ย゙テ $\chi$ atos 1．iii． 5.
$\ddot{\epsilon} \sigma \omega$ 3．ii． 2.
ビ́т 1．ii． 15.
єป̉ 1．iv． 15 ；24． 2 （？）．
єป̇Өúdıкos 5．1．
єúdurлоєiv 1．iv．I8．
$\epsilon i \mu \epsilon \nu \dot{\eta} s$ 1．iv． 8.
$\epsilon \dot{\pi} \pi a \lambda[2$. ii．I7．
Eủpırióas l．iv．I 5.
єข́рíбкєє 1．iii． 9 ；4． 9.
єúpús 3．ii．I 3 ．
$\tilde{\epsilon}_{\chi \in \iota \nu}$ 1．ii．I 2 sch．，iii．I 4 ．

Zavevtkós 4． 14.
Zeús 22．2．$\Delta$ ós 1．iii．7． Zavós Cerc．Fr．2． 6.
ऽиүootátas 1．iii． 4.
$\zeta \hat{\varrho} \circ \nu 1 . \mathrm{ii} . ~ I 2$ sch．
$\eta^{\prime}$ 1．ii．6，8，iii．9，iv． 13 ； 4. 4 ；5． 5 ．

Өépts 1．ii．I 4.
$\theta$ єós 1．ii．5，iii．I6．
$\theta \dot{\eta} \nu$ 1．iii． 6.
$\theta$ uиós 3．ii． 8.
i®́ì 8．i．I（？）；Cerc．Fr． 4．I．
í́vaı 1．ii． 6.
＇I入cás 1．iii． 2.
̈ $\mu$ єроs 4． 12.
ĩ $\pi \pi \%$ 6． 3 ．
íotávaı Cerc．Fr．4． 2.
ì $\chi$ ขєข́єเข 5 ． 8.
ǐขขєขтás 3．ii． 7.

каӨi了єє 26． 3 ．
кai，elided 1．iv． 8 ；3．ii． 3.
ка̉ィ 3．ii．II．$\chi^{\omega}$ 1．ii．13．
каi үáp 10．5．киі $\mu a ́ \nu 1$.
ii． 16 ．
кацро́s 2．ii． 5 ．
K $\alpha \lambda \lambda \iota \mu \epsilon ́ \delta \omega \nu$ 5． 2.
кал入ітизоя Cerc．Fr． 1.
кало́s 3．ii． 6 ；39． 8.
каขӨós 3．ii． 3 ．
ка́рроу 1．iv．I 5 ．
катá 1．iii．16，jv． 18 ； 2. ii． 4 ．
катаклìє七ข 1．V． $\mathrm{I}_{5}$ ．

ќ́ap 3．ii． 4 ；Cerc．Fr． 4 ． 3 ．
$\kappa \in \nu$ Cerc．Fr．4．I．
кєขєós 4． 6.
$\kappa \in \nu o u ̂ \nu 1$ 1．ii． 9.
Kєркíoаs 4．I5．
кiєє 1．iii． 9.
$\kappa \lambda a ̣ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu 3$. ii． 3 ．
к $\nu$ akós 3．ii．I I．
$\kappa \nu \omega ́ \delta o \lambda o \nu$ 3．ii． 7.
коєขократпро́ткифоs 1．ii． 10.
коцраи［22． 2.
колакєย́єє 3．ii．I 2.
$\kappa_{o \rho v}$ 3．iii．I 3 ．
корифá 3．ii． 9 ．
кра́zuos 3．ii． 12.

крataıós 2．ii． 16 sch．
кратєро́s 2．ii． 15 （？）．
крıó $\boldsymbol{\nu} \xi_{\text {os }}$ Cerc．Fr． 5.
Kpovíóas 1．iii． 10.
кротŋбízo $ф$ оs 2．ii． 4.
ктє́avò 1．ii． 8.
киаขоттє́ $\rho$ vбos 1. iv． 6.
$\kappa \nu \beta \epsilon \rho \nu \eta \nu \nu$ 1．iv．I I ；13．З．

кขцатias 1．iv．I 4.
Kúnpıs 1．iv．i 8.
кúшข Cerc．Fr．2．6．Kú $\omega \nu 4$. 16.
$\kappa \omega \lambda v ́ \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1．ii． 4.
入aî入a廿 1．iv．I3．


入ápos 23． 2.
入áरıa 3．ii． 10.
$\lambda \in$ рұтоха́рш̀ Cerc．Fr． 6.
$\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1．iii．6，iv． $\mathrm{I}_{5}$ ；10． 6.
$\lambda$ єuкós 3．ii． 9 ．
$\lambda \eta[4$. I sch．
$\lambda \bar{\eta} \nu$ 1．v． 14 ．
入íav 1．iv． 7.
$\lambda_{\text {ırapós } 1 . ~ i i . ~ I ~}^{4} 4$.
$\lambda \cup \in ⿺ 𠃊 ⿳ 亠 丷 厂 彡$ l．iv． 12.
$\lambda \dot{u} \mu \mathrm{a}$ 1．V． 12.
$\lambda \omega ิ \circ \nu 1$ ．iii．I 3 ．
uaris Cerc．Fr． 8.
цáлa 2．ii． 10.

$\mu a \nu \theta a ́ v \epsilon \iota \nu$ 48． 2.
$\mu a \tau \epsilon \dot{c} \epsilon \iota$ 3．ii．II， 12 sch．

$\mu \in \lambda \epsilon \delta \omega^{\nu} \nu a$ 3．ii． 5.
$\mu_{\epsilon} \lambda_{\epsilon} \epsilon \nu$ 1．iii．I 5，v．I 4.
$\mu \in \lambda i a \mu$ ßos 4． 17.
$\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \in \iota \nu 12.4$.
$\mu^{\prime} \nu$ 1．ii． 12 sch．，iv． 8 ； 2.
i． 3 sch．，ii． 13 ；3．ii． 9 ． 4 ；
8．i． $1 ; 13$ ． 5 ．
$\mu \epsilon ́ \sigma \sigma o s ~ 1 . ~ i i . ~ 17 ; ~ 25 . ~ 3 . ~$
$\mu \epsilon ́ \sigma \phi a$ 1．iii． 16.
$\mu \in \tau$ á l．iv．i 7.
Mєtáóos 1．iii．I5．

$\mu$ етєшроко́тоs 1．iii．I 3.
$\mu^{\prime} \chi$ ря 32． 2.
$\mu^{\prime}$ 1．iv． 19 ；4． 7 ；10． 6.
$\mu \eta \delta \bar{\epsilon}$ ís 1．v． 14.
$\mu \eta \pi u t \in 1$. ii． 12.
$\mu \eta \boldsymbol{\tau} \in$ 1．ii． 15.
$\mu \nu а \mu о \nu є$ v́єь 7． 3 ．
porás 1．ii．I3．
Mồбa 3．ii． 7.
นоvбıкต̂s 4． 9.
Muaó l．iii． 5.
$\mu v ́ \omega \psi$ 6． 2.
vū̂s l．iv． 10.
$\nu \epsilon เ$ เ́ $\theta \epsilon \nu$ 1．iv． 4 ．
$\nu \in \mu \epsilon \sigma \eta \tau o ́ s$ 1．iv． 2 （？）．
N $\epsilon \mu \epsilon \sigma \iota s$ 1．iii． 16.
$\nu \epsilon ́ \rho \theta \epsilon \nu 43$.
$\nu \in \cup \in \in L$ 1．iii．I．
$\nu \in \hat{\mathrm{v}}$ оo 2 2．ii．I5．
ขіка 13． 6.
$\nu 0 \mu i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ 11．I．
vóos 2．iii． 17 ；3．iii．II（？）． voís 1．ii． 6.
$\nu v[1$. v． 17 ．
$\nu u ้ \nu$ 3．ii． 9 ；19． 5.
$\nu$ ข̂tov 2．ii． 16.
Zส่ข $\nu \nu$ 1．ii．1， 2 sch．
ó，$\dot{\eta}$ ，тó．тоí 1．ii．I 5 ．т $\omega \hat{\omega}$ （ $=$ therefore ：to Pap. ） 3．ii．6．o $\mu \epsilon \nu$ ，ó $\delta \epsilon ́ 1$ ．iii． 12.
ö， $\boldsymbol{\eta}$, тó（relat．）．т $\hat{\varphi}$ 1．iv． 8 （？）．
тoís $1 . \mathrm{iv} .12$.
ỏßo入ós 1．V． 15.
ỏooús Cerc．Fr．2． 3.
óóvíva 1．v．I3．
ola 1 1．iv．I7．
оїкоs 7． 3 ．
ӧка 1．iv． 18 ，v． 14.
йкка 1．ii． 6 ；3．ii． 9.

${ }_{0} 0 \lambda$ Bos 1．iv． 3 （？）．
${ }_{0}^{\circ} \lambda \in \theta$ pos 1．ii． 8.
ỏ $\lambda \lambda$ úval 1．ii．I I．
＂O $\lambda \nu \mu \pi$ os 1．ii． 17.


ỏá 1．ii． 15.
ỏná̧єル 2．ii．II．
оттаขіки 1．v． 14.
ó $\pi \dot{\rho} \rho a 5.8$.
ó $\rho$ єì 22． 3 （？）．
ópOós 1．iii．1， 4 ．
ös 1．ii． 12 sch．；Cerc．Fr．4．3．
ő ơos 1．iii． 6.
öтav 1．iii． 3 ．
öт 1．iii． 10.
ov่，oủk 1．iii．4，iv．I5，v． 15 ；
2．iii． 12 （？）；37． 2 ；Cerc．
Fr．2． 1.
oủ $\delta a \mu \hat{\eta}$ 1．iii．I．
oủס́ 1 ．ii．I 2 sch．，iii． 14.
oúdeis 3．ii．6．oủס̇e ẽv 1．iii． I 4 （？ov̀ $\theta_{\epsilon ́ \nu}^{\nu}$ Pap．）．
oúóós 3．ii．I 3 ．

oû่ 1．ii．I 2 ，iii． 4,8 ，I 6 ，iv．I 5 ．
Oủpavíóas 1．iii． 9.
oúpávıos Cerc．Fr．2． 6.
oűpos 1．iii．I7，iv． 16 ．
ойтє 1．iv． 7 ；3．ii． 2.
oítos 1．ii． 9 ，iii． $2,13,14$ ， 16，I7，iv． $3,10,14$, v． 15 ； 2．iii．II；5．I， 9 ；10． 3 ． oũtas 2．i． 3 sch．
ó $\phi \theta a \lambda \mu$ ós 1．ii． 12 and sch．， 13 sch．

Пatáv 1．iii． 15.
mais 1．iv． 6.
ta入atós 10．I I（？）．
$\pi a \lambda_{c} \cdot[25.2$.
$\pi a \lambda \iota \nu \in \kappa \chi \nu \mu \in \nu i t a s ~ 1 . ~ i i . ~ 8 . ~$
mádos Cerc．Fr．4． 3.
$\pi a \nu \theta \omega[9.5$ ．
$\pi а р и ́ ~ 1 . ~ i i i . ~ 6 . ~ \pi a \rho a[54 . ~ 2 . ~$.
$\pi а \rho о \beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1．ii．I 3 sch．
тapáyєєข 1．iii． 6.
$\pi a \rho a v \gamma \epsilon \grave{\nu}$ 1．ii．I 3.
тареїдаи 22． 3 ．
тápos Cerc．Fr．2． 1.
$\pi$ âs 1．ii． 5 ，iii．I I，v． 10 （？）； 3．ii． 5,6 ； 9.5 （？）．
$\pi a \tau \eta \sum_{1 .}$ iii． 12.
marpwós 1．iii． 12 （ $=$ Cerc．
Fr．9）．
$\pi \epsilon \epsilon \theta \dot{\omega}$ 1．iv．II，I7．
тé ${ }^{\prime}$ as 15 ；Cerc．Fr． 4.2.
$\pi \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a l, \nLeftarrow \pi \lambda \epsilon \sigma$ 3．ii． 8.
$\pi \epsilon \nu \eta \tau u \lambda i \delta a s$ 1．ii． 2.
$\pi \in \nu i a$ 2．iii． 18.
$\pi \epsilon \pi a ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota$ 1．ii． 15.
тєрі 1．iii． 13 ；32． 3 ．
$\pi \epsilon \rho เ a \iota \omega \rho$ ì 3．ii． 9.
$\pi \eta \delta a ́ \lambda \iota o v$ l．iv．if．
Пıєрі́ঠєs 3．ii． 8.
тıкрós 1．ii．I sch．
$\pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda a ́$ 2．ii．I 2.
$\pi \iota \mu є \lambda о \sigma а р к о ф а \gamma є i \nu ~ 3 . ~ i i . ~ 5 . ~$
$\pi \lambda a \sigma \tau i \gamma \gamma \iota o \nu$ 1．ii． 7.
］$\pi \lambda$ óos 1．v． 10.
$\pi \nu$ eî l．iv． 9.
$\pi \nu \epsilon \bar{v} \mu a$ Cerc．Fr．2． 4.
$\pi o ́ \theta$ os l．iv．13；4．II．
тоєєї $\theta$ aı 4． 7.

тока́ 3．ii． 6 ；39．1．
$\pi о \lambda \iota[$ 9．I．
толítas 38．f．
толла́кıs 3．ii． 2.
тo八ús 39． 5 ．
тоขךрós 5．3．
$\pi \circ \rho \theta \mu$ ós 1．iv．I4， 18.
$\pi о т a ́ \gamma \in เ \nu$ 1．ii． 2.
тоті 3．ii． 13 ；4．II， 13 ；
Cerc．Fr．2． 3 ．
$\pi о т \iota \rho \in ́ \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1．iii． 4.
тотьф［ 2．iii． 18.
$\pi \rho a u ̈ s ~ l . ~ i v . ~ 8 . ~$
$\pi \rho о ß a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu 5.5$.
$\pi \rho о к о \theta[$ l．v．I I．
Процаиєєús 41． 2.
$\pi \rho o o \rho \hat{\nu} \nu$ 3．ii．I 3 sch．
$\pi \rho о \sigma \chi о \rho \delta є$ і̀（？）48． 3.
тикเขós 9． 3 （？）．
$\pi u \rho o ́ s ~ 1 . ~ i . ~ 14 ~ s c h . ~$
$\pi \hat{\omega}$ s．ii．I5，iii．4，Io；Cerc．
Fr．4． 1.


je氏̂ 1．ii． $3 ; 11.2$.

$\dot{\rho} \hat{\eta}[41.4$.
ṕıциós 7． 2.
ค่งтокъ $\beta \delta$ ото́к $\omega \nu$ 1．ii． 6.
ба́ттєı ${ }^{\text {Cerc．Fr．} 4.3 .}$
$\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu o ́ s$ 1．ii． 16 ．
бแүஸ́v l．iv． 9.

इı $\nu \omega \pi \epsilon u{ }^{\prime}$ Cerc．Fr．2． 1.
$\sigma \kappa \in \pi \tau 0 \sigma$ v́va 4． 6.
$\sigma \kappa \iota o ́ \theta \rho \epsilon \pi \tau$ т 2．ii． 8.
$\sigma к о \pi \epsilon i \nu 17.2$.
$\sigma \kappa \omega \pi \tau i ́ \lambda \lambda \iota o s(?) 4.1$.
бофia Cerc．Fr．4． 2.
$\sigma \pi \lambda a ́ \gamma \chi \nu o \nu$ 3．ii． 7.
бтovó́á 4．7．
$\sigma \pi v \rho o ́ s ~ 1 . ~ i . ~ I ~ \& ~ s c h . ~$
$\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \nu o \nu ~ 3 . ~ i i . ~ 4 . ~$
$\sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \in \phi \in \iota \nu 4.8$.
audóák $\epsilon \epsilon \iota$ Cerc．Fr．2． 4.
$\sigma v \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \nu$ 10． 4 （v．l．ध́kTє入єiv）．
बvvtov［62． 2.
бvoт入ovtooúva 1．ii． 9.
supíkovaaı Cerc．Fr． 1.
ミфaípos 5． 4.
$\sigma \phi \in$ 1．ii． 4 ．

$\sigma \omega \phi$ робv́va 1．iv． $\mathbf{I} 7$ ．
$\sigma \omega ́ \phi \rho \omega \nu$ l．iv． 1 I．
тáخaytov 1．ii． 16.
тấuos 3．ii． 14 and sch．
тараұá l．v． 15.
тáxa 41． 4.
$\boldsymbol{\tau}$ 1．ii．I ；3．ii．8， 12 ；Cerc． Fr．2． 6.
$\tau \in \theta$ аккоұалкióas 1．ii． 7.
тєós 3．ii． 6.
тє́ $\rho \mu$ а 3．ii．І 3.
т $\eta$ vos Cerc．Fr．2． 2.
т九日évat 1．ii． 2.
тіктє！1．iii．і 1.
$\tau \epsilon \mu \hat{a} \nu$ 1．iii． 17.
rís 1．ii．4，iii． 9 ；3．iii． 9 （？）．
tos 1．ii．I sch．， 4 ，iii． 8 ，iv．
5 ；3．ii．1о，iii． 9 （？）；5．5．

тoıoùtos 4． 5 ．
то́ка 1．v． 16 （？）．
то́тоs 4． 4.
т $u$ úg Cerc．Fr．4． 3 ．
тí，тiv（acc．）3．ii． 6 ；（dat．）
3．ii． 3 ．
Tvióápeos 1．v． 16.
ти́тоs 1．ii．I 2 sch．
тúza 1．iv． 3.
$\dot{v} \mu[20.6$.
vi $\mu$ eis 1．iv． 3 ．
intó 3．ii． 7.
$\Phi{ }^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime} \theta \omega \nu$ 1．ii． 13.
фávat 1．iv． 5.
фá $\sigma \sigma a 30.2$.
фє́рєцц 5． 8 ；10． 5 ；12． 3.

фíरos 2．iii．16；7． 4.
ф८ло́тєцоя 2．iii． 18 （？）．
фóßos 1．v．I5；4．4．
Фpvyía 1．iii． 5 （v．］．Bpuria）．
$\phi u ̄ \lambda o \nu$ 2．ii． 8.
фибале́os 2．ii． 14.
$\phi \nu \sigma \hat{\eta} \nu$ 1．iv． 5 ．
$\phi v \sigma$ เâ 1．iii． 17.
фutєv́elv 1．iii． 10 ；10． 9.
$\phi \omega ́ s$ l．iv．I．
$\chi^{\epsilon \lambda}{ }^{\omega} \nu a$ 7． 2.
$\chi$ ŋ̄गos Cerc．Fr．2． 3 ．
$\chi \rho є \mu \epsilon \tau i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ 6． 3.
х р́ 24． $2 .^{2}$ ．

$\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \mu$ а 1．ii． 5.
$\chi \rho \bar{\sigma} \sigma \theta a \iota$ l．iv． 18.
xpóvos 3．ii． 12.
今 2．iii． 16 ．
$\dot{\omega} \lambda \epsilon \sigma$ ккартоs 2．ii． 14.
Јакípótos 2．ii． 2.
ई $\eta \theta \rho \alpha$ 4． ．
入є
мофл⿱宀икєì 4． 3.

## （b）OTHER TEXTS．

（Numbers in thick type refer to papyri．）
a 1087．35， 43
ußpós 1091．label．
иßриаขои（ $=$ ảßротóvov？ ） 1088. 68.
＂$\gamma \epsilon \epsilon เ \nu 1076.5$.

«̈ท́paos 1084． 8.
«үкผ́v 1099．зб．
đүขоєî̀ 1086． $26,94,97$ ．
ả $\gamma$ рŋүорєї 1081． 8.
«үต́v 1083．1．2， 10.
＂̈ $\gamma \omega$ vos 1087． 58,60 ．
Adam 1073． 4.
áóápas 1085．ii． 5.
dं¿є $\lambda$ фós 1076． 7 ；1086．85， 87.
＂î̀うоз 1081． 34
＂A $\delta \rho \eta \sigma \tau$ os 1085．ii．I．
Aঠpıavós 1085．ii． 6.
йєiрєєц 1085．ii． 2 I．
ạ̉p 1086． 7.
¿̇Өávatos 1084．9， 16.
d̀ $\theta$ єтєі 1086．63， 88.
à $\theta \lambda$ fĩ 1087． 60.
à $\theta \rho \in i ̂ \nu ~ 1083 . ~ г . ~ 20 . ~$
aia 1091．label．
Ai $\gamma$ єús 1087． 59.
Aǐúttıos 1088．43， 60.
＂Aıסos 1087．4I．＊Aıסov $\delta^{\prime \prime}$ 1087． 43.
aíei 1086．40，64， 76.
Aïııтıкós 1088． 58.
аï a 1088． 2 I．
аіра́入 $\omega \psi$ 1088． 3 ．
Aiveias 1086． 33.
aiódos 1086．IO9，III．
aï $\rho \in \iota$ 1076．19．
Aíquúخos 1087． 4.
aiteî̀ 1091．label（？）； 1099. 20.
airía 1086． 16.
aiтıaтıкウ́ 1087． $42,44,58$.
Aïtขך 1086． 50.

Airwोoí 1087． 63.
aì $\chi \mu$ ú̀ $\omega$ тоs 1083．1． 2.
йкцй 1087． 62.
áко́ 1081． 7.
иккороя 1088．49， 52.
а́кои́єєข 1081．7，35．ảкоуо́у－
tus 1086． 72.
ӥкроs 1086． 23.
ஊкшкŋ 1085．ii． 23.
ä $\lambda$ yos 1086． 56 ．
ả $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ ós 1086． 56.

ả $\eta^{\prime} \theta \in \iota a$ 1089． 56.
＇A入каîos 1086．ІІ2； 1087. 52.

ả入入á 1081．І 7， 37 ；1083．I． 3，19，2． 4 ；1086．64，69， 72，92， 104 ；1087．55； 1089．35，61．
«̀入入á $\sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota$ 1087．57．
＂$\lambda \lambda$ дos 1085．ii． $2 \mathrm{I} ; 1086$. 74 ；1088． $39,5^{2,} 56$.
＇А наگоvis 1086．IO4．
ӓ $\mu$ 1088． 14.
ä $\mu \nu \lambda$ os 1088．5， 10. ả $\mu \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1085．ii． 10 ．
а́ $\mu$ і́ßолоя 1086． 93.
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Макєסоои́u 1086． 27.
Maleleel 1073． 24.
$\mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o \nu 1085$. ii．IO； 1086.
72，95．$\mu$ и́入ıのта 1086．I 4.
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бра̄̀ 1083．4． 6 ；1086．7．
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тоди́бкар $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mu}$ к 1086． 104.
$\pi$ тодәкќ́pıбтоs 1086．105．
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$\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta$ и́тєроя 1086． $8_{4}$（？）．
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тробфілє́бтатоя 1083．7．I．
$\pi \rho о ́ т є \rho о я ~ 1086 . ~ І ~ І, ~ І 8 . ~ \pi \rho o ́-~-~$ $\tau є р о \nu 1086.66$.
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$\pi \omega \hat{\omega}$ 1081． 25,32 ．
qui 1073．4， 35.
quingenti 1073． 39 ．
$\rho a$ 1085．ii． 26.
j̣ádos 1086． 74.
ралтí̧єц 1099． 3.
¢ீєv̂ца 1088．I， 8.

р́iттє 1089.3 I．

¢์ขтท́p 1085．ii． 4.
$\dot{\rho} \omega \bar{\sigma} \iota$ 1087．$^{1} 3$ ．
ミátupos 1083．I． 6.
$\sigma \epsilon \lambda \eta \nu a[1083.2 .2$.
$v \epsilon \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu \eta$ 1089． 41 （？）．
Sem 1073． 4 I．
इєратєío 1089． 25.
ミєрâтıs 1089． 34,48 （？）．
テヒ́テє $\lambda$ с 1088． 57 （？）．
Seth 1073． 9.
$\sigma \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \theta$ Өu 1085．ii． 26.
бךцаiveє 1086． 9 I， 93.
$\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon$ îo $1086.28,47,83,98$ ， 107，II 4.
$\sigma \eta \mu \in \iota o \hat{\nu}$ 1086． 17.
इıкєлia 1086． 50.
इíndo 1087． 4 I．
біへфıov 1088． $3^{8 .}$

## INDICES

ジィ $\mu \nu i 01 \eta s$ 1087． 3 1， 39. ォірать 1088 ． 16.
ミıぃú入los 1083．20．2（？）．
чкар $\theta$ но́s 1086．105．
๗кєпá乌єцข 1088．4\％．
ぃкпиŋ́ 1087．І 9.
экıá 1088． 43.
бós 1089．ј0．
इофоклйs 1087． 35.
ooфós 1083．5．6．ぃоф̄̂s 1083．3． 2.
бтápтоу 1086． 23.
speciosus 1073． $4^{8 .}$
$\sigma \pi \epsilon^{\prime}{ }^{\circ}$ 1084． 2.
$\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \rho а 1088.15,65$.
бтафид $\dot{1}$ 1086．22， 2 \＆． งтє́vєLข 1083． $25 \cdot 3$（？）； 1086.

## $5^{2}, 54$ ．


$\sigma \tau і ̈ \mu$ 1088．іо．
что́да 1085．ii．I 8.
vто́радоя 1085．ii． 28.
чтрать́́тŋs 1087． 19. чтроßєîl 1083．3． 2.
чтро́ßı入os 1088． 55.
атрои́ $\theta_{\epsilon \iota ⿱ 亠 乂}$ 1088． 26.
ミтрицо́vios 1085．ii．I 4．
чтрш $\mu \nu \dot{\eta}$ 1099． 37.
さтíg 1086． 3 ．
ぃú 1076．Іо；1083．1．I7， 18．6；1086． $86(?) ; 1089$. 36，47，50， 59 ．
бvүкоциằ 1084． 6.
бúkєєод（1．аі́кшоs ？？）1088． 36. бvцдітүєбөル 1086.
бv $\mu \pi i \pi \tau \epsilon \iota 1087.23$.
oviv 1089． $27,35,4$ I．
бидакодои $\epsilon$ єì 1086．іо（？）．
бvขaра́ $\sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1085．ii． 19.

бvขєтஸ́tatos 1086． 15.
बvขє $\chi$ ŋ́s 1087．7．
бข $\eta^{\prime} \theta \epsilon є а$ 1086． 18.
бuขтıขá $\sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu 1099.8$.
би́бкъоs 1086． 6 （？）．
vфérє́роs 1085．ii． 16.
бхŋ̄ни 1087． 8.
${ }^{\sigma} \chi \eta \mu a \tau i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu 1086.47$.


ミøкри́тия 1087． 29. $\sigma \omega \lambda \hat{\eta} \nu o s$ 1087． 56. ஏิิ $\mu$ 1087． 66.
$\sigma \omega \tau \dot{\eta} \rho$ 1081． 27.
т $̇ \lambda a \nu t o \nu ~ 1089 . ~ 57 . ~$
таขıттє́pvzos 1087． 30.
тахús 1086． 19.
$\tau \in 1081.4$ ；1083．1．14， 3. $3 ; 1085$ ．ii． $24 ; 1087$. 20， 46.
тєiveı 1087．61．
тє́кขอข 1089． 38.
$\tau \epsilon \lambda \rho[1083.35$.
Т $\epsilon \quad \mu \pi \eta$ 1086． 6.
$\tau \epsilon ์ \nu \omega \nu$ 1085．ii． 28 （？）．
тєтартаíos 1088． 38.
$\tau \epsilon \tau \rho a \zeta$ иүभ́s 1083．13． 2.
Tєûkpos 1087． 56.
тє́ $\chi \downarrow$ 1083．1． 8.
T $\eta$＇$\mu \in \nu=s$ 1087． 29.
Тఇӥүє́тך 1084．І 7.
тı日́̀và 1087． $42,44$.
tis 1083．І．1，4，3．3； 1089. 36.

Tis 1081．19；1083．2．4； 1086．37，64，68；1089． 44.
Tıтирйтьеs 1086．2， 5 ．
тоіоs 1085．ii． 3.
тоட́ซס́ 1083.1 .2.
то́коя 1089． 60.
тод $\mu \bar{\nu} \nu$ 1086． 66.
тóvos 1087． 58.
то६̆ıкŋ́ 1086． 120.
то́тоя 1086． 41 ；1088． 18.
тітє 1089． 3 I．
$\tau \rho a \gamma o \pi \omega \dot{\gamma \omega \nu}$ оs 1087． 37.
tres 1073． 40.
т $\rho \eta \chi$ алє́os 1085．ii．II．
$\tau р i \beta \in \iota \nu 1088.25,28 ; 1088$. 34，36， 44.
трเผ́ßo入эข．1088．6，11，33， 67.

Tpoí̌ños 1087． 24.
Tpếs 1086．Io8， 1 I5．
Tpêos 1087．55， 56 ．
тршкто́s 1086． 25 （？）．
тиүха́⿱㇒兀є 1081． 5 （？）．
$\tau \cup \mu \beta \in ย є \frac{1}{2}$ 1083．12． 4.

тย́ாтєtข 1085．ii．I 0.
Tuゆఉєús 1085．ii． 25 ； 1086. $45,48$.
Twßias 1076．2，I 2.
íqó́s 1088． 17.
¿́dрштıкós 1088． 63.
ひ̈ $\omega \omega \rho$ 1086． 3 ；1088．7，І 3 ， 42.
viós 1076． 3 ；1086．70； 1087． 49.
i $\mu$ tis 1081． 32 ；1087． 20.
і́обки́ацоs 1088．39， 66.

ітє́p 1086． 69 ；1089． 56.
іттє队३од́ 1087．І З．
iлєрохף́ 1087． 8.

и́тиштィкós 1088． 66.
¿̃兀ó 1085．ii．I 7 ；1086．49， $50,53,64,8$ I， 92.
іто́крєбıs 1086． 65 （іло́кр． Pap．）．
ітонє́vєєข 1086． 29.
ن́moฮтє́ขєเข 1086． 45 ．
v゙ँtios 1088． 29.
v゙ァтатоs 1083．5． 4.
iұๆ入ós 1099． 8.
vivere 1073． 4 et saep．
фаivé $\theta a t$ 1081．29，33； 1086. 81.

факós 1088．+6.
фর́vat 1086．I 5，33．50， 69 ； 1087． $39,46,48,85$ ．
фáos 1085．ji．I 2 ；1091．label． $\phi \hat{s}$ 1081． 29 ；1083．2．3．
$\phi \in \dot{\gamma} \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1085．ii． 2 ； 1086. 33.
$\phi \eta \lambda \dot{\eta} \tau \eta s$ 1084．＋（ $\phi \iota \lambda$ ．Pap．）．
$\phi \theta$ opí 1081．3，I 3， 23.
$\phi \lambda \eta \sigma i \mu \omega s$ 1084． 5.
Фıveús 1087．34．Фıveùs á 1087． 35.
Ф入а́ккоз 1089．25，35，40， 42， 55.
$\Phi \lambda \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \theta \omega \nu$ 1085．ii． 17.
$\phi \lambda$ ooós 1088． 36.

фóßus 1086．28， 30.
ゅoivt $\xi$ 1083．4．6．14．3， 19． 8.
фoitãv 1086． 40.
Фра́тєрєs 1087． 54.
фрои̂ठos 1083．2．I．
థpú
фuүás 1083．2． 8.
фиชั́ 1086． 29.
фирầ 1088． 22.
$\phi \omega 2[1083.5 .2$.

ұa入ıขós 1085．ii． 4.
х＾入кŋ́рєоs 1085．ii． 6. хидкітіs 1088． 19.
Хá̀vßus 1087．27， 28.
Xíos 1099． 52.
Хápotos 1087． 26.
хєір 1087．35，36， 46 ．
$\chi \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$ 1083．2．2；1085．ii． 30 ．
 Xopós 1083．1． 6. $\chi \rho \eta$ 1083．ı．1． хр！́jєル 1083．1．18． хрйма 1089． 26.
 хр $\bar{\sigma} \theta$ at 1088．17，19，31 （ $\chi р \tilde{\eta} \sigma \circ \nu$ ）． xpұotós 1086．t3．112； 1088． 68.
Хpóvos 1083．17．2： 1086. $5^{8 .}$
хриба́opos 1087． 25. хрибо́табтоя 1086．І 13.
Хрибós 1089． 57.
$\chi^{\text {v久ós．1088．22，} 23,60 .}$
$\chi$ х́єбөй 1086． 45 ．
$\chi \dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{\mu}$ 1086． 8.

$\chi$ хрі＇s 1086．75，IOI； 1088. 4 1,59 ．

廿évocutat 1083．1． 13.
$\psi e \lambda \omega ̂ s ~ 1068.65$.
廿ццúgion 1088．4， 12.


ら 1083．4．1；1086．71．
ต่ón 1083．І． 12.
فòis 1083 t．．3（？）．
бَки 1085．ii． 30.
ஹкє́шs 1086．55．
ஸٌóv 1088． 58.
む̈pa 1088． 46.
is 1081．I3， 18 ；1085．ii．
12，13；1086．If，I9，45，
67 ；1087．4，6，24， 58 ．
63,85 ．
ஸ́ル儿út $1088.27,34$ ．
$\omega ̈ \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ 1086． 4.
ढ̈णтє 1086．7，22，42， 78.

## II．EMPERORS．

## Augustus．



## Tiberits．

Tißéptos Kâ̂бup $\sum$ ¿ß̉autós 1124． 2 I， 23.
Claudies．


## Domitian．



## Tespasian．

$\theta_{\text {ধòs }}$ Oùє $\sigma \pi a \sigma \iota a \nu o ́ s ~ 1112 . ~ I 2 . ~$
Tr．ijan：


Hadrian．
$\theta_{\text {eis＇}}$＇A $\delta$ phuvós 1119． 1 万．
＇Aópuuvós 1085．ii． 6.

## Antoninus Pius．

＇Avt $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { indos Kaío．ó kúplos 1123．17．}\end{aligned}$
Marcus Aurelius and Verus．


## Marcus Aurelius．





## Commodus．

 Мє́ $\gamma เ \sigma \tau$ ．1127． $3{ }^{1}$ ．


## Septimius Severus，Caracalla，and Geta．


 $\Sigma \epsilon \beta$ ．1113．i． 20 ，ii． 12 ．
 каї Пои́ß入ıos $\Sigma \epsilon \pi \tau i ́ \mu \iota o s ~ Г є ́ t a s ~ K a i ̂ \sigma . ~ \Sigma є \beta$ ．Ill3．ii． 8.

Maximinus and Maximus．

 iєрळ́татоs Kaíб．$\Sigma_{\epsilon} \beta$ ．viòs той $\Sigma \in \beta a \sigma \tau$ о̂ 1114．I7．

Imperator Caesar Gaius Iulius Verus Maximinus Pius Augustus Germanicus Maxi－ mus Dacicus Max．Sarmaticus Max：at Gaius Iulius Verus Maximus Germ．Max． Dac．Max．Sarm．Max．Caesar sanctissimus Augustus filius Augusti 1114．I．

## Philippi．

Ма́ркоє＇Iov́入ıot 1119．22，24， 28.

## Galli．

Av̀toкр．Kaíaapes 「álos Oủißıos Tpeß


## Probus.


Numeriants (?). єัтоя $\beta$ 1115. 20.

Diocletian and Maximian.



ётоя $\theta$ каї $\eta$ каї а 1140. 5.

## Constantius and Galerius.

 є́тıфаує́бтato九 Kaíбapєs 1104. ı8. Cf. Index III.

Maximinus, Constantine, and Licinius.


## Arcadius.



## Theodosius.


Aṽyovatos 1116. IO. Augustus 1114. 35.
Өєьoті́ты оікі́a 1114. 35.
ки́рıає $\Sigma \in \beta$ аartoí 1113. ii. 4.

## III. CONSULS, ERAS, INDICTIONS.

## Consuls.

Perpetuo et Corneliano co(n)s(ulibus) (237) 1114. I, 36, 38.



 1116. I.

 тои̂ 入aцтрот. (4०7) 1122. I.





## Eras of Oxyrhynchus.

є̈тоя $\mu \theta(363)$ 1116. I5.
є้тоs $9 \zeta \xi \zeta(420) 1136.6$.
є"тоя ркє $9 \delta$ (449) 1129. 7.
є̈тоя $\rho \xi a \rho \lambda(484) 1130.16$.
є́тоs $\sigma \lambda \theta \sigma \eta(562-3)$ 1137. 4 .
Indictions.
Ist (5th cent.) 1131. I 6.
2nd (418-19) 1134. II, 13 ; (449) 1129.8.
3 rd (419-20) 1134. II, I4.
$4^{\text {th }}(420)$ 1136. 4.
$7^{\text {th }}(484)$ 1130. 3 .
8th Phaophi, áp $\chi$ ŋ̄s (484) 1130. 16.
10 th ( 5 th or 6 th cent.) 1138. 4.
1 Ith ( 5 th or 6 th cent.) 1138. 10; $(562-3)$ 1137. 2,4 ; (late 6 th cent.) 1147. I
$14^{\text {th }}$ (5th cent.) $1126.10,15$.
IV. MONTHS AND DAYS.
(a) Months. Гєриагıк . . . 1144. 8.
(b) Days.

єikás 1128.8.
єтато́ $\mu \mathrm{\epsilon}$ ªı 1116. I3; 1132. 17. idus Iuliae 1114. 37.
$\nu є о \mu \eta \nu i ́ a ~ 1116 . ~ 12 ; 1127.4 ; 1129.6$.
nonae Iuliae 1114. 13, 36 .
тєт ás $^{\text {1163. }} 2$.

## V．PERSONAL NAMES．

 32， 33 ．
＇Aßтьнара［ 1145．introd．
＇Ayatòs $\Delta a i \mu \omega$＇，Aip ${ }^{\prime} \lambda \iota o s$＇A．$\Delta$ ．s．of Serenus 1121． 3 I．
＂Aסرпттos s．of Admetus 1lll．ii．I 4.
＂A $\delta \mu \eta$ tos f．of Heracleus（？）1111．ii． 7.
＊A $\delta \mu \eta$ ros s．of Heracleus and f ．of Heracles and Admetus 1111．ii． 9.
＇A $\begin{gathered}\text { qua also called Thoëris，goddess 1117．ı．}\end{gathered}$
＇Aкoùs（？gen．＇Акоútov）1137． 2.
＇Aкú入as，Eovßatıavòs＇A．praefect lloo．I．
－A入є́彑́n
 4，9，15，22， 26.

＇A入є́＇${ }^{\prime}$ avópos f．of Dorion 1145． 22.
＇ $\mathrm{A} \mu()$ 1108．г3．
＂$A \mu \mu \omega \nu$ f．of Aurelius Maximinus 1134．5．
＇$\lambda \mu \mu \omega \nu \hat{s}$ 1158．I 6.
${ }^{\prime}$＇$\mu \mu \mu \dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ оя 1116． 24 ；1133．r8；1162． 6.
＇A $\mu \mu \dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ os，Av́p $\grave{\lambda} \iota$ os＇A．beneficiarius 1121． 2.

＇A $\mu \mu \dot{\omega} \nu \iota o s$ freedman 1128．4， 18.
＇A $\mu \mu \omega \dot{\nu} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ os $\Theta \epsilon \omega \dot{\nu}$ also called Chaeremon 1128. I．Cf．1128．r9．
＇ $\mathrm{A} \mu \mu \dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{\nu} \iota o s$ s．of Petechon 1145． 8.
＇A $\mu \mu \dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ os s．of Ptolemaeus 1124． 12.
＇$A \mu \mu \omega \nu 0$ रेs 1123． 2.
＇A $\mu$ óıs f．of Saras 1112.7.
＇Avarta⿱丷天 also called Euphemia 1151．12， $3^{1 .}$
＇Avovßuás 1110． 2.
＇Avoußíw 1156． 2.
＇Avoún 1150． 4.
＇Avtâs 1156． 2.
 15，22， 26.

＇ $\mathrm{A} \pi \alpha \dot{\mu} \mu \mu \nu$ 1146． 4.
＇A $\pi i \omega \nu$ 1146． 2.
＇Amiw f．of Dionysius 1113．i．i 8.
＇Amiwn s．of Nicaeus 1145． 3 ．
＇A $\mathrm{A} i \omega \nu$ pastophorus 1155．i，i 8.
＇Amín S．of Sarapion 1123．1．
＇A $\pi o \lambda \lambda \omega \nu i a, ~ A u ́ p \eta \lambda i ́ a ~ ' A . ~ a l s o ~ c a l l e d ~ D i e u s, ~ d . ~$
of Marcus Aurelius Saras 1114．28．Au－ relia Apollonia，\＆c．1114． 10.
＇Amo $\lambda \lambda \omega r^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} a, ~ A i p \eta \lambda i a$＇A．d．of Marcus Aurelius Apollonius 1114．25．Aurelia Apollonia， \＆c．1114．II．

＇A $\pi о \lambda \lambda \omega \dot{\nu}$ es f．of Apollonius 1153．1．
＇Amn入入白ros f．of Apollonius also called Horion 1112． 2.
＇Amo入入ف́rıos s．of Apollonius 1153．ェ， 30.
 nius ex－exegetes $1112.2,18$.
 Demetrius also called Psammis 1114． 25. Marcus Aurelius Apollonius，\＆c．1114．i i．
＇Атод入ف́vıos f．of Petechon elder 1145． 9.
＇Amod入＇́vios s．of Sosus 1127．I．
＇A $\pi$ o $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ ois 1145． 20.
＇A $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \omega ́ s$ assistant 1147． 7 ．
＇Amфovâs presbyter 1138．3．

＇Apクríco 1158．6，І马．
$A \rho c[1100.23$.

＇$\Lambda \rho \iota \sigma \tau и ́ к \lambda є є a ~ a l s o ~ c a l l e d ~ C h a e r e m o n i s ~ 1113 . ~$ i． 9 ．
 Sarapion 1113．i． 7.
＇A $\rho \pi a \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \iota \mathrm{f}$ ．of Heracles 1145．13．
＇Артайбts f．of Horus 1145．i i．
＇Aproкрaтiov，${ }^{\text {＇E }} \mathrm{E} \rho \mu$ imios also called H．，s．of Horion 1109．I．
${ }^{\text {＇Aртократi}} \boldsymbol{\prime}$ f．of Harpocration 1109．15．
＇Aртократív s．of Harpocration and f．of A．．on 1109． 15 ．
＇Apoivoos，Avpğ̀ıos＇A．s．of Theon 1119．3，6， 18，26，27， 29.
＇Aprєнiסفрos f．of Aurelius Phoebammon 1129. $5,16$.

＇Артє́ $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ оs 1103． 3.
＇Абклâs s．of Cephalon 1111．i． 8.
 1115．2， 10.

＇Aotéptos centurion 1146．18．
＇At $\rho \bar{\eta} s$ 1145． 15.
＇A $1 \tau \bar{\eta} \bar{s}$ s．of Petenouphis 1145．i 7.
Av̇סá $\sigma \iota o s$ Пav入ìos banker 1132．I I．
Aű̧áv $\omega \rho$ 1146． 7.
Avjp $\lambda i a$＇A $\pi o \lambda \lambda \omega \nu i a$ also called Dieus，d．of Marcus Aurelius Saras 1114．28．Aurelia Apollonia，\＆c．1114．Iо．
Aùp $\lambda \lambda i a$＇A $\pi o \lambda \lambda \omega \nu i a$ d．of Marcus Aurelius Apollonius 1114．25．Aurelia Apollonia， \＆c．1114．II．
Aúp $\lambda \lambda i a$ Mîkıs d．of Theodorus 1129． 3.
Aùpq入ía $\Sigma_{\tau} \rho o t o v i k \eta$ also called Sosipatra，d．of Marcus Aurelius Saras 1114．27．Aurelia Stratonice，\＆c．1114． 9.
Aúp $\bar{\lambda} \dot{a} a \mathrm{~T} \epsilon \chi \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota s$ d．of Diodorus 1121．3， 3 I．

Aìpj́入ıos＇A Aatòs $\Delta a i \mu \omega \nu$ s．of Serenus 1121． 3 I．



Aípídıos＇Apaivoos s．of Theon 1119．3，6， 18 ， 26，27， 29.

 2， 10.
Aüpindıos $\Delta$ îos also called Pertinax，strategus 1119．9， 25.
Aúpq́dtos $\Delta i \hat{i} v \mu o s$ senator，s．of Theon 1122． 4.



A $\dot{\rho} \dot{\rho} \lambda \iota \iota o s \theta^{\prime} \omega \nu$ S．of Theon 1119．3，6，12， 18 ， 26， 29.
Aùpŋ́入ıos＇Iє $\rho a k i ́ \omega \nu$ also called Noninos，senator 1104． 4.


Aúp ${ }^{2} \lambda t o s$＇I $\omega a ́ v \nu \eta s$ ex－primipilarius，s．of Sar－ mates 1133．3， 16.

 Demetrius also called Psammis 1114． 25. Marcus Aurelius Apollonius，\＆c．1114．in．
 Heliodorus，f．of Marcus Aurelius Saras， senator 1114．21．Marcus Aurelius Diogenes，\＆c．1114． 8.
 cus Aurelius Diogenes also called Helio－
dorus 1114．2 I，33．Marcus Aurelius Saras，\＆c．1114． 7.
 4， 21 ．
Aùpí入ıos Пaтiplos $\Delta$ lovúatos praefect 1110． 6.

 18.
 7，19， 25 ．
Aùpj̀ $\lambda \iota o s$ Фìíapxos also called Horion，strate－ gus 1115．I， 18.
Aùpídcos Фоъßáд $\mu \omega \nu$ s．of Artemidorus 1129． 5 ， 16.
 Melas 1122． 6.
Aujpíhios Efibts also called Horion，logistes 1104． 2.
＇Aф̂̀र才ts 1158． 20.
＇A ${ }^{\prime}$ i $\lambda$ dis $1142.1,18$.
＇A ${ }^{\prime}$ ¿ $\lambda \lambda \epsilon$ eús f．of Asclepiades 1103． 2.
Bá入入apos s．of Pe ．．．1123． 2.
Bávos deacon，s．of Peter 1130． 29.
Bátpazos f．of Didymus 1145．i4．
Віктшр saint 1151． 49.
Bíktop scholasticus 1165． 14.
「aiavós 1141． 6.
「aîev，Sarapion also called G．1149． 8.
$\Gamma \epsilon \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda i v o s f$ ．of Heracleus 1146．in．
Geminius Valens 1114． 5.
Гє́́pyıos chartularius 1108．8．
「є由́pyoos scribe 1108． 4.

$\Delta \eta \mu \eta$ трюos also called Psammis，f．of Marcus Aurelius Apollonius 1114．25．Demetrius， \＆c．1114．II．

$\Delta \delta \delta \dot{v} \mu \eta$ d．of Cephalon 1111．i．I．
$\Delta i \delta \partial \mu i \omega v, \Delta i \hat{i} v \mu o s$ also called D．，ex－chief priest 1113．i． 3.
diồpos 1110．i 5 ．
$\Delta i \delta i v \mu o s$, Aupinicos $\Delta$ ．senator，s．of Theon 1122． 4.
вíiveos s．of Batrachus 1145． 14.
iírvas also called Didymion，ex－chief priest $^{\text {a }}$ 113．i． 3 ．

Dívuros f．of Dionysius 1109． 4.
$\Delta i \delta u p o s$ f．of Isidorus 1110．1．
 Marcus Aurelius Saras 1114．28．Aurelia Apollonia，\＆c．1114． 10.
$\Delta$ coyâs 1153． 21.
$\Delta$ toý́lضs 1142．I I．
$\Delta \iota o y \in ́ \nu \eta$ g．f．of Flavius Phoebammon Imperial administrator 1134． 3 ．
$\Delta$ เoүє́ $\eta \eta$ s，＇Ioú入ıos $\Delta .1141$ ．I．
 dorus，f．of Marcus Aurelius Saras 1114. 2 I．Marcus Aurelius Diogenes，\＆c．1114．8．

$\Delta l o \gamma^{\epsilon} \nu \eta s$ s．of Sarapion 1113．i． 6.
$\Delta t o \gamma^{\epsilon} \nu \eta$ f f．of Thonis 1123． 7.
$\Delta t o ́ \gamma \nu \eta \tau o s$, k $\lambda a u ́ \delta o$ os $\Delta$ ．procurator 1113．i．I2， ii． 3 ．

$\Delta t o ́ \delta \omega \rho o s$ f．of Aurelia Techosis 1121． $3 \cdot$
$\Delta$ óóopos f．of Pekusis 1145． 2 I．
sıovvテia 1157．ı， 30.
$\Delta \iota o \nu v ́ \sigma \iota o s ~ 1125.3,6$.
$\Delta$ tovv́olos s．of Apion 1113．i．i 7.

$\Delta$ tovv́reos s．of Didymus 1109． 4.
$\Delta$ tovv́rtos f．of Heliodorus 1111．i． 2.
Dıovv́rios s．of Theon 1124．4，6，9，I8， 22.
$\Delta$ เо́ткороs 1116． 23.
$\Delta \iota о \sigma к о ч р i ́ ̀ \eta s ~ 1110 . ~ 4, ~ 12 ; ~ 1146 . ~ І ~ 6 . ~$.
$\Delta \omega \rho^{\prime} \omega \nu$ s．of Alexander 1145． 22.
＇E入є́vŋ 1160．5．
${ }^{\text {＇E }} \mathrm{E} \lambda \in \nu$ оūs 1158． 19.
＇Epufivos S．of Anubias 1110． 2.
＇Epuias f．of Aurelius ．．．1126．19， 21.
${ }^{\text {}}$ Epuctios also called Harpocration，s．of Horion and f．of Ptolemaeus 1109．i．
${ }^{2}$ Epultitos f．of Horion 1109． 2.
 1146． 15.
 Eùrpúyios 1103． 3.
Eíф $\eta \boldsymbol{i}$ a，＇Avaбtafia also called E．1151．I3， 22.

Zaкá $\omega \nu$ ，Aủp $\eta_{1} \lambda$ os Z．s．of Melanas 1116． 19. Zev́s god 1149．i．

Zwỉos ex－gymnasiarch，s．of Zoillus 1110．in． Z $\omega$ inos f．of Zoillus 1110． 11 ．
＇H ${ }^{\text {tóóopos s．of Dionysius 1111．i．} 2 .}$
 H．，f．of Marcus Aurelius Saras 1114． 22. Marcus Aurelius Diogenes，\＆c．1114． 8.
${ }^{7}$ H $\lambda$ tos god 1148．I ；1149．I．
${ }^{\text {＇H }} \mathrm{H}$ аккла́ $\mu \mu \omega \nu$ comes 1163．І 0.
‘Hраклâs 1153．3，7， 22.
＇Нра́клєєа 1109． 16.
＇Нраклєióךs 1146． 12.
＇Hpakגcións s．of ．．．ion and f．of Tryphon 1132.4.

${ }^{\text {＇H}} \mathrm{H}$ а́ккд $\quad$ оs f ．of Admetus and Nieus 1111．ii． 9.

${ }^{\text {＇Hрак }}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ s s．of Admetus 1111．ii． 12.

＇Hра́кдıos 1140． 2.
＇Hpâs，Aúpí入ıos＇H．phylarch 1119．2，I3．

Өaís d．of Pekusis 1109． 3.
Ө Eavoùs also called Sinthonis 1128． 2.
Өєoүévis，乏apamíw also called Th．1128．5．
Өєoठóvıos 1164．I 4 ．
Өєod́́pa 1120．I 7.
$\Theta \epsilon o ́ \delta \omega \rho o s \mathrm{f}$ ．of Aurelia Mikis 1129．3．
Өєóठюроs àтокр（ ）1108．12．
Өєóठwpos，Aúpi，$\lambda$ los $\Theta$ ．s．of Th ．．．1133．i 7.
Өєóס由роs princeps 1108． 2.
Өєódopos riparius 1147．Io．
Өєovâs or Өwlâs 1155．ı， 20.
Ө́́ $\omega \nu$ 1117． 1 I ；1136． 2 ；1154．1，I 4.
Ө́́ $\omega \nu$ ，＇A $\mu \mu \dot{\omega} \nu \iota o s$ ．also called Chaeremon 1128．I．Cf．1128．I9．
Ө́́c 1 ．of Aurelius Arsinoüs and Aurelius Theon 1119．3， 6 ．
Ө́́ $\omega \nu$ f．of Aurelius Didymus senator 1122． 4.
Ө́́ $\omega \nu$ ，Aúp $\eta$ icos $\Theta$ ．s．of Theon 1119．3，6， 12 ， 18，26， 29.
$\Theta \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu$ f．of Aurelius Moses 1116．4．
Ө $\epsilon$＇$\omega \nu$ banker 1146．ı7， 18.
$\Theta^{\epsilon} \omega \nu$ f．of Dionysius 1124． 22.
Өō̄pıs goddess 1117．5．＇A $\theta \eta \nu a ̂$ also called Th．1117．I．
Өоติцs f．of Hierax 1105．i5．
Өuఱuts f．of Thoönis 1105．\＆．

Өoŵus s．of Thoönis 1105．3．
Өoŵts s．of Thoönis and f．of＇Thoönis 1105． 4.
Epaxióas s．of Komon（？）1145．12， 16.
Өஸ́vtos 1141． 2 ；1159．13．
Өติขıs 1120． 13.
Ө $\hat{\omega}$ us s．of Diogenes 1123． 7.
Elvâs or Өeovás 1155．i， 20.

＇Iáw 1152． 2.
＇Iס九ок．［1110．і 8.
＇Iєракiшv，Aípìдıos＇I．also called Noninos， senator 1104． 4.
＇I＇́pa s s．of Thoönis and f．of Tbekis 1105．I 4.
＇Iepquias assistant 1147． 6.
＇Iєр $\quad$ иias scribe 1137． 5 ．
＇Iov入ıavós lawyer 1131．2， 16.

＇Iñotos saint 1151． 50.
＇Iбáк，Aípй $\lambda$ tas＇I．s．of Nilus 1130． 5.
＇I $\sigma \iota \delta$ ópa 1146． 9.
＇Íriঠんpas 1146．13；1160．5．


＇Iví 1146 ． 7.
＇I $\sigma i \omega \nu$ f．of Aurelius Abraham 1130．4，26， $3^{2}, 33$.
＇$I \sigma \chi \geq$ рi i $\nu \mathrm{f}$ ．of Sarapion 1123．I．
Ivivilinus（？）tabularius 1114． 35 ．
＇I $\omega a \nu \nu$ ia 1151．I $1,30$.
＇I $\omega$ ćvuns assistant 1107． 6.
 Sarmates 1133．3， 16.
＇I $\omega a ́ \nu \nu \eta$ s evangelist 1151． 46.
Káлatos f．of Aurelius Artemidorus 1135．5．
Kєрєádıs hypomnematographus 1102． 4.
Kє $\phi \dot{i} \lambda \omega \nu$ f．of Asclas，Cephalon and Didyme 1111．i．I．
Kєє ${ }^{\prime} \lambda \omega \nu$ s．of Cephalon 1111．i． 9.
Kえaíס́ıs $\Delta t a ́ y \nu \eta$ ros procurator 1113．i．I2，ii． 3 ． K $\lambda$ є́avópos 1113．i．I 6.

Kо入кой $\iota_{\iota s} 1145.23$.
Kó $\lambda \lambda$ дov $\theta$ os 1108． 5 ．
Kó $\mu \mu \omega \nu$ s．of Taur ．．．1106．I，I I．
Kó $\mu \omega \nu$（？）f．of Thracidas 1145．І $2, ~$ r 6.
Kovíw 1112． 9.
Katpeús 1146． 20.

Korpeús agent 1141． 3 ．
Kompía 1160． 4.

ムaîtos，Maíklos ムaîtos praefect 1111．i．3，ii． 2. $\Lambda \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$ presbyter 1162．I．
ムovkâs，Aûp ${ }^{2} \lambda \iota o s ~ \Phi o \iota ß a ́ \mu \mu \omega \nu$ also called L．，s．
of Melas 1122． 6.
ムоúкьas 1158．2， 26.
Aoúklas f．of Lucius 1145． 20.
Aov́kios s．of Lucius 1145． 20.
Loúkıos s．of Petronius 1145． 2 I ．
Máy ${ }^{2}$ as praefect 1117． 4.
Maíkos Saìtos praefect 1111．i．3，ii． 2.
Makápıos assistant 1137．I．

 Demetrius also called Psammis 1114． 25.
Marcus Aurelius Apollonius，\＆c．1114．I I．
Múpкos A $\dot{p} \eta \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota o s ~ \Delta ı o \gamma e ́ v \eta s ~ a l s o ~ c a l l e d ~ H e l i o d o-~$ rus，f．of Marcus Aurelius Saras 1114． 2 I Marcus Aurelius Diogenes，\＆c．1114． 8.
Mápкos Aúpí入ıos $\Sigma a p a s$ senator，s．of Marcus Aurelius Diogenes also called Heliodorus 1114．21．Marcus Aurelius Saras，\＆c． 1114． 7.
Mâ̄s 1145 ．introd．
Mє $\lambda$ àãs f．of Aurelius Zakaon 1116．ig．
Mé $\lambda$ as f ．of Aurelius Phoebammon also called Lucas 1122． 7.
Mleûs s．of Heracleus 1111．ii．r 6.
Mîкıs，Aúp $\bar{\lambda} i i^{\prime}$ M．d．of Theodorus 1129． 3.
Міккалоs бьабо́тךs 1115． 9.
Mı $\chi a \dot{\eta} \lambda$ archangel 1152． 3 －
Movañs，Aủpì入ıos M．$\sigma v \sigma \tau a ́ r \eta s, s$. of Theon 1116．4： 2 I ．

Nápкıббаs 1110．I3．
Nappwoûs 1106．i，I I．
Nєoкúdiŋs ex－iuridicus 1102．16， 24.
Níkalas f．of Apion 1145． 3 （Nǐ．Pap．）．
Níкадбоos 1145．introd．（Nıv．Pap．）．
Nıкávตр 1153．6，22， 24.
Níкך 1149． 4.
N inos f．of Aurelius Isaac 1130． 5 ．
 1104． 4.

Oủà́́pıas Про́клоs praefect 1102． 7.
 Oúpávios 1108．Io．

ПаӨєриойӨıs 1157．2，30．Пат．1146．Іо．
1apoítlos ex－elder 1147． 6.
Пaцoú $\theta$ tos official 1147． 9.
Панйгөя 1110 ． 14 （？）．
Matipos comes 1147．3．
Пatipros，Aípŋ́入tas II．बlovívias 1110． 6.
Пaтoעт $\omega$ s 1121． 16.
Пatâs 1157． 10.

Huv $\bar{j} \mu u s$ also called Paulinus 1110． 14 ．
Mavגìos，Пavג $\bar{\eta} \mu$ ıs also called P．1110．Iq．
Пuv入iuos，Aídúatos II．banker 1132．I I．
Пaû̀os comes 1165．ıо．
Haǜas s．of Sillagr ．．．1106．r，ir．
Havaláías 1153．I5．
Deiva also called fıvapous 1110．i 8.
Пєкїбıs S．of Diodorus 1145． 2 I．
Пєкiots f．of Thaìs 1109． 3 ．
Hє $\lambda o \hat{\sigma} \sigma t \mathrm{f}$ ．of Petechon 1145． $2,7$.
 gus 1119．9， 25.
Пєтєขvûtıs f．of Hatres 1145． 17.
$\Pi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \chi \bar{\omega} \nu$ s．of Pelousis 1145．2， 7.
Пétpos 1130． 3 i．
Hétpos f．of Ammonius 1145． 8.
Пétрos f．of Banus 1130． 30.
Пétpos comes 1164． 14.
Пérpos elder，s．of Apollonius 1145． 9.
Hétpos f．of Horus 1145． 8.
Пєтри́ntos f．of Lucius 1145． 2 I．

Плоитíw 1110．I5．
Подvסєúкクs 1120． 3 ；1121．33；1160．I 9.
Пaцлஸ̀vıos＇Iavovapıavós pracfect 111ธ． 4.

прарiбхо入os（？）s．of Plıoebammon 1126．I9， 2 I ．
Про́кдоs，Ov̉a入́́pıns П．praefect 1102．7．
Psammis，Demetrius also called P．，f．of
Marcus Aurelius Apollonius 1114．in．
Птол $\epsilon \mu$ 1146． 1 I．
Птодєннйоя 1153． 21 ．
Hтодєرaíos f．of Ammonius 1124． 12.
Птодє $\mu$ îos banker 1132． 10.
Птодєцаios s．of Hermippus 1109． 8.
Пто́入入ıs f．of Horus 1145．ıо．

Eapatüs 1135．3．
ミapatás 1110．16， 17.
ミapâmıs god 1148．1；1149． 2.
इapaníw 1102． 24 ；1114．31；1140．I； 1156．3；1158． 2.
Eapariwn also called $\lambda$ ristocles，s．of Sarapion 1113．i． 7.
Sapaniol＇，Aúpí入ıos $\Sigma$ ．amphodogrammateus 1119．7，19， 25.
इapaniov f．of Chaeremon 1132． 2.
Eapaníw s．of Cliaeremon 1137．i．
乏apamiov also called Gaion 1149． 7.
Eapariwy s of Ischyrion and f．of Apion 1123．I．
Eapariov also called Phanias，cx－gymnasiarch 1113．ii．I， 17.
इарaтíc⿻ f．of Phanias 1105．I．

Eapami $\omega \nu$ f．of Sarapion also called Aristocles 1113．i． 8.
Sapaníw also called Theogenes 1128．5．
ミupanóठ $\omega$ pos 1160． 22.
ミapatoûs 1154．I．
Eupâs s．of Amoïs 1112． 7.
Eapâs centurion 1146． 19.
ミapâs，Мápкas Aúpj́入ıos $\Sigma$ ．senator，s．of Narcus Aurelius Diogenes also called Heliodorus 1114． 2 I，33．Marcus Aurelius Saras，\＆cc． 1114．7．
ミapuír $\eta$ assistant 1136．2， 6.
ミapucitəs f．of Aurelius John 1133．3，I 6.
ミєp
ミєр $\bar{\nu} \nu o s$ f．of Aurelius Agathodaemon 1121． 3 I．
Eepìvos f．of Phoebammon 1126．20， 2 I．
ミєр $\eta$ vos saint 1151． 47.
seíons 1120． 13 ；1140．I．
seîbis Aüpìicos $\Sigma$ ．also called Horion，logistes 1104． 2.

$\Sigma \iota \theta \omega \hat{\nu} \iota s, ~ Ө \epsilon a \nu o u ̀ s ~ a l s o ~ c a l l e d ~ S . ~ 1128 . ~ 3 . ~$
£ovßatıavis＇Aкú入as pracfect 1100．1．
ミифía 1108． 9 ；1130． 4.
Eтратоуікп，Aűpŋía $\Sigma$ ．also called Sosipatra， d．of Marcus Aurelius Saras 1114． 27.
Aurelia Stratonice，\＆c．1114． 9.
$\Sigma \omega \sigma \iota \pi \dot{\tau} \rho \mathrm{a}$ ，Aipp入ia $\Sigma \tau \rho a \tau o v i к \eta$ also called S ．，
d．of Marcus Aurelius Saras 1114． 28.
Aurelia Stratonice，\＆c．1114． 10.
$\Sigma \bar{\omega} \sigma o s$ f．of Sosus 1127． 2.
$\Sigma \bar{\omega} \sigma o s s$ ．of Sosus 1127．I．
$\Sigma \omega \tau a ̂ s ~ 1121 . ~ I 6$.
$\Sigma \omega \phi$ póvlos 1107．I．

Taâmıs 1145．introd．
Таатод $\lambda \omega \mathrm{ri} \delta \eta \mathrm{s}$ 1132． 5.
Татєтбipıs 1123．7．
Tanतovtâs 1132． 3 ．
Tamovtês 1111．ii． 10.
Табараті $\omega \nu$ 1149． 6.

Tavp（ ）f．of Komon（？）1106．i，i I．
т $\beta \hat{\eta}$ кıs d．of Hierax 1105． 14.
T $\in p \in \hat{v} s$ 1146．8， 9.
Tє $\chi$ जैбıs 1121．3，9；1158． 2 I．
T $\epsilon \chi \hat{\omega} \sigma t s$, A $\dot{p} \eta \lambda i i_{i}$ T．d．of Diodorus 1121．3， 3 I．
$T \epsilon \hat{\omega} s$ s．of Totoeus and f．of Ta ．．1123．4， II．
Totoci＇s f．of Teos 1123． 4.
T $\rho$ ó $\phi$ ıияs s．of Origenes 1160．I， 29.
Tри́ф $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ s．of Heraclides 1132． 4.
Т $\sigma \epsilon \nu a \phi \bar{v}_{\gamma \chi \iota s} 1123.5$ ．
Valens，Geminius V．1114． 5.

Фavias 1105．I；1148． 3.
Фavias ex－chief priest and banker 1132． 9.
Фavias s．of Sarapion 1105．I．
Фavias，saparíw also called Ph．，ex－gymnasi－ arch 1113．ii． 1, I 8.
Фi $\beta$ 1138．II．
$\Phi i \lambda i a \rho \chi a s, ~ A \dot{u} \rho \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota o s ~ \Phi$ ．also called Horion， strategus 1115 ．I，I 8.
Фı入óvikos 1113．ii． 5 ．
$\Phi \downarrow \lambda o ́ \xi \epsilon \nu 0 s 1160$ ．I.
Фı入óǵєขos saint 1150． 2 ；1151． 48.
Фגaovios＇A $\quad$ т́́ $\mu$ ıos dux 1103． 3 ．
$\Phi \lambda a o v i l o s ~ E u ́ t o ́ \lambda \mu ı o s ~ T a t ı a v o ́ s ~ p r a e f e c t ~ 1101 . ~ 2 . ~ . ~$
$\Phi \lambda$ aov́ıos Фоィßá $\mu \mu \nu$ Imperial administrator， s．of Diogenes 1134． 3 ．
Фגaov́las $\Psi$ ocís logistes 1116． 3 ．

Фoıßá $\mu \mu \omega \nu$ ，Av̀ $\eta_{\eta} \lambda \iota o s ~ \Phi$ ．also called Lucas，S．of Melas 1122． 6.
 5， 16.
$\Phi о \iota \beta$ а́ $\mu \mu \omega \nu$ official 1137． 5 ．
$\Phi \circ 九 \beta \dot{\beta} \mu \mu \omega \nu$ s．of Serenus and f ．of Aurelius Pranischolus 1126．19， 21.
$\Phi \circ \not \beta a ́ \mu \mu \omega \nu$ ，Фдaav́ıos $\Phi$ ．Imperial administrator， s．of Diogenes 1134． 3.
Фov $\lambda \iota a \nu o ́ s$ exegetes 1146．4， 8 ．
$\Phi \circ \nu ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu 1160.5$ ．
Фútढs（？）f．of Pstheious 1107．I．
 IO．
Xaı $\eta \dot{\jmath} \omega \nu$ also called Ammonius Theon 1128. I．Cf．1128． 19.
X $\alpha \iota \rho \eta \mu \omega \nu$ f．of Sarapion 1137．1．
Xatp ${ }^{\prime} \mu \omega \nu$ s．of Sarapion 1132． 2.
Xevevoûßıs 1118． 3.
$\Psi \dot{\mu} \mu \mu \iota s, \Delta \eta \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \rho \iota o s$ also called Ps．，f．of Marcus
Aurelius Apollonius 1114． 25.
$\Psi \theta \epsilon \iota o u s$ s．of Phutos 1107．I．
¥oeis，Фגaov́ıos $\Psi$ ．logistes 1116． 3 ．
＇$\Omega \rho \stackrel{\text { âas } 1153.13 .}{ }$
＇$\Omega \rho \iota \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \eta$ f．of Trophimus 1160．i， 29.
${ }^{`} \Omega \rho i \omega \nu$ 1142． 9.
＇$\Omega \rho i \omega \nu$ ，＇A $\pi a \lambda \lambda \dot{\omega} \nu \nu o s$ also called Horion，s．of Apollonius 1112． 2.

 strategus 1115．1， 18.
＇$\Omega \rho i \omega \nu$ s．of Hermippus and f．of Hermippus also called Harpocration 1109． 2.
${ }^{\text {r }}$ I pos 1110． 13.
${ }^{2} \Omega p o s$ s．of Harpaësis 1145．i i．
${ }^{\text {}} \Omega$ pos s．of Petechon 1145． 8.
＂$\Omega$ pos s．of Ptollis 1145． 10.

## VI．GEOGRAPHICAL．

## （a）Countries，Nomes，Cities，Toparchies．

Aízuлtos 1101． 2 ；1119．16；1121． 2.
＇А $\AA$ ¢ $\xi a ́ v \delta \rho \epsilon \epsilon a$ 1147． 3 et sacp．；1153． 30 ； 1155.4 ；1158． 8 ；1160． 25 ．і̀ 入аитротúт

 1114． 23.
Alexandrinorum civitas 1114． 9 ．
＇Avtıvoettikós 1119． 28.
＇Avtlvoés 1119．3，4，6，26．＇Àtlvoeis עéol ${ }^{*}$ Eג $\lambda \eta \eta \epsilon$ 1119．14， 22.

＇Avtcuóov $\pi$ óacs 1100． 23.


$\Delta a p \nu i ́ t a l ~ 1163.2$.

èvopía 1101． 5.
émapxía 1101．14．
＇Hракле́ovs по́入ıs 1133．3；1147． 2 I．

$\mu \eta \tau р о ́ \pi o \lambda \iota s=$ Oxyrhynchus 1ll2． $2 ; 1119.7$ ， 27.
$\mu \eta \tau \rho о \pi о \lambda i t \eta s$ 1109． 6.
$\mu \eta \tau \rho о \pi ь \lambda \iota \tau \iota к$ ós 1119．19．

Mıкрà＂Oaбıs 1118．I ；1121． 3.
ขоно́s 1102．12；1119．20；乌 ขгцоі 1100. I．
＂Oagıs Mıкрá 1118．I ；1121． 3.
 1116． 3 ；1119．6，22， 25 ；1130． 5.
＇О ${ }^{\prime} v \rho v \gamma \chi \iota \tau \bar{\omega} \nu$ aódıs 1117． $21,26,33 ; 1119$.
 5 ；1121． 4 ；1122． 4 ；1129． 3 ；1133． 7 ； 1134． 4.
 1I；1111．i． 3 ；1113．i．5，ii．1， 2 ； 1114. 20 ；1123． 3,8 ；1127． 2 ；1128． 3 ； 1132. 3， 9 ．
Oxyrinchitarum civitas 1114．7，J2， 13.
Пavề $\pi$ ó̀ıs 1115．I 1 ．
тódıs 1101． 5 ；1119．16．＝Oxyrhynchus 1110． $2,15,18,21$ ；1105． 15 ；1113．i． 11；1114． 32 ；1116．5，20；1117．3，4， 16，21；1122．7；1128． 6 ；1129．5， 9 ； 1132． 6 ；1134． 5.
тотархіа $\mu$＇́ध $\eta$ 1113．i．2，ii． 5 ；1145． 3.

（b）Villages，Є̇ $\pi о$ íкıa，то́тоь．
（I）Oxyrhynchite．
＇A $\mu$ oúdخs（？）1165． 5.
Өájбıos 1147．І 9.
Ө́́ $\omega \nu$ уоs к $\omega \mu$ ає 1145.4.
$\theta \hat{\omega} \sigma \beta$ เs 1112． 23.
＇I $\sigma t \epsilon i o v$ T $\rho$ v́ $\phi \omega \nu$ os 1124． 10 ；1145．introd．
Мє $\rho \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \theta a 1111$. i．7，ii． 2.
Mouхıvúp（1．－$\nu \omega \rho$ ？）1127．7．

Nєнє́ра 1112．6， 2 I．
Nєбرїця 1134．7， 14.

Пaүүоvлєєiov 1147．І 6.
Паขє $\chi \mu \omega \theta_{\iota S}$（？）1123． 12.
Пєє $\frac{1}{}$ ต́ 1112． 7.
Пєтєขои́pıos є́ттоíкьо 1123．5，II．

$\Sigma \in \nu \epsilon \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \dot{\prime}$ 1112． 10.
$\Sigma$ єуокко $\theta()$ 1112．І3．
$\Sigma є \nu о к \bar{\omega} \mu \iota$ 1130．4，32， 33.
$\Sigma \in \nu \tau \omega 1$ 1112．I I ；1113．i．I，I 5．
इєpû申ıs 1112． 8 ；1141． 3 ．
$\Sigma \epsilon \phi \dot{1} 1128 . \mathrm{r}_{3} ; 1147.8$.
ミтavia 1147． 7.
Tако́va 1147．4－6．
Тантє́ть 1147． 9.
Tє $\rho \hat{\theta} \neq \iota$ 1165． 10.

$\Psi \bar{\omega} \beta \theta \iota s$ 1113．ii． 5.
${ }^{3} \Omega \phi$ เs 1146． 5 ．
（2）Hcracleopolitc．

K̈̈rs 1126． 8.
Kóßa 1145．І．
$\Sigma \hat{\omega} \beta \theta_{\iota s} 1145$ ． 19 ．

Tádך 1126． 7.
Філо⿱ікои 1156． 4.
（c）ӓ $\mu ф о \delta а$ ．
Nórov $\Delta$ ро́цоv 1105． 7.

T $\epsilon \mu \not \subset \epsilon \nu 0 \dot{\theta} \theta \epsilon \omega s$ 1109．7．

$\beta^{\prime} \gamma \rho a ́ \mu \mu a$（Antinoöpolis）1110．3，9．


（d）Tribes and Demes．
（1）Alexandrian．
EideiӨvios 1145． 22.
（2）Antinoïtc．

＇Epuatés 1110．I， 2.
Парра́бєios 1110． 1.
ミaßcivios ó каї＇Apuovtєús 1110． 9.

（e）кл $\bar{\eta} \rho \circ \iota, \& c$.
「aïavov̂ $\chi$ ตpiov 1141． 6.
K $\lambda \epsilon a ́ v \delta \rho o v 1113$ ．i． 16.

Фıлодiкov 1113．ii．5．
（f）Miscellaneous．
Патє $\mu \cdot[1119.27$.

## VII．RELIGION．

（a）Pagan．
（1）Gods．


 इapâmıs 1149．1．
Gtós 1144．4．Cf．Index II．$\theta$ єíi 1117．i．

Өô̂pıs 1117． 5 ；1144．1о．＇А $\theta \eta \nu \hat{a}$ í каi $\Theta$ ． 1117．I．
Eapâtis＂Hilos 1148．I．Zè̀s＂HAlos $\mu$＇́gas ミapîtıs 1149．I．
（2）Tomples，\＆c．
＇Aסptaveiov 1113．i． 6.
Aùzov́atov í $¢$ óv 1116．Io．

íf póv 1143．2，5．i．Aủqoúatov 1116． 10.

इapãє̂̂ov 1105．7；1132．I（？）， 9.
（3）Priests，\＆c．
 д̇ $\rho \chi$ เєрєи́s 1114.32 ；1146． 6.
 1102．4．
\＃ađтофо́pos 1143． $2 ; 1144.3,7,9,13 ; 1155$. 19.

बтo入८ $\sigma \tau \eta j^{\prime}$ 1144．I．

## （b）Christian．

ä $\gamma$ เo 1151.5 I．
ล่ $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu 1151.56$ ；1152． 5 ； $9^{\theta 1162 . ~ I 5 . ~}$
áто́тто入os 1151． 44.
ápх́́ $\gamma \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ € os 1151． 42.
Вікт $\omega \rho$ ，ò ä $\gamma$ los B．1151． 49.
ठ́áкovos 1130．29；1162． 3.

＇Еццаขочท่入 1162．I 4 ．

$\theta$ cós 1150．I；1151．5，7，24；1164．I I； 1165．8，14．кúpıos $\theta$ єós 1151． 52 ； 1162. 3， 14.
$\theta \epsilon$ ото́коs，ठє́́ттоєขa $\dot{\eta} \mu \omega \bar{\nu} \dot{\eta} \theta$ ．1151． 40.
＇Iŋaoùs Xpıatós 1152． 3 ．
＇loûatos，ó ä
 $\lambda \iota \sigma$ t̀̀s кaì $\theta$ єo入óyos＇I．1151． 43.
кúpıos 1162．12．ки́pıos $\theta_{\text {tós } 1151.52 ; 1162 . ~}^{52}$ ． 4， 14.
Mıхай入 1152． 3.
$\pi \nu \in \cup ̂ \mu a$ ä $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ เo 1151.6.
$\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta$ íтєроя 1138． 3 ；1162．1， 2.
$\Sigma \epsilon \rho \overline{\eta \nu o s, ~ o ́ ~ a ̈ \gamma l o s ~ \Sigma . ~} 1151.47$.
$\sigma \omega \tau \dot{\eta}$ 1161． 2.
viós toû $\theta$ єoû 1151．5．viòs kai 入óyos toû $\theta$ धoû 1151．23．viòs ó ウ̇ $\gamma a \pi \eta \mu$ ćvos 1161．3．
X̃pıotós 1151．3．X．viòs кaì 入ózos toû $\theta_{\text {eoû }}$


$\chi \mu \gamma$ 1130． 1 ．
（c）Magic．
àónvaí 1152． 2.
є＇$\lambda \omega i$ 1152．I．
＇Ià̀ бaßaஸ́Ө 1152． 2.
$\phi \omega \rho$ 1152．I．
$\omega \rho \omega \rho$ 1152．I．

## VIII．OFFICIAL AND MILITARY TITLES．

¿ßáktŋs 1108．i 1.
ả $\gamma$ ораขó $\mu$ os 1105． 2.
 àvaтоцло̀s c̈ртои 1115． 2.
à $\nu \nu \omega ́ v \eta s, ~ \epsilon ่ \pi i ~ \delta \iota a \delta o ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s ~ a ̉ v . ~ 1115.9 . ~$
à $\pi \alpha \iota \tau \eta \tau \eta{ }^{\circ}$ 1135．1．
 1110． 3 ．
а̇токр（ ）1108．12．
ả $\rho \gamma \cup \rho о \pi \rho a ́ т \eta$ 1108． 7 （？）．
áрі́aтроs 1108． 6.
á $\rho \chi$ เapatєv́ $\sigma a s$ 1113．i． 4 ；1132．ıо．
ả $\rho \chi$ เє $\rho$ ย́s 1114． $3^{2}$ ．
ä $\rho \chi$ оขтєs 1117． 3,57 ；1119．14，22．${ }^{\text {á } \rho \chi . ~ \tau \eta ิ s ~}$ єттархias 1101．I4．

ßoŋ⿴ós 1107．6；1136． 2 ；1137．1；1147．4， 6，7， 12.
ßoviєutís 1101． 25 ；1103．2；1104． 4 ； 1114． $2 \mathrm{I}, 22,26,32,33$ ；1122． 4.
ßov̀ウ́ 1103． 2 ；1119．12，14，22．кратiбт！ ßov入 ${ }^{\prime} 1119.4,8,26$.

रрацдатєи́s 1137．5；1138．I r．
урацратŋфо́роs 1164．І， 6.
 gymnasiarchus 1114．7， 12.
 I， 18 ；1114． $2 \mathrm{I}, 26,33$ ；1146．2．factus gymnasiarchus 1114．7， 12.
रu $\mu \nu a \sigma \iota a \rho \chi i a$ 1102． 9.
decurio 1114．7， 12.


סıкаьобобià ס七o七кิิ้ 1146．introd．

бьоíкךб七s 1134．16；1147． 3.
 （А．D．284）lll5．5；ó кра́тıбтоs $\delta$ ． 1115.1 I．


 360）1103． 3 ．

є́катоута́ $\chi \eta$ р 1146．4， 8.
є้коькоя 1108．Із．
є＇জŋ $\eta \eta \tau \epsilon$ v́aas 1112． 2.
є’乡ŋ $\eta \eta \tau \eta \eta^{s}$ 1146．4， 8.
є＇छкє́ $\pi \tau \omega \rho$ 1108．I3；1139． 2.
є̇тoрхía 1101．14．

єँтарХоs той í $\rho \circ \hat{\imath} \pi \rho a \iota \tau \omega \rho i o v ~ 1116 . ~ 2 . ~$



 4，9，15，22， 26.

є่ँเтропŋ́ 1119．І 5 ．

 （A．D．203）1113．i．13，ii． 4.
 1114． 8.
ŋ́ $\gamma є \mu о \nu i ́ a ~ 1121 . ~ 27$.

$\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu \dot{\omega} \nu 1117.2$ ；1119． 18 ；1143． 2 ； 1155.
 Өршто́татоs ì $\gamma .1102 .7$ ．ó кра́тьбтоs Má ${ }^{2}$ vos 1117．4．Aúpğ入ıos Пaтípıos Dıovúqlos ó крá－ тוбтos $\dot{\eta} \gamma$ ．（A．D．188）1110．6．Maíкıоs ムaîtos ó $\lambda а \mu \pi \rho o ́ т a t o s ~ \grave{\eta} \gamma .($ A．D．203）1111．i． 3，ii．2．Eovßatıavòs＇Aкú入as（А．D．206）
 татоs $\dot{\eta} \gamma$ ．（А．D．284）1115．4．K入ஸ́ס́ıos Kou入－ кıaขòs ó ঠıaбпиóтатоs $\mathfrak{\eta} \gamma$ ．（А．D．306） 1104. Io．Ф入aoútos Eủtó入дıos Tatıavòs ó $\lambda a \mu \pi \rho o ́-$ та́тоs ётархоs Aǐúntov 1101． 2.

¿Ô̊os $\lambda$ óyos 1112． 1.

ко́ $\notin s$ 1147． 3 ；1163．4， 10 ；1164．14； 1165. 10.
$\kappa \omega \mu о \gamma \rho а \mu \mu а т є i ́ a ~ 1112 . ~ 21 . ~$
$\kappa \omega \mu о \gamma \rho a \mu \mu a \tau \epsilon \dot{s}$ 1113．i．I．
入oyเбтєía 1147．II．
 （A．D．306）1104．2．Eủtpúyıos àmò $\lambda o \gamma \iota \sigma t \omega ̂ \nu$ （A．D．360）1103．3．Ф入aov́ıos Wofís（A．D． $\left.3^{6} 3\right)$ 1116． 3 ．
$\mu \epsilon \iota \zeta$ ovía 1147． 9.
$\mu \epsilon i \zeta \omega \nu$ 1121．22， 26 ；1137． 5 ；1147．4， 9.
$\mu \eta \nu เ a ́ \rho \chi \eta s$ 1139． 2.
vaúrचs 1115．13．
$\nu \epsilon$ о́лєкто兀 1103． 5 ．
уорєка́рьоя 1131．І7．

татрíxios 1134． 2.
 I3．

праєто́бıтоя 1101．9，13，18， 27.
$\pi \rho a \iota \tau \dot{\rho} \rho \iota o \nu$, є̈птарХоs тоиิ iєрой $\pi$ ．1116． 2.
тракторєіа а̀ $\rho \gamma$ рикйข 1119．7，19，23， 27.
$\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \dot{v} \tau \epsilon \rho \circ s(\kappa \dot{\omega} \mu \eta s) 1112.20 ; 1145.9$ ；1147．6． $\pi р і \gamma к є \psi$ 1108． 3 ．
$\pi \rho \iota \mu \iota \pi \iota \lambda a ́ \rho \iota o s$, à $\pi o ̀ ~ \pi \rho \iota \mu \iota \pi \iota \lambda а \rho i \omega \nu 1133.5$.
procuratio 1114． 6.
$\pi \rho о \nu o \eta \pi$ ris $^{2} 1134.8$ ；1147．17， 19.
трочта⿱㇒́a 1134． 7.
$\pi р и \tau a \nu \in i a 1104$. I 7， 22.
тритаעє่́єєン 1103． 2 ；1104． 4.
$\pi \rho u ́ t a \nu$ ls 1104． 2 I．$\pi \rho$ ．є̈עархоs 1104．5．
$\pi \rho \omega \tau \dot{\eta} \kappa \tau \omega \rho$ ，àлò $\pi \rho \omega \tau \eta \kappa \tau o ́ \rho \omega \nu$ 1134． 3.
р́ルর́pıos 1101． 26 ；1147． 10.
бкрєißas 1108． 4.
бтратך $\quad$ ós 1100．І ；1102．I 2 ；1119．4，6，10，


${ }^{`} \Omega \rho i \omega \nu($ A．D．284）1115．1，18．$\sigma \tau \rho$ ．Mıкрâs
＇Oá $\sigma \epsilon \omega_{s}$ 1118． 2.

बтратьштько́s 1106． 7.
बvaтátทs 1116．5， 21.
б $\chi$ олабтıкós 1165． 14.
tabularius 1114.35 ．
тá乡єıs 1120.5 ．
т ратєऽїтทs 1146．І 7，I 8.

ítatia，v̈тatos．See Index III．
 4， $17,20,23$.

фро⿱亠八九नтís 1141． 3.
фúdapұos 1119．2，II， 13 ．
хартош入ápıos 1108． 8.

## IX．WEIGHTS，MEASURES，COINS．

## （a）Weicihts and Measures．

äраvра 1102．у7；1113．i．19，ii． 7 ；1126．6， 12.

ајртáßך 1124． $13 ; 1125.1$ ；1140． 3,$4 ; 1143$.
5,7 ；1144．I 8 ；1145． 5.
$\delta \in \sigma \mu i \delta \iota \circ \nu 1130.14,29,32$.
ठпขúpıov 1142．4， 5 ．
í $\mu$ коти́ $\eta$ 1142． 2.
$\dot{\eta}$ í $^{\prime}$ ous 1153． 5.
кєра́ $ц$ го 1139．introd．；1141．7－9，х 1．

котúd $\eta$ 1143．I．
$\mu \epsilon \tau \rho \eta \tau \eta{ }^{\prime}$ 1143． 7.

＇A $\mu \mu \omega \nu$ íov тоиิ Птолє $\mu$ аíve 1124． 12.
$\mu$ óóıos 1115 ．I 3 （？）．
$\xi \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta s$ 1142． 14.
$\sigma \tau \alpha \theta \mu \dot{s} \tau \tau \hat{\eta} s \kappa \dot{\mu} \mu \eta s$ 1130． 13 ．
таүарí̊ıи（？）1158．I2．
таүŋ́ 1139． 3.
$\tau \epsilon \tau \rho \dot{\omega} \beta \circ \lambda о \nu$ 1142．I I．

（b）Coins．
àp 14， 17 ；1125．3；1127．11；1129．І 2 ； 1132．12．íprúpıa 1160． 9.
§ךцápıo 1104．12，23，24；1142．5，6，8， 9.

סрахип 1105．16；1112．14－16，24， 25 ； 1117． 22 ；1124．14， 17 ；1125． 3 ； 1127. II，20；1128．16；1132．12，I5； 1135. 4,5 ；1139．introd．；1142．3，7； 1143. $1,3,5 ; 1144.4$ et saep．；1153． 8.
 í̊ı $\omega$ тıкóv 1126．І 2，13， $22 ; 1138.5,8$.
$\dot{\eta} \mu \iota \omega \beta \in \notin \iota \iota \nu$ 1112． 16.
кєра́тьо 1126．І 2，І3， 2 І；1131．8， 9 ； 1137.
2， 3 ；1138． 7 ；1147． 3 el saep．
ке́рри 1157．І 8 ；1160．г 6 ．
$\mu \nu a ̂ 1125.5$.
นuptás 1129．I 2 ；1133． 9.
ขоцเซرа́тเò 1130．I I，27，32；1131．7，9； 1136．introd．；1138．6， 9 ；1147． 3 cl saep．ข．סєбтоткко́⿱ 1130．ıо．

уоуциіол 1165． 6.
óßo入ós 1112．16；1143．7；1144．І I．
тá入aขтò 1104．11，12，22，24；1105．21；
1117．4，16；1158．8，10， 14.
т $\rho \iota \dot{\beta} \beta \nu \lambda$ о 1112.25.
$\chi$ áлкıขos 1158． 23.
$\chi^{a \lambda \kappa o ́ s ~ 1105 . ~} 2$ I．
хри́テıvos 1133． 9 ．
хрибío 1117． 8.
$\chi$ रóoós 1121． 19 ；1126． $12,2 \mathrm{I}$ ；1130．10， II，27， 3 I；1131．7， 9 ；1137．2，3； 1138.
5， 8 ．

## X．TAXES．

àvaßодıкóv 1135． 2 ；1136． 3.

«̀vต่น 1115.9.
à $\rho \gamma$ роко́v 1134．6，12．à $\rho \gamma$ ирıка́ 1119．7，20， 27 ；1138． 4.
 14.

ধ̇тıкєфáлаıov 1157．I4，I6， 20.
є́то́ $\boldsymbol{\epsilon \nu} \mathbf{\nu}$ 1112．14， 24.
入aoүрафía 1109． 12.
пробфıаүрафо́дєуи 1112．16， 25.
$\tau \in \lambda \epsilon \sigma \mu a$ 1123．I 5.

## XI．GENERAL INDEX OF GREEK AND LATIN WORDS．

а 1114. I $_{5}$ ．
đßи́ктŋs 1108．I I．
ảßárкадтоs 1159． 27.
аß $\dot{\beta}^{\lambda} \lambda \eta$ 1153． 18.
＂̈ßpoхоs 1113．i．І 5，I 8，ii．7；1125． 10.
à ut ós 1126．IO ；1161． $2 .^{2}$
àа⿱㇒木ктєív 1119． 8.
à $\gamma a \pi \hat{a} \nu 1161.3$ ．
त̀ $\gamma$ aтๆтós 1162． 3 ．
 àvéúè 1144．I7．

ảyvoeit 1119．I I．
áyota 1119．I I．
àjopá§єь 1142． 2 et saep．；1149．5； 1153. IS；1158．II．


à $\gamma \rho о \gamma є i \tau \omega \nu$ 1106． 2.
à $\gamma \omega \nu$ เầ 1154． 6.
ӓбєє 1119.17.
à $\delta є \lambda \phi \dot{\eta}$ 1154． $\mathbf{I}$ ；1157． 1 ， 22 ；1158． 19 ； 1159． $3^{\text {I } ; ~ 1161 . ~} 17$ ．
àठє入фıко́s 1165．2， 6.
ảठє $\lambda$ фós 1102． 8 ；1106．I，I I ；1111．i．8， 16；1153．15；1154．12；1158．І ； 1162. 4，6；1165． 14.
dioraípetos 1105．I3．
«र́ひ $\theta_{\epsilon}$ тоя 1114． 28 ；1121．I 3.
ń $\delta$ เкєív 1100． 1 \％．
äòo
«iowvaí 1152． 2.
affirmatio 1114． $3^{6 .}$
agere 1114． 38.

à $\theta_{\text {рó } \omega s}$ 1117． 23.
＂iरıo日́rits（？）1136．3．
 1124．19；1164．9．

aiтєì 1115．3；1121．22．aiteīӘal 1104． 6.
uittâбӨat 1119．19， 23.
aí̀vos 1122．I ；1134． 1.
íкаӨарбía 1128． 25.
ӥкаข $\theta a 1112.5,2$ I．
iкívóvos $1124.2 ; 1125.9$ ；1127． 2 ；1130． 14.
áко入ои́ $\theta \omega \mathrm{s}$ 1102．6；1104． 8 ；1115．12； 1117．9，14，I7；1134．9．
iкрьß ${ }^{2}$ 1102． 12.
äкрі园 1124．I I．
ӥкироя 1133．І З．
àk $\omega \lambda u ́ \tau \omega s$ 1127．I 6.

à $\lambda \lambda \dot{\gamma} \lambda \omega \nu$ 1165． 12.
ì入入oঠamín 1122．I I．
ä̀ $\lambda \lambda$ os 1103． 8 ；1104．11， 16 ；1105． 20 ； 1106． 3 ；1113．i．І ；1116． 6 ；1119．І 6 ； 1121． 20 ；1124．4；1134．8，I3， 15 ； 1141． 5 ；1145． 3 ；1160．13， 5 ；1164． 6 ； 1165．4， 12.
वै $\lambda$ дотє 1165 ．Іо．
à入入ótртоs 1121． 6.
ä $\lambda \mathrm{s} 1143.5,7$.
à $\lambda \omega$ vía 1107．$^{3}$ ．
ä $\lambda \omega$ 1124．II．
iцаатía 1119．II．
д̀ $\mu \in \lambda \in \hat{\imath} \nu 1157.9,22$ ；1158． 9 ；1159． 12.
ảر $\eta_{\nu}$ 1151． $5^{6}$ ；1152．5．$g^{\theta}$ 1162．I5．
à $\mu \emptyset \eta \mu$ ерьо́s 1151． $3^{6 .}$
à $\mu$ фобоүрациатєи́s．See Index VIII．
ä $\mu$ фо оо̀ 1119．6，I3．Cf．Index VI（c）．

àvaßuivetv 1157．7， $13,26$.
àvаßь入เкóv 1135．2；1136．3．

адуакаїь 1130.9 ；1153．11．і̀лаүкнішs 1121.
23.

ảvaүрáфєt 1105． 2 ；1109．12；1123．1о．
«ขvaঠıঠáбкєь 1103． 4 ； 11635.
àvaסıóóvaı 1104．9，24；1119．20，23；1125．19．
«ぇаконіб́ 1130．I 9．
àví入өда 1104．8；1159．18， 22.

à $\nu а \mu \eta \sigma \iota[(?) 1144$. I．
đ̉và́́ $\omega \sigma$ เs 1105． 2 I．
àvaтаข́є๐ Өat 1121．I 2.
à $\nu \mathrm{a} \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1157．І 8.
àvaт $\lambda \eta \rho \circ \hat{\nu}$ 1121． 1 I．
àvato $\mu \pi$ ós 1115． 2.
àvapாaбтós 1106． 8.
ảvaф́́рє九 1103．5；1115．6；1110． 26.

àvopetútatos 1163． 3 ．
àveíбтрактos 1123． 14 ．
àvє $\pi \eta \rho$ éaбтоs 1106． 5 ．
Rivev 1101． 7 ；1126．15；1130． 17.
«̀ $\downarrow \kappa \in \tau \nu 1104.15$.
đ้̉ $\eta \lambda<\hat{v} \nu$ 1143． 6.
เivク่ 1120.2 ；1123．6；1164．5．кат äขঠри 1112．I．
ï $\nu \theta \rho \omega \pi$ os 1107． 2 ；1155．7；1159．I 6.
àvıoтívaı 1161．9．
à $\nu \nu \omega ́ \nu \eta$ 1115． 9.
àvoнia 1121． 20.
àvтıүprí申єเข 1153．I7；1157．12， 25.
àдтіүрафо̀ 1101．1；1102．1；1115．7， 19 ；
1118． 4 ；1119．3，I3，14，22， 25.
àtióıos 1164．4．
àvtı入a $\beta$ ßávє $\sigma$ Өat 1123． 9.
àvтı入є́ $\gamma \in \iota \nu$ 1148． 7.
àvтı入оүia 1126．I5；1130．I 7.

àvv́єเข 1121． 27 ．
àvvaє́ $\rho \beta \lambda \eta$ тos 1121．7．

1131．I 4.
ä $\nu \omega$ 1159． 26.
áǵa 1127． 26.
＂$\xi \cos 1164.14 ; 1165.5,13$ ．
a̧̧oû̀ 1102．I7，19，22；1115．7；1117．9； 1118．I ；1121． 24 ；1130． 22 ；1184． 3 ．

## INDICES

àтаルтย้̂ 1107． 5 ；1119．18；1157．І5， 16.
а̇та兀т $\sigma_{\iota} \mu$ о 1134． 9.
à $\pi$ аїтクбıs 1126．I 4.
ȧтaเтทтท́s 1135．I．
àта入入á $\sigma \sigma є \downarrow$ 1119．І 6 ；1164． 8.
ä $\pi a \xi$ 1102．8．$\pi \rho o ̀ s$ ä $\pi a \xi$ 1138．13．
ḋтарєуáхえŋтоs 1123．I3．
äтas 1101． 16 ；1121． 20 ；1122． 12 ； 1123.
I $8 ; 1164$ ．II．
à $\pi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \forall ́ \theta \epsilon \rho \circ s$ 1128． 4.
àтєруабía 1112．12．
àл $\rho \not \rho \chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota 1158.6$.
лілєขктаíms 1114． 24.
ànє́ $\chi \epsilon \nu$ 1132．7．àmé $\chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota 1100.19$ ；1106．6．
ả $\pi \eta \lambda เ \omega ́ \tau \eta s$ 1111．i． 7 ；1126． 8.
án入ov̂s 1130．10， 25 ；1134．I7．

ii． 4 ；1113．i．I 3，ii． 4 ；1157． 5 et saep．
àrоүраф＇̆ 1114． 34 ；1157．4，24．кат оікіау à．1110． 3,8 ；1111．i． 5, ii． 4 ．
àmoঠ́єıкขv́vą 1114． $3^{2 .}$
ảnó $\delta \epsilon \iota \xi!\iota$ 1147． 14.
àmoঠıঠóvaı 1118． $5 ; 1124.8,14 ; 1125.6 ;$ 1126．І4；1127．І7，39；1128．І 6 ； 1129. І2，І7；1130．І5；1132．7；1155．I8； 1157． 30 ；1158． 26 ；1160． 29.

ȧnódoorıs 1117．20；1130． 21.
àтакаӨıбта́vaı 1117．7．
àтода $\beta$ ávєє 1102.18 ；1133．S．
àтодоィтабци́s 1147．I．
ȧто入úє兀 1102．19， 21 ；1165．II．
äтопл $\eta \rho \circ \hat{\nu} \nu 1134.8$ ；1164． 12.
ì $\pi о \sigma \pi a ̂ \nu ~ 1120 . ~ І 6 . ~$
ánóoтодоs 1151． 44.
àmoov入ầ 1121． 20.
ȧто́тактоя 1124．2，5，7，9；1126．і 1.
àлотірєı 1124．I $3 ; 1127.27$.
àтофиivєбӨau 1102． 24 ；1117． 6.
àтóфабıs 1102． 1,$5 ; 1117.9$.
àтохグ 1104． 24 ；1115．6，7，9，18；1130． 19 ； 1133．І5，І6，I9；1134．I6；1137． 4 ； 1157． 21.
ä $\pi \tau \epsilon \sigma$ Өaı 1107． 2.
ảpүєiv 1160．I 4.
ápүчрько́v．See Index X．
áp $\dot{\text { úpıor．}}$ See Index IX（b）．
а́рүироко́тоз 1146．І 2.

à $\rho \epsilon ́ \sigma к є \iota \nu 1153.25$.
ảpєт $\eta$ 1103． 4 ；1131．4， 12.
à $\rho \iota \theta$ 兑 1117．I 5 ；1130．II．
ä $\rho \iota \sigma \tau о s$ 1156．I 5.
äpoupa．See Index IX（ $a$ ）．
ápтаүи́ 1121．6， 7.
áртáßŋ．See Index IX（a）．
а̉ртоко́тьбба 1146．8， 9.
а́ртоко́тоs 1158． 7.
äртоя $1115.3,6,13$.
ӓртขца 1142． 9.
ả $\rho \chi a ́ \gamma \gamma є \lambda$ os 1151． 42.
ä $\rho \chi \in \iota \nu$ 1101．1 3 ；1119．16．ä $\rho \chi \omega \nu$ 1101． 14.
аррхортєs 1117．3，5，7．
á $\rho \chi$ ク́ 1119． 16 ；1130． 16.
ảpхíatpos 1108． 6.
ảpхєєратєข́єєข 1113．i． 4 ；1132．Іо．
à $\rho \chi$ єє єús 1114． $3^{2}$ ；1146． 6.
ä $\sigma \eta \mu o s$ 1111．ii．I 5.

ȧ $\sigma \pi a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1158．18，20．ả $\sigma \pi a ́ \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota 1155.9$ ；
1159． 27 ；1160． 3 ；1164．І3．
à $\sigma \not$ ádeıa 1133．I 5 ；1134．I6；1137． $3 . ~_{\text {．}}$
à $\sigma \phi \lambda^{\prime}{ }_{j} s$ 1115． 8.
äтє $\chi^{\nu}$ оs 1111．ii．I 5 ．
aủӨaipєтоs 1122． 8.

aủ入ウ́ 1105．IO．
autem 1114．I 3 ．
aủтót 1103． 4.
аи̇то́ттท；1154．8．
$\dot{\alpha} \phi \bar{\eta} \lambda \iota \xi 1114.28$.
àфı́́vaı 1119．І 7．
àфор $\eta^{\prime}$ 1164． 3.
ä $\chi \rho \iota$ 1130．12．ä $\chi \rho \iota$ 1107．3．

ßapeí 1159． 2.
ßaбı入єía 1119．I7．
ßaфєís 1146．I 4.

$\beta \in \beta a \iota o v ิ \nu 1119$ ．I7；1124． 8 ；1125．I5；
1127． 13.
$\beta \epsilon \lambda \tau i \omega \nu 1148.2$.
$\beta \in \nu є ф$ ıкıápıos 1121． 2.
Bía 1120． 1 I， 20.

$\beta \iota \beta$ خío 1101．1о；1121． 23 ；1153． 4.
Bios 1121． 12.
ßoń $\theta$ єıa 1101． 2 I．
ßoŋ $\theta \epsilon i ้ \nu 1101.19$ ；1152．4；1161．5．
ßontós．See Index VIII．
bona 1114．ro．
Bópetos 1110．ir．
ßоррâ 1126．8．Є̇к $\beta$ ．1112．I3．
ßoppıvós 1112． 22.
ßoú入єбӨaı 1100．5；1101．8；1126．9； 1129.
I4；1130． 21 ；1165． 4.
ßoùєvтク̆s．See Index VIII．
ßoı $\eta_{\eta}$ ．See Index VIII．

civitas 1114．7，9， 12 ， 13. consul．See Index III．
$\gamma є 1159.6$.
үєіт $\omega$ 1121．Ј $8 ; 1126.7$.
$\gamma \in \nu$＇́́ $\theta \lambda \iota a$ 1144． 4.
$\gamma^{\prime} \downarrow \eta \mu$ 1134．6， 12 ；1141． 6.
ү＇́vos 1134．I 3.
$\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma \epsilon i \nu 1107.2$.
$\gamma \in \omega \rho \gamma i ́ a$ 1124．I 6.
$\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho$ о́s 1134．7，14；1140．І ；1165． 5.
$\gamma \hat{\eta}$ 1107． 2 ；1124． 5 ；1125．І2．$\gamma \hat{\eta} \delta \eta \mu$ обia 1123． 13, I 5 ．
रท่ठ̊tov 1126．＋；1134． 15.
 1106．4；1107．4；1117．т，18，24； 1119. 4，6，I3，I5，20；1121．II；1125．II；
1126．6，І 3 ；1127． 28 ；1130．І1，І4， 23 ；
1136． 5 ；1137． 3 ；1138． 8 ；1147．I3 et saep．；1153． 22 ；1165． 5.
$\gamma \iota \gamma \nu \omega ́ \sigma к є \iota \nu 1118.7$ ；1155． 2.
र入úкıò 1142．I 6.
$\gamma^{\prime} \omega \mu \eta$ 1122． 8.
$\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \iota \mu a \chi \epsilon i \nu 1119.20$.
yové́s 1109．6；1121．II．
үра́ $\mu$ а 1100． 3 ；1104． 9 ；1110．3， 9 ； 1117. 12；1119． 23 ；1121． 32 ；1130． 30 ； 1133． 17 ；1148． 8 ；1160． 7 ；1184．I．
урациатєion 1130.8 et sacp．；1133．I2．
үранцатєús．See Index VIII．
үрандатпфо́роз 1164．І， 6.
रра́фє九ข 1107．4；1118．I ；1119．3； 1121. 32 ；1129．18；1130． $25,30,31$ ； 1131. 15；1133．17， 18 ；1134． 17 ；1137．4； 1153．4，5，21，25；1157．23；1158．І3，

23；1159．4；1160．7 et saep．；1161．7，
12；1163． 7 ；1164．І2；1165． 12.
үраф＇ 1119 ． 7.
रvриабıархєір，$\gamma v \mu \nu а \sigma \iota a ́ \rho \chi \eta s$ ．See Index VIII．
үข $\mu$ дабıар $\chi$ ia 1102． 9.
gymnasiarchus 1114．7，I2．
रvvُ́ 1102．24；1114． 27 ；1120．I2； 1135.
3 ；1148．4．
Savei\}єiv 1132. I5.
баталầ 1143． 5.
סamáv 1125．18；1144．6， 16.
de 1114．if．
decedere 1114． 35 ．
decurio 1114．7， 12.
defungi 1114．I 3 ．
§єєкขи́vat 1150． 5 ．
סєíl 1104． 12 ；1165．2， 10.
$\delta \epsilon \iota \nu \hat{\omega}$ s 1161.8 ，II．
$\delta \epsilon \sigma \mu i \delta i \omega \nu 1130 . I_{4}, 29,32$.
Ś́gtorva 1151． 40 ；1165． 9.
$\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi$ órทs 1122．I ；1134．I ；1163．3， 9 ；
1164． 14 ；1165．I3．
бєоттотькós 1130．Іо．
סє́ $\chi$ єбӨaı 1101．I5；1136． 2 ；1157． 20 ； 1158. 7， 12.
סĵ入os 1101.12.
§ŋ $\lambda$ oûv 1102．II；1109．II ；1111．ii．I I，I 3 ； 1112．3，І9；1125．I8；1130．І2； 1133. I3；1153． 12.
סク́nєєvats 1101． 25.
 Өךбаиро́s 1125．і 7．ठ．тра́тє乌а 1117．І 3.
 1125．I 2 ；1126．I4；1137．2，3．
ठпнотıко́s 1101． 24.
ঠпvápıov．See Index．X（a）（b）．
di（a）1129． 20.
$\delta \iota a \beta i \lambda \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota 1158.22$.
ঠ́ıаүєүขผ́бкєเข 1117． 3.
8ıаүрáфєг 1135．I ；1157．17，19．

סtaס́tóváa 1115． 6.
סıaঠ́ıkeĩ̀ 11018.

Siauta 1164．8， 9.
ठиákovos 1130．29；1162． 3.
סıaرévєtv 1161． 12.


ঠıúтьбда 1103． 8.
סıабєієєь 1100．6，13，14，16．
ס́áaŋpas 1104．10；1115．4， 5 ．
ঠ九алтроф＇1165． 5 ．

ঠıaтvтой̀ 1104． 7.
סєифє́рєьข 1106． 3 ；1129．8；1147．I I；1165．3．
Stáфорау 1118． 7 ；1130．11， 28.
$\delta \iota a \psi \in \cup ́ \delta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota 1110.22$.
סıôaбка入ía 1101． 3.
бıঠ́́бкєє 1106． 2.
Stóóvat 1103．7；1104．22；1116．7； 1117. 20；1119． 9 ；1130． 18 ；1134．10； 1139. 2 ；1140．2；1141． 3 ；1147． 22 ； 1148. 7 ；1149． 9 ；1153． 24 ；1156．8； 1158. $14 ; 1164.3$ ， 10.
סєঠицаүєゅŋ́s 1119． 26.
б九є́ $\rho \chi є \sigma \theta a \iota 1109.9,13$ ；1111．i． 5 ；1141．4， 7.
dies 1114． 14.
бıкаьоботia 1146．introd．
ôєкаьоঠótทs 1102．І 6.
ठскаьодоүі́a 1165． 6.
סíkatos 1117．19（？）；1126．7．סі́каєоу 1119. 28；1126．I7．
ঠєкаішда 1119．І 5.
§ıкабтท́pıov 1101．23， 27 ；1106． 8.
סík 1119.18 ；1124． 20 ；1160． 17.
סía $\quad$ vos 1160． 14.
ס九ó 1101． 25 ；1117． 19.
סьоккєì 1134． 3 ；1146．introd．


$\delta \iota \pi \lambda$ oùs 1124． 15 ．
ঠьต́кєเข 1151． 3 ．
ठокєі̆ 1102．7，14；1117．6；1119．85； 1153.

$$
\text { II ; 1156. I3; 1160. } 22 .
$$

ठо́кєцоя 1130．10．
бо́тьs 1127．і 9.
ठоú $\lambda \eta$ 1110．16，17；1120．16；1151．10， 29.
סoü入os 1149．7．
боiを 1103． 3 ．
брахиә＇．See Index IX（b）．
ঠрахитайos 1125．4， 8.
ducenarius 1114．I 5 ．
Súvapis 1150． 5 ．
 1164．7；1165．8，І 2.
бvoî Өйтєроу 1119． 20.
$\delta \omega \delta є к a^{\wedge} \rho и \chi \mu$ оs 1109．7，11，х2， 16.

ঠшреїөaı 1153．I 5.
ćã̀ 1159．19；1165． 3.
є́autov̂ 1107． 3 ；1114．27；1119． 23 ； 1121. 6,$22 ; 1125.18 ; 1159.2$.
є่ $\gamma \gamma$ рá $p \in \iota \nu$ 1116．I 6.
є́ $\gamma \gamma$ рафоя 1121． 25 ；1130． 19.
є่ $\gamma к а л є$ ё 1133．І І ；1134． 12.
є́үкаталєіттєц 1124． 15.
є́ $\gamma \dot{\text { ® }}$ ，emu 1129． 20.
є̈もos 1119． 17.
$\epsilon$ Єos 1116.9.
єì̛́́vą 1119．13，15；1121．16，32； 1129.
19；1130． 30 ；1133．І 7 ；1165． 8.
єîoos 1134．I3；1160． 12.
єikás 1128． 8.
єinєì 1103． 3 ；1165．Іо．
єipqù 1162． 9.



єौ兀oôas 1105 ．I 2.
єiornךôâv 1120． 14.
є $\iota \sigma \pi \rho a \xi$ ıs 1100． 18.
єібфє́ $\rho \in \iota 1$ 1102． 9 ；1117．4，16， 21 ；1150． 3 ；
1158． 15.
єїтє 1101．7；1165．i I．
є́ккабтоs 1101． 5 ；1117． 20 ；1124．13； 1125.
4 ；1126．11；1128． 20.
є́ка́тєроs 1125．І 3， 20.
єкатаута́рхךs 1146．І8， 19.
є́кঠ̊ıóóvaı 1133．14， 16 ；1153． 26.
є́кঠıкía 1101．18；1121． 2 I．
є̈кбикоз 1108． 13.
Є̇кє $\imath$ 1119． 12 ；1127． 8.
ধ́кєivos 1106． 5 ；1119．10；1121． 6 ； 1165. 9，II．
є́кєî̃є 1119．7．
єккклๆбía．See Index VII（b）．
є้кклдтоя 1117． 3 （？）．
éкои́бъоs 1122．8．є́коибíms 1126．2； 1129. 6 ； 1132.7.
є̇ктлáo $\sigma \in \iota \nu$ 1101．I I（？）．

є́ктє́ $\mu \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1153． 20.

є̈ктотє 1119． 28.
є́кфо́рьоу 1124．I5；1125．18，20；1134． 6.
є̈ $\lambda แ о \nu ~ 1142 . ~ 14 ; ~ 114.3 . ~ 7 ; ~ 1153 . ~ 5 ; ~ 1160 . ~$ 24.

## XI．General index of greek and latin ivords


é入atovpytкós 1140 ． 3 ．
＂＇латтид 1100．4．
є $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \chi \in เ \nu 1100.15$.
e่ $\lambda \omega i$ 1152． 1 ．
є́цаитой 1121． 9 ；1160． 10.

є̇ $\mu \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda є \iota ~ 1121.27$.
$\dot{\epsilon} \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \iota \iota \iota \nu$ 1164． 10.
є́ $\mu$ о́s 1159．14；1164．9，10，14；1165．I3．
є́ $\mu \pi$ ósios 1104．I 5 ．


є＇гарұоs 1104． 5 ．
є̇vס́ī 1117． 8 ．
èvঠouєveía 1102． 15 ；1121．19．


ëveкєv 1119． 26 ；1156． 5 ；1157．4；1164． 2.
évé $\chi$ ข
$\stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \nu \theta_{a}$ 1121．I7．

$\dot{\epsilon} \nu \theta v \mu \in i ́ \sigma \theta$ แI 1106.6.
évlavaíws 1129．II．
éviautós 1102．18；1116．II；1128． 20.
évıotávat 1110．7，II ；1113．i．14；1114．24；
1116． 15 ；1119． 12 ；1123． $16 ; 1125.5$ ， 7 ；1128． 9 ；1129． 7 ；1180．15， 2 1．
ivoíкךण宀s 1105． 18.
є́voíxเор 1127．9，18， 40 ；1129．I I， 17.
évopía 1101． 5.
$\epsilon^{\prime} \nu 0 \chi \lambda \epsilon i \nu 1100.13$ ；1159．I2．

ধ่vтá $\sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota 1119.3,25$.
є̀亡таи̂Өa 1119．6；1181． 16.
ย่เтย́ $\lambda \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota 1154.3$.
モ̇レтєîӨє 1134．I I．

є́vто入ıко́v 1142．1， 18.
є̀ขто́тıos 1153． 26.
èvtós 1128． 14.
є̇vтvүXivetv 1160．19， 2 I．
єछ̆upeiv 1151． 9.
＇่＇guipєтоs 1119．I5．


$\epsilon ' \xi \epsilon i \nu a t$ 1101．I 3 ；1130． 17.
є＇$\xi \in \rho \chi \in \sigma \theta a t 1102.2$ t；1150． 6.

${ }^{\prime} \xi \xi \eta \gamma \eta \tau \epsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \epsilon \iota \nu,{ }^{\prime} \xi \eta \gamma \eta \tau i \xi$ ．See Index VIII．

є＇乡iбтабөai 1123． 23.

є＇$\xi \kappa \in ́ \pi \tau \omega \rho$ 1108．I 3.



є́झovaia 1103． 3 ；1120．8；1123． 22.
毛乡vா $\eta \rho \in \tau \epsilon$ î 1144． 13 ．
 17.

ধ̇тáv 1102． 20.

є́тávaүкєs 1130．I $5 \cdot$
є́ $\pi$ àóp $\theta \omega \sigma$ ts 1100． 7.
є̇тархía 1101．I4．
є̈ $\pi$ архоя．See Index VIII．
émapтầ 1119． 10 ；1121． 5.
є́тєi 1102．8；1119．6， 18 ；1156．10；1159．4．
є $\pi \epsilon i \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu 1155.13 ; 1161.12$.

єัтєєта 1119． 16.
є́ $\pi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \cup \sigma \tau$ ткós 1120．10．

Є́ $\pi \epsilon ́ \rho \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota 1108.2$.
$\epsilon ่ \pi \epsilon \rho \omega \tau a ̂ ้$ 1126．I 8 ；1129． 15 ；1130． 26 ；
1133． 15 ；1134．17．

є́ $\pi \eta \rho \in a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu 1165.3,4,8,10$.


Є̇ா८ঠ́́ $\chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ 1126． 2 ；1129． 6.

є́ $\pi \iota \delta \eta \mu i ́ a ~ 1119.21$.
énto̊̀óvat 1101．10；1107．6；1114．34；
1115．6；1116．2I；1119．20，29； 1120.
4 ；1121．7，23，3I；1134．9；1183．9．
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{i} \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota s$ 1121． 7.
Є̇ $\pi \iota \theta_{\eta} \neq \eta$ 1158． 24.



е́тікритьs 1109.5 ，I r．
є́тiлoıtos 1123． 20.


$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \mu \lambda \eta \tau i$, See Index VIII．
є́ $\pi \iota \mu \epsilon ́ v \in เ \nu 1108.7$.

є่ $\pi \iota \sigma \kappa ́ \pi \pi \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta$ 1151． 28.
є̇тібтад $\mu$ 1119． 25.
є̇ $\pi i \sigma \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota 1121.2$ I．
є่ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon ่ \lambda \lambda_{\epsilon \iota \nu} 1104.6$ ， 13 ；1115．3；1119．8－ 10，22， 25.


є่тเஎтрє́申єєа 1121．5．
є̇пเтє入єiv 1118．10．


є̇пітццор 1124．І 7 ．

є̇ $\pi \iota \tau \rho о \pi \eta \eta^{1119 .}$ I 5.
є̇пiтротоя．See Index VIII．
érıфаขท＇s 1104．I 9.
є̇ $\pi \iota \phi$ є́ рєь 1115 ． 12 ；1119． 30 ；1133．I4．

є̇ $\pi \iota \chi є i \rho \eta \mu$ 1121． 24.
є̀тоі́кьo 1133．4， 10.
є̇ $\pi о ф \epsilon і$＇$\lambda \in \iota$ 1165．I 2.
є́то廿८s 1116． 8.

є́ $\rho \in i ้ \nu 1106.3,4$ ；1158． 15 ；1165． 4.
${ }^{\mu} \rho \chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota 1106.2$ ；1158．5，10；1159．6，8， 23 ；1160． 20 ；1164．у，8；1165．Іу．
є’рютầ 1149． 3 ；1155．6；1163． 4.
évOท̄s 1121． 19.
є́ $\sigma \pi \epsilon ́ \rho a 1163.2$.
esse 1114．I 3 ，I5．
є̃тєроs 1105．11；1118．9；1119．I5，20， 27 ； 1130．22．ठvoì Өäтєроу 1119． 20.
 1118． 5.
є́тоíцшs 1131．IO．
є̈́тоs，кат＇є̈．1105． 20 ；1117． 2 I；1125．І， 12 ， І7， 2 I ；1127．Іо， 18 ， 40 ；1128． 15 ； 1129． 13.
$\epsilon \dot{v} a \gamma \gamma_{\epsilon} \lambda_{t \sigma \tau \eta}$ 1151． 45.
єن̉ápєттоя 1130．I3．
є $\check{\sim}$
$\epsilon \cup \cup \in \rho \gamma \epsilon \tau \epsilon i ้$ 1117．I 8.
є兀ंєрүє́тทs 1148． 2.
єن̉Өús 1155．3．єủӨ＇́ตs 1119．7；1155．4； 1164． 4.

$\epsilon ن ̉ \lambda a \beta \bar{\omega} s$ 1119．Іо．
$\epsilon \dot{\cup}$ і́бкєєข 1133．І 2 ；1153．ェо，т 8 ；1155．7．
$\epsilon \dot{\jmath} \sigma \epsilon \beta \hat{\omega} s 1119.17$.
єӥбта $\theta \mu$ оs 1130．10．
єป๋тє่́าєاa 1165．2， 8.
$\epsilon \dot{\tau} \tau \epsilon \lambda \eta{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ 1165． 4.
eutheniarcha 1114．8．
 1108． I ；1119． 2 I．
єن่Xaptттєì 1164． 2.
$\epsilon \dot{U} \chi \in \rho \bar{s}$ 1121． 6.
 1151． $3^{8}$ ；1157． 28 ；1158．3， 25 ； 1160. 28；1162．I3．
є́фっбтáva 1106． 7 ；1121． 18.
є̈ $\chi$ єเข 1100．8，18；1104． 22 ；1107． 4 ； 1113. i． $14 ; 1115.5,8,18 ; 1116.23 ; 1117$. I3；1119．10， 28 ；1120．18， 20 ； 1123. 22；1125．2；1127．7；1130．8，26； 1131．3，ІІ ；1133．Іо；1134．уг； 1137. ı；1149．7；1156．ІІ ；1160．8； 1161. 8，II．

exemplum 1114．I4， 16.
$\tilde{\epsilon} \omega \mathrm{s}$ 1125．І5；1144．7，14；1159． $2 \mathrm{I} . \tilde{\epsilon} \omega \mathrm{S}$ äv 1124． 7.
facere 1114．7，8，12， 14.
filia 1114．9，II．
filius 1114． 8.
$\zeta \tilde{\eta} \nu$ 1117．19；1151． 25.
ऍuyóv．See Index IX（b）．
गे 1148． 2 （？）．
ぞ 1106．6；1118．7；1119．9，10；1121．т6； 1124． $13 ; 1125.8$ ；1127． 25 ；1130．19；

î 1102． 12.

$\dot{\eta} \gamma є \mu о \nu і а$ 1121． 27.
$\dot{\eta} \gamma \notin \mu$ оуєко́s 1102． 25 ；1119． 2 I．
$\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu \dot{\omega} \nu$ ．See Index VIII．

$\eta \not \partial \eta$ 1121． 25.
$\dot{\eta} \mu$ ́́ра 1100． 4 ；1114． 24 ；1121．12； 1145. 5， 18 ；1158． 4 ；1161．13．
їнє́тєроз 1119．9，12，15，19， 21.
ض́ $\mu$ коти́д $\eta$ 1142． 2.
íньлía 1127． 27.
í $i^{\prime}$ रovs 1153． 5 ．

## XI．GENERAL INDEX OF GREEK AND LATIN IVORDS

$\dot{\eta} \mu \omega \beta \in \lambda_{\iota}$ Іо 1112 ． 6.
г் $\sigma v \chi a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu 1119.8(?)$.
ท้тоє 1129． 10 ；1134． 6.
$\theta() 1145.2$ et saep．
Өá入aرos 1144． 2.
өацá 1158． 4.
Oávatos 1121． 15.
Oapoeiv 1101． 18.
Өav $\mu a \pi \tau$ ós 1151． 53.
$\theta \in a ́ l 117.2$.

$\theta_{\epsilon \in \lambda} \lambda_{\epsilon L \nu} 1155.2$ ；1158． 7 ；1158． 15.
Өє́ $\mu$ 1125．19；1159． 10.
$\theta$ өо入ó ós 1151.45.
$\theta$ cós．See Indices II and VII（a）i，（b）．
$\theta$ өото́коs 1151． 4 I．
$\theta$ $\theta$＇́vts 1123． 2.
Oŋбavpás 1125．17．
Ovүátךр 1102．1 I ；1114．25， 27 ；1120． 2 ；
1121．13；1129．3；1158．19， 20.
$\theta v \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \eta 1143.3$ ．
Oúpa 1127． 24 ；1128． 26.
Ovaia 1143．3，4， 6 ；1144．I5．
hereditas 1114．IO， 15.
hic 1114． 14.
hora 1114．I 4 ．
iā $\theta$ Aa 1151． $25,28$.
iठєiv 1157． 7.
＊iotos 1116．7；1125．18；1130．9．$\dot{\eta}$ ioía 1117．22．＂ס̀os 入óyos 1112．х．
iòt $\omega$ тोs 1101.6 el saep．
iôt $\omega$ тıкós 1128．12，13， 22 ；1138．5， 8 ； 1153. 18.
ioov́ 1158． 13.
idus 1114． 37.
ípéús．See Index VII $(a) 3$ ．
iє póv 1116．10；1143．2， 5 ．
ípós 1114．20；1116． 2 ；1135． 2 ；1144． 6.
ikavós 1121． 25.
ípati\}eav 1122. I 4.
immunis 1114．I5．
iva 1101． 26 ；1117．18， 20 ；1119．13， 21 ；
1120． 9 ；1121． 2 I ；1153． 25 ；1154． 5 ；
1155．13；1156．13；1158．14；1159．2；
1160．13；1165．II．
ìठ七ктiшy．See Index III．
inserere 1114． 36.
intestatus 1114． 13,35 ．
is 1114 ．I $2,15$.

iбтส́vu 1102．17， 22.
каӨи́тєр 1124． 20.
каӨapós 1124．I I；1125．19；1126．13， 22 ；
1128． 24 ；1130． 13.
каӨ́クкєєン 1121． 1 \＆．
ка命 $\sigma$ बaı 1160． 24.
кáӨoóas 1119． 27.
каӨо́ть 1124． 22.
каӨஸ́s 1157． 3 ．

каєро́s 1119．I7；1126．14．
какіа 1101． 7.
како३оилía 1101． 7 （？）．
какós 1151．I 4， 38.
калацофиๆ́（？）1141． 4.
калєî̀ 1100．Іо；1126．5．
ка入ós 1100．8；1142．3．ка入ิิs 1155． 8 ；
1158．5．
ка́ $\mu \eta$ доs 1164．2，5，7；1165．5．
ка́тŋŋ os 1158． 26.

карто́s 1124．6；1125．14；1133．го．
катаßü $\lambda \lambda \epsilon$ ll 1121． 9 ；1138．I．
катаßо入 ${ }^{\prime}$ 1147． 15.
катá $\neq$ เò 1105． 9.

катала $\beta$ а́vєเข 1101． 26 ；1119．12；1163． 2.
каталєі́тєเข 1118．12；1121．18；1165．8．
катацаขӨávєьข 1153． 25 （？）．
катацє́vєь 1121 ．І 7.
катаутầ 1110． 12.
кататє́ $\mu \pi \epsilon เ \nu$ 1115． 19.
кататіттєเข 1112． 23.
кататлєì 1153．I 1 ．
кататоує乞̂ 1101． 9.
катарті广єє 1153．І 6， 19.
катафєúyєєข 1101．23， 27.
катах $\rho$ ріऽєเע 1117．1 4；1119．7．
катє $\pi \epsilon i \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1141． 5 ．
катє́ðєє 1102．19；1118． 8.
катоккеi้ 1102．І 2.
каvұลิбӨаı 1160．8，го．
кє入єúєاข 1101． 24 ；1102． 20 ；1109． 5 ； 1110.
6 ；llll．i． 3 ，ii． 2 ；lll3．i．у i，ii． 2 ； 1115.

19；1117．4，17；1119．9，20，23；1150． 3；1164．8，II．
кє́ $\lambda \in v \sigma \iota \iota 1115$ ．I I．
$\kappa \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda a$ 1128．I 5 ；1144．І 6.
кєра́ньоу．See Index IX $(a)$ ．
кєра́тьоข．See Index IX（b）．

кєфа́入aıov 1105．16；1130．11， 28 ； 1132.
I3， 15.
кєфал ${ }^{\prime}$ 1142． 8.
кทסєєía 1121． 14.
кívסuvos 1116． 8 ；1119．10；1121． 5 ； 1124.
2 ；1125． 9 ；1127． 12 ；1130． 14.
кเขєî̀ 1121．ェ6， 18.
кiбтך 1153． 3 ．
к $\lambda$ еís 1127． 25 ；1128． 27.
клпрогоці́а 1102． 9.
клпроуо́моя 1121．І 3 ；1135． 2 （？）．
$\kappa \lambda \bar{\eta} \rho o s$ 1126． 5.
$\kappa \lambda \iota \beta a \nu \epsilon u ́ s$ 1142．Io．
$\kappa \lambda i \mu a \xi$ 1127． 9.
к $\lambda i \nu \eta$ 1144． 6.
Kvíóov 1158．I 6.
коцขós 1105．13；1114． 27.
коít 1161． 10.
ко́лд $\eta \mu$ 1119． 30.
ко入入ךтьิ̄ $\iota \iota$（？）1100． 19.
код $\nu \mu \beta{ }_{\eta} \theta_{\rho a} 1151.8$.
ко́цєя．See Index VIII．
коді乡єбӨає 1102． 21 ；1124．7；1125．15；
1153．3，13， 17.
коти́ 1141． 4.
ко́трьоу 1128． 24.
котv́入 $\eta$ 1143．І．
кои́ккоура 1160． 23.
коvра́т $\omega \rho$ 1120．І 3 ；1146．introd．
кри́тьттоs 1102．6；1110．7；1113．i．13，ii． 3 ；1115． 12 ；1117． 4 ；1119．4，8，9，15， 17，26， 27.
кріиєє 1102．7， 16.
крібтs 1102．14；1119．18， 21 ；1164．11．
кта̄бもa 1117．ェ9．
ктєviod 1142． 7.
$\kappa \tau \bar{\eta} \mu a$ 1137． 2 ；1147．12， 22 ；1165． 9.
$\kappa v \pi \epsilon!()(?) 1112.24$.
кขрเєบ́єเข 1118． 9 ；1123．19；1124．6； 1125. I 4.
кv́pıos（＇guardian＇）1111．i． $2 ; 1123.6$.
кúpıos（title）1103． 3 ；1104． 9 ；1107．1；

1108． $2,8,10,12 ; 1117.2,6,9,17$ ； 1148．1；1151．23，52；1156．1；1157．I； 1158．I ；1160．I ；1162．4，I2，I4．Cf． Index III．кvрía 1108． 9.
ки́pıos（＇valid＇）1124．20；1126．18； 1127. 30；1128． 27 ；1129． 15 ；1130．7， 25 ； 1165． 7.
кข คоиิ้ 1112．3， 19.
$\kappa \omega \lambda \dot{v} \epsilon เ \nu$ 1101． 12 ；1165． 4.
$\kappa \omega ́ \mu \eta$ 1106． 3,4 ；1111．i． 7 ；1113．i．2，ii． 5 ； 1126． 5 ；1128． 13 ；1130．4， $13 ; 1134$. 8 ， 14 ；1137．1；1145．4， 6.
$\kappa \omega \mu о \gamma \rho а \mu \mu а т є i ́ a ~ 1112 . ~ 21 . ~$
$\kappa \omega \mu о \gamma \rho a \mu \mu a \tau \epsilon u ́ s$ 1113．i．I．
$\lambda_{a \mu \beta a ́ v \epsilon \iota \nu} 1101.3$ ；1107． 4 ；1117．7， 12 ；
1156． $10 ; 1158.8,10,16$.
$\lambda a \mu \pi \rho o ́ s ~ 1103 . ~ 3 ; 1163.9 ; 1165.2,13,14$. Cf．Indices III and VI．
入aоүрафía 1109．I 2.
$\lambda a \chi a v \in v \tau \dot{\prime}$ 1139． 2.
入áरavov 1139． 2.
$\lambda a \chi a \nu \delta \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \mu о \nu$ 1140． 2.
$\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1101． 2 ；1102．19；1130． 18 ； 1142. 9 （ $\left.\lambda^{\prime} \epsilon \iota \nu\right) ; 1165.6$.
legere 1106．9，io．
$\lambda_{\text {еıтоир }}$ еiv 1119．6，І $3,16$.
入eitovpyia 1119．7，ІІ，І2，16，24， 28.
$\lambda$ 白ぞs 1102． 6.
$\lambda \in \pi \tau$ ío 1153． 4.
$\lambda \hat{\eta} \mu \mu \alpha$ 1134． 10 ．
libertus 1114． 35.
$\lambda_{\iota} \beta$ ós 1126． 8.
$\lambda_{\iota} \delta \eta$（？$\left.\left.\lambda_{\iota \tau}\right)^{\prime}\right)$ 1126．8， 9.
$\lambda i ́ \theta \iota \nu o s ~ 1105 . ~ 10 . ~$
$\lambda o \gamma \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon$ ía 1147．I I．
$\lambda o \gamma \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} s$ ．See Index VIII．
入оу七ผ́татоs 1108． 6.
入ójos 1104． 21 ；1118． 12 ；1119． 21 ； 1121. 16；1133．9， 10 ；1134．10，II；ll38． 2 ； 1141． 4 ；1151． 24 ；1153．20．iסios $\lambda$ ． 1112． 1.
入oımás 1147．8，i 9 ．
入oıтоүрафía 1131． 12.
入oımós 1101．I7 ；1111．i．I I．
$\lambda$ и́єเน 1165． 3 ．
$\lambda v \pi \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ 1165．I 2.
$\lambda u ́ \tau \rho \omega \sigma t s$ 1130． 20.
$\lambda \omega \delta \iota \xi$ 1153． 20.

налакі́a 1151． 27.
$\mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o \nu 1165.7$.
$\mu a \nu$ Өávє 11100.7 ；1107． 4 ；1119． 28 ； 1157. II， 14 ；1158． 22.
$\mu а \rho т \nu \rho \epsilon i ̂ \nu 1164.5,6,11$ ．
$\mu а \rho \tau \dot{\sim} \rho \in \sigma \theta a \iota 1114.23$ ；1120．I 1 ；1121．8， 23.
цартчротоі́ $\mu$ а 1114． 23.
ца́pтиs 1162．I4（？）；1164．7．
mater 1114． 12.
$\mu \epsilon \gamma$ алєiov 1103． 8.
$\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda o ́ \pi о \lambda \iota s$ 1130． 6.
$\mu є \gamma а \lambda о \pi \rho \in ́ \pi є \iota a$ 1163．4， 5 ；1164．2，13．
$\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda о \pi \rho \in \pi \eta$ भ́s 1108． $2,3,7$ ；1163．3， 9 ；
1164． 14.
$\mu$ е́ $\gamma$ as 1117． 2 ；1142． 6 ；1147．ı；1149． 1 ； 1155． 9.
$\mu \in$ Өooía 1134． 9.
$\mu \epsilon \iota \zeta$ оvía 1147． 4.
$\mu \in i \zeta \omega \nu$ ．See Index VIII．
$\mu \epsilon \lambda_{\epsilon \iota \nu} 1155.5$ ．
$\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1114． 24 ；1119．6， 13 ；1121． 22 ；
1153． 27 ；1156． 10 ；1158． 22 ；1160． 15.
$\mu \in ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1160． 18.
щ́́pos 1102． 2 I；1105．8，19；1111．i．7；
1112． 22 ；1125． $14,21$.
$\mu_{\text {évos 1113．i．} 2 \text { ，ii．} 5 \text { ；1145．3．ảvà } \mu \text { ．1111．i．} 6 . ~}^{\text {．}}$
$\mu \epsilon \tau а ß a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota 1153.8$.
$\mu \in т a \delta \iota \delta o ́ v a \iota 1118.2 ; 1153.6$.
$\mu \epsilon \tau а \lambda \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon เ \nu$ 1123． 10.
$\mu \in \tau a \xi \hat{v}$ 1117． 3 ；1126．II（ $\left.\mu \in \tau о \xi^{v}\right)$ ．
$\mu$ етрєĩ 1125． 16.
$\mu \epsilon ́ т \rho \eta \sigma \iota \varsigma 1125.21$.
$\mu \in \tau \rho \eta \tau \eta{ }^{\prime}$ 1143． 7.
н́́трıоs 1117．9， 19.
$\mu \in \tau \rho ⿺ u ́ t \eta s$ 1121． 10.
$\mu$ и́трод．See Index IX（ $a$ ）．
meus 1114． 9.
$\mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota 1132.14$ ；1159．5， 8.
$\mu \eta \delta \epsilon i ́ s ~ 1100 . ~ 11(?) ; ~ 1102 . ~ 8 ; ~ 1104 . ~ I 5 ; ~$
1107． 2 ；1110． 22.
$\mu \not{ }^{\prime} \nu 1119.25$ ；1124．10；1125．5，7； 1129.
7 ；1130． 15 ；1131． 15 ；1132． 14 ； 1137.
4 ；1144． 8.
$\mu \eta \dot{\eta} \nu$ ，о่ $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu 1164.5$ ．
$\mu \eta \nu$ láp $\chi^{\prime}$ 1139． 2.
$\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \rho$ 1109．2，8，14，16；1111．i．1， 9 ，ii． 10 ， 12，14；1114． 27 ；1121．3，9，I7； 1123. 1，4，7；1128． 3 ；1132，3，5；1145． 20 ； 1154． 12 ；1159． 30.
$\mu \eta \tau \rho ı к o ́ s ~ 1113 . ~ i 1 . ~ 6 . ~$
$\mu \eta т \rho о ́ \pi о \lambda \iota s . ~ S e e ~ I n d e x ~ V I ~(a) . ~$
нәтрото入ítŋs 1109． 6.
нптротолıтıко́s 1119．I 9.
$\mu$ וкро́s 1147． 14.
цккто́s 1153． 14 （？）．
$\mu \iota \sigma \epsilon$ ì 1151． 2.
$\mu \sigma \theta \circ \hat{\nu} \nu$ 1124．3，8，18， 22 ；1125． 2 et sacp．；
1126． 2 ；1127． 1,14 ， 17,37 ；1128． 1 ， 17， 18 ：1129．6， 16.
$\mu i \sigma \theta \omega \sigma \iota$ 1124．7， 21 ；1125．16；1126． 18 ； 1127．13， 30 ；1128． 27 ；1129． 15.
$\mu \nu \hat{a}$ ．See Index IX（b）．
нóó九os 1115．I 3 （？）．
$\mu$ о七дós 1160．26， 27.
нó入ıs 1117． 19.
$\mu o \nu \eta$ 1121． 25.
но́vos 1119．г 6 ；1125． 3 ；1136．5；1138． 9. oủ $\mu$ о́vò 1103．7；1119．17；1165． 3.
$\mu v \rho$ tás 1115．I 4， 15 ；1129． 12.
$\nu$ ขû̀ $\frac{1147 .}{} \mathrm{I} 9$.
vaútŋs 1115． 13.
vavtıкós 1153． 7.
עє́́刀єктоs 1103． 5 ．
уєодทріа（ $\nu є \nu \mu$ ．）1116． 12 ；1127． 4 ；1129． 6.
$\nu$ ข́ós 1119．I 4 ；1124．I I；1161．I4（？）．
$\nu \eta ̄ \sigma o s ~ 1101.24$.
ขıка̂̀ 1110． 5 ．
ขоріऽєє 1100.8 ， 18.
ขоцєка́рıos 1131． 17.
роцьбда́тьг．See Index IX（b）．
$\nu о \mu о \theta \epsilon \sigma i ́ a ~ 1119$ ． 18.

עо́ноя 1100． 9 ；1101． 12 ；1102． $13 ; 1119$. $21 ; 1121.13$.
ขоиós．See Index VI $(a)$ ．
nonae 1114． 13,36 ．
עобєโิ 1161．8， 14 ．
עобоконєі̂ 1121．ro．
уобокодєіод 1150． 4.
ขóбos 1121． 9 ；1151． 26.
notare 1114． 37 ．
עóros 1124． 2 ；1126． 7.
рочдиіор 1165． 6.
นขิ้ 1102． 13 ；1104． 6 ；1118． 5 ；1119．11； 1123．9；1130． 8 ；1132．14；1133． 4 ； 1148．6．$\nu v$ ví $^{1110.15 ; ~ 1119 . ~ i n . ~}$

そ́є $\sigma \tau \eta s$ 1142． 14.
૬そ่рィゥ 1142． 7.
छпра́агрог 1142． 2.
Góavo 1117．I．
$\xi \nu \lambda a \mu \eta$ 1124．I 5.
छúdıoos 1127．9．
乡ú入ov 1144．I5．
¡ßo入ós．See Index IX（b）．
ӧ $\delta \epsilon 1114.23$ ；1130． 20 ；1133． 14 ；1153．24．

à $\theta$ адıакós 1146．7．
ỏ Oóviov 1146． 5 ．
оіккіц 1101． 24 ；1110． 10 ；1121． 18.
оікєías 1101． $23,27$.
oikia 1105．8， 19 ；1110．3，8， 9 ；1111．i．5， ii． 4 ；1120． 15 ；1121．17；1127． 7 ； 1128．13；1129．9．Өєєотáтך оік．1134．4， 15.

оікоөєу 1107． 5 ．
оікоуоиєi้ 1123． 22.
оіккоs 1152．5；1153．19；1158．4．є́ $\xi$ оіккоข
1130．9．ধ̈vסokos oik．1131．2， 13.
oivoтара入ךитти́s 1141． 2.
oivos 1131． 5 ；1139．introd．；1141．6， 8.
oivo $\chi \epsilon!\rho \iota \sigma \tau \eta{ }^{\prime}$ 1131．I．
oios 1126.9.
o̊̀íyos 1101． 3 ；1121．5，12，19；1156． 5 ； 1164． 4.
блк ${ }^{\prime}$ 1142．4， 5 ．
о́ $а к \lambda \eta \rho$ єí 1158． 3 ．
ő $\lambda$ as 1117．II ；1158． 4 ；1165．8．ő $\lambda \omega s$ 1106． 6.
ả $\mu \nu \dot{v} \epsilon!$ 1110． 20 ；1113．ii． 8.
о $\mu$ оу $\eta$＇́бเos 1111．i． 8.
о́оíws 1109．12，16；1110．13， 17 ；1111． i ． 12；1112． 17 ；1141． 7.
о $\mu$ одоує乞̆ 1122． 8 ；1123． 8 ；1125． 2 ； 1126. 18；1129． 15 ；1130．7， 26 ；1132．6； 1133．8， 15 ；1134．6， 17.
$\dot{\alpha} \mu \hat{\imath}$ 1126． 13 ．

oैขона 1102． 23 ；1135． 2 ；1151．5I；1160． 6,8 ．
ä $\nu v \xi 1142.4$.
őそos 1141．7， 10 ；1158． 17.
о́ло́тау 1129．І 3 ．

о่т $\omega \rho о \pi \omega \dot{\lambda} \eta$ s 1133． 7.
 1161． 4.
ópû̀ 1101． 22 ；1158． 9.
ópíyavo 1142．I I．
б́piऍєє 1103．7．
öros 1163.5 ．
ö $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ 1119． 28 ；1125．6；1126．13； 1129. $12 ; 1134.7$.
äттเง 1119． 8.
ถึтаข 1107． 3 ；1158．І 5.
оึт८ 1101． 12 ；1103． 6 ；1107． 4 ；1142．10， I2；1151． 5 I；1153． 6 ；1154．7；1155．3；
1157． 24 ；1158． 22 ；1159．5，7， 17 ； 1160.
8，9，19， 24 ；1161．10， 12 ；1164．І1．
aủסè үáp 1101．I 9.
oủס̌eís 1102．II ；1118．II，I3；1133．IO； 1134．II．
ои̉кย́тィ 1101．I4．
oû้ 1119．18；1130．19；1156．7，12； 1157. 6，8， 2 I；1158． 6 et saep．；1165．II．
ойточ 1153． 26 （？）．
ov̉oía 1102． 9.
๐ข้тє 1133．I I ；1134．I 2.
ойт
ö фєí入єє 1118． 5 ；1125．I5；1165．8．
ö廿ts 1154． 4.
ő $\psi \hat{\omega} \nu \iota \circ$ 1159． 3 ．
$\pi /$ 1163．introd．， 1 ；1165．．．
$\pi a i ́ \delta \epsilon v \sigma \iota s$ 1165． 2.
тaidion 1159． 29.
тá̀ą 1111．ii． 13.
талaıós 1159． 25 ．
па́лıข 1106． 2 ；1121． 14 ；1164． 6 ；1165． 10.
тávoт $\lambda$ as 1110.6 （？）．
таขтоios 1134． 6 ；1151． 35.
тávv 1161．8， 10 ；1164． 2.
 тара́ठєєүца 1100． 10.
тараס́é $\notin \sigma$ Өaı 1125．I I．
 $25 ; 1128.23 ; 1129.14$.
$\pi a \rho a ́ \delta a \sigma \iota s ~ 1117$ ．I 2.
таракалє $\ell \nu$ 1165．І I．
таралацßа́vєє 1102．8；1117．13；1127． 23.
$\pi а р а \lambda \epsilon і \pi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ 1101． 26.
$\pi а р a \lambda \iota \mu \pi a ́ v \epsilon \iota \nu 1101.22$.
таралоу七б $\mu$ ós 1103． 5.
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тарац́́vєєข 1117． 22 ；1122． 10.
тарадонєi้ 1106． 9.
тирало́кдиа 1119．го．
тирадоці＂1119．8，Іо， 18.
тара́доноя 1106． 5.
таратіттєьข 1133． 12.
тарабкєขáऍєє兀。1101．10；1163． 6 ；1164．Іо．
т．а́раита 1163． 6.

тарафи入акй 1165．7， 9.
тарафиגи́ббєє 1106． 4.
$\pi а р є \gamma \gamma^{2} \nu$ 1101． 15,25 ；1106． 6.
тарєivaє 1102． 5 ；1119． 23 ；1129． 8.
$\pi а р є ́ \xi 1133.9$.
тарє́тєбӨає 1134．І 5.
тарє́ $\rho \chi є \sigma \theta$ и 1134．1о， 14.
тарє́хєเข 1116．9；1121．14，25；1123． 14 ； 1131．II．
тарıбтávaı 1102． 18 ；1106． 8.
таро́рьо $1113 . \mathrm{i} .17$.
тápos $1121.3^{6 .}$
таррпба́a 1100．I 5.
 21 ；1124． 20 ；1125．9，19；1126． 16 ； 1127．I2， 30 ；1128． 25 ；1129．I $; 1130$. I4，I 7， 24 ；1133．I4；1151． 14 et saep．； 1154．3；1155．1о；1158．3；1159．33； 1160．3，6， 15 ；1161．5，16；1163．3， 9 ； 1164．IO， 14 ；1165．I3， 14.
табтás 1158． 15 （？）．
таттофópos．See Index VII（a）3．
$\pi a ́ \sigma \chi \epsilon \nu 1120.1 ; 1121.7$.
ти́тๆра 1142． 3 ；1156． 9.
татíp 1109． 14 ；1111．i．ı0，ii．6；1119． 28 ； 1122． 7 ；1123．ІІ ；1148．6；1154．І 2 ； 1160．．
титрiкios 1134． 2.
татр七кós 1123． 2 I ；1164．2， 13.
тútpios 1119． 2 I．
tatpis 1102．IO；1117．8；1119．9，12，I5．
$\pi a ́ т \rho \omega \nu$ 1156． 15.
таи́єбӨą 1121． 10.
$\pi \in$ oío 1126． 4.
$\pi \epsilon i \theta \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1101． 19 （ $\pi \epsilon \in \pi o \iota \theta \epsilon \nu$ ）．
$\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \epsilon เ \nu$ 1153．6，19， 2 I ；1155．12；1156．12；
1157． 3 ；1158．13，16，І7，24；1159．17，
2 I；1160． 9 et saep．
$\pi \epsilon р і \beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \tau$ оя 1108． 5.
$\pi \epsilon р \iota \eta_{\lambda} \epsilon$ iv 1119.7.
$\pi \epsilon р ı к о ́ \pi \tau \eta$ я 1146.15.
$\pi \epsilon р \iota \mu$ е́vє兀 1100． 16.
$\pi \epsilon \rho$ íoठos 1100．4；1119． 6.
$\pi \epsilon \rho เ \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon \dot{\omega} \nu 1127.8,15,22,37$.
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho i \delta i o \nu 1143.6,7$ ；1144． 12.
$\pi \epsilon р \iota \tau \in i \chi i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1111．ii． 8.
тเтра́бкєьข 1131．6；1160．I I．
mítis 1134.8.
$\pi$ ттти́кьор 1131．І 8 ；1150． 6.
$\pi \lambda \epsilon i ̈ \sigma t o s ~ 1121.19 ; 1155.2 ; 1164.12$.
$\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os 1106． 7.
$\pi \lambda \eta \mu \mu \epsilon \lambda є$ î 1119． 18.
$\pi \lambda \dot{p} \rho \eta_{s} 1119.15 ; 1137.2,3$.
$\pi \lambda \eta \rho \circ \bar{\nu} \nu 1103.6,7$ ；1107．3；1133．8； 1134.
6 ；1137．．
$\pi \lambda \eta \sigma$ ío 1165． 9.
$\pi \lambda \iota \nu$ ion 1110． 10.
$\pi \lambda$ ôon 1153．I I．
тгє $\mathrm{v} \mu \mathrm{a}$ 1151．ィ ；1161．7．$\pi \nu$. ä $\%$ เo้ 1151． 6.
$\pi$ о́ $\theta \in \nu$ 1121． 16.
Toเєi้ 1101． 22 ；1104． 14 ；1106．8；1117．5；
1119．27；1121．23， 26 ；1130． 21 ； 1156.
I4；1158．6；1164．9；1165．7，9，ІІ．
$\pi$ одเоркєї 1117． 23.
$\pi o ́ \lambda ı s$ ．See Index VII（a）．
тодятєía 1ll9． 2 I， 23.
та入ıтька́s 1146．І 7．тй $\pi .1104 .7$ ，I 3.
$\pi о \lambda \lambda$ ćкıs 1119． 17.
$\pi u \lambda u ́ s ~ 1157 . ~ 28 ; 1158.2,25$ ；1160．2， 3. $\pi \rho o ̀ ~ \pi о \lambda \lambda о и ̆ ~ 1153 . ~ i ́ 6 . ~$
поли́тєцоs 1121． 20.

то́роз 1123． 2 I．
$\pi о \rho ф u ́ p a ~ 1153 . ~ 26 . ~$
$\pi$ орфиройs 1153．I4．
тобо́т $\eta \mathrm{s}$ 1102．I I．
possessio 1114．Io．
тотє́ 1107．4， 5.
$\pi \rho a ̂ \gamma \mu \alpha 1134.3$ ；1155．6；1165．4．
$\pi р а у \mu а т є v \tau \eta ́ s ~ 1130 . ~ 6 . ~$.
траито́бıтоя．See Index VIII．
$\pi р а \iota т \dot{\rho}$ เоу 1116． 2.
тракторєía．See Index VIII．
$\pi \rho a ̂ \xi ı s ~ 1124.18 ; 1127.28 ; 1130.24$.
$\pi \rho a ̂ \sigma \iota s ~ 1156.6$.
$\pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu 1106.5$ ；1124． 3 ；1155． 8.
$\pi \rho \dot{\tau} \eta \mathrm{s}$ 1146．7．
$\pi$ ратós 1117． 24.
$\pi \rho \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ 1121．I I．
$\pi \rho є \sigma \beta$ єía 1151． 39.
$\pi \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \beta$ us 1102．І6， 22.
$\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta v i \tau \epsilon \rho \circ s(\mathrm{adj}$.$) 1109． 2$ ；1117．introd． $\pi \rho$. （title）．See Indices VII（b），VIII．
$\pi \rho i \gamma к \in \psi$ 1108． 3 ．
pridie 1114． $13,36,37$.
$\pi \rho \iota \mu \iota \pi \iota \lambda \alpha ́ \rho \iota o s ~ 1133 . ~ 5 . ~$
$\pi \rho i ́ \nu$ 1061． 14.
троаірєбıs 1101． 8.
троßатєкós 1151． 7.
$\pi \rho о ́ \gamma \rho а \mu \mu а$ 1101．16；1155．І2．
$\pi \rho о \gamma \rho a ́ \phi є \iota \nu$ 1130． 18 ；1153． 12.
procuratio 1114． 6.
троб̊ঠóvat 1102．Io．
profiteor 1114． 9 ．
$\pi \rho о \theta \in \sigma \mu i a$ 1117． 20 ；1130．12，20， 22 ； 1132. 8， 20.
$\pi \rho о \theta \eta к \alpha ́ \rho \iota o s(?)$ 1146． 20.
$\pi \rho o i \xi 1102$. ．
тракєїбӨає 1114． 32 ；1115．7；1121．8； 1124. 16， 22 ；1127． 40 ；1129．16， 17 ； 1130.
26，29；1131．18；1133．І7；1137． 4.
$\pi \rho о \lambda а \mu \beta a ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1151． 4.
$\pi \rho а \nu а \eta \tau \eta{ }^{\prime}$ 1134．8；1147．17，19．
$\pi \rho о \pi о р є$ и́єоөи 1144．3，5， 9.

$\pi \rho а \sigma а \gamma а р є и ́ є \iota \nu ~ 1162 . ~ 12 . ~$
тробßаivєєン 1109．6， 9.
тробঠıаүра́фєı 1112 ．16， 25.
тробঠокаิ 1158． 4.
тробє̂̀vaı 1105．Іо；1121． 8.
ттробє́ $\varnothing \chi є \sigma$ Өaє 1102． 9 ；1119．8， 19 ；1156． 3.
$\pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon ข к а \iota \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ 1119． 12.
 2 I ；1119．23，24， 27.
$\pi \rho o \sigma \iota \in ́ v a \iota ~ 1101 . ~ I 5, ~ I ~ 9 . ~$
$\pi \rho о \sigma к \nu \nu \epsilon i ้ \nu ~ 1164 . ~ I ~ 2 . ~$
$\pi \rho о \sigma к и ́ v \eta \sigma \iota$ 1164．14；1165．12，I3．
$\pi \rho о \sigma \lambda a \mu \beta a ́ v \epsilon \iota \nu 1103.8$.

$\pi \rho о \sigma о ф \epsilon і \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu 1118.6$ ；1127． 26.
тробтабía 1126．4， 8 ；1134．7．
$\pi \rho о \sigma \tau a ́ \tau \eta s$ 1150．1．
$\pi \rho о \sigma \phi є u ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1101． 9.
$\pi \rho о \sigma \phi \theta \epsilon i \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1100． 19.
тробф由ขєî̀ 1119． 28.
$\pi \rho о \sigma \phi \dot{\prime} \nu \eta \sigma \iota$ 1119．4， 24.
$\pi \rho о ́ \sigma \chi \rho \omega \mu$ а 1153． 23.
трота́ббєє 1112.18 ；1115． 19.

тротєлєíข 1147． 2 I．
$\pi \rho$ о́тєрал 1110．19；1111．i．9，10，ii．6； 1112.
6 ；1113．i． 18 ，ii． 6 ；1130． $7,28$.
$\pi \rho о т \iota \theta$ ย́vaı 1100．2，4， 23.
$\pi \rho о ́ \phi а \sigma \iota$ 1100． $12 ; 1119$ ． 1 I．
$\pi \rho о ф є ́ є \epsilon \iota$ 1164． 4.
$\pi \rho о \chi є \iota \rho i \zeta \varsigma \sigma \theta a \iota 1119.9$ ， 12.
$\pi \rho о ́ \chi \rho \eta \sigma \iota s$ 1125． 3.
трохตрєĩ 1104． 8.
proximus 1114．I 3 （？）．
трита⿱亠䒑ia 1104．I 7， 22.
$\pi \rho u \tau a \nu \in \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \iota$ ，$\pi \rho$ и́тavıs．See Index VIII．
$\pi \rho \omega \dot{\eta} \nu$ 1104． 9.
$\pi \rho \omega \tau \epsilon \dot{\iota} \epsilon \iota$ 1106． 6.
$\pi \rho \omega \tau \dot{\kappa} \kappa \tau \omega \rho$ 1134． 3.
$\pi \rho \hat{\text { tos }} 1101.3$ ；1125． 2 1．$\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu$ 1119． 15.
ттаієє 1165 ．I 1.
$\pi v \nu$ Өáve $\sigma \theta a \iota 1102$ ．I I．
$\pi \nu \rho \in \tau o ́ s ~ 1151.35$.
 2 et saep．
$\pi \omega \mu a \rho i \tau \eta s$ I133． 3.
q．p．f．1114．I 3 ．
que 1114．I 5 ．
qui 1114．I3，I 4．qui et 1114．8，Io，II．
res 1114．I 4.

p̊ırápıos．See Index VIII．
роїтько́s 1142．у6．
คю $\nu \nu v ́ \nu u, ~ \epsilon ้ \rho \rho \omega \sigma a ~ 1105 . ~ 22 ; ~ 1140 . ~ 4 ; ~ 1148 . ~$
 $\mu a \iota$ 1100．5．є่ $\rho \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma \theta a \iota$ єป̉Хоцаı 1104．I7； 1119．24；1142．г6；1157．28；1158． 25 ； 1160． 28 ；1162．13．
$\sigma a \beta a \omega ́ \theta$ 1152． 2.
баขסั́кเขаs 1153．14．
$\sigma a \phi \eta{ }^{\prime}$ 1102．I I．$\sigma a \phi \hat{s}$ 1119． 16.
scribas 1106． 10.
scribere 1114． 37.
бєavtoû 1154． 5.
$\sigma \epsilon \beta a ́ \sigma \mu$ ноs 1113．i． $5 \cdot$
secundum 1114．14，35．
$\sigma \in ́ \lambda \lambda a 1146.6$.
seu 1114． 10.
бךцаive七 1112．3， 19.
$\sigma \eta \dot{\eta} \rho \rho \circ \nu$ 1153． 9.

đ $\theta$ évos 1120． 19.
बimaiol 1130．12，29， 3 I．
бьта́pıò 1156． 5 ．
GıTiov 1158．I I．
бitos 1147．8， 18 ；1159．I I．
бкє́ттєбӨat 1102． 5.
бкрєіßая 1108． 4.
бó̀เov 1158．ı 8.
Gós 1121． 26 ；1131．3，II；1134．16；1163．8．
бофía 1165． 6.
бoфós 1165．I 3.
$\sigma \pi o \nu \delta \eta^{\eta} 1143.4$.

$\sigma \pi$ ópos 1126．Io．
$\sigma \pi$ ovóá̧eル 1164．II．
бтаß入ítๆs 1147． 5.
бта日нós 1130．I 3.
бтєфадои̂̀ 1117． 5 ．
бти́ньоу 1142.7.
бтıха́рьо 1136．4， 5 ．
бто८Хєі̂o 1137.5.
бтоїоs 1119． 12.
$\sigma \tau 0 \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ 1144． 1.
бтратєúє $\sigma \theta a t$ 1103． 5 ；1154．I I．
$\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o ́ s . ~ S e e ~ I n d e x ~ V I I I . ~$
бт $\rho a \tau \iota \omega ́ \tau \eta s$ 1101．I 3，I 8 ；1115．I3．
бтратı $\omega \tau \iota$ кós 1106． 7.
бтро३і入ıоу 1142． 6.
бтро́ßı入os 1144．I I．
бтvрáкоо 1142.5.
subicere 1114．I 4.
बv $\gamma \gamma$ раф́́ 1105． 3.
бvүүрафоঠıаӨŋ́к 1102． 14 ．
бuүки́pєє 1105．I3．
$\sigma v \gamma \chi \omega \rho \in i ̂ \nu 1107.2$.
бú入 $\eta \sigma \iota s$ 1121． 6.
бv $\lambda \lambda a \beta \dot{\eta}$ 1164． 3.
$\sigma \nu \lambda \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1160．I 6.
$\sigma \nu \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau о \cup \rho \gamma o ́ s ~ 1162.2$.
$\sigma \dot{v} \mu \beta$ ıos 1160.4.
$\sigma \nu \mu \pi$ одitns 1119． 19.
$\sigma v \mu \pi о ́ \sigma \iota \nu$ 1128．14；1129．10；1159． 26.
бขлфє́рєє 1149． 4.
бvرфорí 1121． 15.
 1148． 5.
бúpф $\omega \nu$ os 1103． 6 ；1148． 9.
бvעатоôquєì 1122．Io．
 ouvס̊̊óva 1130． 22. бúvєpyov 1159．I 5， 20.
$\sigma v \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \eta$ 1104． 24 ．
бv́v $\theta \in \sigma \iota s$ 1153． 23.
бvขเซтávaı 1105．I．
бט́vขaos 1149． 3.
бúno廿ıs 1117．7．
бvขтá $\sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota$ 1118． 1 ；1159．7．

бขvтvүरáveıv 1163． 2.
supra 1114． 37.
avarátๆs 1116．5， 2 I．
бv́бт $\eta \mu \mathrm{l}$ 1100． I ．
suus 1114. I 3.
$\sigma \phi p a \gamma i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu 1114.24$ ．
oфvpiórov 1158．I7．
$\sigma \chi$ otvion 1126．7．
$\sigma \chi$ о $\lambda a \sigma \tau \iota к o ́ s ~ 1165 . ~ I 4 . ~$
$\sigma \omega ̂ \mu a$ lllo． 12 ；1161． 6.
$\sigma \omega \tau \eta \dot{\rho}$ 1161． 2.
tabularius 1114． 35 ．
таүарióıov（？）1158． 12.
таүท́ 1139． 3.
тá入avтov．See Index IX（b）．
тaцєîo llo3． 7.
тágıs 1100. IO；1104． 24 ；1120． 5.
тароька́рıos（ $\theta a \rho \sigma$. ）1146． 16.
тáб $\sigma \epsilon \iota \nu 1109.7 . \quad \tau a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ 1105 . ~ 21 ; ~ 1125 . ~$
8；1159． 16.
тáхos 1153． 19.
$\tau \epsilon 1101.5$ ；1119．10；1124．18；1127． 28 ；
1130． 24 ；1133．І3；1157．10；1162．ıо．
тє́кขоข 1121．II．
тє $\epsilon \in \hat{\imath} \nu$ 1129．II ；1130． 22 ，
$\tau \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma \mu$ 1123．I 5.
тє $\lambda_{\epsilon u t a ̂ ̀ ~ I l l l . ~ i i . ~ I I, ~ I ~}^{3} 3$.
тé入os 1128． 20.
tertius 1114．I 4.
testatio 1114．I 4, I 6.
тєтартаіоя 1151． 37.
тє́тартод 1102． 9.
тєт ${ }^{\text {ás }} 1163.2$.
$\tau \in \tau \rho a \chi$ оivikos 1124．I 2.
$\tau \epsilon \tau \rho \dot{\beta} \beta$ одог 1142． 1 I．
$\tau \epsilon \chi \nu i \tau \eta$ 1117．I 2.
т $\eta \rho \in$ єì 1160． 16.
тіктєLD 1151．12， 30.

тєц́ 1112．15， 25 ；1124．І 3 ；1131． 5 ； 1133. 10；1139．introd．；1144．19；1147．8，18； 1156． 8.
ті́лоя 1164． 3.
т $\mu \omega$ рía 1106． 8.
tis 1119． 22 ；1121．16， 21 ；1160． 26.
tıs kaí tıs 1117．1．tis relat．1155．I3．
тоьหа́ртоє 1101． 16.
то九ойтоs 1100．9，12，18；1106．7；1121．19； 1165． 5 ．
то́коз 1105．18；1118． 6 ；1125． 6 ；1130．23， 33 ；1132．14．т．$\delta \rho а \chi \mu$ aîos 1125．4， 9. т．$\delta \rho a \chi \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ঠv́o 1132． 14.
то́л $\mu a 1119.8$.
тод $\mu \hat{a} \nu$ 1106．4， 9 ；1120． 15.
то́л $\mu \eta \mu а$ 1106． 7.
то́лоs 1112．I，I7．
тотархіа．See Index VI（a）．
то́тоз 1100． 4 ；1111．ii． 18 ；1127．5，15，22， 39 ；1128．23；1129．10，Ј7；1134．8； 1145． 3 ；1154．9．є่ $\pi i$ то́т $\omega \nu$ 1101． 20 ； 1117． $13 ; 1120.2$ ．катà то́тод 1101． 9 ； 1162． 2.
тобоиิтos 1165． 3 ．
то́тє 1119．4，6， 9.
тра́ $\gamma \eta \mu$ 1158． 18.

т $\rho a \pi \epsilon \zeta$ 亿í $\eta \mathrm{s}$ 1146．і $7,18$.
трьткаьঠєкаєтйs 1109．6， 9.
трі́ттєүos 1105． 9.
трıтаі̂os 1151． 37.
трítos 1114．24．
т $\iota^{\prime} \beta^{\beta} \lambda_{0}$ 1112． 25.
т ро́тоя 1101． 3 ；1164．10．
т рофо́s 1107．I．
т $\boldsymbol{\imath} \gamma \eta$ 1146． 6.
тขүхávєцц 1100．I5；1101． 20 ；1121． 5.
т $\downarrow$ גápıo 1159． 24.
rúx 1101．7，11， 21 ， 24 ；1110． 2 I．
ißpi\}єı 1120. 6.
íरıaìє七 1154.5 ．

viós 1109．8，14；1111．ii．12， 14 ；1114．20， 2 I；1126．19， 2 I ；1129． 5 ；1130．4，5， 26,30 ；1134．3，5；1146．І і ；1148．3； 1151．5， 23 ；1153． 2 ；1161． 3.

iта́ $ү \epsilon \iota$ 1119． 24 ；1161． 14.

ข̇таүорєย́єє 1102．5．
ітакои́єเข 1122．I 1.
v̇สápхєเข 1105． 5 ；1110． 9 ；1111．i．6，ii． 5 ；
1119．І7；1124．19；1126．3， 16 ； 1127.
6 ， 29 ；1128．І 2 ；1130． 24 ；1134． 15.
ітатіа，víтатия．See Index III．
ímevavtios 1151． 55.


ілтєрхролía 1125． 8.
ยт $\pi \epsilon \rho \hat{\varrho}$ as 1127．5， $3^{8 .}$
v́тєúӨvos 1134 7， 14.
íné $\chi \in \iota$ 1ll9． 2 1．
ínךрєтєì 1100．I 4 （？）；1121．Io．
ітприє́т $\eta$ ．See Index VIII．
$\dot{v} \pi \circ ß a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu 1101.25$ ；1163． 6.
v̇лоঠ́є́ $\epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota 1134.7$ ，I 3.
ітоөウ́кп 1105． 3.
ن́токаvбтй́s 1146．Іо， 2 I．
ітокєíб日a 1100． 6.
v́то入оүєi้ 1124． 5 ．
íódoוтos 1107． 3 ．
v́тго́циұра 1117．I4；1118． 4 ；1160．I7， 20.
íтонидиатоури́фоя．See Index VIII．
iто́ $\mu \nu \eta \sigma \iota s$ 1100． 8.
iтог $\eta \mu \epsilon \iota ⿱ ⺌ 兀 寸 \theta a \iota ~ 1115.8 . ~$
íтобтá $\theta \mu$ ноs 1142 ．I（？）．
íтобтє́ $\lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1102． 14.
v́ $\pi o ́ \sigma \chi \epsilon \sigma \iota s$ 1117． 6.
íтотávбєเข 1119．23， 26.

ілотьца̂бӨaь 1119．I I．

iфаıеєì 1102．IO．
$\dot{i} \phi \eta \gamma \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \sigma \theta a \iota ~ 1119.8$.
iфıттáva九 1119．I I， 28.
uxor 1114． 12.
vicesima 1114．I 5 ．
фaiverv 1118． 3 ；1120． 9 ；1123． 20.
факós 1143． 7.
фй́vaı 1101．I I．фírөat 1121． 32.
фадєpós 1100． 3 ；1119．I 3.
фর́⿱㇒日勺儿s 1157． 3.
фє́рєєц 1148． 9 ；1159． 23 ；1164．ェ，6， 7.
$\phi \epsilon u ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1151．I．
$\phi \theta a ́ v \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1103． 6.
фı入áv $\theta \rho \omega \pi$ os 1102．7．

фídos 1104．5．I7 ；1109．4；1155．10； 1158．26．фі入татоз 1155．1；1165．I4．
фьлотьиía 1153．і6．
фоßєро́s 1151． 55.
фориаріа 1115．I 2.
фо́pos 1107．1，3；1117．I5；1126．10，I3； 1127． 10.
фортion 1153． 9.
фр́́ap 1105．іо．
фроขтi了єı 1100． 3 ；1119． 23.
фроขт८ттク́s 1141． 3.
фиүаס́єن́єєข 1151．33．
фuүŋ́ 1121． 26.
фи́えapरos 1119． 2.
$\phi u \lambda \eta$ 1116． 20.
$\phi \omega \rho$（magic）1152．I．
$\phi \omega \rho a ̂ ̀ ~ 1100 . ~ 20 . ~$
xaipeıv 1105． 2 ；1119．15， 22 ；1122． 8 ； 1123． 8 ；1130． 6 ；1132． 6 ；1133． 7 ； 1134．5；1140．1；1141． 2 ；1153． 2 ； 1154． 2 ；1155． 2 ；1156．1；1157． 2 ； 1160． 2 ；1162． 5.

$\chi$ đ́лкıขos 1158． 23.
$\chi^{\text {алко́s 1105．} 21 .}$
$\chi$ ха́́ 1162.5.
$\chi$ ápтךร 1142． 12.
$\chi$ артош入ќ́pıos 1108． 8.

$\chi$ єєро́үрафо⿱ 1132．i 6.
$\chi \eta \dot{p a} 1120$ ． 12.
$\chi \mu \gamma 1130$ ． 1 ．
хoìı $\xi$ ．See Index IX $(a)$ ．
$\chi$ о́ртоя 1107． 3 ；1156．I I．
$\chi$ фєі́a 1116．у7；1130． 9 ；1156．І І ； 1160. 27.

хре́оз 1130．18；1133． 19.
$\chi \rho \epsilon \omega \sigma \tau \in \mathfrak{i l \nu}$ 1107．1， 5 ；1131．4．
$\chi \rho \eta$ 1163． 5.
$\chi \rho \eta \mu a r i \zeta \epsilon \iota 1113$. ii． 2 ；1114．23， 26.
$\chi \rho \eta$ चıs 1130．8， 27 ；1158． 12.
$\chi \rho \bar{\eta} \sigma$ बaı 1100． 22 ；1127． 14 ；1153． 27.

Хро́vos 1105．І7；1119．15；1121． $3^{6 ;} 1123$.
18；1127． 21 ；1128．7，22；1130． 23 ；
1157． 29 ；1158． 25 ；1164． 3.
$\chi \rho v \sigma o u ̂ s ~ 1117.1$.
хри́бtขos 1133． 9.
хрибiov 1117． 8.
$\chi$ रvбós．See Index VII（b）．
ұ $\rho$ vбохо́оя 1117． 12 ；1146．I3．
$\chi \hat{\omega} \mu a$ 1112．6，9， $11,22$.
$\chi$ х́ра 1100．і 7 ；1134． 8.
$\chi \omega \rho \in \hat{\imath} \nu$ 1102．I5；1115．I3；1165． 6.
$\chi \omega$ ріод 1141． 6.
$\chi \omega$ pis 1124．16；1128． 21 ；1130．19， 27.
$\psi v \chi \eta ́ 1161.6$.
ิठ $\epsilon$ 1160． 14 ．
Ф̈ра 1114． 24.
$\omega \rho \omega \rho$（magic）1152． 1 ．
$̈ \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ 1121． 12.

## XII．INDEX OF PASSAGES DISCUSSED．

（a）Authors．



## (b) Papyri and Inscriptions.
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## EGYPT EXPLORATION FUND

## GRAECO-ROMAN BRANCH.

THE EGYPT EXPLORATION FUND, which has conducted Archaeological research in Egypt since 1882, in 1897 started a special department, called the Graeco-Roman Branch, for the discovery and publication of remains of classical antiquity and early Christianity in Egypt.

The Graeco-Roman Branch issues annual volumes, cach of about 250 quarto pages, with facsimile plates of the more important papyri, under the editorship of $\mathrm{Dr}_{\mathrm{r}}$. Hunt.

A subscription of One Guinea to the Graeco-Roman Branch entitles subscribers to the annual volume, and also to the annual Archaeological Report. A donation of $£_{25}$ constitutes life membership. Subscriptions may be sent to the Honorary Treasurers-for England, Mr. H. A. Grueber, British Museum; and for America, Mr. Chester I. Campbell, $5^{27}$ Tremont Temple, Boston, Mass.

## PUBLICATIONS OF THE EGYPT EXPLORATION FUND.

## MEMOIRS OF THE FUND.

I. THE STORE CITY OF PITHOM AND THE ROUTE OF THE EXODUS. For 1883-4. By Edouard Naville. Thirteen Plates and Plans. (Fourth and Revised Edition.) 25 s.
II. TANIS, Part I. For 1884-5. By W. M. Flinders Petrie. Eighteen Plates and two Plans. (Second Edition.) 25 s.
III. NaUKRATIS, Part I. For 1885 -6. By W. M. Flinders Petrie. With Chapters by Cecil Smith, Ernest A. Gardner, and Barclay V. Head. Forty-four Plates and Plans. (Second Edition.) 25 s.
IV. GOSHEN AND THE SHRINE OF SAFT-EL-HENNEH. For $1886-7$. By Edouard Naville. Eleven Plates and Plans. (Second Edition.) 25 s.
V. TANIS, Part II; including TELL DEFENNEH (The Biblical 'Tahpanhes') and Tell nebesheh. For 1887 -8. By W. M. Flinders Petrie, F. Ll. Griffith, and A. S. Murray. Fifty-one Plates and Plans. 25 s.
VI. NaUKRatis, Part II. For 1888-9. By Ernest A. Gardner and F. Ll. Griffith. Twenty-four Plates and Plans. 25 s.
VII. THE CITY OF ONIAS AND THE MOUND OF THE JEW. The Antiquities of Tell-el-Yahûdîyeh. An Extra Volume. By Edouard Naville and F. Ll. Griffith. Twenty-six Plates and Plans. ${ }^{25}$ s.
VIII. BUBASTIS. For 1889-90. By Edouard Naville. Fifty-four Plates and Plans. ${ }^{2} 5$ s.
IX. TWO HIEROGLYPHIC PAPYRI FROM TANIS. An Extra Volume. Containing THE SIGN PAPYRUS (a Syllabary). By F. Ll. Griffith. THE GEOGRAPhical Papyrus (an Almanac). By W. M. Flinders Petrie. With Remarks by Heinrich Brugsch. (Out of print.)
X. THE FESTIVAL HALL OF OSORKON II (BUBASTIS). For 1890 -1. By Edouard Naville. Thirty-nine Plates. 25 s.
XI. AHNAS EL MEDINEH. For 1891-2. By Edouard Naville. Eighteen Plates. And the tomb of paheri at el Kab. By J. J. Tylor and F. Ll. Griffith. Ten Plates. 25 s.
XII. DEIR EL BAHARI, Introductory. For 1892-3. By Edouard Naville. Fifteen Plates and Plans. 25 s.
XIII. DEIR EL BAHARI, Part I. For 1893-4. By Edouard Naville. Plates I-XXIV (three coloured) with Description. Royal folio. zos.
XIV. DEIR EL BAHARI, Part II. For 1894-5. By Edouard Naville. Plates XXV-LV (two coloured) with Description. Royal folio. zos.
XV. DESHÂSHEH. For 1895-6. By W. M. Flinders Petrie. Photogravure and other Plates. ${ }^{25}$ s.
XVI. DEIR EL BAHARI, Part III. For 1896-7. By Edouard Naville. Plates LVI-LXXXVI (two coloured) with Description. Royal folio. 305.
XVII. DENDEREH. For 1897 -8. By W. M. Flinders Petrie. Thirty-eight Plates. 25s. (Extra Plates of Inscriptions. Forty Plates. 1os.)
XVIII. ROYAL TOMBS OF THE FIRST DYNASTY. For $1898-9$. By W. M. Flinders Petrie. Sixty-eight Plates. 25 s.
XIX. DEIR EL BAHARI, Part IV. For 1899-1900. By Edouard Naville. Plates LXXXVII-CXVIII (two coloured) with Description. Royal folio. 3os.
XX. DIOSPOLIS PARVA. An Extra Volume. By W. M. Flinders Petrie. Forty-nine Plates. (Out of print.)
XXI. THE ROYAL TOMBS OF THE EARLIEST DYNASTIES, Part II. For 1900-1. By W. M. Flinders Petrie. Sixty-three Plates. ${ }^{2}$ 5s. (Thirty-five extra Plates, ics.)
XXII. ABYDOS, Part I. For 1901-2. By W. M. F. Petrie. Eighty-one Plates. ${ }^{2} 5$ s. XXIII. EL AMRAH AND ABYDOS. An Extra Volume. By D. Randall-MacIver, A. C. Mace, and F. Ll. Griffith. Sixty Plates. 25 s.
XXIV. ABYDOS, Part II. For 1902-3. By W. MI.F. Petrie. Sixty-four Plates. ${ }^{2} 5$ s.
XXV. Abydos, Part III. An Extra Volume. By C. T. Currelly, E. R. Ayrton, and A. E. P. Weigall, \&c. Sixty-one Plates. ${ }_{5} 5$ s.
XXVI. EHNASYA. For 1903-4. By W. M. Flinders Petrie. Forty-three Plates. ${ }^{2} 5$ s. (ROMAN EHNASYA. Thirty-two extra Plates. 1os.)
XXVII. DEIR EL BAHARI, Part V. For 1904-5. By Edouard Naville. Plates CXIX-CL with Description. Royal folio. 30s.
XXVIII. THE ELEVENTH DYNASTY TEMPLE AT DEIR EL BAHARI. Part I. For 1905-6. By Edouard Naville and H. R. Hall. Thirty-one Plates. ${ }^{2} 5$ s.
XXIX. DEIR EL BAHARI, Part VI. For 1906-7. By Edouard Naville. Plates CLI-CLXXIV (one coloured) with Description. Royal folio. 30s.
XXX. THE ELEVENTH DYNASTY TEMPLE AT DEIR EL BAHARI. Part II. For 1907 -8. By Edouard Naville. Twenty-four Plates. 25 s.
XXXI. PRE-DYNASTIC CEMETERY AT EL MAHASNA. For 1908-9. By E. R. Ayrton and W. L. S. Loat. (In preparation.)

## ARCHAEOLOGICAL SURVEY.

## Edited by F. Ll. Griffith.

I. BENI HASAN, Part I. For 1890-1. By Percy E. Newberry. With Plans by G. W. Fraser. Forty-nine Plates (four coloured). (Out of print.)
II. BENI HASAN, Part II. For 1891-2. By Percy E. Newberry. With Appendix, Plans, and Measurements by G. W. Fraser. Thirty-seven Plates (two coloured). ${ }^{25} 5$.
III. EL BERSHEH, Part I. For 1892-3. By Percy E. Newberry. Thirty-four Plates (two coloured). 25 s.
IV. EL BERSHEH, Part II. For 1893-4. By F. Ll. Griffith and Percy E. Newberry. With Appendix by G. W. Fraser. Twenty-three Plates (two coloured). $25^{\text {s. }}$
V. BENI HASAN, Part III. For 1894-5. By F. Ll. Griffith. (Hieroglyphs, and manufacture, \&c., of Flint Knives.) Ten coloured Plates. ${ }_{5} 5$ s.
VI. HIEROGLYPHS FROM THE COLLECTIONS OF THE EGYPT EXPLORATION FUND. For $1895^{-6}$. By F.Ll. Griffith. Nine coloured Plates. 25 s.
ViI. BENI HASAN, Part IV. For 1896-7. By F. Ll. Griffith. (Illustrating beasts and birds, arts, crafts, \&cc.) Twenty-seven Plates (twenty-one coloured). ${ }^{2} 5$ s.
VIII. THE MASTABA OF PTAHHETEP AND AKHETHETEP AT SAQQAREH, Part I. For 1897-8. By N. de G. Davies and F. Ll. Griffith. Thirtyone Plates (three coloured). 25 .
IX. THE MASTABA OF PTAHHETEP AND AKHETHETEP AT SAQQAREH, Part II. For 1898-9. By N. de G. Davies and F.Ll. Griffith. Thirtyfive Plates. ${ }^{2} 5$ s.
X. THE ROCK TOMBS OF SHEIKH SAÏD. For 1899-1900. By N. de G. Davies. Thirty-five Plates. ${ }^{2} 5$ s.
XI. THE ROCK TOMBS OF DEIR EL GEBRÂWI, Part I. For 1900-r. By N. de G. Davies. Twenty-seven Plates (two coloured). 25 s.
XII. DEIR EL GEBRÂWI, Part II. For 190i-2. By N. de G. Davies. Thirty Plates (two coloured). 25 s.
XIII. THE ROCK TOMBS OF EL AMARNA, Part I. For 1902-3. By N. de G. Davies. Forty-one Plates. 25 s.
XIV. EL AMARNA, Part II. For 1903-4. By N. de G. Davies. Forty-seven Plates. $25^{5}$.
XV. EL AMARNA, Part III. For 1904-5. By N. de G. Davies. Forty Plates. $2^{5}$ s.
XVI. EL AMARNA, Part IV. For $1905-6$. By N. de G. Davies. Forty-five Plates. 25 s.
XVII. EL AMARNA, Part V. For $1906-7$. By N.de G. Davies. Forty-four Plates. $25^{5}$.
XViII. EL AMarNA, Part VI. For 1907 -8. By N. de G. Daries. Forty-four Plates. ${ }_{2} 5^{5}$.

## GRAECO-ROMAN BRANCH.

1. The ONYRHYNCHUS PAPYRI, Part I. For $1897-8$. By B. P. Grenfell and A. S. Hunt. Eight Collotype Plates. (Out of print.)
II. THE OXYRHYNCHUS PAPYRI, Part II. For 1898-9. By B. P. Grenfell and A. S. Hunt. Eight Collotype Plates. ${ }^{25}$ s.
III. FAYÛM TOWNS AND THEIR PAPYRI. For 1899-1900. By B. P. Grenfell, A. S. Hunt, and D. G. Hogarth. Eighteen Plates. ${ }^{5} 5$.
IV. THE TEBTUNIS PAPYRI. Double Volume for $1900-1$ and 1901-2. By B. P. Grenfell, A. S. Hunt, and J. G. Smyly. Nine Collotype Plates. (Not for sale.)
V. THE OXYRHYNCHUS PAPYRI, Part III. For 1902-3. By B. P. Grenfell and A. S. Hunt. Six Collotype Plates. ${ }^{25}$ s.
VI. THE OXYRHYNCHUS PAPYRI, Part IV. For 1903-4. By B. P. Grenfell and A. S. Hunt. Eight Collotype Plates. 25 s.
VII. THE HIBEH PAPYRI, Part I. Double Volume for 1904-5 and 1905-6. By B. P. Grenfell and A. S. Hunt. Ten Collotype Plates. 45 s.
VIII. THE OXYRHYNCHUS PAPYRI, Part V. For i906-7. By B. P. Grenfell and A. S. Hunt. Seven Collotype Plates. 25 s.
IX. THE OXYRHYNCHUS PAPYRI, Part VI. For 1907-8. By B. P. Grenfell and A. S. Hunt. Six Collotype Plates. ${ }_{2} 5$ s.
X. THE OXYRHYNCHUS PAPYRI, Part VII. For 1908-9. By A. S. Hunt. Six Collotype Plates. ${ }^{2} 5$ s.
XI. THE OXYRHYNCHUS PAPYRI, Part VIII. For 1909-10. By A. S. Hunt. Seven Collotype Plates. ${ }^{25}$ s.
XII. THE OXYRHYNCHUS PAPYRI, Part IX. For i910-II. (In preparation.)

## ANNUAL ARCHAEOLOGICAL REPORTS.

(Yearly Summaries by F. G. Kenyon, W. E. Crum, and the Officers of the Society, with Maps.) Edited by F. Ll. Griffith.
the season's work. For $1890-\mathrm{I}$. By Edouard Naville, Percy E. Newberry, and G. W. Fraser. 2 s. $6 d$.

For 1892-3 and 1893-4. 2s. 6 d . each.
,, 1894-5. 3s. 6 d . Containing Report of D. G. Hogarth's Excavations in Alexandria.
", 1895-6. 3s. With Illustrated Article on the Transport of Obelisks by Edouard Naville.
", $1896-7.2 s .6 \mathrm{~d}$. With Articles on Oxyrhynchus and its Papyri by B. P. Grenfell, and a Thucydides " Papyrus from Oxyrhynchus by A. S. HuNT.
" 1897-8. 2s. 6 d . With Illustrated Article on Excavations at Hierakonpolis by W. M. F. Petrie.
", 189S-9. 2s. $6 d^{2}$. With Article on the Position of Lake Moeris by B. P. Grenfell and A. S. Hunt.
,, 1899-1900. 2s. 6d. With Article on Knossos in its Egyptian Relatious by A. J. Evans.
And ten successive years, $25.6 d$. each.

## SPECIAL PUBLICATIONS.

noria ihzor: 'Sayings of Our Lord,' from an Early Greek Papyrus. By B. P. Grenfell and A. S. Hunt. $2 s$. (with Collotypes) and $6 d$. net.
NEW SAYINGS OF JESUS AND FRAGMENT OF A LOST GOSPEL. By B. P. Grenfell and A. S. Hunt. 1s. net.

Fragment of an uncanonical Gospel. By B. P. Grenfell and A. S. Hunt. is. net.
ATLAS OF ANCIENT EGYPT. With Letterpress and Index. (Out of print.)
GUIDE TO THE TEMPLE OF DEIR EL BAHARI. With Plan. (Out of print.)
COPTIC OStraCA. By W. E. Crum. ios. 6d. net.
Slides from Fund Photographs may be obtained through Messrs. Newton \&o Co., 3 Fleet Street, E.C.; and Prints from Mr. R. C. Murray, 37 Dartmouth Park Hill, N.W.

Offices of the Egypt ExpIoration Fund:<br>37 GREAT RUSSELL STREET, LONDON, W.C., AND<br>$5^{27}$ TREMONT TEMPLE, BOSTON, MASS., U.S.A.<br>\section*{Agents:}

BERNARD QUARITCH, 11 GRAFTON STREET, NEW BOND STREET, W.
KEGAN PAUL, TRENCH, TRUUBNER \& Co., DRYDEN HOUSE, GERRARD STREET, W. ASHER \& Co., 14 BEDFORD STREET, COVENT GARDEN, W.C., AND 56 UNTER DEN LINDEN, BERLIN.
HENRY FROWDE, AMEN CORNER, E.C., AND 29-35 WEST $3^{2 N D}$ STREET, NEW YORK.
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CARDS OR SLIPS FROM THIS POCKE]

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO LIBRAR


[^0]:    Queen's College, Oxford,

[^1]:    24. 词ov oikīaat: in insulam deportari; cf. e. g. Digr. xxxii. I. 3 deportatos autem cos accipere debemus quibus princops insulas adnotavit vel de quibus deportandis scripsit, i. 12. I. 3 relegandi deportandique in insulam . . . licentiam habet. For $\delta \eta \mu o \tau \kappa \kappa i[s]$ cf. I. Leipzig 65 . 12 $\delta \eta \mu$. $[\lambda][$ [тo uppias.
    
[^2]:    1 The occurrence of катаүрáфєı in these $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \lambda \mu a \tau \alpha$ is more frequent than Preisigke supposes; that verb seems to have been regularly used where sales were concerned. On his view would not àyáypa孔ov катаүрафウ́v rather than катá $\quad$ рачоv be expected?

[^3]:    No. $111+$

